Attenuation correction with a multi-atlas method for brain PET-MR imaging: assessment with realistic simulated [11C]raclopride bolus-infusion PET data

Inés Mérida^{1,2,3}, Alexander Hammers^{4,5}, Jérôme Redouté³, Colm McGinnity⁵, Clara Fonteneau^{6,7}, Marie-Françoise Suaud-Chagny^{6,7}, Anthonin Reilhac³, Nicolas Costes³

Objectives

We have recently shown that inaccurate MR-based attenuation maps used in PET-MR systems can induce an error on dynamic PET data that depends on tracer distribution and varies over time [1]. Here we assess the impact of different MR-based attenuation correction (AC) methods on PET quantification and kinetic modelling. We compare our multi-atlas technique (MaxProb) [2] and the segmented-UTE [3] to ground-truth CT.

Methods

Brain PET data was simulated for twelve subjects with PET-SORTEO [4] to reproduce a bolus-infusion [¹¹C]raclopride protocol. For the simulations, emission phantoms were defined with 15 regions of interest on MRI [5], and the input 90-minute time-activity-curves were derived from real PET/CT data. Simulated PET data was reconstructed using *MaxProb*, segmented-UTE and ground-truth CT AC. Simple tissue-to-reference ratios were used to estimate the BP_{ND} in caudate, accumbens and putamen, at equilibrium, with cerebellum as the reference region.

Results

For the cerebellum, mean bias on time-activity-curves varied over time from -7.7 to -13.1% with segmented-UTE and from -2.5 to -5.2% with *MaxProb*. Mean bias in caudate, accumbens and putamen varied from -2.8 to -7.1% for segmented-UTE and from -2.2 to -4.6% for *MaxProb*. The bias tended to increase at later time-points. Mean error on tissue-to-reference ratios reached +5.4% for UTE but remained below +1% for *MaxProb*.

Conclusions

Compared with segmented-UTE, *MaxProb* produces less bias for time-activity-curves and hardly any bias for tissue-to-reference ratios. Multi-atlas AC may enhance sensitivity to detect physiological variations between groups of subjects or experimental conditions. Further work will focus on the sensitivity of the AC method to detect tracer displacement induced by endogenous dopamine.

References

- [1] Mérida I. et al. PSMR 2016
- [2] Mérida I. et al. ISBI 2015
- [3] Keerman V. et al. JNM 2010
- [4] Reilhac A. et al. PSMR 2016
- [5] Heckemann R.A. et al. Neuroimage 2010

¹Université de Lyon 1, INSERM, CNRS, Lyon Neuroscience Research Center, France,

²Siemens Healthcare France SAS, Saint-Denis, France,

³CERMEP-Imagerie du vivant, Lyon, France,

⁴Neurodis Foundation, Lyon, France,

⁵King's College London & Guy's and St Thomas' PET Centre, Division of Imaging Sciences and Biomedical Engineering, Kings' College London, UK,

⁶Centre de Recherche en Neurosciences de Lyon, Equipe PSYR2 (INSERM U1028, CNRS UMR5292, UCBL, Université de Lyon), Lyon, France

⁷Centre Hospitalier Le Vinatier, Lyon, France