Deviation Report

# Report Information

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Record ID | DEV\_20250805\_192320 | Initiator | Clara-Lea |
| Report Generated | 08/05/2025 07:26 PM | Page | 1 of 1 |
|  |  |  |  |

# Deviation Information

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title | AQL Visual Inspection Crimp Excursion Lot | Priority | Minor |
| Status | Open | Type | Not specified |
| Date of Occurrence | 06/02/2025 | Department |  |
| Batch/Lot Number | 1000255 | Quantity Impacted | Lot |
| Planned Deviation | No |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

# Deviation Description

On 02JUN2025, during AQL its was observed that Major Process defects criteria  
  
Additional details: criteria for Major Defects.yes

## AI-Enhanced Technical Analysis

### Enhanced Analysis of Deviation  
  
#### 1. Technical Assessment with Regulatory Context  
The deviation observed on 02JUN2025 during AQL (Acceptable Quality Level) inspection indicates the presence of major process defects. According to \*\*21 CFR Part 211\*\*, any failure to meet specifications must be thoroughly investigated, regardless of whether the batch has been distributed. This regulation emphasizes the need for a comprehensive investigation that extends to other batches and products that may be affected by the identified defect. The \*\*JYPharm-3-138\*\* guideline reinforces this by mandating a written record of the investigation, including conclusions and follow-up actions. The \*\*Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality System\*\* further supports a structured approach to investigations, focusing on identifying root causes and trends in process performance and product quality.  
  
#### 2. Potential Quality Impact  
The identification of major process defects can significantly impact product quality and patient safety. Major defects could lead to non-compliance with product specifications, which may affect the efficacy and safety of the drug product. If these defects are systemic, they could indicate underlying issues in the manufacturing process, potentially affecting multiple batches and leading to widespread quality concerns.   
  
#### 3. Regulatory Compliance Implications  
Failure to adequately investigate and address the major process defects could result in non-compliance with FDA regulations, leading to potential regulatory actions such as warning letters, product recalls, or enforcement actions. The lack of a thorough investigation could also impact the company’s reputation and its ability to maintain market authorization for its products.  
  
#### 4. Key Investigation Points  
- \*\*Root Cause Analysis\*\*: Identify the specific causes of the major process defects observed during AQL.  
- \*\*Scope of Impact\*\*: Determine if the defects are isolated to the batch inspected or if they extend to other batches or products.  
- \*\*Documentation Review\*\*: Ensure that all relevant documentation related to the manufacturing process, quality control measures, and previous deviations is reviewed.  
- \*\*Corrective and Preventive Actions (CAPA)\*\*: Develop and implement CAPA to address the identified issues and prevent recurrence.  
- \*\*Stakeholder Communication\*\*: Engage relevant stakeholders, including quality assurance, production, and regulatory affairs, to ensure a coordinated response to the deviation.  
- \*\*Trend Analysis\*\*: Review historical data for trends in process performance and product quality to identify any patterns that may indicate systemic issues.   
  
This structured approach will ensure compliance with regulatory requirements and safeguard product quality and patient safety.

# Immediate Actions

## Original Response:

was exceeded, with major process defects during 100% visual inspection also not

## AI Assessment of Immediate Actions

Immediate Actions to Address the Pharmaceutical Deviation:  
  
1. \*\*Quarantine Affected Batch\*\*: Immediately isolate Batch 1000255 from the production and storage areas to prevent any further distribution or use. Clearly label the batch as "Quarantined - Under Investigation" to ensure no unauthorized access.  
  
2. \*\*Conduct a Root Cause Analysis (RCA)\*\*: Assemble a cross-functional team (Quality Assurance, Production, and Quality Control) to initiate a preliminary root cause analysis within the next 24 hours. Document all findings and observations related to the visual inspection defects to identify potential causes.  
  
3. \*\*Review and Document Inspection Records\*\*: Retrieve and review all inspection records related to Batch 1000255, including AQL results, visual inspection criteria, and any deviations noted during the inspection process. Preserve these documents as evidence for further investigation.  
  
4. \*\*Notify Relevant Stakeholders\*\*: Inform all relevant stakeholders, including Quality Assurance, Production Management, and Regulatory Affairs, about the deviation and the immediate actions

# Risk Assessment Information

## Initial Risk Assessment (User Input):

meeting criteria.Reinspection occurred on 03JUN2025, Lot did not pass the 2nd visual inspection

## AI Risk Assessment

### Risk Assessment for Pharmaceutical Deviation  
  
\*\*Deviation:\*\* On 02JUN2025, during AQL, it was observed that Major Process defects criteria   
\*\*Type:\*\* Visual Inspection   
\*\*Quantity Impacted:\*\* Lot   
  
1. \*\*Product Quality Risk:\*\* \*\*High\*\*   
 \*\*Justification:\*\* Major process defects identified during visual inspection indicate significant issues with product integrity or appearance, which can affect the overall quality and efficacy of the pharmaceutical product. Such defects may lead to non-compliance with established quality standards, potentially resulting in product recalls or rejections.  
  
2. \*\*Patient Safety Risk:\*\* \*\*Medium\*\*   
 \*\*Justification:\*\* While major process defects can compromise product quality, the direct impact on patient safety depends on the nature of the defects. If the defects do not affect the active ingredient or dosage form, the immediate risk to patient safety may be moderate. However, if the defects could lead to improper dosing or contamination, the risk could escalate to high.  
  
3. \*\*Regulatory Risk:\*\* \*\*High\*\*   
 \*\*Justification:\*\* Regulatory agencies require strict adherence to quality standards. Major deviations can lead to non-compliance with Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), resulting in potential fines, sanctions, or loss of market authorization. The likelihood

# Investigation Information

Investigation Assignee: Not assigned

Findings: Investigation pending.

Conclusion: Investigation in progress.