

|          | <b>PROJECT 1</b>  | <b>Clare Seah</b>                                   |
|----------|---|---|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Project Organization</b>   | No major issue, to note comments                    |
|          | Are modules imported correctly (using appropriate aliases)?   | 3.0   |
|          | Are data imported/saved using relative paths?   | 3.0   |
|          | Does the README provide a good executive summary of the project?                                      | 2.0 [1]   |
|          | Is markdown formatting used appropriately to structure notebooks?                                     | 3.0   |
|          | Are there an appropriate amount of comments to support the code?                                      | 3.0   |
|          | Are files & directories organized correctly?  | 2.0 [2]   |
|          | Are there unnecessary files included?   | 2.5 [3]   |
|          | Do files and directories have well-structured, appropriate, consistent names?                         | 3.0   |
|          | <b>Project Organization - Averaged Marks (out of 3)</b>   | <b>2.7</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Clarity of Message</b>   | Overall good job. Note on Problem statement         |
|          | Is the problem statement clearly presented?   | 2.0 [4]   |
|          | Does a strong narrative run through the project?  | 3.0   |
|          | Does the student provide appropriate context to connect individual steps back to the overall project? | 3.0   |
|          | Is it clear how the final recommendations were reached?   | 3.0   |
|          | Are the conclusions/recommendations clearly stated?   | 3.0   |
|          | <b>Clarity of Message - Averaged Marks (out of 3)</b>   | <b>2.8</b>  |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Python Syntax and Control Flow</b>   | Excellent usage of functions and easy to read codes |
|          | Is care taken to write human readable code?   | 3.0   |
|          | Is the code syntactically correct (no runtime errors)?  | 3.0   |
|          | Does the code generate desired results (logically correct)?   | 3.0   |
|          | Does the code follows general best practices and style guidelines?                                    | 3.0   |
|          | Are Pandas functions used appropriately?  | 3.0   |
|          | Does the student demonstrate mastery masking in Pandas?   | 3.0   |
|          | Does the student demonstrate mastery sorting in Pandas?   | 3.0   |
|          | <b>Python Syntax and Control Flow - Averaged Marks (out of 3)</b>                                     | <b>3.0</b>  |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Data Cleaning and EDA</b>  | Good job!   |
|          | Does the student fix data entry issues?   | 3.0   |
|          | Are data appropriately labeled?   | 3.0   |
|          | Are data appropriately typed?   | 3.0   |
|          | Are datasets combined correctly?  | 3.0   |
|          | Are appropriate summary statistics provided?  | 3.0   |
|          | Are steps taken during data cleaning and EDA framed appropriately?                                    | 3.0   |
|          | <b>Data Cleaning and EDA - Averaged Marks (out of 3)</b>  | <b>3.0</b>  |

|               |  |   |
|---------------|--|---|
| 5             | <b>Visualizations</b>  | Excellent charts! Lovely customisation and agg. computation within plots + exploring Plotly (see graded notebook for tip on Plotly) |
|               | Are the requested visualizations provided?   | 3.0   |
|               | Do plots accurately demonstrate valid relationships?   | 3.0   |
|               | Are plots labeled properly?  | 3.0   |
|               | Plots interpreted appropriately?   | 3.0   |
|               | Are plots formatted and scaled appropriately for inclusion in a notebook-based technical report?   | 3.0   |
|               | <b>Visualizations - Averaged Marks (out of 3)</b>  | 3.0   |
| 6             | <b>Research and Conceptual Understanding</b>   | Good effort. Note on comment  |
|               | Were useful insights gathered from outside sources?  | 3.0   |
|               | Are sources clearly identified?  | 2.0 [5]   |
|               | Does the student provide appropriate interpretation with regards to descriptive and inferential statistics?  | 3.0   |
|               | <b>Research and Conceptual Understanding - Averaged Marks (out of 3)</b>   | 2.7   |
| 7             | <b>Presentation</b>  |   |
|               | Is the problem statement clearly presented?  | 2.5   |
|               | Does a strong narrative run through the presentation building toward a final conclusion?   | 2.5   |
|               | Are the conclusions/recommendations clearly stated?  | 2.5   |
|               | Is the level of technicality appropriate for the intended audience?  | 2.5   |
|               | Is the student substantially over or under time?   | 2.5   |
|               | Does the student appropriately pace their presentation?  | 2.5   |
|               | Does the student deliver their message with clarity and volume?  | 2.5   |
|               | Are appropriate visualizations generated for the intended audience?  | 2.5   |
|               | Are visualizations necessary and useful for supporting conclusions/explaining findings?  | 2.5   |
|               | <b>Presentation - Averaged Marks (out of 3)</b>  | 2.5   |
| <b>Total:</b> | <b>Overall Marks (out of 21)</b>   | <b>19.7</b>   |
|               | <b>Average Marks (out of 3)</b>  | <b>2.81</b>   |
| <b>21</b>     | <b>Percentage</b>  | <b>93.8%</b>  |
| Comments      | [1]: Missing target audience, also consider why audience require these findings. Too brief on analysis portion<br>[2]: Uncleaned notebook; remove unnecessary cells, i.e. from starter code. Consider saving .png file into "image" folder, separate cleaned data/csv from raw data (e.g. data/output folder)<br>[3]: Numerous unused datasets, remove before submission i.e. household_income<br>[4]: README: No target audience, how your findings can help target audience<br>[5]: SAT 2021 - source wrote data is for 2020 (under "National Average": All of the data presented here comes from the College Board's 2020 SAT state reports.)<br>[REMARK]: Portion of plot code exactly same as Ian |   |