# Pies, Tins, and Calculi

Clarissa Littler

March 17, 2016

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 1 / 25

# Outline

ullet A brief introduction to the  $\pi$  calculus

3 / 25

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016

- A brief introduction to the  $\pi$  calculus
- Milner's translation of  $\lambda$  into  $\pi$

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 3 / 25

- A brief introduction to the  $\pi$  calculus
- Milner's translation of  $\lambda$  into  $\pi$
- An enriched  $\pi$  calculus

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi

- A brief introduction to the  $\pi$  calculus
- Milner's translation of  $\lambda$  into  $\pi$
- An enriched  $\pi$  calculus
- The Tin language

- A brief introduction to the  $\pi$  calculus
- Milner's translation of  $\lambda$  into  $\pi$
- An enriched  $\pi$  calculus
- The Tin language
- ullet A translation from Tin to our enriched  $\pi$

Computation is independent of any description language

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 4 / 25

- Computation is independent of any description language
- Turing complete

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 4 / 25

- Computation is independent of any description language
- Turing complete
- $\bullet$   $\lambda$  calculus

- Computation is independent of any description language
- Turing complete
- $\bullet$   $\lambda$  calculus
- Real world has concurrency

4 / 25

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016

- Computation is independent of any description language
- Turing complete
- λ calculus
- Real world has concurrency
- Turing machines and  $\lambda$  calculi don't

4 / 25

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi

 $\bullet$  The  $\pi$  calculus was introduced by Milner et al. in '92

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 5 / 25

- $\bullet$  The  $\pi$  calculus was introduced by Milner et al. in '92
- Calculus of communicating systems

- $\bullet$  The  $\pi$  calculus was introduced by Milner et al. in '92
- Calculus of communicating systems
- Processes and channels, not functions and arguments

- $\bullet$  The  $\pi$  calculus was introduced by Milner et al. in '92
- Calculus of communicating systems
- Processes and channels, not functions and arguments
- Simple syntax

$$P := (\nu x.P)$$
 $P|Q$ 
 $x(y).P$ 
 $\overline{x}(y).P$ 
 $\perp$ 

Only data are channels

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 7 / 25

- Only data are channels
- Synchronous communication

7 / 25

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016

- Only data are channels
- Synchronous communication
- A universal model of computation?

- Only data are channels
- Synchronous communication
- A universal model of computation?
- Milner provided an embedding of  $\lambda$

#### Lambda to Pi

#### The basic idea is that

- Variables send the result along the channel named by the variable
- Abstractions
  - receive the argument
  - receive the destination to send the result
  - run the body of the function with those connections
- Application
  - Runs the argument and function in parallel
  - Connects them with fresh channels
  - Replicates the argument in case it's used in multiple places

#### Lambda To Pi

$$[x]u = x(u). \perp [\lambda x.M]u = u(x).u(f).[M](f) [MN](u) = \nu c.\nu d.([M](c)|c(d).c(u)|!d(v).[N](v))$$



9 / 25

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016

# In This Project

- PureLam.hs
- PurePi.hs
- LamToPi.hs

#### Lambda Calculus

```
data Lam = Abs Name Lam
 | App Lam Lam
 | Var Name
 | Print Name
data Val = VUnit
 | VAbs Name Lam
```

```
type Name = String
type Value = Name
data Proc = Receive Name Name Proc
    Send Name Name Proc
    Par Proc Proc
    Nu Name Proc
    Serv Proc
    Print Name
    Terminate
```

```
interpProc :: Proc -> Interp ()
interpProc (Print n) = putText n
interpProc Terminate = return ()
interpProc (Par p1 p2) = do
  forkM $ interpProc p1
  interpProc p2
interpProc (Serv p) = do
  forkM $ interpProc p
  interpProc (Serv p)
interpProc (Nu n p) = do
 m <- liftIO newEmptyMVar</pre>
  withChan n m $ interpProc p
```

```
interpProc (Send x y p) = do
  env <- asks fst
  case lookup x env of
    Nothing -> error "channel doesn't exist"
    Just m -> (liftIO $ putMVar m y) >> interpProc p
interpProc (Receive x y p) = do
  ec <- asks fst
  case lookup x ec of
    Nothing -> error "channel doesn't exist"
    Just m -> do
      v <- liftIO $ takeMVar m
      interpProc $ substName y v p
```

```
transLam (L.Print n) = return $ P.Print n
transLam (L. Var x) n = return $ P.Send x n P.Terminate
transLam (L.Abs x b) n = do
 u <- fresh
  b' <- transLam b u
  return $ P.Receive n x $ P.Receive n u $ b'
transLam (L.App f a) n = do
  c <- fresh
 d <- fresh
  v <- fresh
  f' <- transLam f c
  a' <- transLam a v
  return $ P.Nu c $ P.Nu d $ P.Par (P.Par f'
       (P.Send c d $ P.Send c n $ P.Terminate))
       (P.Serv $ P.Receive d v a')
```

# Richer Languages

 $\bullet$  Both  $\lambda$  and  $\pi$  calculus are sparse

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 16 / 25

# Richer Languages

- $\bullet$  Both  $\lambda$  and  $\pi$  calculus are sparse
- Hard to program in

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 16 / 25

# Richer Languages

- $\bullet$  Both  $\lambda$  and  $\pi$  calculus are sparse
- Hard to program in
- Wanted to write something higher level

# Common Expression Language

```
data Exp = EBinOp Exp Op Exp
   EUnOp Op Exp
   EInt Int
  EBool Bool
   EString String
   EVar Var
   EUnit
  EPrint Exp
   EName Name
data Val = VInt Int
 | VString String
   VBool Bool
   VUnit
   VName Name
```

#### Enriched Pi Calculus

 $\bullet$  Ordinary  $\pi$  calculus is sparse

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 18 / 25

#### Enriched Pi Calculus

- ullet Ordinary  $\pi$  calculus is sparse
- Enriched with expressions and data

#### Enriched Pi Calculus

```
data Proc = Receive Exp Name Proc
  | Send Exp Exp Proc
  | Par Proc Proc
  | Nu Name Proc
  | Serv Proc
  | If Exp Proc Proc
  | Terminate
```

## Tin: An Imperative Concurrent Language

Slightly higher level

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 20 / 25

## Tin: An Imperative Concurrent Language

- Slightly higher level
- Imperative language

## Tin: An Imperative Concurrent Language

- Slightly higher level
- Imperative language
  - while loops
  - if statements
  - sequenced code

• Each declaration is a process

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 21 / 25

- Each declaration is a process
- Each process runs in parallel

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 21 / 25

- Each declaration is a process
- Each process runs in parallel
- Each process can receive or send messages

- Each declaration is a process
- Each process runs in parallel
- Each process can receive or send messages
- Sending and receiving is a blocking action

- Each declaration is a process
- Each process runs in parallel
- Each process can receive or send messages
- Sending and receiving is a blocking action
- No primitive locking

#### Tin AST

```
data Stmt = SExp Exp
  | SReceive [Var]
  | SSend Exp [Exp]
  | SWhile Exp [Stmt]
  | SIf Exp [Stmt] [Stmt]
data Decl = Decl Name [Stmt]
type Inbox = Chan Val
data InterpEnv = IE { inboxes :: NEnv Inbox, -- inboxes
      venv :: VEnv Val, -- value env
      outc :: Chan String, -- output queue
      self :: Name}
type Interp = StateT InterpEnv IO
```

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 22 / 25

#### Fibonacci in Tin

```
f := {
       send @h (1)
       while (true) do {
       receive (x)
                                h := {
       send @h (x)
                                       receive (x,y)
                                       while ((x < 100000)) do
                                        print ((x + y))
                                        send @f (y)
                                        send @g((x + y))
                                        receive (x,y)
       send @h (1)
       while (true) do {
       receive (x)
       send @h (x)
```

```
progToPi :: [T.Decl] -> P.Proc
blockToProc :: String -> [T.Stmt] -> P.Proc -> Fresher P.Proc
stmtToProc :: String -> T.Stmt -> P.Proc -> Fresher P.Proc
stmtToProc n (T.SWhile e ss) p = do
  sp <- blockToProc n ss P.Terminate
  conn <- fresh
  dummy <- fresh
  return $ P.Par (P.Serv $ P.If e sp
    (P.Send (EName conn) EUnit P.Terminate))
    (P.Receive (EName conn) dummy p)
stmtToProc n (T.SIf e sts sfs) p = do
  tp <- blockToProc n sts p
  fp <- blockToProc n sfs p</pre>
  return $ P.If e tp fp
```

# Questions?

Clarissa Littler Pies, Tins, and Calculi March 17, 2016 25 / 25