General pyknosis

MSRI

Spring 2020

Contents

Introduction										2								
0	Elen	nents of general topology																3
	0.1	Ultrafilters and compacta																2

Introduction

These are notes for a series of talks at MSRI about the *pyknotic formalism*.

The pyknotic formalism offers a way to coordinate 'topological' and 'derived' structures. This formalism is only partially developed, but it's already clear that there is a lot to explore, and a lot of interesting possible applications. Many different points of view on pyknotic objects will be necessary to develop these applications.

o Elements of general topology

0.1 Ultrafilters and compacta

o.1.1 Notation. Write **Set** for the category of tiny finite sets. Write **Fin** \subset **Set** for the full subcategory of finite sets, and write *i* for the inclusion **Fin** \hookrightarrow **Set**.

o.1.2 Definition. For any tiny set *S*, write h^S for the functor $Fin \to Set$ given by $I \mapsto Map(S, I)$. An *ultrafilter* μ on *S* is a natural transformation

$$\int_{S}(\cdot)\,d\mu\colon h^{S}\to i\,,$$

which for any finite set I gives a map

$$Map(S, I) \longrightarrow I$$

$$f \longmapsto \int_{S} f \, d\mu$$

Write $\beta(S)$ for the set of ultrafilters on *S*. For any set *S*, the set $\beta(S)$ is the set

$$\beta(S) = \lim_{I \in \operatorname{Fin}_{S/}} I.$$

The functor

$$\beta \colon \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set}$$

is thus the right Kan extension of the inclusion $Fin \hookrightarrow Set$ along itself.

0.1.3 Example. For any set *S* and any element $s \in S$, there is a *principal ultrafilter* δ_s , which is defined so that

$$\int_{S} f \, d\delta_s = f(s) \, .$$

Every ultrafilter on a finite set is principal, but infinite sets have ultrafilters that are not principal. To prove the existence of these, let us look at a more traditional way of defining an ultrafilter on a set.

0.1.4 Definition. Let *S* be a set, $T \subseteq S$, and μ an ultrafilter on *S*. There is a unique *characteristic map* $\chi_T : S \to \{0, 1\}$ such that $\chi_T(s) = 1$ if and only if $s \in T$. Let us write

$$\mu(T) \coloneqq \int_{S} \chi_{T} d\mu.$$

We say that T is μ -thick if and only if $\mu(T) = 1$. Otherwise (that is, if $\mu(T) = 0$), then we say that T is μ -thin.

For any $s \in S$, the principal ultrafilter δ_s is the unique ultrafilter relative to which $\{s\}$ is thick.

0.1.5. If *S* is a set and μ is an ultrafilter on *S*, then we can observe the following facts about the collection of thick and thin subsets (relative to μ):

- (1) The empty set is thin.
- (2) Complements of thick sets are thin.
- (3) Every subset is either thick or thin.
- (4) Subsets of thin sets are thin.
- (5) The intersection of two thick sets is thick.

o.1.6. Ultrafilters are functorial in maps of sets. Let $\phi \colon S \to T$ be a map, and let μ be an ultrafilter on S. The ultrafilter $\phi_*\mu$ is the ultrafilter on T given by

$$\int_T f d(\phi_* \mu) = \int_S (f \circ \phi) d\mu.$$

For any $U \subseteq T$, one has in particular

$$(\phi_*\mu)(U) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(U))$$
.

Thus *U* is $\phi_*\mu$ -thick if and only if $\phi^{-1}U$ is μ -thick.

o.1.7 Definition. A *system of thick subsets* of *S* is a collection $F \subseteq P(S)$ such that for any finite set *I* and any partition

$$S = \coprod_{i \in I} S_i ,$$

there is a unique $i \in I$ such that $S_i \in F$.

o.1.8 Construction. We have seen that an ultrafilter μ specifies the system F_{μ} of μ -thick subsets. In the other direction, attached to any system F of thick subsets is an ultrafilter μ_F : for any finite set I and any map $f: S \to I$, the element $i = \int_S f \ d\mu \in I$ is the unique one such that $S_i \in F$.

The assignments $\mu \mapsto F_{\mu}$ and $F \mapsto \mu_F$ together define a bijection between ultrafilters on S and systems of thick subsets.

o.1.9 Definition. If *S* is a set, and if $G \subseteq P(S)$, then an ultrafilter μ is said to be *supported* on *G* if and only if every element of *G* is μ -thick, that is, $G \subseteq F_{\mu}$.

0.1.10 Lemma. Let S be a set, and let $G \subseteq P(S)$. Assume that no finite intersection of elements of G is empty. Then there exists an ultrafilter μ on S supported on G.

Proof. Consider all the families $A \subseteq P(S)$ with the following properties:

- (1) A contains G;
- (2) no finite intersection of elements of A is empty.

By Zorn's lemma there is a maximal such family, *F*.

We claim that F is a system of thick subsets. For this, let $S = \coprod_{i \in I} S_i$ be a finite partition of S. Condition 2 ensures that at most one of the summands S_i can lie in F. Now suppose that none of the summands S_i lies in F. Consider, for each $i \in I$, the family

 $F \cup \{S_i\} \subseteq P(S)$; the maximality of F implies that none of these families can satisfy Condition 2. Thus for each $i \in I$, there is an empty finite intersection $S_i \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{n_i} T_{ij} = \emptyset$. But this implies that the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in I} \bigcap_{j=1}^{n_i} T_{ij}$ is empty, contradicting Condition 2 for F itself. Hence at least one – and thus exactly one – of the summands S_i lies in F. Thus F is a system of thick subsets of S.

0.1.11. It is not quite accurate to say that the Axiom of Choice is *necessary* to produce nonprincipal ultrafilters, but it is true that their existence is independent of Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory.

0.1.12. If ϕ is a functor **Set** \rightarrow **Set**, then a natural transformation $\phi \rightarrow \beta$ is the same thing as a natural transformation $\phi \circ i \rightarrow i$. Please observe that we have a canonical identification $\beta \circ i = i$.

It follows readily that the functor β is a monad: the unit id $\rightarrow \beta$ corresponds to the identification id \circ i = i, and the multiplication $\beta^2 \rightarrow \beta$ corresponds to the identification $\beta^2 \circ i = i$.

The unit for the monad β structure is the assignment $s \mapsto \delta_s$ that picks out the principal ultrafilter at a point.

To describe the multiplication $\tau \mapsto \mu(\tau)$, let us write T^{\dagger} for the set of ultrafilters supported on $\{T\}$. Now if τ is an ultrafilter on $\beta(S)$, then $\mu(\tau)$ is the ultrafilter on S such that

$$\mu(\tau)(T) = \tau(T^{\dagger})$$
.

o.1.13 Construction. Let **Top** denote the category of tiny topological spaces. If *S* is a set, we can introduce a topology on $\beta(S)$ simply by forming the inverse limit $\lim_{I \in \operatorname{Fin}_{S_f}} I$ in **Top**. That is, we endow $\beta(S)$ with the coarsest topology such that all the projections $\beta(S) \to I$ are continuous. We call this the *Stone topology* on $\beta(S)$. By Tychonoff, this limit is a compact Hausdorff topological space. This lifts β to a functor **Set** \to **Top**.

0.1.14. Let's be more explicit about the topology on $\beta(S)$. The topology on $\beta(S)$ is generated by the sets T^{\dagger} (for $T \subseteq S$). In fact, since the sets T^{\dagger} are stable under finite intersections, they form a base for the Stone topology on $\beta(S)$. Additionally, since the sets T^{\dagger} are stable under the formation of complements, they even form a base of clopens of $\beta(S)$.

0.1.15 Definition. A *compactum* is an algebra for the monad β . Hence a compactum consists of a set K and a map $\lambda_K \colon \beta(K) \to K$, which is required to satisfy the usual identities:

$$\lambda_K(\lambda_{K,*}\tau) = \lambda_K(\mu(\tau))$$
 and $\lambda_K(\delta_s) = s$,

for any ultrafilter τ on $\beta(S)$ and any point $s \in S$. The image $\lambda_K(\mu)$ will be called the *limit* of the ultrafilter μ . The category **Comp** is the category of compacta.

o.1.16 Construction. If K is a compactum, then we use the limit map $\lambda_K \colon \beta(K) \to K$ to topologise K as follows. For any subset $T \subseteq K$, we define the closure of T as the image $\lambda_K(T^{\dagger})$.

A subset $Z \subseteq K$ is thus closed if and only if the limit of any ultrafilter relative to which Z is thick lies in Z. Dually, a subset $U \subseteq K$ is open if and only if it is thick with respect to any ultrafilter whose limit lies in U.

We denote the resulting topological space K^{top} . The assignment $K \mapsto K^{top}$ defines a lift $Alg(\beta) \to Top$ of the forgetful functor $Alg(\beta) \to Set$.

0.1.17 Proposition. The functor $K \mapsto K^{top}$ identifies the category of compacta with the category of compact Hausdorff topological spaces.

We will spend the remainder of this section proving this claim. Please observe first that $K \mapsto K^{top}$ is faithful. What we will do now is prove:

- (1) that for any compactum K, the topological space K^{top} is compact Hausdorff;
- (2) that for any compact Hausdorff topological space X, there is a β -algebra structure K on the underlying set of X such that $X \cong K^{top}$; and
- (3) that for any compacta K and L, any continuous map $K^{top} \to L^{top}$ lifts to a β -algebra homomorphism $K \to L$.

To do this, it is convenient to describe a related idea: that of *convergence* of ultrafilters on topological spaces.

o.1.18 Definition. Let X be a topological space, and let $x \in X$. We say that x is a *limit point* of an ultrafilter μ on (the underlying set of) X if and only if every open neighbourhood of x is μ -thick. In other words, x is a limit point of μ if and only if, for every open neighbourhood U of x, one has $\mu \in U^{\dagger}$.

0.1.19 Lemma. Let X be a topological space, and let $U \subseteq X$ be a subset. Then U is open if and only if it is thick with respect to any ultrafilter with limit point in U.

Proof. If U is open, then U is by definition thick with respect to any ultrafilter with limit point in U.

Conversely, assume that U is thick with respect to any ultrafilter with limit point in U. Let $u \in U$. Consider the set $G := N(u) \cup \{X \setminus U\}$, where N(u) is the collection of open neighbourhoods of u. If U does not contain any open neighbourhood of u, then no finite intersection of elements of G is empty. By Lemma 0.1.10 there is an ultrafilter μ supported on the $N(u) \cup \{X \setminus U\}$, whence u is a limit point of μ , but U is not μ -thick. This contradicts our assumption, and so we deduce that U contains an open neighbourhood of u.

0.1.20 Lemma. Let X and Y be topological spaces, and let $\phi: X \to Y$ be a map. Then ϕ is continuous if and only if, for any ultrafilter μ on X with limit point $x \in X$, the point $\phi(x)$ is a limit point of $\phi_*\mu$.

Proof. Assume that ϕ is continuous, and let μ be an ultrafilter on X, and assume that $x \in X$ is a limit point of μ . Now assume that V is an open neighbourhood of $\phi(x)$. Since $\phi^{-1}V$ is an open neighbourhood of x, so it is μ -thick, whence V is $\phi_*\mu$ -thick. Thus $\phi(x)$ is a limit point of $\phi_*\mu$.

Assume now that if $x \in X$ is a limit point of an ultrafilter μ , then $\phi(x)$ is a limit point of $\phi_*\mu$. Let $V \subseteq Y$ be an open set. Let $x \in \phi^{-1}(V)$, and let μ be an ultrafilter on X with limit point x. Then $\phi(x)$ is a limit point of $\phi_*\mu$, so V is $\phi_*\mu$ -thick, whence $\phi^{-1}(V)$ is μ -thick. It follows from Lemma 0.1.19 that $\phi^{-1}(V)$ is open.

0.1.21 Lemma. Let X be a topological space. Then X is quasicompact if and only if every ultrafilter on X has at least one limit point.

Proof. Assume first that X is quasicompact. Let μ be an ultrafilter on X, and assume that μ has no limit point. Select, for every point $x \in X$, an open neighbourhood U_x thereof that is not μ -thick. Quasicompactness implies that there is a finite collection $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ such that $\{U_{x_1}, \ldots, U_{x_n}\}$ covers X. But at least one of U_{x_1}, \ldots, U_{x_n} must be μ -thick. This is a contradiction.

Now assume that X is not quasicompact. Then there exists a collection $G \subseteq P(X)$ of closed subsets of X such that the intersection all the elements of G is empty, but no finite intersection of elements of G is empty. In light of Lemma 0.1.10, there is an ultrafilter μ with the property that every element of G is thick. For any $x \in X$, there is an element $Z \in G$ such that $x \in X \setminus Z$. Since Z is μ -thick, $X \setminus Z$ is not. Thus μ has no limit points.

0.1.22 Lemma. Let X be a topological space. Then X is Hausdorff if and only if every ultrafilter on X has at most one limit point.

Proof. Assume that μ is an ultrafilter with two distinct limit points x_1 and x_2 . Choose open neighbourhoods U_1 of x_1 and U_2 of x_2 . Since they are both μ -thick, they cannot be disjoint; hence X is not Hausdorff.

Conversely, assume that X is not Hausdorff. Select two points x_1 and x_2 such that every open neighbourhoods U_1 of x_1 and U_2 of x_2 intersect. Now the set G consisting of open neighbourhoods of either x_1 or x_2 has the property that no finite intersection of elements of G is empty. In light of Lemma 0.1.10, there is an ultrafilter μ with the property that every element of G is thick. Thus x_1 and x_2 are limit points of μ .

Let us now return to our functor $K \mapsto K^{top}$.

0.1.23 Lemma. Let K be a compactum, and let μ be an ultrafilter on K. Then a point of K^{top} is a limit point of μ in the sense of Definition 0.1.18 if and only if it is the limit of μ in the sense of Definition 0.1.15.

Proof. Let $x = \lambda_K(\mu)$. The open neighbourhoods U of x are by definition thick (relative to μ), so certainly x is a limit point of μ .

Now assume that $y \in K^{top}$ is a limit point of μ . To prove that the limit of μ is y, we shall build an ultrafilter τ on $\beta(K)$ with the following properties:

- (1) under the multiplication $\beta^2 \to \beta$, the ultrafilter τ is sent to μ ; and
- (2) under the map $\lambda_*: \beta^2 \to \beta$, the ultrafilter τ is sent to δ_v .

Once we have succeeded, it will follow that

$$\lambda_K(\mu) = \lambda_K(\mu_\tau) = \lambda_K(\lambda_{K,*}\tau) = \lambda_K(\delta_v) = y$$
,

and the proof will be complete.

Consider the family G' of subsets of $\beta(K)$ of the form T^{\dagger} for a μ -thick subset $T \subseteq S$; since these are all nonempty and they are stable under finite intersections, it follows that no finite intersection of elements of G' is empty.

Now consider the set $G := G' \cup \{\lambda_K^{-1}\{y\}\}$. If T is μ -thick, then we claim that there is an ultrafilter $v \in \lambda_K^{-1}\{y\} \cap T^{\dagger}$. Indeed, consider the set $N(y) \cup \{T\}$, where N(y) is the collection of open neighbourhoods of y. Since every open neighbourhood of y is μ -thick, no intersection of an open neighbourhood of y with T is empty. By Lemma 0.1.10 there is an ultrafilter supported on $N(y) \cup \{T\}$, which implies that no finite intersection of elements of G is empty.

Applying Lemma 0.1.10 again, we see that G supports an ultrafilter τ on $\beta(K)$. For any $T \subseteq K$,

$$\mu_{\tau}(T) = \tau(T^{\dagger}) ,$$

so since τ is supported on G', it follows that $\mu_{\tau} = \mu$. At the same time, since τ is supported on $\{\lambda_{K}^{-1}\{y\}\}$, it follows that $\{y\}$ is thick relative to $\lambda_{K,*}\tau$, whence $\lambda_{K,*}\tau = \delta_{v}$.

Proof of Proposition 0.1.17. Let K be a compactum. Combine Lemmas 0.1.21 to 0.1.23 to conclude that K^{top} is a compact Hausdorff topological space.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff topological space with underlying set K. Define a map $\lambda_K \colon \beta(K) \to K$ by carrying an ultrafilter μ to its unique limit point in X. This is a β -algebra structure on X, and it follows from Lemma 0.1.23 and the definition of the topology together imply that $X \cong K^{top}$.

Finally, let K and L be compacta, and let $\phi: K^{top} \to L^{top}$ be a continuous map. To prove that ϕ is a β -algebra homomorphism, it suffices to confirm that if μ is an ultrafilter on K, then

$$\lambda_L(\phi_*\mu) = \phi(\lambda_K(\mu))$$
,

but this follows exactly from Lemma 0.1.20.