Purdue University

School of Chemical Engineering Exam-2, Spring 2017 Mar 23 2017 Time: 1 hr

Full Marks: 100

Subject: Statistical Modeling and Quality Enhancement (CHE320)

Instructions:

Read the questions carefully and plan accordingly before answering. Use the backside of the pages if extra space is required. Do not discuss the content of this test until next week.

Name:			
Signature:			

1. (a) (10 marks) Consider the two estimators of mean:

$$\bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4}{4}$$

$$X^* = \frac{X_1 + 2X_2 + 2X_3 + X_4}{6}$$

Evaluate the mean and variance of both the estimators to show that \bar{X} is the Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVUE).

(b) (15 marks) If the second estimator is replaced by

$$X^* = \frac{X_1 + 2X_2 + 2X_3 + X_4}{8}$$

obtain an expression for the relative efficiency of the estimators as a function of population mean (μ) and variance (σ) . Use $MSE(\hat{\Theta}) = V(\hat{\Theta}) + (\text{bias}^2)$.

(c) (5 marks) If a population has $\mu=2.45$ and $\sigma=2$, which estimator from (b) is a better estimator?

a)
$$E(\bar{x}) = \frac{4u}{4} = u$$
 $E(\bar{x}^*) = \frac{6u}{6} = u$
 $V(\bar{x}) = \frac{46^2}{16} = \frac{1}{4}6^2$ $V(\bar{x}^*) = \frac{10}{36}6^2 = \frac{5}{18}6^2$
 \bar{x} is MVUE since $\frac{1}{4}6^2 < \frac{5}{18}6^2$

b)
$$E(x^*) = \frac{6u}{8} = \frac{3}{4}u$$
 $b_{1}as = \frac{3}{4}u - u = -\frac{1}{4}u$
 $V(x^*) = \frac{10}{64} 6^2 = \frac{5}{32} 6^2$

Relative efficiency =
$$\frac{1}{46^2}$$
 = $\frac{0.256^2}{326^2 + \frac{1}{16} u^2}$ = $\frac{0.256^2}{0.1566^2 + 0.0625 u^2}$

Pelative =
$$0.25(4)$$
 = $1.00084 > 1$

efficiency $0.156(4) + 0.0625(2.45^2)$ meaning x^* is a better estimator (ifromiding \Rightarrow same estimators)

2. (40 marks) Motor from three different brands are tested for vibrations. The data and partial calculations are presented below: Please note that Table 2 provides the value of y_{ij}^2 .

Table 1: Measured vibration of motors(micron)

Brand	Observations					
	1	2	3	4	Totals	Averages
Brand 1	13	15	14	14	56	14
Brand 2	16	16	17	15	64	16
Brand 3	14	14	12	14	54	13.5
					174	14.5

Table 2: Measured vibration² (micron²)

Brand					
	1	2	3	4	Totals
Brand 1	169	225	196	196	
Brand 2	256	256	289	225	
Brand 3	196	196	144	196	

(a) (40 marks) We want to discard the brand with significantly higher vibration. Complete the ANOVA table to decide whether any significant difference exists among brands. Put bounds on P value. Significance level of 0.05 may be used.

Table 3: One way analysis of variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Brand				1	
Error			·		
Total	-				

(b) (5 marks (bonus)) Determine which one has significantly higher vibration than others.

Exam-

DF SS MS F P

Brand 2 14 7 9 < <0.025

Error 9 7 7/9

Total 11 21

 $SS_T = \frac{a}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ij}^2 - \frac{y_{i}^2}{N}}$

 $= 2544 - 174^2 = 21$

SS Treatments = $\sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{y_i^2}{n} - \frac{y_i^2}{N}$ = $56^2 + 64^2 + 54^2$

 $= \frac{56^2 + 64^2 + 54^2}{4} - \frac{174^2}{12} = 14$

P-val: f_{0.05,2,9} = 4.26 f

fo.025, 2,9 = 5.71 -> P-val <0.025

b) 6 TMSE/n = 6 17/4/4 = 0.441 × 6 = 2.646

13.5 14

Mean width covers Brands 1 \$ 3, but not Brand 2,

Brand 2 13 higher than Forands 1 & 3, and mean width does not necessarily coverit, so it is qualitatively significantly higher.

3. (30 marks) An experimenter constructs a 95% two sided CI for μ using a sample size of 16. Normality of the population may be assumed and the population σ is unknown. The upper and lower limit of the CI are 14 and 24 respectively.

If instead of constructing CI, the experimenter had tested

$$H_0: \mu = 10$$

$$H_1: \mu \neq 10$$

what is the numerical value of the test statistics?

=> + = 19-10 9.385 = [3.8859] 5 pts