

ENGR141 Grade Report: Project 1 Executive Summary			
Team		Total Points Earned	33
Grader 1 Casey Schilling		Total Points Possible	36
Grader 2 Connor Starkman		Percentage Earned	92%
Grading System Message(s)			

Grader 1			
Format:	Pass	Part.	Fail
Margins: 1.25" left/right, 1" top/bottom	1	NA	0
Line spacing 1.5	1	NA	0
Font: Size 12 Times New Roman	0.5	NA	0
Cover page with team number and names	0.5	NA	0
Bold-faced centered "Executive Summary" at beginning of report	1	NA	0
File Name: Proj1_Report_Team_XX.pdf	1	NA	0
Page Length is 1 to 1.5 pages (Figures not included)	1	NA	0
	Subtotal	6	
	Possible	6	

Content:		Pass	Part.	Fail
Has a clear and simple description of the problem being solved that would make sense to someone with no preliminary knowledge		3	1.5	0
Describes the unique aspects of their design that make it a good response to the design requirements		3	1.5	0
Gives a factual description of the performance of the project		3	1.5	0
Is written clearly and professionally		3	1.5	0
		Subtotal	10.5	
		Possible	12	

Grader 1 Total	16.5 of 18
----------------	------------

Grader 2				
Format:		Pass	Part.	Fail
Margins: 1.25" left/right, 1" top/bottom		1	NA	0
Line spacing 1.5		1	NA	0
Font: Size 12 Times New Roman		0.5	NA	0
Cover page with team number and names		0.5	NA	0
Bold-faced centered "Executive Summary" at beginning of report		1	NA	0
File Name: Proj1_Report_Team_XX.pdf		1	NA	0
Page Length is 1 to 1.5 pages (Figures not included)		1	NA	0
		Subtotal	6	
		Possible	6	

Content:		Pass	Part.	Fail
Has a clear and simple description of the problem being solved that would make sense to someone with no preliminary knowledge		3	1.5	0
Describes the unique aspects of their design that make it a good response to the design requirements		3	1.5	0
Gives a factual description of the performance of the project		3	1.5	0
Is written clearly and professionally		3	1.5	0
		Subtotal	10.5	
		Possible	12	

Grader 2 Total	16.5 of 18
----------------	------------

Total	33 of 36
-------	----------

Grader 1 Comments	
<p>On your formatting, avoiding extra space between paragraphs would be preferred since otherwise total length is hard to account for. For the paper itself, you hit all the major points that were asked for, but it didn't feel quite professional enough. Great work avoiding using first person, but aim for the use of the passive voice focusing on the product of the project. Also, good work explaining the setup of the tower, however remember you are now engineers. One thing you lost me on a little is that you clearly jumped in a built a tower, then used extra straws to make it work. It would be better to brainstorm first and maybe try one or two things first, then construct the final product.</p> <p>It's better to see that level of thought behind the final product than just this wasn't working, so we had to figure it out. Overall, good executive summary.</p>	

Grader 2 Comments	
<p>The process that the team went through to arrive at the final design was great, it highlighted multiple choices that had to be made and why the end decisions was chosen. It did seem to run on at seeming like it was simply retelling each problem that presented it self along the way. Throughout the report it remained in third person, but shoot for having a passive voice the entire time. Also regarding the ending, its always great to throw in a thought thinking of what could have been done better.</p>	