Wednesday. Chapter 3 (Continued). Indecomposable Modules

After simple and projective modules, the goal of this chapter is to understand indecomposable modules in general. Apart for exceptions, the group algebra is of wild representation type, which, roughly speaking, means that it is not possible to classify the indecomposable modules over such algebras. However, some theoretical tools enable us to organise indecomposable modules in packages parametrised by parameters that are useful enough to understand essential properties of these modules. In this respect, we will generalise the idea of projective modules seen earlier by defining what is called relative projectivity. This will lead us to introduce the concepts of vertices and sources of indecomposable modules, which are two typical examples of parameters bringing us useful information about indecomposable modules in general.

Relative Projectivity 11

Definition 11.1

Let $H \leq G$. A KG-module M is called **relatively** H-**projective**, or simply H-**projective**, if it is isomorphic to a direct summand of a KG-module induced from H, i.e. if $M \mid V \uparrow_H^G$ for some KHmodule V.

Example 6

Clearly, H-projectivity is a generalisation of projectivity. Indeed, if $M \in mod(KG)$, then:

$$M$$
 is projective $\iff \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $M \mid (KG)^n \cong (K \uparrow_{\{1\}}^G)^n \cong (K^n) \uparrow_{\{1\}}^G$
 $\iff M$ is $\{1\}$ -projective

We can actually characterise relative projectivity in a similar way as we characterised projectivity.

Proposition 11.2 (Characterisation of relative projectivity)

Let $H \leq G$ and let M be a KG-module. TFAE:

- (a) M is relatively H-projective; (b) $M \mid M \downarrow_H^G \uparrow_H^G$; (c) \exists a KG-module N such that $M \mid K \uparrow_H^G \otimes_K N$;

(d) if $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(M, W)$, $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V, W)$ is surjective and $\exists \alpha_H \in \operatorname{Hom}_{KH}(M\downarrow_H^G, V\downarrow_H^G)$ such that $\varphi \circ \alpha_H = \psi$, then $\exists \alpha_G \in \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(M, V)$ such that $\varphi \circ \alpha_G = \psi$;



(e) A surjective KG-homomorphism $\varphi: V \twoheadrightarrow M$ is KG-splits provided it is KH-split.

Projectivity relative to a subgroup can be generalised as follows to projectivity relative to a KG-module:

Remark 11.3 (Projectivity relative to KG-modules)

- (a) Let V be a KG-module. A KG-module M is termed projective relative to the module V or relatively V-projective, or simply V-projective if there exists a KG-module N such that M is isomorphic to a direct summand of $V \otimes_K N$, i.e. $M \mid V \otimes_K N$.
- (b) Proposition 11.2(c) shows that projectivity relative to a subgroup $H \leq G$ is in fact projectivity relative to the KG-module $V := K \uparrow_H^G$.

The concept of projectivity relative to a subgroup is proper to the group algebra, but the concept of projectivity relative to a module is not and makes sense in general over algebras/rings.

Next we see that any indecomposable KG-module can be seen as a relatively projective module with respect to some subgroup of G.

Theorem 11.4

Let $H \leq G$.

- (a) If |G:H| is invertible in K, then every KG-module is H-projective.
- (b) In particular, if K is a field of characteristic p > 0 and H contains a Sylow p-subgroup of G, then every KG-module is H-projective.

Part (b) follows immediately from (a). Indeed, if $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ and $H \supseteq P$, then $p \nmid |G:H|$, so $|G:H| \in K^{\times}$. Moreover, considering the case $H = \{1\}$ shows that Theorem 11.4 is a generalisation of Maschke's Theorem.

Example 7

Assume that char(K) =: p > 0 and $H = \{1\}$. If H contains a Sylow p-subgroup of G then the Sylow p-subgroups of G are trivial, so $p \nmid |G|$. The theorem then says that all KG-modules are $\{1\}$ -projective, that is, projective.

We know this already, however! If $p \nmid |G|$ then KG is semisimple by Maschke's Theorem, and so all KG-modules are projective.

Corollary 11.5

Let $H \leq G$ and suppose that |G:H| is invertible in K. Then a KG-module M is projective if and only if $M \downarrow_H^G$ is projective.

Proof: The necessary condition is given by Proposition 10.1(b). To prove the sufficient condition, suppose that $M\downarrow_H^G$ is projective. Then, on the one hand,

$$M \downarrow_H^G \mid (KH)^n$$
 for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

On the other hand, M is H-projective by Theorem 11.4, and it follows from Proposition 11.2(e) that

$$M \mid M \downarrow_H^{G \uparrow_H^G}$$
.

Hence

$$M \mid M \downarrow_H^G \uparrow_H^G \mid (KH)^n \uparrow_H^G \cong (KG)^n$$
,

so *M* is projective.

12 Vertices and Sources

For the remainder of this chapter, we assume that char(K) =: p > 0.

As said before, we now want to explain some techniques that are available to understand indecomposable modules better. Vertices and sources are two parameters making this possible.

Theorem 12.1

Let M be an indecomposable KG-module.

- (a) There is a unique conjugacy class of subgroups Q of G which are minimal subject to the property that M is Q-projective.
- (b) Let Q be a minimal subgroup of G such that M is Q-projective. Then, there exists an indecomposable KQ-module T which is unique, up to conjugacy by elements of $N_G(Q)$, such that M is a direct summand of $T \uparrow_Q^G$. Such a KQ-module T is necessarily a direct summand of $M \downarrow_Q^G$.

This characterisation leads us to the following definition:

Definition 12.2

Let M be an indecomposable KG-module.

- (a) A vertex of M is a minimal subgroup Q of G such that M is relatively Q-projective. The set of all vertices of M is denoted by vtx(M).
- (b) Given a vertex Q of M, a KQ-source, or simply a source of M is a KQ-module T such that $M \mid T \uparrow_Q^G$.

Remark 12.3

(a) A vertex Q of an indecomposable KG-module M is not uniquely defined, in general. However,

the vertices of M are unique **up to** G-**conjugacy**, so in particular are all isomorphic. For this reason, in general, one (i.e. you!) should **never** talk about *the* vertex of a module (of course, unless a vertex has been fixed). We either say that Q is a vertex of M, or talk about the vertices of M. (Unfortunately many textbooks/articles are very sloppy with this terminology, inducing errors.)

(b) For a fixed vertex Q of M, a source of M is defined up to conjugacy by elements of $N_G(Q)$.

Warning! Vertices and sources are very useful theoretical tools in general, but extremely difficult to compute concretely. However, the following properties are useful.

To begin with, by Theorem 11.4, we know that every KG-module is projective relative to a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Therefore, by minimality, vertices are contained in Sylow p-subgroups. Hence:

Proposition 12.4

The vertices of an indecomposable KG-module are p-subgroups of G.

Proposition 12.5

Let U be an indecomposable KG-module and let $Q \in vtx(U)$. If $P \in Syl_p(G)$ is such that $Q \subseteq P$, then

$$|P:Q| \mid \dim_K(U)$$
.

In particular if U is a PIM of KG, then $|P| = |G|_p \mid \dim_K(U)$.

Example 8

- (a) The trivial subgroup $\{1\}$ is a vertex of an indecomposable KG-module $U \iff U$ is a PIM of KG.
- (b) The vertices of the trivial KG-module are the Sylow p-subgroups of G, i.e. $\mathrm{vtx}(K) = \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$, and all sources are trivial.

Exercise 12.6

Prove that the vertices of any KG-module with K-dimension coprime to p are the Sylow p-subgroups of G.

Conceptually, the closer the vertices of a module are to the trivial subgroup, the closer this module is to being projective.

Finally, we give a name to the modules which have a trivial source. We will see in Lecture 4 that these module play a particularly important role in block theory.

Definition 12.7 (trivial source module)

A KG-module is called a **trivial source** KG-module if it is a finite direct sum of KG-modules with a trivial source K.

Warning! Some texts (books/articles/...) require that a trivial source module is indecomposable, others do not.

13 The Green Correspondence

The Green correspondence is a correspondence which relates the indecomposable KG-modules with a fixed vertex with the indecomposable KL-modules with the same vertex for well-chosen subgroups $L \leq G$. It is used to reduce questions about indecomposable modules to a situation where a vertex of the given indecomposable module is a normal subgroup.

Theorem 13.1 (Green Correspondence)

Let Q be a p-subgroup of G and let L be a subgroup of G containing $N_G(Q)$.

(a) If U is an indecomposable KG-module with vertex Q, then

$$U\downarrow_I^G = f(U) \oplus X$$

where f(U) is the unique indecomposable direct summand of $U\downarrow_L^G$ with vertex Q and every direct summand of X is $L\cap {}^xQ$ -projective for some $x\in G\backslash L$.

(b) If V is an indecomposable KL-module with vertex Q, then

$$V \uparrow_I^G = g(V) \oplus Y$$

where g(V) is unique indecomposable direct summand of $V \uparrow_L^G$ with vertex Q and every direct summand of Y is $Q \cap {}^xQ$ -projective for some $x \in G \backslash L$.

(c) With the notation of (a) and (b), we then have $g(f(U)) \cong U$ and $f(g(V)) \cong V$. In other words, f and g define a bijection

Moreover, corresponding modules have a source in common.

Terminology: f(U) is called the KL-Green correspondent of U (or simply the Green correspondent) and g(V) is called the KG-Green correspondent of V (or simply the Green correspondent of V).

Warning! When working with the Green correspondence it is essential that a vertex Q is fixed and not considered up to conjugation, because the G-conjugacy class of Q and the L-conjugacy class of Q do not coincide in general.

29

Example 9

The Green correspondent of the trivial module is the trivial module, for $K \downarrow_I^G = K$.

14 p-permutation modules

Definition 14.1 (Permutation module)

A KG-module is called a **permutation** KG-module if it admits a K-basis X which is invariant under the action of the group G. We denote this module by KX.

Permutation KG-modules and, in particular, their indecomposable direct summands have remarkable properties, which we investigate in this section.

Remark 14.2

If KX is a permutation KG-module on X, then a decomposition of the basis X as a disjoint union of G-orbits, say $X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, yields a direct sum decomposition of KX as a KG-module as

$$KX = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} KX_{i}.$$

Thus, we can assume that X is a transitive G-set, in which case we have a direct sum decomposition as a K-vector space

$$KX = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} Kgx$$

where $H := \operatorname{Stab}_G(x)$, the stabiliser in G of some $x \in X$, and G acts transitively on the summands. Hence,

$$KX \cong K \uparrow_H^G$$
.

It follows that an arbitrary permutation KG-module is isomorphic to a direct sum of KG-modules of the form $K \uparrow_H^G$ for various $H \leq G$.

Conversely, an induced module of the form $K \uparrow_H^G (H \leqslant G)$ is always a permutation KG-module. Indeed, as $K \uparrow_H^G = KG \otimes_{KH} K = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} g \otimes K$ as K-vector space, it has on obvious G-invariant

K-basis given by the set

$$\{g\otimes 1_K\mid g\in [G/H]\}$$
.

In fact, more generally if $H\leqslant G$ and KX is a permutation KH-module on X, then $KX\uparrow_H^G$ is a permutation KG-module with G-invariant K-basis $\{g\otimes x\mid g\in [G/H], x\in X\}$. In other words, induction preserves permutation modules.

Exercise 14.3

Prove that direct sums, restriction, inflation and conjugation also preserve permutation modules.

In order to understand the indecomposable direct summands of the permutation KG-modules, we observe that they all have a trivial source and we will apply the Green correspondence to see that, up to isomorphism, there are only a finite number of them.

Proposition-Definition 14.4 (p-permutation module)

Let M be a KG-module and let $P \in Syl_n(G)$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $M\downarrow_Q^G$ is a permutation KQ-module for each p-subgroup $Q\leqslant G$;
- (b) $M\downarrow_P^G$ is a permutation KP-module;
- (c) M has a K-basis which is invariant under the action of P;
- (d) M is isomorphic to a direct summand of a permutation KG-module;
- (e) M is a trivial source KG-module.

If M fulfils one of these equivalent conditions, then it is called a p-permutation KG-module.

Note. In fact *p-permutation KG*-modules and *trivial source KG*-modules are two different pieces of terminology for the same concept. French/German speaking authors tend to favour the terminology *p-permutation module* (and reserve the terminology *trivial source module* for an indecomposable module with a trivial source), whereas English speaking authors tend to favour the terminology *trivial source module*.

Exercise 14.5

Prove that p-permutation modules are preserved by the following operations: direct sums, tensor products, restriction, inflation, conjugation, induction.

Example 10

- (a) If G is a p-group, then any p-permutation module is a permutation module.
- (b) The PIMs of KG are precisely the KG-modules with vertex $\{1\}$ and trivial source, so any projective KG-module is a p-permutation KG-module.

Example 11

Any KG-modules Y of K-dimension 1 is a p-permutation module.

Proof. Let Q be a vertex of Y and let f(Y) be the $kN_G(Q)$ -Green correspondent of Y. Then clearly $\dim_K f(Y) = 1$ as well. Thus f(Y) is a simple and therefore has a trivial KQ-source. Indeed, $f(Y) \downarrow_Q^{N_G(Q)}$ is semisimple by the weak version of Clifford's Theorem, and so must be a direct sum of copies of the trivial kQ-module because Q is a p-group and therefore has only one simple module, up to isomorphism, namely the trivial module.

Generalising these examples, we can characterise the indecomposable p-permutation KG-modules with a given vertex $Q \leqslant G$ as described below.

Example 12 (Green Correspondence applied to indecomposables with a trivial source)

(1) If M is an indecomposable p-permutation KG-module with vertex $Q \leqslant G$, then Q acts trivially on the $KN_G(Q)$ -Green correspondent f(M) of M. Thus f(M) can be viewed as a $K[N_G(Q)/Q]$ -module. As such, f(M) is indecomposable and projective.

- (2) Conversely, if N is a projective indecomposable $K[N_G(Q)/Q]$ -module, then $\inf_{N_G(Q)/Q}^{N_G(Q)}(N)$ is an indecomposable $KN_G(Q)$ -module with vertex Q and trivial source. Its KG-Green correspondent is then also an indecomposable KG-module with vertex Q and trivial source, hence is an indecomposable p-permutation KG-module
- (3) In this way we obtain a bijection