

---

## Chapter 4. Operations on Groups and Modules

---

In this chapter we show how to construct new  $KG$ -modules from old ones using standard module operations such as tensor products, Hom-functors, duality, or using subgroups or quotients of the initial group. Moreover, we study how these constructions relate to each other.

**Notation:** throughout this chapter, unless otherwise specified, we let  $G$  denote a finite group and  $K$  be a commutative ring. All modules over group algebra considered are assumed to be **finitely generated** and **free as  $K$ -modules**, hence of **finite  $K$ -rank**.

### References:

- [Alp86] J. L. ALPERIN, *Local representation theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 11, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [Ben98] D. J. BENSON, *Representations and cohomology. I*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 30, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [CR90] C. W. CURTIS AND I. REINER, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990.
- [NT89] H. NAGAO AND Y. TSUSHIMA, *Representations of finite groups*, Translated from the Japanese. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.
- [LP10] K. LUX AND H. PAHLINGS, *Representations of groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 124, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [Web16] P. WEBB, *A course in finite group representation theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 161, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.

## 18 Tensors, Hom's and duality

### Definition 18.1 (*Tensor product of $KG$ -modules*)

If  $M$  and  $N$  are two  $KG$ -modules, then the tensor product  $M \otimes_K N$  of  $M$  and  $N$  balanced over  $K$  becomes a  $KG$ -module via the **diagonal action** of  $G$ . In other words, the external composition law is defined by the  $G$ -action

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : G \times (M \otimes_K N) &\longrightarrow M \otimes_K N \\ (g, m \otimes n) &\mapsto g \cdot (m \otimes n) := gm \otimes gn \end{aligned}$$

extended by  $K$ -linearity to the whole of  $KG$ .

**Definition 18.2 (Homs)**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are two  $KG$ -modules, then the abelian group  $\text{Hom}_K(M, N)$  becomes a  $KG$ -module via the so-called **conjugation action** of  $G$ . In other words, the external composition law is defined by the  $G$ -action

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : G \times \text{Hom}_K(M, N) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(M, N) \\ (g, f) &\mapsto g \cdot f : M \longrightarrow N, m \mapsto (g \cdot f)(m) := g \cdot f(g^{-1} \cdot m) \end{aligned}$$

extended by  $K$ -linearity to the whole of  $KG$ .

Specifying Definition 18.2 to  $N = K$  yields a  $KG$ -module structure on the  $K$ -dual  $M^* = \text{Hom}_K(M, K)$ .

**Definition 18.3 (Dual of a  $KG$ -module)**

- (a) If  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then its  $K$ -dual  $M^*$  becomes a  $KG$ -module via the external composition law is defined by the map

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : G \times M^* &\longrightarrow M^* \\ (g, f) &\mapsto g \cdot f : M \longrightarrow K, m \mapsto (g \cdot f)(m) := f(g^{-1} \cdot m) \end{aligned}$$

extended by  $K$ -linearity to the whole of  $KG$ .

- (b) If  $M, N$  are  $KG$ -modules, then every  $KG$ -homomorphism  $\rho \in \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, N)$  induces a  $KG$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^* : N^* &\longrightarrow M^* \\ f &\mapsto \rho^*(f) : M \longrightarrow K, m \mapsto \rho^*(f)(m) := f \circ \rho(m). \end{aligned}$$

(See Proposition 4.3.)

For the remainder of this section, assume that  $K$  is a field.

**Properties 18.4**

Let  $M, N$  be  $KG$ -modules. Then the following properties hold:

- (a) If  $\rho : M \rightarrow N$  is an injective (resp. surjective)  $KG$ -homomorphism, then  $\rho^* : N^* \rightarrow M^*$  is surjective (resp. injective).  
Conclude that if  $X \subseteq N$  is a  $KG$ -submodule, there exists a  $KG$ -submodule  $Y \subseteq N^*$  such that  $Y \cong (N/X)^*$  and  $N^*/Y \cong X^*$ .
- (b)  $M \cong (M^*)^*$  as  $KG$ -modules (in a natural way).
- (c)  $M^* \oplus N^* \cong (M \oplus N)^*$  and  $M^* \otimes_K N^* \cong (M \otimes_K N)^*$  as  $KG$ -modules (in a natural way).
- (d)  $M$  is simple, resp. indecomposable, if and only if  $M^*$  is simple, resp. indecomposable.

**Proof:** Exercise. ■

**Lemma 18.5**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are  $KG$ -modules, then  $\text{Hom}_K(M, N) \cong M^* \otimes_K N$  as  $KG$ -modules.

**Proof:** By Exercise 3(c), Sheet 1, there is a  $K$ -isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \theta := \theta_{M,N}: M^* \otimes_K N &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(M, N) \\ f \otimes n &\mapsto \theta(f \otimes n): M \longrightarrow N, m \mapsto \theta(f \otimes n)(m) = f(m)n \end{aligned}$$

Now, for every  $g \in G$ ,  $f \in M^*$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $m \in M$ , we have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(g \cdot (f \otimes n))(m) &= \theta(g \cdot f \otimes g \cdot n)(m) = (g \cdot f)(m)g \cdot n \\ &= f(g^{-1} \cdot m)g \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand

$$(g \cdot \theta(f \otimes n))(m) = g \cdot (\theta(f \otimes n)(g^{-1}m)) = g \cdot (f(g^{-1}m)n) = f(g^{-1} \cdot m)g \cdot n,$$

hence  $\theta(g \cdot (f \otimes n)) = (g \cdot \theta(f \otimes n))$  and it follows that  $\theta$  is in fact a  $KG$ -isomorphism. ■

**Remark 18.6**

In case  $M = N$  the above constructions yield a  $KG$ -module structure on  $\text{End}_K(M) \cong M^* \otimes_K M$ . Moreover, if  $\dim_K(M) =: n$ ,  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $M$  and  $\{m_1^*, \dots, m_n^*\}$  is the dual  $K$ -basis, then  $\text{Id}_M \in \text{End}_K(M)$  corresponds to the element  $r := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i^* \otimes m_i \in M^* \otimes_K M$ . (Exercise!) This allows us to define the  $KG$ -homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}: K &\longrightarrow M^* \otimes_K M \\ 1 &\mapsto r \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 18.7 (Trace map)**

If  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then the **trace map** associated to  $M$  is the  $KG$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_M: M^* \otimes_K M &\longrightarrow K \\ f \otimes m &\mapsto f(m). \end{aligned}$$

**Notation 18.8**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are  $KG$ -modules, we shall write  $M \mid N$  to mean that  $M$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $N$ .

**Lemma 18.9**

If  $\dim_K(M) \in K^\times$ , then  $K \mid M^* \otimes_K M$ .

**Proof:** By Lemma-Definition 4.4(c) it suffices to check that  $\frac{1}{\dim_K(M)} \mathbf{I}$  is a  $KG$ -section for  $\text{Tr}_M$ , because then  $M^* \otimes_K M \cong \ker(\text{Tr}_M) \oplus K$ , hence  $K \mid M^* \otimes_K M$ . So let  $\lambda \in K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \text{Tr}_M \circ \frac{1}{\dim_K(M)} \mathbf{I} \right](\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\dim_K(M)} \text{Tr}_M(\lambda r) = \frac{\lambda}{\dim_K(M)} \text{Tr}_M\left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^* \otimes m_i\right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\dim_K(M)} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i^*(m_i) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\dim_K(M)} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\text{Tr}_M \circ \frac{1}{\dim_K(M)} \mathbf{I} = \text{Id}_K$ . ■

### Exercise 18.10

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $M$  be a  $KG$ -module. Prove that:

- (a)  $\text{Tr}_M$  is a  $KG$ -homomorphism and  $\text{Tr}_M \circ \theta_{M,M}^{-1}$  coincides with the ordinary trace of matrices;
- (b)  $M \mid M \otimes_K M^* \otimes_K M$ ;
- (c) if  $p \mid \dim_K(M)$ , then  $M \oplus M \mid M \otimes_K M^* \otimes_K M$ .

## 19 Fixed and cofixed points

Fixed and cofixed points explain why in the previous section we considered tensor products and Hom's over  $K$  and not over  $KG$ .

### Definition 19.1 ( $G$ -fixed points and $G$ -cofixed points)

Let  $M$  be a  $KG$ -module.

- (a) The  $G$ -fixed points of  $M$  are by definition  $M^G := \{m \in M \mid g \cdot m = m \ \forall g \in G\}$ .
- (b) The  $G$ -cofixed points of  $M$  are by definition  $M_G := M/(I(KG) \cdot M)$ .

In other words  $M^G$  is the largest  $KG$ -submodule of  $M$  on which  $G$  acts trivially and  $M_G$  is the largest quotient of  $M$  on which  $G$  acts trivially.

### Lemma 19.2

If  $M, N$  are  $KG$ -modules, then  $\text{Hom}_K(M, N)^G = \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, N)$  and  $(M \otimes_K N)_G \cong M \otimes_{KG} N$ .

**Proof:** A  $K$ -linear map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a morphism of  $KG$ -modules if and only if  $f(g \cdot m) = g \cdot f(m)$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $m \in M$ , that is if and only if  $g^{-1} \cdot f(g \cdot m) = f(m)$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $m \in M$ , which happens if and only if  $g \cdot f(g^{-1} \cdot m) = f(m)$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $m \in M$ . This is exactly the condition that  $f$  is fixed under the action of  $G$ .

Second claim: similar, [Exercise!](#) ■

### Exercise 19.3

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} N \rightarrow 0$  be a s.e.s. of  $KG$ -modules. Prove that if  $M \cong L \oplus N$ , then the s.e.s. splits.

[Hint: Consider the exact sequence induced by  $\text{Hom}_{KG}(N, -)$  (as in Proposition 4.3(a)) and use the fact that the modules considered are all finite-dimensional.]

## 20 Inflation, restriction and induction

In this section we define new module structures from known ones for subgroups, overgroups and quotients, and investigate how these relate to each other.

### Remark 20.1

- (a) If  $H \leq G$  is a subgroup, then the inclusion  $H \rightarrow G, h \mapsto h$  can be extended by  $K$ -linearity to an injective algebra homomorphism  $\iota : KH \rightarrow KG, \sum_{h \in H} \lambda_h h \mapsto \sum_{h \in H} \lambda_h h$ . Hence  $KH$  is a  $K$ -subalgebra of  $KG$ .
- (b) Similarly, if  $U \leq G$  is a normal subgroup, then the quotient homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G/U, g \mapsto gU$  can be extended by  $K$ -linearity to an algebra homomorphism  $\pi : KG \rightarrow K[G/U]$ .

It is clear that we can always perform changes of the base ring using the above homomorphism in order to obtain new module structures. This yields two natural operations on modules over group algebras called *inflation* and *restriction*.

### Definition 20.2 (Inflation)

Let  $U \leq G$  is a normal subgroup. If  $M$  is a  $K[G/U]$ -module, then  $M$  may be regarded as a  $KG$ -module through a change of the base ring via  $\pi$ , which we denote by  $\text{Inf}_{G/U}^G(M)$  and call the **inflation** of  $M$  from  $G/U$  to  $G$ .

### Definition 20.3 (Restriction)

Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup. If  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then  $M$  may be regarded as a  $KH$ -module through a change of the base ring via  $\iota$ , which we denote by  $\text{Res}_H^G(M)$  or simply  $M \downarrow_H^G$  and call the **restriction** of  $M$  from  $G$  to  $H$ .

### Remark 20.4

- (a) If  $H \leq G$  is a subgroup,  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module and  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(M)$  is the associated  $K$ -representation, then the  $K$ -representation associated to  $M \downarrow_H^G$  is simply the composite morphism

$$H \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\rho} GL(M).$$

- (b) Similarly, if  $U \leq G$  is a normal subgroup,  $M$  is a  $K[G/U]$ -module and  $\rho : G/U \rightarrow GL(M)$  is the associated  $K$ -representation, then the  $K$ -representation associated to  $\text{Inf}_{G/U}^G(M)$  is simply

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/U \xrightarrow{\rho} GL(M).$$

### Lemma 20.5 (Properties of restriction)

- (a) If  $H \leq G$  and  $M_1, M_2$  are two  $KG$ -modules, then  $(M_1 \oplus M_2) \downarrow_H^G = M_1 \downarrow_H^G \oplus M_2 \downarrow_H^G$ .
- (b) **(Transitivity of restriction.)** If  $L \leq H \leq G$  and  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then  $M \downarrow_H^G \downarrow_L^H = M \downarrow_L^G$ .

**Proof:** (a) Straightforward from the fact that the external composition law on a direct sum is defined componentwise.

- (b) If  $\iota_{L,H} : L \rightarrow H$  denotes the canonical inclusion of  $L$  in  $H$ ,  $\iota_{H,G} : H \rightarrow G$  the canonical inclusion of  $H$  in  $G$  and  $\iota_{L,G} : L \rightarrow G$  the canonical inclusion of  $L$  in  $G$ , then

$$\iota_{H,G} \circ \iota_{L,H} = \iota_{L,G}.$$

Hence performing a change of the base ring via  $\iota_{L,G}$  is the same as performing two successive changes of the base ring via first  $\iota_{H,G}$  and then  $\iota_{L,H}$ . Hence  $M \downarrow_{H \downarrow L}^G = M \downarrow_L^G$ . ■

A third natural operation comes from extending scalars from a subgroup to the initial group.

### Definition 20.6 (Induction)

Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup and let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -module. Regarding  $KG$  as a  $(KG, KH)$ -bimodule, we define the **induction** of  $M$  from  $H$  to  $G$  to be the left  $KG$ -module

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(M) := KG \otimes_{KH} M.$$

We sometimes also write  $M \uparrow_H^G$  instead of  $\text{Ind}_H^G(M)$ .

### Example 11 (Fundamental example)

If  $H = \{1\}$  and  $M = K$ , then  $K \uparrow_{\{1\}}^G = KG \otimes_K K \cong KG$ .

First, we analyse the structure of an induced module in terms of the left cosets of  $H$ .

### Remark 20.7

Recall that  $G/H = \{gH \mid g \in G\}$  denotes the set of left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Moreover, we write  $[G/H]$  for a set of representatives of these left cosets. In other words,  $[G/H] = \{g_1, \dots, g_{|G:H|}\}$  (where we assume that  $g_1 = 1$ ) for elements  $g_1, \dots, g_{|G:H|} \in G$  such that  $g_i H \neq g_j H$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $G$  is the disjoint union of the left cosets of  $H$ , so that

$$G = \bigsqcup_{g \in [G/H]} gH = g_1 H \sqcup \dots \sqcup g_{|G:H|} H.$$

It follows that

$$KG = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} gKH,$$

where  $gKH = \{g \sum_{h \in H} \lambda_h h \mid \lambda_h \in K \forall h \in H\}$ . Clearly,  $gKH \cong KH$  as *right*  $KH$ -modules via  $gh \mapsto h$  for each  $h \in H$ . Therefore

$$KG \cong \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} KH = (KH)^{|G:H|}$$

and hence is a free *right*  $KH$ -module with a  $KH$ -basis given by the left coset representatives in  $[G/H]$ .

In consequence, if  $M$  is a given  $KH$ -module, then we have

$$KG \otimes_{KH} M = \left( \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} gKH \right) \otimes_{KH} M = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} (gKH \otimes_{KH} M) = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} (g \otimes M),$$

where we set

$$g \otimes M := \{g \otimes m \mid m \in M\} \subseteq KG \otimes_{KH} M.$$

Clearly, each  $g \otimes M$  is isomorphic to  $M$  as a  $K$ -module via the  $K$ -isomorphism

$$g \otimes M \longrightarrow M, g \otimes m \mapsto m.$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{rk}_K(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M)) = |G : H| \cdot \mathrm{rk}_K(M).$$

Next we see that with its left action on  $KG \otimes_{KH} M$ , the group  $G$  permutes these  $K$ -submodules: for if  $x \in G$ , then  $xg_i = g_j h$  for some  $h \in H$ , and hence

$$x \cdot (g_i \otimes m) = xg_i \otimes m = g_j h \otimes m = g_j \otimes hm.$$

This action is also clearly transitive since for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq |G : H|$  we can write

$$g_j g_i^{-1} (g_i \otimes M) = g_j \otimes M.$$

**Exercise:** Prove that the stabiliser of  $g_1 \otimes M$  is  $H$  (where  $g_1 = 1$ ) and deduce that the stabiliser of  $g_i \otimes M$  is  $g_i H g_i^{-1}$ .

### Proposition 20.8 (Universal property of the induction)

Let  $H \leq G$ , let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -module and let  $j : M \longrightarrow KG \otimes_{KH} M, m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$  be the canonical map (which is in fact a  $KH$ -homomorphism). Then, for every  $KG$ -module  $N$  and for every  $KH$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : M \longrightarrow \mathrm{Res}_H^G(N)$ , there exists a unique  $KG$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : KG \otimes_{KH} M \longrightarrow N$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j = \varphi$ , or in other words such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ j \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\varphi} & \\ \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M) & & \end{array}$$

**Proof:** The universal property of the tensor product yields the existence of a well-defined homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\varphi} : & KG \otimes_{KH} M & \longrightarrow N \\ & a \otimes m & \longmapsto a \cdot \varphi(m) \end{array}$$

which is obviously  $KG$ -linear. Moreover, for each  $m \in M$ , we have  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j(m) = \tilde{\varphi}(1 \otimes m) = 1 \cdot \varphi(m) = \varphi(m)$ , hence  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j = \varphi$ . Finally the uniqueness follows from the fact for each  $a \in KG$  and each  $m \in M$ , we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a \otimes m) = \tilde{\varphi}(a \cdot (1 \otimes m)) = a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(1 \otimes m) = a \cdot (\tilde{\varphi} \circ j(m)) = a \cdot \varphi(m)$$

hence there is a unique possible definition for  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . ■

Induced modules can be hard to understand from first principles, so we now develop some formalism that will enable us to compute with them more easily.

To begin with, there is, in fact, a further operation that relates the modules over a group  $G$  and a subgroup  $H$  called *coinduction*. Given a  $KH$ -module  $M$ , then the **coinduction** of  $M$  from  $H$  to  $G$  is the

left  $KG$ -module  $\text{Coind}_H^G(M) := \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M)$ , where the left  $KG$ -module structure is defined through the natural right  $KG$ -module structure of  $KG$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot: \quad KG \times \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M) \\ (g, \theta) &\mapsto g \cdot \theta: KG \longrightarrow M, x \mapsto (g \cdot \theta)(x) := \theta(x \cdot g) \end{aligned}$$

### Example 12

If  $H = \{1\}$  and  $M = K$ , then  $\text{Coind}_{\{1\}}^G(K) \cong (KG)^*$  (i.e. with the  $KG$ -module structure of  $(KG)^*$  of Definition 18.3).

[Exercise: exhibit a  \$KG\$ -isomorphism between the coinduction of  \$K\$  from  \$\{1\}\$  to  \$G\$  and  \$\(KG\)^\*\$ .](#)

Now, we see that the operation of coinduction in the context of group algebras is just a disguised version of the induction functor.

### Lemma 20.9 (Induction and coinduction are the same)

If  $H \leq G$  is a subgroup and  $M$  is a  $KH$ -module, then  $KG \otimes_{KH} M \cong \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M)$  as  $KG$ -modules. In particular,  $KG \cong (KG)^*$  as  $KG$ -modules.

**Proof:** Mutually inverse  $KG$ -isomorphisms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \quad KG \otimes_{KH} M &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M) \\ g \otimes m &\mapsto \Phi(g \otimes m): KG \longrightarrow M, x \mapsto (xg)m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: \quad \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M) &\longrightarrow KG \otimes_{KH} M \\ \theta &\mapsto \sum_{g \in [G/H]} g \otimes \theta(g^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that in the case in which  $H = \{1\}$  and  $N = K$ ,

$$KG \cong KG \otimes_K K \cong \text{Hom}_K(KG, K) \cong (KG)^*$$

as  $KG$ -modules. Here we emphasise that the last isomorphism isn't an equality. See the previous Example. ■

### Theorem 20.10 (Adjunction / Frobenius reciprocity / Nakayama relations)

Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup. Let  $N$  be a  $KG$ -module and let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -module. Then, there are  $K$ -isomorphisms:

- (a)  $\text{Hom}_{KH}(M, \text{Hom}_{KG}(KG, N)) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(KG \otimes_{KH} M, N)$ ,  
or in other words,  $\text{Hom}_{KH}(M, N \downarrow_H^G) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(M \uparrow_H^G, N)$ ;
- (b)  $\text{Hom}_{KH}(N \downarrow_H^G, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(N, M \uparrow_H^G)$ .

**Proof:** (a) Since induction and coinduction coincide, we have  $\text{Hom}_{KG}(KG, N) \cong KG \otimes_{KG} N \cong N$  as  $KG$ -modules. Therefore,  $\text{Hom}_{KG}(KG, N) \cong N \downarrow_H^G$  as  $KH$ -modules, and it suffices to prove the second isomorphism. In fact, this  $K$ -isomorphism is given by the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \quad \text{Hom}_{KH}(M, N \downarrow_H^G) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{KG}(M \uparrow_H^G, N) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \tilde{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the  $KG$ -homomorphism induced by  $\varphi$  by the universal property of the induction. Since  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the unique  $KG$ -homomorphism such that  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j = \varphi$ , setting



$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi: \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(M \uparrow_H^G, N) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_{KH}(M, N \downarrow_H^G) \\ \psi & \mapsto & \psi \circ j \end{array}$$

provides us with an inverse map for  $\Phi$ . Finally, it is straightforward to check that both  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are  $K$ -linear.

(b) Exercise: Check that the so-called *exterior trace map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\operatorname{Tr}}_H^G: \operatorname{Hom}_{KH}(N \downarrow_H^G, M) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(N, M \uparrow_H^G) \\ \varphi & \mapsto & \hat{\operatorname{Tr}}_H^G(\varphi): N \longrightarrow M \uparrow_H^G, n \mapsto \sum_{g \in [G/H]} g \otimes \varphi(g^{-1}n) \end{array}$$

provides us with the required  $K$ -isomorphism. ■

### Proposition 20.11

Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup. Let  $N$  be a  $KG$ -module and let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -module. Then, there are  $KG$ -isomorphisms:

- (a)  $(M \otimes_K N \downarrow_H^G) \uparrow_H^G \cong M \uparrow_H^G \otimes_K N$ ; and
- (b)  $\operatorname{Hom}_K(M, N \downarrow_H^G) \uparrow_H^G \cong \operatorname{Hom}_K(M \uparrow_H^G, N)$ .

**Proof:** (a) It follows from the associativity of the tensor product that

$$(M \otimes_K N \downarrow_H^G) \uparrow_H^G = KG \otimes_{KH} (M \otimes_K N \downarrow_H^G) \cong (KG \otimes_{KH} M) \otimes_K N = M \uparrow_H^G \otimes_K N$$

(b) Exercise! ■

### Exercise 20.12

Let  $L \leq H \leq G$ . Prove that:

- (a) (transitivity of induction) if  $M$  is a  $KL$ -module, then  $M \uparrow_L^G = (M \uparrow_L^H) \uparrow_H^G$ ;
- (b) if  $M$  is a  $KH$ -module, then  $(M^*) \uparrow_H^G \cong (M \uparrow_H^G)^*$ ; and
- (c) if  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then  $(M^*) \downarrow_H^G \cong (M \downarrow_H^G)^*$ .

### Exercise 20.13

Let  $K$  be a field.

- (a) Let  $U, V, W$  be  $KG$ -modules. Prove that there are isomorphisms of  $KG$ -modules:
  - (i)  $\operatorname{Hom}_K(U \otimes_K V, W) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_K(U, V^* \otimes_K W)$ ; and
  - (ii)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(U \otimes_K V, W) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(U, V^* \otimes_K W) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(U, \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, W))$ .
- (b) Prove Proposition 20.11(b) using Proposition 20.11(a).