

CHAPTER 2: CHARACTERS

Notation: G finite group

$K = \mathbb{C}$ the field of cplx numbers (in part alg. closed!)

V is always a finite-dimensional K -vector space

1. The character of a representation

We now introduce "characters", which are functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, coming from representations and which encode a lot of information about the representation itself in a more compact and efficient way.

Definition 2.1:

(a) Let $\rho_v: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a \mathbb{C} -representation. The character of ρ_v is the function $X_v: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
$$g \mapsto \text{Tr}(\rho_v(g)) =: X_v(g)$$

We also say that ρ_v , or the G -vector space V , affords the character X_v .

(b) If ρ_v is irreducible, then X_v is also called irreducible, else reducible.

Remark: • Recall that the trace of a linear map is computed by choosing a basis of the vector space and this is independent of this choice!

Here choose B a \mathbb{C} -basis of V , get $\forall g \in G$:

$$X_v(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho_v(g)) = \text{Tr}((\rho_v(g))_B)$$

• For a matrix repres. $R: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, the character of R is then $X_R: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
$$g \mapsto X_R(g) = \text{Tr}(R(g))$$

• Two equivalent (matrix) \mathbb{C} -representations afford the same character \rightsquigarrow [Exercise Sheet 3]

Proposition 2.12 Let $\rho_v: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a \mathbb{C} -representation.

(a) $\chi_v(1_G) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ (This is called the degree of χ_v)

(b) $\chi_v(g)$ is a sum of $\alpha(g)$ -th roots of unity $\forall g \in G$

(c) $|\chi_v(g)| \leq \chi_v(1_G) \quad \forall g \in G$.

(d) $\chi_v(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_v(g)}$ $\forall g \in G$

(e) If $\rho_v = \rho_{V_1} \oplus \rho_{V_2}$, a direct sum of two subrepresentations, then

$$\chi_v = \chi_{V_1} + \chi_{V_2}.$$

Proof: (a) $\rho_v(1_G) = \text{Id}_V \Rightarrow \chi_v(1_G) = \text{Tr}(\text{Id}_n) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$.

Set $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ (b) follows directly from Thm 1.18

(c) By (b) $\chi_v(g) = \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n$, where ϵ_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is an $\alpha(g)$ -th root of unity

$$\Rightarrow |\chi_v(g)| \leq \underbrace{|\epsilon_1|}_{1} + \dots + \underbrace{|\epsilon_n|}_{1} = n = \chi_v(1_G).$$

(d) By Thm 1.18, \exists a \mathbb{C} -basis B of V s.t.

$$(\rho_v(g))_B = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \epsilon_i \text{ } \alpha(g)\text{-th root of unity } \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\Rightarrow (\rho_v(g^{-1}))_B = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\epsilon}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\epsilon}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\epsilon}_1 & \dots & \bar{\epsilon}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi_v(g^{-1}) = \bar{\epsilon}_1 + \dots + \bar{\epsilon}_n = \overline{\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n} = \overline{\chi_v(g)}.$$

(e) Let B_i be a \mathbb{C} -basis of V_i ($i=1,2$)

$\Rightarrow B := B_1 \sqcup B_2$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of V

Then for $g \in G$, we have

$$(\rho_v(g))_B = \begin{pmatrix} (\rho_{V_1}(g))_{B_1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\rho_{V_2}(g))_{B_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi_v(g) = \text{Tr}((\rho_v(g))_B) = \text{Tr}((\rho_{V_1}(g))_{B_1}) + \text{Tr}((\rho_{V_2}(g))_{B_2})$$

$$= \chi_{V_1}(g) + \chi_{V_2}(g)$$

#

Recall: The group G acts on itself by conjugation via

$$\begin{aligned} G \times G &\longrightarrow G \\ (g, x) &\mapsto gxg^{-1} = {}^g x \end{aligned}$$

Orbits of this action are the conjugacy classes $[x] := \{g x | g \in G\}$

The stabiliser of $x \in G$ is its centraliser $C_G(x) := \{g \in G | gx = xg\}$

and $|C_G(x)| = \frac{|G|}{|\langle x \rangle|}$.

Nota: we set $C(G) := \{[x] | x \in G\}$, the set of conjugacy classes of G .

Definition 2.3: A function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is constant on each conjugacy class of G , i.e. s.t. $f(gxg^{-1}) = f(x) \forall x \in G$, is called a class function.

Proposition 2.4: Characters are class functions.

Proof: Let χ_V be the character afforded by the \mathbb{C} -representation $\rho_V: G \rightarrow GL(V)$. We have $\forall x, g \in G$:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_V(gxg^{-1}) &= \text{Tr}(\rho_V(gxg^{-1})) = \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g)\rho_V(x)\rho_V(g)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\rho_V(x)) \quad (\text{Tr is an invariant of similarity}) \\ &= \chi_V(x). \end{aligned}$$

#

Notation: Recall that $\mathbb{C}^G = \{f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} | f \text{ function}\}$ denotes the \mathbb{C} -vector space of \mathbb{C} -valued functions of G .

Set $\mathcal{C}(G) := \{f \in \mathbb{C}^G | f \text{ class function}\}$

This is a \mathbb{C} -subspace of \mathbb{C}^G (check it: Exercise 9, Sheet 3) called the space of class functions on G .

Moreover, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}(G) = |\mathcal{C}(G)|$ (Exercise 9, Sheet 3)

Corollary 2.5: There are at most $|\mathcal{C}(G)|$ linearly independent (irreducible) characters of G .

Proposition 2.6: The binary operation $\langle , \rangle : \mathcal{C}\ell(G) \times \mathcal{C}\ell(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$(f_1, f_2) \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)}$$

is a scalar product on $\mathcal{C}\ell(G)$.

Proof: • sesquilinearity: Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}\ell(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \langle f_1, \alpha f_2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) (\alpha f_2)(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{\alpha f_2(g)} \\ &= \bar{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)} \right) = \bar{\alpha} \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

• linearity in the 1st variable is clear: $\langle \alpha f_1, f_2 \rangle = \alpha \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \checkmark$

• sums in each variable are clear \checkmark

• \langle , \rangle is hermitian: $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)}$

$$= \overline{\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) f_2(g)} = \overline{\langle f_2, f_1 \rangle} \quad \forall f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}\ell(G)$$

• \langle , \rangle is positive-definite: $\forall f \in \mathcal{C}\ell(G)$ we have

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{f(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{|f(g)|^2}_{\in \mathbb{R}_+} \geq 0$$

moreover $\langle f, f \rangle = 0 \iff f = 0$. $\#$

Theorem 2.7 [Orthogonality of characters / 1st Orthogonality relations]

Let χ_v, χ_w be the characters of two irreducible \mathbb{C} -representations ρ_v, ρ_w resp.

Then: (a) if $\rho_v \not\sim \rho_w$, then $\langle \chi_v, \chi_w \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_v(g) \overline{\chi_w(g)} = 0$

(b) $\langle \chi_v, \chi_v \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_v(g) \overline{\chi_v(g)} = 1$

Remark: In other words the set of irreducible characters of G form an orthonormal system in $\mathcal{C}\ell(G)$.

We want to see that they are actually a basis.

Proof: Let $B = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be an ordered basis of V , and let $C = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be an ordered basis of W .

Let $R^V: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $R^W: G \rightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ be the induced matrix representations from ρ_V and ρ_W (resp.) w.r.t. to B and C (resp.). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{*} \quad \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g) \chi_W(g) &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m R^V(g)_{kk} R^W(g)_{\ell\ell} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Corollary 1.15}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m \frac{|G|}{n} \delta_{V,W} \delta_{k\ell} \delta_{k\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|G|}{n} \delta_{V,W} \\ &\quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} = 1 \text{ if } V \cong W \\ = 0 \text{ if } V \not\cong W \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\rho_V \neq \rho_W$, we get

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle \stackrel{\textcircled{*}}{=} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|G|}{n} \cdot 0 = 0 ; \text{ and }$$

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle \stackrel{\textcircled{*}}{=} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|G|}{n} \cdot 1 = 1 \quad \#$$

Notation: We set $\text{Irr}(G) := \{\text{pairwise distinct irr. characters of } G\} = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$

We will also use the notation $\mathbf{1}_G$ for the character of the trivial representation.

Corollary 2.8: The set $\text{Irr}(G) := \{\text{pairwise distinct irreducible characters of } G\}$ forms a linearly independent orthonormal system in $\text{Cl}(G)$ w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Proof: • Thm 2.7 \Rightarrow $\text{Irr}(G)$ orthonormal system w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ /
• \mathbb{C} -linear independence: Write $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$, then:
if $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \chi_i = 0$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), then $\forall 1 \leq j \leq r$:

$$0 = \langle \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle \stackrel{\text{Thm 2.7}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \underbrace{\langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle}_{\delta_{ij}} = \lambda_j \quad / \#$$

Corollary 2.9: (Multiplicities)

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a \mathbb{C} -representation.

Let $\rho = \rho_{V_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_{V_s}$ a decomposition of ρ into irreducible sub-representations. Then the following hold:

(a) If ρ_w is an irreducible representation of G , then the multiplicity of ρ_w in $\rho_{V_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_{V_s}$ is equal to $\langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_w \rangle$

(b) This multiplicity is independent of the chosen decomposition of ρ into ~~irred.~~ irreducible summands. L

Proof: (a) We may assume we have chosen the labelling so

$$\rho_V = \underbrace{\rho_{V_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_e}}_{\text{irr. } \sim \rho_W \text{ if } e \leq s} \oplus \underbrace{\rho_{V_{e+1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_s}}_{\rho_{V_i} \not\sim \rho_W \forall e+1 \leq i \leq s}$$

Then

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^e \underbrace{\langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_W \rangle}_{\substack{= 1 \\ \text{Thm 2.7}}} + \sum_{i=e+1}^s \underbrace{\langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_W \rangle}_{\substack{= 0 \\ \text{Thm 2.7}}} = e$$

(b) Is obvious since $\langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle$ depends only on V and W , but not on the chosen decomposition.

#

Corollary 2.10 If $\rho_V: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ and $\rho_{V_1}: G \rightarrow GL(V_1)$ are two G -representations of G , then:

$$\rho_V \sim \rho_{V_1} \iff \chi_V = \chi_{V_1}$$

Proof: " \Rightarrow " ✓ [Exercise 9, Sheet 3]

" \Leftarrow " Decompose ρ_V, ρ_{V_1} into direct sums of irreducible subrepresentations:

$$\rho_V = (\underbrace{\rho_{V_{1,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_{1,m_1}}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{V_1}}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\underbrace{\rho_{V_{s,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_{s,m_s}}}_{\text{all } \not\sim \rho_{V_1}})$$

$$\rho_{V_1} = (\underbrace{\rho_{V_{1,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_{1,m'_1}}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{V_1}}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\underbrace{\rho_{V_{s,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_{s,m'_s}}}_{\text{all } \not\sim \rho_{V_1}})$$

where $m_i, m'_i \geq 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq s$. (A some of the m_i, m'_i 's may be zero)
and the ρ_{V_i} 's are pairwise non-equivalent.

$$\text{Then } \chi_V = \chi_{V_1} \Rightarrow \langle \chi_V, \chi_{V_1} \rangle = \langle \chi_{V_1}, \chi_{V_1} \rangle$$

|| Cor. 2.9

m_i

|| Cor. 2.9.

m'_i

hence $\rho_V = \rho_{V_1}$.

L

Corollary 2.11 [Irreducibility Criterion]

A \mathbb{C} -representation $\rho_v: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is irreducible $\Leftrightarrow \langle X_v, X_v \rangle = 1$.

Proof: \Rightarrow ✓ Thm 2.7(b).

$$\Leftarrow \text{ Write } \rho_v = (\underbrace{\rho_{v_1, 1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_{v_1, m_1}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{v_1}}) \oplus \dots \oplus (\underbrace{\rho_{v_s, 1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_{v_s, m_s}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{v_s}})$$

where $\rho_{v_1}, \dots, \rho_{v_s}$ are pairwise non-equivalent and $m_1, \dots, m_s \geq 1$.

We have $1 = \langle X_v, X_v \rangle = \underbrace{m_1^2}_{\text{Thm 2.7}} \underbrace{\langle X_{v_1}, X_{v_1} \rangle}_{=1} + \dots + \underbrace{m_s^2}_{\text{Thm 2.7}} \underbrace{\langle X_{v_s}, X_{v_s} \rangle}_{=1}$

$$= m_1^2 + \dots + m_s^2$$

\Rightarrow w.l.o.g. $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = \dots = m_s = 0$ $\forall s \leq s$.

$\Rightarrow \rho_v = \rho_{v_1}$ is irreducible.

#

2. The regular character

Recall from Ex. 1(d) that to a finite G -set X we can associate a permutation representation $\rho_X: G \rightarrow GL(V)$, where

$$g \mapsto \rho_X(g): V \rightarrow V \quad e_x \mapsto e_g \cdot x \quad V = \bigoplus_{x \in X} K e_x.$$

We write $\text{Fix}_X(g) := \{x \in X \mid g \cdot x = x\}$, the set of fixed points of $g \in G$ on X .

Proposition 2.12: Let χ_X be the character of the permutation representation ρ_X .

$$\text{Then } \chi_X(g) = |\text{Fix}_X(g)| \quad \forall g \in G.$$

For the action of G on itself by left multiplication, we get:

Corollary 2.13: Let χ_{reg} be the character of the regular representation of G . We have:

$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = \delta_{1g} |G| = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Follows directly from Prop. 2.12 since $\text{Fix}_G(1_G) = G$

and $\text{Fix}_G(g) = \emptyset$ if $g \neq 1_G$.

Proof of 2.12: The diagonal entries of the matrix of $\chi(g)$ in the basis $\{\chi_x \mid x \in X\}$ are:

$$(\chi(g))_{xx} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \cdot x = x \\ 0 & \text{if } g \cdot x \neq x \end{cases} \quad \forall g \in G.$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi_X(g) = \sum_{x \in X} (\chi(g))_{xx} = |\text{Fix}_X(g)| \quad \forall g \in G. \#$$

Theorem 2.14: (decomposition of the regular representation)

Upto equivalence every irreducible representation ρ^i of G occurs with multiplicity $n_i = \deg(\rho^i)$ in χ_{reg} . In other words

$$\chi_{\text{reg}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \chi_i(1) \chi_i, \quad \text{where } \text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$$

$$\underline{\text{Proof:}} \quad \text{Cor 2.9} \Rightarrow n_i = \langle \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_i \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{\chi_{\text{reg}}(g)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in G} \delta_g(x)} \chi_i(g) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} |G| \chi_i(1) = \chi_i(1) = \deg(\rho^i) \#$$

Corollary 2.15: (degree formula)

$$|G| = \sum_{i=1}^r \chi_i(1)^2, \quad \text{where } \text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}.$$

$$\underline{\text{Proof:}} \quad \text{Thm 2.14 yields } \chi_{\text{reg}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \chi_i(1) \chi_i.$$

\Rightarrow evaluation of χ_{reg} in $\mathbb{1}_G$ yields:

$$|G| = \chi_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{1}_G) = \sum_{i=1}^r \chi_i(1) \chi_i(\mathbb{1}) \quad \#$$

Corollary 2.16 The $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2$ functions $R_{ke}^i : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of Thm. 1.16 form a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathbb{C}^G .

Proof: Thm 1.16 says that the R_{ke}^i 's are \mathbb{C} -lin. indep.

Cor 2.15 \Rightarrow their number $= |G| = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^G$

\Rightarrow they form a generating set. $\#$



3. The 2nd Orthogonality Relations

Theorem 2.17: The set $\text{Irr}(G) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of the pairwise distinct irreducible characters of G form a \mathbb{C} -basis of $\text{Cl}(G)$. In particular $r = |\text{Irr}(G)|$.

- Proof:
- By Cor. 2.8., $\text{Irr}(G)$ is a \mathbb{C} -linearly independent set. ✓
 - Remains to show: x_1, \dots, x_r generate $\text{Cl}(G)$ as a \mathbb{C} -vect space.

Indeed: By Cor. 2.16, the functions $R_{ke}^i, 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq k, l \leq n_i$ form a basis of \mathbb{C}^G .

$$\Rightarrow \forall f \in \mathbb{C}^G, \exists \text{ coefficients } c_{ke}^i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ st.}$$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{ke}^i R_{ke}^i$$

Therefore $\forall g, h \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(gh^{-1}) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{ke}^i R_{ke}^i \right) (gh^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{ke}^i \sum_{s,t=1}^{n_i} R^i(h)_{ks} R^i(g)_{st} R^i(h^{-1})_{te} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{s,t=1}^{n_i} \left(\sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{ke}^i R^i(h)_{ks} R^i(h^{-1})_{te} \right) R^i(g)_{st} \quad \textcircled{*} \end{aligned}$$

Now if $f \in \text{Cl}(G)$, then $f(g) = f(gh^{-1}) \quad \forall h \in G$,
so that $f(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} f(gh^{-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{*} > f(g) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{s,t=1}^{n_i} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{ke}^i R^i(h)_{ks} R^i(h^{-1})_{te} R^i(g)_{st} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{s,t=1}^{n_i} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{ke}^i \underbrace{\left(\sum_{h \in G} R^i(h)_{ks} R^i(h^{-1})_{te} \right)}_{\substack{\text{Cor. 1.5} \\ |G|}} R^i(g)_{st} \\ &\quad \overbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}^{= c_i \in \mathbb{C}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} c_{kk}^i \frac{|G|}{n_i} R^i(g)_{ss}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_i} c_{kk}^i \frac{1}{n_i} \right) R^i(g)_{ss}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} R^i(g)_{ss} = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i x_i(g)$$

$\therefore x_i(g) \quad \text{Hence } f = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i x_i, (c_i \in \mathbb{C}), \text{ as req.} \#$



Corollary 2.18 Let $f \in \text{ell}(G)$. Then:

$$(a) f = \sum_{i=1}^r \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \chi_i$$

$$(b) \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r \langle f, \chi_i \rangle^2$$

(c) f is a character $\Leftrightarrow \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall i$

(d) $f \in \text{Irr}(G) \Leftrightarrow f$ is a character and $\langle f, f \rangle = 1$.

Proof: (a)+(b) hold for any orthonormal basis w.r.t. \langle , \rangle (GDM)

(c) \Rightarrow by Cor 2.9. $\langle f, \chi_i \rangle$ is a multiplicity $\rightsquigarrow \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

\Leftarrow If $\langle f, \chi_i \rangle = m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then f is the character of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_i} p_i$, where p_i is a repres. affording χ_i .

(d) follows from the properties of \langle , \rangle . #

Corollary 2.19 (2nd Orthogonality Relations)

Let C_1, \dots, C_r denote the conjugacy classes of G and let $g_i \in C_i$ $\forall 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \chi_k(g_j) \overline{\chi_k(g_i)} = \delta_{ij} \frac{|G|}{|C_i|} = \delta_{ij} |C_G(g_i)| \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq r.$$

Proof: Consider the following matrices in $M_r(\mathbb{C})$:

$$A := (\chi_k(g_j))_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}}, \quad B := \left(\frac{|C_k|}{|G|} \chi_k(g_j) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}}$$

Claim: $B = A^{-1}$

$$\text{Indeed: } (AB)_{st} = \sum_{\ell=1}^r A_{s\ell} B_{\ell t} = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{|C_\ell|}{|G|} \chi_s(g_\ell) \chi_t(g_\ell)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_s(g) \overline{\chi_t(g)} \\ \text{characters are class functions}$$

$$= \langle \chi_s, \chi_t \rangle = \delta_{st}$$

by the 1st Orth. Relations.

This holds $\forall 1 \leq s, t \leq r \Rightarrow AB = I_r \Rightarrow BA = I_r$
and so $B = A^{-1}$

$$\text{In particular } \delta_{ij} (BA)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^r B_{ik} A_{kj}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^r b_{ik} A_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{|C_i|}{|G|} \chi_k(g_i^{-1}) \chi_k(g_j) = \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{|C_i|}{|G|} \chi_k(g_i^{-1}) \chi_k(g_j)$$

||

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^r \chi_k(g_i^{-1}) \chi_k(g_j) = s_{ij} \frac{|G|}{|C_i|} = s_{ij} |C_G(g_i)|$$

↑ Orbit-stabiliser Thm.

Remark K: Assuming $1_G \in C_1$ and choosing $i=j=1$, $g_1=1_G$, we obtain again the degree formula $\sum_{i=1}^r \chi_i(1)^2 = |G|$ (since $|C_1|=1$).

4. Linear characters

Definition 2.20: A character χ of G of degree 1 (i.e. $\chi(1_\alpha) = 1_\alpha$) is called a linear character of G .

Example 3: In Exercise , you proved that any \mathbb{C} -repres. of an abelian group G has degree 1.

We find this result again via a character argument:

$$G \text{ abelian} \Rightarrow g x g^{-1} = x \quad \forall g, x \in G$$

$$\Rightarrow [x] = \{x\} \quad \forall x \in G \quad (\text{conj. classes are singletons})$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Rep}(G) = |C(G)| = |G|$$

$$\Rightarrow |\text{Irr}(G)| = |G|$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{|G|} \chi_i(1)^2 = |G| \quad \text{by the degree formula}$$

This forces $\chi_i(1) = 1 \quad \forall \chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{|G|}\}$

5. Tensor products

Definition 2.21: Let V, W be \mathbb{C} -vector spaces with bases $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ resp. We define $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$ to be the

\square n.m-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space with basis $\{v_i \otimes w_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, and call this space the tensor product of V and W (balanced) over \mathbb{C} .

Remarks: (a) An arbitrary element of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$ has the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_{ij} (v_i \otimes w_j) \quad \text{with } \{\lambda_{ij}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

$$(b) - \otimes - : \{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \times \{w_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\} \rightarrow \{v_i \otimes w_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$$

$$v_i \otimes w_j \mapsto v_i \otimes w_j$$

can be extended by \mathbb{C} -bilinearity to

$$\begin{aligned} - \otimes - : V \times W &\longrightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j w_j \right) &\mapsto v \otimes w = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_j (v_i \otimes w_j) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\forall v \in V, w \in W$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$v \otimes (\lambda w) = (\lambda v) \otimes w = \lambda (v \otimes w),$$

and $\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$ and $\forall y_1, \dots, y_l \in W$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^l y_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l x_i \otimes y_j$$

Hence arbitrary elements of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$ are \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of elements $v \otimes w$ with $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

(c) Up to isomorphism $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$ is independent of the choice of the \mathbb{C} -bases of V and W .

Lemma 2.22: If V is a G -vector space and W is an H -vector space (for H a finite group), then $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$ becomes a $G \times H$ -vector space via the following action:

$$(G \times H) \times (V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W) \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$$

$$(g, h) \cdot (v \otimes w) \mapsto (gh) \cdot (v \otimes w) := g \cdot v \otimes h \cdot w$$

Moreover if $p_V : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ and $p_W : H \rightarrow GL(W)$ denote the corresponding representations, then

$$p_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W} = p_V \otimes p_W : G \times H \rightarrow GL(V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W)$$

$$(g, h) \mapsto p_V(g) \otimes p_W(h) : V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$$

$$v \otimes w \mapsto p(g)(v) \otimes p(h)(w)$$

Proof: Exercise, Sheet 4.

 Recall: If $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $B = (B_{kl})_{1 \leq k,l \leq m} \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ are matrices, then their Kronecker product (or Tensorproduct) is the matrix

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B & \cdots & A_{1n}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1}B & \cdots & A_{mn}B \end{pmatrix} \in M_{nm}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{(E.g. } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ae & af & be & bf \\ ag & ah & bg & bh \\ ce & cf & de & df \\ cg & ch & dg & dh \end{pmatrix} \text{.)}$$

Lemma 2.23: If $R: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $S: H \rightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ are matrix representations of the finite groups G and H , then

$$R \otimes S: G \times H \rightarrow GL_{nm}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(g, h) \mapsto (R \otimes S)(g, h) := R(g) \otimes S(h)$$

is a matrix representation of $G \times H$.

Lemma 2.24 If V is a G -vector space and W an H -vector space, then $\chi_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W} = \chi_V \cdot \chi_W$

Proof: Let $B_V(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ ordered basis of V

$B_W(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ ordered basis of W

and consider $B_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W}((v_1 \otimes w_1, \dots, v_1 \otimes w_m), \dots, (v_n \otimes w_1, \dots, v_n \otimes w_m))$ as ordered basis of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \chi_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W}(g, h) &= \text{Tr} \left(\rho_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W}(g, h) \right) = \text{Tr} \left(\rho_V(g) \otimes \rho_W(h) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\forall g \in G}{=} \text{Tr} \left((\rho_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W}(g, h))_{B_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W}} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left((\rho_V(g) \otimes \rho_W(h))_{B_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W}} \right) = \text{Tr} \left(\rho_V(g) \right) \cdot \text{Tr} \left(\rho_W(h) \right) = \chi_V(g) \cdot \chi_W(h) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } \rho_V(g)(v_p) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ip} v_i \Rightarrow (a_{ip})_{1 \leq i, p \leq n} = \rho_V(g)_{B_V}$$

$$\rho_W(h)(w_q) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_{jq} w_j \Rightarrow (b_{jq})_{1 \leq j, q \leq m} = \rho_W(h)_{B_W}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\rho_V \otimes \rho_W)(g, h)(v_p \otimes w_q) = \rho_V(g)(v_p) \otimes \rho_W(h)(w_q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ip} b_{jq} (v_i \otimes w_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \chi_{vw}(g,h) &= \star = \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{q=1}^m a_{pp} b_{qq} = (\sum a_{pp})(\sum b_{qq}) \\
 &= \text{Tr}((f_v(g))_{B_v}) \circ \text{Tr}((f_w(h))_{B_w}) \\
 &= \chi_v(g) \circ \chi_w(h)
 \end{aligned}$$

#

Corollary 2.25 Let G and H be finite groups.

- (a) $\text{Irr}(G \times H) = \{\chi \cdot \gamma \mid \chi \in \text{Irr}(G), \gamma \in \text{Irr}(H)\}$.
- (b) If χ and μ are two characters of G , then $\chi \cdot \mu$ is also a character of G .
- (c) If $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$, then $R(G) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \chi_i \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ is a subring of $\text{cl}(G)$ called representation ring of G .
- (d) $\{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid \chi(1) = 1\}$ is a group for the multiplication of characters
- (e) If $\chi, \lambda \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with λ linear, then $\chi \cdot \lambda \in \text{Irr}(G)$.

Proof: (a) + (d) + (e) : Exercise

(b) $i: G \hookrightarrow G \times G$ is a grp hom with $i(G) \cong G$

$g \mapsto (g, g)$
and $G \xrightarrow{i} G \times G \xrightarrow{\chi \cdot \mu} \mathbb{C}$ becomes a character of G . By abuse of notation we still denote this character of G as $\chi \cdot \mu$ (instead of $(\chi \cdot \mu)|_{i(G)}$)

(c) Only for general knowledge

See lecture Representation theory
for uses of this ring.

#

Week 5, 119