



## CHAPTER 6: BRAUER'S CHARACTERISATION OF CHARACTERS

Aim: Given a class function  $\theta$  on  $G$ , decide whether  $\theta$  is a "true" character or not?

### 1. Elementary subgroups

Notation 6.1: Let  $R$  be a ring (unital) with  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .  
Set :

$$R\text{Irr}(G) := \{R\text{-linear combinations of irred. characters of } G\}$$

For  $H$  a family of subgroups of  $G$ , we let

$$R_R(G, H) := \{\text{class fct. } \theta: G \rightarrow R \mid \theta|_H \in R\text{Irr}(H) \forall H \in H\}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_R(G, H) := \{R\text{-lin. comb's of characters } \gamma \uparrow_H^G \mid \gamma \in \text{Irr}(H), H \in H\}$$

For  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , we simply write  $R(G, H)$  and  $\mathcal{X}(G, H)$   
(drop the index  $R$ ).

Lemma 6.2: (a)  $\mathcal{X}(G, H) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_R(G, H) \subseteq R\text{Irr}(G) \subseteq R_R(G, H)$

(b)  $R_R(G, H)$  is a ring with identity element  $1_G$ ,  
and  $\mathcal{X}_R(G, H)$  an ideal thereof.

In particular:  $\mathcal{X}(G, H) = R_R(G, H) \Leftrightarrow 1_G \in \mathcal{X}(G, H)$

Proof: (a) is clear by definitions .

(b).  $R_R(G, H)$  is a ring is one off  $1_G$ : easy check!

• Let  $p \in \mathcal{X}_R(G, H)$  and  $\theta \in R_R(G, H)$ . Then

$$p = \sum_{H \in H} a_H \gamma \uparrow_H^G \text{ for some } a_H \in R, \gamma \in \text{Irr}(H)$$

$$\Rightarrow p \cdot \theta = \sum_{H \in H} a_H \gamma \uparrow_H^G \theta = \underbrace{\sum_{H \in H} a_H (\underbrace{\gamma \uparrow_H^G}_{\in \mathbb{Z} + \text{Irr}(H)}) \uparrow_H^G}_{\text{Frobenius Formula}} \in \mathcal{X}_R(G, H)$$

so that  $\mathcal{X}_R(G, H) \trianglelefteq R_R(G, H)$

$R = \mathbb{Z}$  yields  $\mathcal{X}(G, H) \trianglelefteq R_R(G, H)$

#



(a) Let  $p \in P$

Definition 6.3: A finite group  $E$  is  $p$ -elementary iff

$$E = P \times \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{with:} \quad \begin{array}{l} \cdot P \text{ a } p\text{-group} \\ \cdot \mathbb{Z} \text{ cyclic} \\ \cdot p \mid |\mathbb{Z}| \end{array}$$

(b) A finite group  $E$  is called elementary iff  
 $E$  is  $p$ -elementary for some  $p \in P$ .

We want to prove:

If  $H := \{\text{elementary subgroups of } G\}$ , then  $\mathcal{I}(G, H) = R(G, H)$

Lemma 6.4: Let  $S$  be a non-empty finite set,  $R$  a ring of functions

$f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  (with pointwise addition/multiplication).

If  $1_S: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $s \mapsto 1$ , is not in  $R$ , then  
there exists an element  $x \in S$  and  $p \in P$  such that  
 $p \mid f(x) \quad \forall f \in R$ .

Proof: Given  $x \in S$ , let  $I_x := \{f(x) \mid f \in R\}$

Easy check:  $(I_x, +) \leq (\mathbb{Z}, +)$  (additive subgroup),  
hence an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Now if  $I_x \nleq \mathbb{Z}$  for some  $x \in S$ , then  $I_x \subseteq \langle p \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$   
for some  $p \in P \Rightarrow$  claim holds  $\checkmark$

If  $I_x = \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall x \in S$ , then  $\forall x \in S, \exists f_x \in R$   
s.t.  $f_x(x) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow (f_x - 1_S)(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \prod_{x \in S} (f_x - 1_S) = 0$$

Expanding this product (finite!), we obtain that  
 $1_S$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of products of  $f_x$ 's in  $R$   
 $\Rightarrow 1_S \in R$ .  $\checkmark$

We apply this to the following character theoretic situation:  $\#$



Proposition 6.5: Let  $H, K \leq G$ . Then  $\exists \{a_u\}_{u \leq H} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  s.t.

$$1_H \uparrow^G \cdot 1_K \uparrow^G = \sum_{u \leq H} a_u 1_u \uparrow^G.$$

Proof: Set  $\theta := 1_H \uparrow^G \cdot 1_K \uparrow^G$ .

$$\Rightarrow 1_H \uparrow^G 1_K \uparrow^G = \theta \cdot 1_H \uparrow^G \stackrel{\text{Frob}}{=} (\theta \downarrow_H \cdot 1_H) \uparrow^G_H = (\theta \downarrow_H) \uparrow^G_H$$

~~so that~~ <sup>Now</sup>  $\theta$  is the permutation character of  $G$  on the cosets of  $K$

$\Rightarrow \theta \downarrow_H$  is the permutation character of  $H$

so that  $\theta \downarrow_H = \sum a_u 1_u \uparrow^H$  for suitable subgps  $u \leq H$   
and coefficients of  $a_u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

$$\text{But } 1_u \uparrow^H \uparrow^G_H = 1_u \uparrow^G$$

$$\text{Hence } 1_H \uparrow^G 1_K \uparrow^G = \sum_{u \leq H} a_u 1_u \uparrow^G. \quad \#$$

Corollary 6.6: The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of characters of  $G$  of the form  $1_H \uparrow^G_H$ ,  $H \leq G$  form a ring, which we denote by  $P(G)$ .

Let  $\underline{H}$  be a set of subgps of  $G$  s.t:  $K \leq H, H \in \underline{H}$   
 $\Rightarrow K \in \underline{H}$

Let  $P(G, \underline{H})$  be the set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of characters  $1_H \uparrow^G_H$  with  $H \in \underline{H}$ .

Then  $P(G, \underline{H}) \leq P(G)$ .

Proof: straightforward by the above.  $\#$   
(Proof of 6.5)

Definition 6.7: Let  $p \in P$ . A finite group  $H$  is called  $p$ -quasi-elementary iff  $\exists Z \trianglelefteq H$  cyclic s.t.

$H/Z$  is a  $p$ -group.

$H$  is called quasi-elementary  $\Leftrightarrow \exists p \in P$  s.t.  $H$  is  $p$ -quasi-elementary.



L **Proposition 6.8:** Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and let  $x \in G$ . Then there exists a  $p$ -quasi-elementary subgroup  $H \leq G$  s.t.  $\iota_H \uparrow_H^G(x)$  is not divisible by  $p$ .

Proof. • Decompose  $\langle x \rangle = C \times P$  with  $C$   $p^1$ -group (cyclic)  
 $P$   $p$ -group (cyclic)

Set  $N := N_G(C)$ . Clearly  $x \in N_G(C)$

Now  $P \cong \langle x \rangle / C$  is a  $p$ -group  $\Rightarrow \exists$  a subgroup  $H \geq \langle x \rangle$   
s.t.  $H/C \in \text{Syl}_p(N_G(C)/C)$   
(correspondence thm)

$C$  cyclic  $\Leftrightarrow H$  with  $H/C$   $p$ -grp  $\stackrel{\text{defn}}{\Rightarrow} H$  is  $p$ -quasi-elementary

• Now  $\iota_H \uparrow_H^G(x) = |\{hy \mid hyx = hy, y \in G\}|$  (defn induction)

but  $hy = Hyx \Rightarrow yxy^{-1} \in H \Rightarrow yC^{-1}y^{-1} \leq H$

As  $C \trianglelefteq H$ ,  $yC^{-1}y^{-1} = C$ , so that  $y \in N_G(C)$   
and vice versa

So we need to count the fixed points of  $\langle x \rangle$  on  
the cosets of  $H$  in  $N_G(C)$ .

But as  $C \trianglelefteq N_G(C)$  and  $C \trianglelefteq H$ ,  $C$  fixes all cosets of  $H$

Since  $\langle x \rangle / C$  is a  $p$ -grp, each orbit length is divisible  
by  $p$  or 1

$$\Rightarrow \iota_H \uparrow_H^G(x) \equiv |N_G(C) : H| \pmod{p}$$

By the choice of  $H$ :  $p + |N_G(C) : H|$ . ✓

Theorem 6.9: Let  $\mathcal{H} := \{H \leq G \mid H \text{ quasi-elementary}\}$ .  
Then  $\iota_G \in \mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{H})$ .

Proof: Cor. 6.6  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{H})$  ring of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued functions of  $G$   
If  $\iota_G \notin \mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{H})$ , then  $\exists x \in G, p \in \mathbb{P}$  s.t.  $p \mid \iota_G(x)$   
 $\vee \iota_G \in \mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{H}) \nRightarrow \text{Prop. 6.8.}$  #



## § 2. The Theorem of Brauer

Lemma 6.10: Let  $G = CP$  with  $C \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $P$  a  $p$ -group and  $p \nmid |C|$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a linear character of  $C$  invariant under  $G$ , with  $C_c(P) \subseteq \ker \lambda$ . Then  $\lambda = 1_C$

Proof: We may see  $\lambda$  as a character of  $C/\ker \lambda$  with all distinct values

Let  $x \in C$ .  $\lambda$   $G$ -invariant  $\Rightarrow P$  fixes the coset  $\ker(\lambda)x \in C/\ker \lambda$

$\Rightarrow$  Each non-trivial orbit of  $P$  on  $\ker(\lambda)x$  has length divisible by  $p$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } p \nmid |\ker(\lambda)| &\Rightarrow p \nmid |\ker(\lambda)x| \\ &\Rightarrow \ker(\lambda)x \cap C_c(P) \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

But  $C_c(P) \subseteq \ker(\lambda)$  by Assumption

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \ker(\lambda)x \cap \ker \lambda \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \ker(\lambda)x = \ker \lambda \\ &\Rightarrow C \subseteq \ker \lambda \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda = 1_C \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.11 (Brauer)  $G$  finite group.

Let  $\mathbb{Z} \leq R \leq \mathbb{C}$  be a Ring and let  $\mathcal{E} := \{\text{elementary subgroups of } G\}$ .

Then:

$$(a) \quad R\text{Irr}(G) = R_R(G, \mathcal{E})$$

$$(b) \quad \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(G) = \mathcal{X}(G, \mathcal{E}).$$

Thus any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of characters induced from elementary subgroups of  $G$ .



Proof: Lemma 6.2  $\Rightarrow$  enough to prove:  $1_G \in \mathcal{X}(G, \mathcal{E})$ .

Induction nach  $|G|$ :

- clear if  $G \in \mathcal{E}$ , i.e.  $G$  elementary.

- Now assume  $1_H \in \mathcal{X}(H, \mathcal{E}_H)$   $\forall H < G$  (where  $\mathcal{E}_H = \{\text{all } H \text{ elementary}\}$ )

By lemma 6.2:  $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}(H) = \mathcal{X}(H, \mathcal{E}_H)$

Claim 1:  $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(H) \Rightarrow \varphi \uparrow_H^G \in \mathcal{X}(G, \mathcal{E}) \quad \forall H < G$ .

Indeed:  $H < G$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(H)$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}(H) = \mathcal{X}(H, \mathcal{E}_H)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \uparrow_H^G \in \mathcal{X}(G, \mathcal{E}_H) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(G, \mathcal{E})$$

$\Rightarrow$  Remains to prove:  $1_G$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of characters induced from proper subgroups of  $G$ .

Case 1:  $G$  is not quasi-elementary; done by Thm 6.9.

Case 2:  $G$  is quasi-elementary.

Let  $C$  be the cyclic normal  $p$ -complement ( $p \neq p$ )  
(i.e.  $C \trianglelefteq G$  and  $G/C$   $p$ -grp.)

Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G) \Rightarrow G = CP$ , and  $\mathbb{Z} := G/P$

$G$  not elementary  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z} < C$ ,  $E := P\mathbb{Z} < G$

Write  $1_E \uparrow_E^G = 1_G + \psi$ , where  $\psi$  is a character of  $G$

To prove: each constituent of  $\psi$  is induced from a proper subgroup.

( $\Rightarrow 1_G = 1_E \uparrow_E^G - \psi \in \mathcal{X}(G, \mathcal{E})$  as claimed)

So let  $\chi$  be a constituent of  $\psi$ .

As  $CE = CZP = G$ ,  $C \cap E = C \cap P\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow 1_C + \psi \downarrow_C = 1_E \uparrow_E^G \downarrow_C = 1_{\mathbb{Z}} \uparrow_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = \langle 1_{\mathbb{Z}} \uparrow_{\mathbb{Z}}, 1_C \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle 1_C + \psi \downarrow_C, 1_C \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \psi \downarrow_C, 1_C \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$$

But  $\mathbb{Z} \trianglelefteq G \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leq \ker(1_E \uparrow_E^G)$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leq \ker(\chi)$   $\Rightarrow$  ~~hence~~ if  $\lambda$  is an inv. const. of  $\chi \downarrow_C$ , then  $\lambda \neq 1_C$  L  
 EZCO  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leq \ker(\chi)$   $\xrightarrow{\text{lem 6.10}}$   $\lambda$  is not  $G$ -invariant.

Let  $T := I_G(\lambda) \leq G$  be the inertia group of  $\lambda$

Then  $\chi = \psi \uparrow_G^G$  for some  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(T)$   
by Clifford Theory, as required.

### Theorem 6.13

Let  $p \in P$  and let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  with  $p \nmid \frac{|G|}{\chi(1)}$ .

Then  $\chi(g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G$  such that  $p \mid o(g)$ .

Proof: Define a class function  $\theta$  on  $G$  by  $\theta(g) := \begin{cases} \chi(g) & p \nmid o(g) \\ 0 & p \mid o(g) \end{cases}$

Claim:  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}(G)$ .

Indeed: let  $E \leq G$  be an elementary subgroup :

$E = P \times Z$  with  $P$   $p$ -grp

$Z$  cyclic of order prime to  $p$ .

- If  $g \in E, p \nmid o(g)$ , then  $g \in Z \Rightarrow \theta|_{E \setminus Z} = 0$   
 $\theta|_Z = \chi|_Z$

For  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(E)$ , we have

$$|E| \langle \theta|_E^G, \psi \rangle_E = \sum_{x \in Z} \chi(x) \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$= |Z| \langle \chi|_Z^G, \psi|_Z \rangle_Z \in \mathbb{Z}$$

As  $|E| = |P| \cdot |Z|$ , we actually have

$$|P| \langle \theta|_E^G, \psi \rangle_E \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Now consider  $w_\chi$  the central character of  $\chi$  associated to  $\chi$ .

i.e.  $w_\chi: \mathbb{Z}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\hat{c}_i \mapsto \frac{1}{\chi(1)} \chi(g_i) \quad \text{where } [g_i] = c_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(g_i) = \chi(1) w_\chi(\hat{c}_i) \frac{1}{|c_i|} = \chi(1) w_\chi(\hat{c}_i) \frac{|G(g_i)|}{|G|}$$

$$\Rightarrow |E| \langle \theta|_E^G, \psi \rangle = \sum_{x \in Z} \chi(x) \bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum_{x \in Z} w_\chi(x) \bar{\psi}(x) |G|$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|G||Z|}{\chi(1)} \langle \theta|_E^G, \psi \rangle = \sum_{x \in Z} w_\chi(x) \bar{\psi}(x) |G(x)|_P \quad \text{as } P \leq (G/x)$$

an algebraic integer.

But  $\langle \theta \downarrow_E, \chi \rangle_E | P | \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \langle \theta \downarrow_E, \chi \rangle_E \in \mathbb{Q}$  (Why?)

(1)

$\Rightarrow$  100%

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|G||Z|}{|X(1)|} \langle \theta \downarrow_E, \chi \rangle_E \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(2)

Now since  $\text{gcd}(\frac{|G||Z|}{|X(1)|}, |P|) = 1$ , (1) & (2) yield  $\langle \theta \downarrow_E, \chi \rangle_E \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow \theta \downarrow_E$  is a generalised character

Thm 6.11

$\Rightarrow \theta$  is a generalised character. / claim

$$\Rightarrow \langle \theta, \chi \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \langle \theta, \theta \rangle = \langle \theta, \chi \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\chi(g)|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \langle \theta, \chi \rangle \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \theta, \chi \rangle = \langle \chi, \chi \rangle = \langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \chi - \theta, \chi - \theta \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi = \theta$$

#

