



CHAPTER 1: LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS

Throughout this chapter we let:
 G be a finite group
 K be a field (of arbitrary characteristic)
 V be a K -vector space with $\dim_K V < \infty$.

1. Definitions and Examples:

Definition 1.1: • A representation of G (or a representation of G over K , or a K -representation of G) is a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, where V is a K -vector space. The degree of ρ is $\dim_K V$.
 (• We also say that G acts linearly on V , and that V is a G -vector space.)

Definition 1.2: A matrix representation of G over K is a group homomorphism $R: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$
 $g \mapsto R(g) = (r_{ij}(g))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$
 for a certain $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, called the degree of R .

Remark K: • Each representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ and each choice of a K -basis of B of V induces a matrix representation

$$G \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{GL}(V) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{GL}_n(K)$$

$$g \mapsto \rho(g)$$

$$\alpha \mapsto (\alpha)_B = \text{"matrix of } \alpha \text{ in the basis } B\text{"}$$

where $n = \dim_K V$.

Δ Two different K -bases of V give rise to two different matrix representations.

• Conversely, each matrix representation $R: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$ induces a representation $G \xrightarrow{R} \text{GL}_n(K) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{GL}(K^n)$

$$(a_{ij})_{ij} \mapsto \alpha: K^n \rightarrow K^n \quad \text{where } e_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow j\text{-th line}$$

$$e_j \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} e_i$$

Example 1: (a) G arbitrary, V=K:

$\rho: G \rightarrow GL(K)$ is a representation called
 $g \mapsto \rho(g) = \text{Id}_K$ the "trivial representation"

Choosing $B = \{1_K\}$ as K -basis of K induces
 the matrix representation $R: G \rightarrow GL_1(K) \cong K^\times$

$$g \longmapsto 1_K$$

(b) If $G \leq GL(V)$ is a subgroup, then the canonical inclusion $G \hookrightarrow GL(V)$ is a representation
 $g \mapsto g$ of G called the tautological representation of G .

(c) $G = S_n$ ($n \geq 1$), $V = K^n$ with its canonical ordered basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho: G &\longrightarrow GL(K^n) \\ g &\longmapsto \rho(g): K^n \rightarrow K^n \\ e_i &\longmapsto \rho(g)e_i := e_{g(i)} \end{aligned}$$

is a representation called the natural representation of S_n .

(d) More generally, if X is a G -set, i.e. X is a set endowed with a left action $\cdot: G \times X \rightarrow X$, s.t. $|X| < \infty$, and V is a K -vector space with basis $\{e_x | x \in X\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho: G &\longrightarrow GL(V) \\ g &\longmapsto \rho(g): V \rightarrow V \\ e_x &\longmapsto e_{g \cdot x} \end{aligned}$$

is a representation of G , called permutation representation.

\Rightarrow (c) is a particular case of (d) with $G = S_n$, $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$

Moreover, if $X = G$ and $\cdot: G \times X \rightarrow X$ is left multiplication in G , then the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\text{reg}}: G &\longrightarrow GL(V) \\ g &\longmapsto \rho(g): V \rightarrow V \quad (\{e_h | h \in G\} \text{ Basis of } V) \\ e_h &\longmapsto e_{g \cdot h} \end{aligned}$$

is called the regular representation of G .

Remark: • A representation $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ gives rise to a left action of G on V :

$$\therefore G \times V \rightarrow V$$

$$(g, v) \mapsto g \cdot v := \rho(g) \cdot v := \rho(g)(v)$$

such that $\forall g \in G, \forall x, y \in V$ and $\forall \lambda \in K$:

- (i) $g \cdot (x+y) = g \cdot x + g \cdot y$; and
- (ii) $g \cdot (\lambda x) = \lambda(g \cdot x)$.

• Conversely an action $: G \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfying (i) and (ii) gives rise to a representation $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$

$$g \mapsto \rho(g): V \rightarrow V$$

$$v \mapsto \rho(g)(v) = g \cdot v$$

See Exercise 1, Sheet 1. Therefore the data of a K -representation of G is equivalent to the data of a G -vector space.

• This together with the previous Remark allows us to use terminology defined for representations for matrix representations and G -vector spaces as well, and conversely.

Definition 1.3: Let V be a G -vector space with corresponding representation ρ .

(a) $V' \leq V$ is called a G -invariant subspace of V

$$\Leftrightarrow g \cdot V' = \rho(g)(V') \subseteq V' \quad \forall g \in G.$$

(in fact then $\rho(g)(V') = V'$ since $\rho(g)$ is bijective)

(b) If there exists a G -invariant subspace $0 \neq V' \neq V$, then ρ is called reducible; else irreducible.
(or V is simple)

Remark: If $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a representation and $V' \leq V$ is G -invariant, then

$$\rho_{V'}: G \rightarrow GL(V')$$

$$g \mapsto (\rho_{V'}(g) = \rho(g)|_{V'}: V' \rightarrow V')$$

is clearly a representation of G . We say that $\rho_{V'}$ is a subrepresentation of ρ .

Now if we choose a K -basis $B_{V'}$ of V' , which we complete to a K -basis B of V , then the corresponding matrix representation is of the form

$$\left(\rho(g) \right)_B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} ((\rho(g)|_{V'})_{B_{V'}}) & * \\ \hline 0 & * \\ \hline B' & B \setminus B' \end{array} \right)$$

$$\forall g \in G$$

Example 2: (a) V G -vector space with $\dim_K V = 1$

\Rightarrow corresponding representation $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V) \cong K^\times$
is irreducible

(since $0 \not\in V \not\subseteq V$ G -invariant subspace $\Rightarrow \dim_K V > 0$ and $\dim_K V < 1$)

(b) Let $\rho: S_n \rightarrow GL(K^n)$ be the natural representation of S_n ($n \geq 1$) as in Exp. 1.

$$\Rightarrow \rho(g) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \quad \forall g \in S_n$$

$\Rightarrow V' := \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \right\rangle_K$ is an S_n -invariant subspace of K^n

$\Rightarrow \rho$ is reducible if $n > 1$.

Definition 1.4: (a) A K -homomorphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow V'$ between two G -vector spaces is called a G -homomorphism \Leftrightarrow the corresponding representations ρ, ρ' satisfy the condition:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & V \\ \varphi \downarrow & C \rightarrow & \downarrow \varphi \\ V' & \xrightarrow{\rho'(g)} & V' \end{array}$$

$$\rho'(g) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \rho(g) \quad \forall g \in G.$$

(b) If, moreover, φ is an isomorphism, then ρ and ρ' are called equivalent (or isomorphic). Nota: $\rho \sim \rho'$

(c) Two matrix representations $R, R': G \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ are called equivalent $\Leftrightarrow \exists T \in GL_n(K)$ such that

$$R'(g) = T R(g) T^{-1} \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Nota: $R \sim R'$.

Definition 1.5: An injective representation $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is termed faithful.

Week 1 '19

Proposition 1.6: Let V, V' be G -vector spaces and $\varphi: V \rightarrow V'$ be a G -homomorphism

(a) $V_1 \leq V$ G -invariant $\Rightarrow \varphi(V_1) \leq V'$ G -invariant

(b) $V_1' \leq V'$ G -invariant $\Rightarrow \varphi'(V_1') \leq V$ G -invariant

(c) in particular, $\text{Ker}(\varphi), \text{Im}(\varphi)$ are G -invariant subspaces

(d) V irreducible $\Rightarrow \text{Im}(\varphi)$ irreducible.



Proof: Write $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$, $\rho': G \rightarrow GL(V')$ for the corresponding representations

- $x' \in \varphi(V_1) \Rightarrow \exists x \in V_1 \text{ s.t. } x' = \varphi(x)$
 $\Rightarrow \forall g \in G : \rho'(g) \cdot x' = \rho'(g) \cdot \varphi(x) = (\rho(g) \circ \varphi)(x)$
 $= (\varphi \circ \rho(g))(x) = \varphi(\underbrace{\rho(g) \cdot x}_{\in V_1}) \in \varphi(V_1)$

Hence $\varphi(V_1)$ is G -invariant.

- $x \in \varphi'(V_1') \Rightarrow \varphi(\rho(g) \cdot x) = (\varphi \circ \rho(g))(x) = (\rho'(g) \circ \varphi)(x)$
 $= \rho'(g) \cdot \varphi(x) \in \rho'(g) \cdot V_1' = V_1' \quad \forall g \in G$

hence $\rho(g) \cdot x \in \varphi'(V_1') \quad \forall g \in G$, i.e. $\varphi'(V_1')$ is G -invariant.

(c) Obvious from (a) and (b) since $\ker(\varphi) = \varphi^{-1}(\{0\})$ and $\text{Im } \varphi = \varphi(V)$.

(d) If $0 \neq V' \subsetneq \varphi(V)$ is G -invariant, then $0 \neq \varphi'(V') \subsetneq V'$ is also G -invariant by (b). Therefore: $\text{Im } (\varphi)$ reducible $\Rightarrow V$ reducible. #

Exercise 3, Sheet 1

$p \in P$, $G := C_p = \langle g \mid g^p = 1 \rangle$, $K := \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}$, $V := \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}^2$ with canonical basis $B = (e_1, e_2)$

Consider the matrix representation

$$\begin{aligned} R: G &\longrightarrow GL_2(K) \\ g^b &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Prove that: (a) \ker is G -invariant ($\Rightarrow R$ is reducible)

(b) there is no direct sum decomposition of V into G -invariant subspaces.

Week 9/17

2. Maschke's Theorem and Schur's Lemma

Definition 1.7 Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a K -representation, and let $W_1, W_2 \leq V$ be two G -invariant subspaces. If $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$, then we say that ρ is the direct sum of ρ_{W_1} and ρ_{W_2} and we write $\rho = \rho_{W_1} \oplus \rho_{W_2}$.

Notice that if we choose K -bases B_i of W_i ($i=1,2$) and consider the K -basis $B_1 \sqcup B_2$ of V , the corresponding matrix representation is of the form

$$(\rho(g)) = \begin{pmatrix} (\rho_{W_1}(g))_{B_1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\rho_{W_2}(g))_{B_2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall g \in G.$$



Theorem 1.8 [Maschke's Theorem]

Let G be a finite group, and let V be a G -vector space over a field K such that $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$.

If $W \leq V$ is a G -invariant subspace, then there exists a G -invariant complement $U \leq V$ to W , i.e. $V = W \oplus U$ and $\rho_V = \rho_W \oplus \rho_U$.

Proof: • Note that $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G| \Rightarrow |G| \cdot 1_K$ is invertible in K .

• Let $U_0 \leq V$ be a complement to W , i.e. $V = W \oplus U_0$ as K -vector spaces. (U_0 is possibly not G -invariant!)

Let $\pi: V = W \oplus U_0 \rightarrow W$ be the projection onto W along U_0 .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v = w + u & \mapsto & w \\ \pi & & \end{array}$$

($\text{Im } \pi = W$ and $\ker \pi = U_0$)

Define $\tilde{\pi}: V \rightarrow V$

$$v \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (\pi(g \cdot v)) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)(\pi(g \cdot v))$$

Clearly $\tilde{\pi} \in \text{End}_K(V)$, as g, g' act linearly $\forall g \in G$ and $\pi \in \text{End}_K(W)$.

$$\underline{\text{Im } \tilde{\pi} = W:} \quad v \in V \Rightarrow \tilde{\pi}(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (\underbrace{\pi(g \cdot v)}_{\in W}) \in W$$

$\underbrace{\in W}_{\text{G-invariant}}$

$$\underline{\tilde{\pi}|_W = \text{Id}_W:} \quad w \in W \Rightarrow \tilde{\pi}(w) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (\underbrace{\pi(g \cdot w)}_{\in W \text{ (G-invariant)}}) = g \cdot w$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (g \cdot w) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (g \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot w = w$$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{\pi}$ is a projection onto W

$\Rightarrow V = W \oplus \ker(\tilde{\pi})$ (Grundlagen)

$\ker \tilde{\pi}$ is G -invariant:

first $\forall h \in G$ and $v \in V$ we have

$$(\tilde{\pi} \circ \rho(h))(v) = \tilde{\pi}(\rho(h)v) = \tilde{\pi}(h \cdot v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{g \cdot \tilde{\pi}(h \cdot v)}_{\text{G-invariant}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} h \cdot (g \cdot \tilde{\pi}(h \cdot v)) = h \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (h \cdot g) \cdot (\tilde{\pi}(h \cdot v)) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{s=hg}{=} h \cdot \sum_{s \in G} s \cdot (\tilde{\pi}(s \cdot v)) = h \cdot \tilde{\pi}(v) = (\rho(h) \circ \tilde{\pi})(v)$$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{\pi}$ is a G -homomorphism

 Therefore $\ker \tilde{\pi}$ is G -invariant by Prop. 1.6.

\Rightarrow Set $U := \ker \tilde{\pi}$.

#

Sheet 2, Ex 1: 2nd proof of Maschke for $K = \mathbb{C}$ using a G -invariant product.

Corollary 1.9: If G is a finite group and K a field s.t. $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$, then every K -representation of G decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible subrepresentations.

Proof: Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a K -representation, with $\dim_K V \geq 1$.

• Case 1: ρ is irreducible : nothing to do ✓

• Case 2: ρ is reducible. In part. $\dim_K V \geq 2$ and (since we assume that $\dim_K V < \infty$) $\exists V_1 \leq V$ irreducible G -invariant subspace. (Note: $\dim_K V_1 \geq 1$)

Maschke $\exists U \leq V$ G -invariant s.t. $V = V_1 \oplus U$

Now $\dim_K U \leq \dim_K V$, therefore an induction argument yields: $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$ ($r \geq 2$),

where $V_2, \dots, V_r \leq U$ are ^{irred.} G -invariant subspaces. #

Remark: The converse to Maschke's Theorem holds as well.

See Lecture "Representation Theory"

[You can also try to figure out a proof by yourself: consider the regular repres. of G and a subrepes. of $\dim |G|-1 \dots$]

Next we investigate morphisms between irreducible G -vector spaces.

Theorem 1.10 (Schur's Lemma)

Let V, V' be irreducible G -vector spaces and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow V'$ be a G -homomorphism. Then either $\varphi = 0$, or φ is an isomorphism (and hence the corresponding representations are equivalent).

Proof: If $\varphi = 0$, we are done. Else $\varphi \neq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(V) \neq 0$ and is a G -invariant subspace of V' by Prop. 1.6. But V' is

irreducible, hence $\varphi(V) = V$ $\Rightarrow \varphi$ is surjective

Now $\ker(\varphi) \leq V$ is a G -invariant subspace by Prop. 1.6 again

$\xrightarrow{\text{V irreducible}}$ $\ker(\varphi) = 0$ $\Rightarrow \varphi$ is injective $\Rightarrow \varphi$ is biject. ne. #

Corollary 1.11: Let V be a G -vector space over K . If V is irreducible, then:

(a) $\text{End}_G(V) := \{ \varphi \in \text{End}_K(V) \mid \varphi \text{ is a } G\text{-homomorphism} \}$ is a skew-field.

(b) If K is algebraically closed, then $\text{End}_G(V) \cong K$ (as rings?)

Proof: (a) 1st notice that $\text{End}_G(V)$ is a subring of $\text{End}_K(V)$ (check it!)

Now let $\varphi \in \text{End}_G(V) \setminus \{0\}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{Thm 1.10}}$ φ is invertible, as V is irreducible.
 $\Rightarrow \text{End}_G(V)$ is a skew-field. /

(b) Let $\varphi \in \text{End}_G(V)$

K alg. closed \Rightarrow characteristic polynomial of φ has a root in K $\Rightarrow \exists$ an eigenvalue $\lambda \in K$ of φ

$\Rightarrow \{0\} \neq \ker(\underbrace{\varphi - \lambda \text{Id}_V}_{\in \text{End}_G(V)}) \leq V$

$\underbrace{\text{G-invariant by Prop. 1.6}}_{\text{by Prop. 1.6}} \Rightarrow \ker(\varphi - \lambda \text{Id}_V) = V$.

$\Rightarrow \varphi - \lambda \text{Id}_V = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi = \lambda \text{Id}_V$

and we get an isomorphism $\text{End}_G(V) \xrightarrow{\cong} K$

$\varphi = \lambda \text{Id}_V \mapsto \lambda$ #

week 2

Corollary 1.12 If G is a finite abelian group, then every irreducible \mathbb{C} -representation of G has degree one.

Proof: [Exercise 2, Sheet 2] Hint: use Cor. 1.11(b).

Corollary 1.13 [Schur's Lemma, matrix version]

Let R, R' be two $\xrightarrow{\text{irreducible}}$ matrix representations of G of degree n, n' resp.

If there is $0 \neq F \in M_{n \times n'}(K)$ such that $R'(g)F = FR(g) \quad \forall g \in G$,

then:

- (i) $n = n'$
- (ii) $\det F \neq 0$;
- (iii) $R \sim R'$.



Proof: This is the translation of Schur's Lemma to matrix representations (w.r.t. a chosen ordered basis). #

Theorem 1.14 [Schur's relations]

Let R, R' be two irreducible matrix representations of G of degrees n, n' respectively. Then the following holds:

(a) If $R \neq R'$, then $\sum_{g \in G} R(g)_{ij} R'(g')_{kl} = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$
 $\forall 1 \leq k, l \leq n'$

(b) If $R = R'$, $K = \bar{K}$, and $\text{char}(K) \nmid n$, then

$$\sum_{g \in G} R(g)_{ij} R'(g')_{kl} = \frac{|G|}{n} \delta_{il} \delta_{kj} \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n.$$

Proof: Given $A \in M_{n \times n'}(K)$, define $\tilde{A} := \sum_{g \in G} R(g^{-1}) A R'(g)$.

Then $\forall h \in G$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} R'(h) &= \sum_{g \in G} R(g^{-1}) A R'(g) R(h) = \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{R(h) R(g^{-1})}_{R(gh)} A R'(gh) \\ &= R(h) \sum_{g \in G} R(gh)^{-1} A R'(gh) \\ &= R(h) \tilde{A} \end{aligned} \quad \textcircled{*}$$

In particular for $A_0 = (\delta_{j,j_0} \delta_{k,k_0})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n'}}$, $1 \leq j_0 \leq n$ fixed
we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_0 &= \sum_{g \in G} R(g^{-1}) A_0 R'(g) = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n'} R(g)_{ij} \delta_{j,j_0} \delta_{k,k_0} R'(g)_{kl} \right)_{il} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(*)}}{=} \left(\sum_{g \in G} R(g)_{i,j_0} R'(g)_{k,l_0} \right)_{il} \end{aligned} \quad \textcircled{**}$$

If $R \neq R'$, then it follows from $\textcircled{**}$ and Cor. 1.13 that $\tilde{A}_0 = 0$.

Hence $\textcircled{**} \Rightarrow \text{(a)} \quad \checkmark$

Now assume that $R = R'$. (In part $n = n'$)

All: see \tilde{A}_0 as the matrix of a G -endo of K^n , thence to $\textcircled{**}$
By swapping the roles of R and R' in Cor. 1.13 we get that $\tilde{A}_0 \neq 0$, or $\tilde{A}_0 = 0$
Since $K = \bar{K}$, we can diagonalise \tilde{A}_0 as $\tilde{A}_0 = \lambda_{j_0 k_0} I_n$ where $\lambda_{j_0 k_0} \in K$.
using the same argument as in Cor. 1.11(b) for matrices

Re-writing $j_0 = j$, $k_0 = k$, we get $\sum_{g \in G} R(g)_{ij} R'(g)_{kl} = \lambda_{j k} \delta_{il}$ by $\textcircled{**}$

Note that when g runs over G , so does \bar{g}^t , therefore we also have the equality

$$\sum_{g \in G} R(\bar{g})_{ik} R(g)_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{kj} \quad (\text{which equals the 1st sum as well})$$

Now if $i \neq l$, $k=j \Rightarrow$ the 1st equation is 0 and the 2nd λ_{li}
 $(\sum_{k=0}^n \delta_{kj} = 0) (\delta_{kj}=1) \Rightarrow \lambda_{li} = 0$

\Rightarrow we can write $\lambda_{li} = \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j \delta_{lj}$ for some $\lambda_j \in K$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{g \in G} R(\bar{g})_{ij} R(g)_{lk} = \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j \delta_{kj} \lambda_l$ and it remains to determine the coefficient λ_j .

For this choose $l=i$ and sum over all $j=k$ as follows:

$$\underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j \delta_{ij} \delta_{jj}}_{n \lambda_i} = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{j=0}^n R(\bar{g})_{ij} R(g)_{ji} = \sum_{g \in G} \underbrace{R(\bar{g}g)_{ii}}_{\in F_n} = \sum_{g \in G} 1 = |G|,$$

hence $\lambda_i = \frac{|G|}{n}$ and we are done. $\#$

Corollary 1.15: Assume $\text{char}(K)=0$ and $K=\overline{K}$.

If $R^i: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_i}(K)$ are pairwise non-equivalent irreducible matrix representations of G ($1 \leq i \leq r$), then

$$\sum_{g \in G} R^i(g)_{kl} R^i(g)_{st} = \frac{|G|}{n_i} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kt} \delta_{ls} \quad (\forall i,j,k,l,s,t)$$

$\forall 1 \leq i \leq r, \forall 1 \leq k,l \leq n_i, \forall 1 \leq s,t \leq n_i$.

Proof: Apply Thm 1.14 with $R=R^i$, $R^i=R^i$.

Theorem 1.16: Assume $\text{char}(K)=0$ and $K=\overline{K}$.

Let $R^i: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_i}(K)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) be pairwise non-equivalent irreducible matrix representations of G .

Then the $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2$ functions $R^i_{ke}: G \rightarrow K$
 $g \mapsto R^i(g)_{ke}$

are K -linearly independent.

L Proof: Assume $\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{kl}^i R_{kl}^i = 0$ for coefficients $c_{kl}^i \in K$. To see: $c_{kl}^i = 0 \forall i, k, l$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall g \in G, \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{kl}^i R_{kl}^i(g) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \forall 1 \leq j \leq r, \forall s, t \leq n_j$, we have

$$0 = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{kl}^i R_{kl}^i(g) R_{st}^j(g)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{kl}^i \sum_{g \in G} R_{kl}^i(g) R_{st}^j(g)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Cor. 1.15}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k,l=1}^{n_i} c_{kl}^i \frac{|G|}{n_i} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kt} \delta_{ls} \stackrel{\substack{l=s \\ t=j}}{=} \frac{|G|}{n_j} c_{ts}^j$$

$\Rightarrow c_{ts}^j = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq r, \forall s, t \leq n_j \Rightarrow$ the R_{kl}^i are K -linearly independent.

#

Corollary 1.17: With the hypotheses of Thm. 1.16, we have:

(a) $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2 \leq |G|$;

(b) $r \leq |G|$.

(There are at most $|G|$ pairwise non-equivalent irreducible representations of G)

Proof: The space $K^G = \{f: G \rightarrow K \mid f \text{ function}\}$ of K -valued functions of G has dimension $|G|$, since $\{s_g: G \rightarrow K \mid g \in G\}$ is a K -basis of K^G (see GDM).

Now Thm 1.16 says that the $R_{kl}^i \in K^G$ are K -lin. indep and there are $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2$ of them $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2 \leq |G|$. \rightarrow (a)

$$\Rightarrow r \leq |G| \rightarrow$$
 (b)

Theorem 1.18: [Diagonalisation Theorem]

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a \mathbb{C} -representation of G . Fix $g \in G$.

Then, there exists a \mathbb{C} -basis B of V w.r.t. which $(\rho(g))_B$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where each } \epsilon_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ is an } o(g)-\text{th root of unity in } \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof: Let $m := o(g)$. Consider the restriction $\rho|_{\langle g \rangle} : \langle g \rangle \rightarrow GL(V)$ of ρ to the cyclic subgroup generated by g .
 By the corollary to Maschke's Theorem, we can decompose $\rho|_{\langle g \rangle}$ as a direct sum of irreducible \mathbb{C} -representations, say $\rho|_{\langle g \rangle} = \rho_{V_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_{V_n}$, where $V_1, \dots, V_n \leq V$ are $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant.

Now $\langle g \rangle$ is abelian $\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_1 = \dots = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_n = 1$

Choose a \mathbb{C} -basis $\{x_i\}$ of $V_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$

$\Rightarrow B := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a basis of V s.t.

$$(\rho(g))_B = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & & \\ & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & e_n \end{pmatrix}$$

for some coeffs $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

But $g^m = 1_G \Rightarrow e_i^m = \rho_{V_i}(g)^m = \rho_{V_i}(g^m) = \rho_{V_i}(1_G) = 1_C$ #

Corollary 1.19: If $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a \mathbb{C} -representation of an abelian group G , then the linear transformations $\{\rho(g)\}_{g \in G}$ are simultaneously diagonalisable.

Proof: Same argument as in the previous proof for G instead of $\langle g \rangle$. #