

1. (a) For any  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $E(X_i) = np_i$ . MOM estimator of  $p_i$  is  $\hat{p}_i = X_i/n$ . This is analogous to the binomial case in Tutorial 6 Question 4.
- (b) MOM estimates: 0.2 for  $p_1$ , 0.7 for  $p_2$ , 0.1 for  $p_3$ . Exact SE:

$$SD(\hat{p}_i) = \frac{\sqrt{p_i(1-p_i)}}{\sqrt{10}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

estimated as

$$\frac{\sqrt{0.2 \times 0.8}}{\sqrt{10}} \approx 0.13, \quad \frac{\sqrt{0.7 \times 0.3}}{\sqrt{10}} \approx 0.14, \quad \frac{\sqrt{0.1 \times 0.9}}{\sqrt{10}} \approx 0.09$$

2. (a) Since both the expectation and variance of the  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  are  $\lambda$ , by Tutorial 3 problem 3,  $E(\hat{\lambda}_1) = E(\hat{\lambda}_2) = \lambda$ . Both estimators are unbiased.
- (b)  $\text{var}(\hat{\lambda}_1) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$ , so  $SD(\hat{\lambda}_1) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}}$ .
- (c)  $E(\hat{\lambda}_1) \approx 0.80$ ,  $E(\hat{\lambda}_2) \approx 0.80$ ,  $SD(\hat{\lambda}_1) \approx 0.09$ , consistent with (a) and (b):  $\frac{\sqrt{0.8}}{\sqrt{100}} \approx 0.09$ .  $SD(\hat{\lambda}_2) \approx 0.15$  is new.

```
dat = matrix(rpois(1000000, 0.8), 1000, 100)
hl1 = apply(dat, 1, mean)
hl2 = apply(dat, 1, var)
mean(hl1)
mean(hl2)
sd(hl1)
sd(hl2)
```

[The second decimal place is not so stable. For instance,  $SD(\hat{\lambda}_2)$  may also be estimated as 0.14 or 0.16. Increasing the number of iterations gives more stability, but slowly.]

- (d) The simulation suggests that  $\hat{\lambda}_1$  has a smaller SE.

3. (a)

$$\mu_1 = \int_0^\infty x \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = [-x e^{-\lambda x}]_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} dx = \left[ -\frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda} \right]_0^\infty = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

- (b) Since  $\lambda = 1/\mu_1$ ,  $\hat{\lambda} = 1/\hat{\mu}_1 = 1/\bar{X} = n/Y$ .

$$E(\hat{\lambda}) = \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{y} \frac{\lambda^n}{\Gamma(n)} y^{n-1} e^{-\lambda y} dy = n \lambda \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n-1)} y^{n-2} e^{-\lambda y} dy = \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda$$

[How to fix the bias? Subtracting  $\lambda/(n-1)$  is impossible, since  $\lambda$  is unknown. Multiplying by  $(n-1)/n$  works.]

4. (a)  $\Pr(X_i = x_i) = p^{x_i}(1 - p)^{1-x_i}$ .

(b) Joint probability is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(X_i = x_i) = p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - p)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} = p^y (1 - p)^{n-y}$$

The logarithm is  $\ell(p) = y \log p + (n - y) \log(1 - p)$ .

$$\ell'(p) = \frac{y}{p} - \frac{n - y}{1 - p}, \quad \ell''(p) = -\frac{y}{p^2} - \frac{n - y}{(1 - p)^2}$$

Setting  $\ell'(p) = 0$  gives  $p = y/n$ . Since  $\ell''(p) < 0$  always, this is a maximum.

(c)  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ .  $\Pr(Y = y) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1 - p)^{n-y}$ . The logarithm is the same as in (b) except for a constant, so it is maximum when  $p = y/n$ .

[Given realisations from  $n$  IID Bernoulli( $p$ ) RV's, the ML estimate of  $p$  is the proportion of 1's. Given a realisation from a binomial( $n, p$ ) RV, the ML estimate of  $p$  is also the proportion of 1's.]

5.  $E\{(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2\} = \text{var}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) + \{E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)\}^2 = \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) + \{E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta\}^2$ .  $\text{var}(\hat{\theta})$  is the square of the SE, and  $E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$  is the bias.