1. (a)

$$-\frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) - \log\sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i - \mu)^2$$
$$\ell(\mu, \sigma) = -n\log\sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \mu)^2$$

(b)  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i, \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$ 

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} (\bar{x} - \mu), \qquad \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

 $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = 0$  gives  $\mu = \bar{x}$ . Substituting  $\mu$  by  $\bar{x}$  yields  $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}$ , so that  $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma} = 0$  gives  $\sigma = \hat{\sigma}$ .

(c) At  $(\bar{x}, \hat{\sigma})$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^2}, \qquad \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{2n}{\hat{\sigma}^2}, \qquad \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \sigma \partial \mu} = 0$$

The determinant is

$$\left(-\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right) \times \left(-\frac{2n}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right) - 0^2 = \frac{2n^2}{\hat{\sigma}^4} > 0$$

- (d) All three conditions are satisfied from (c).
- 2. (a) Replacing  $\sigma$  by  $\sqrt{\nu}$  in 1(a) gives the loglikelihood.

(b)

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu) = \frac{n}{\nu} (\bar{x} - \mu), \qquad \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{n}{2\nu} + \frac{1}{2\nu^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

 $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = 0$  gives  $\mu = \bar{x}$ . Subtituting  $\mu$  by  $\bar{x}$  in  $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \nu}$  and setting it to 0 gives  $\nu = \hat{\sigma}^2$ . At  $(\bar{x}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^2}, \qquad \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^2}, \qquad \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \sigma \partial \mu} = 0$$

Similar as before, the determinant is positive. By the criteria in 1(d),  $(\bar{x}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$  is the ML estimate of  $(\mu, \nu)$ .

(c) The ML estimate of  $\nu$  is the square of the ML estimate of  $\sigma$ .

- 3. (a) The data are realisations of  $(X_1, \ldots, X_6) \sim \text{Multinomial}(60, (p_1, \ldots, p_6))$ .
  - (b) Since  $E(X_i) = 60p_i$ ,  $\pi = E(X_i)/60$ , so  $\hat{p}_i = X_i/60$ .
  - (c) Since  $\operatorname{var}(\hat{p}_i) = p_i(1-p_i)/60$ , SE of the *i*-th estimate is  $\sqrt{p_i(1-p_i)}/\sqrt{60}$ .
  - (d) Bootstrap says to substituting  $p_i$  by its estimate in the SE formula.
  - (e) The interval for  $p_1$  is (0.00, 0.13), which does not include  $1/6 \approx 0.17$ , suggesting that the die is unfair.

$$x = c(4,6,17,16,8,9)$$
  
 $est = x/60$   
 $round(est, 2) \# (b) (0.07,0.10,0.28,0.27,0.13,0.15)$   
 $SE = sqrt(est*(1-est)/60)$   
 $round(SE, 3) \# (c) (0.032,0.039,0.058,0.057,0.044,0.046)$   
 $round(cbind(est - 1.96*SE, est + 1.96*SE), 2) \# (e)$ 

4. (a) The counts are realisations of  $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \sim \text{Multinomial}(3839, \mathbf{p})$ , where

$$p_1 = \frac{2+\theta}{4}, p_2 = p_3 = \frac{1-\theta}{4}, p_4 = \frac{\theta}{4}$$

- (b)  $0 \le 1 r \le 1/2$ , so  $\Theta = [0, 1/4]$ .
- (c) The data are  $x_1 = 1997, x_2 = 906, x_3 = 904, x_4 = 32$ , summing to n = 3839. The log likelihood is

$$\ell(\theta) = x_1 \log(2 + \theta) + (x_2 + x_3) \log(1 - \theta) + x_4 \log \theta$$

Setting the derivative

$$\ell'(\theta) = \frac{x_1}{2+\theta} - \frac{x_2 + x_3}{1-\theta} + \frac{x_4}{\theta}$$

to 0 and rearranging, we get

$$n\theta^2 + (-x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4)\theta - 2x_4 = 0$$

which yields the given equation. The solutions are

$$\frac{-1655 \pm \sqrt{1655^2 - 4(3839)(-64)}}{2(3839)}$$

Only the larger root lies in  $\Theta$ : 0.04.

$$\ell''(\theta) = -\frac{x_1}{(2+\theta)^2} - \frac{x_2 + x_3}{(1-\theta)^2} - \frac{x_4}{\theta^2}$$

is negative at 0.04, so it is the ML estimate.