ST2132 claudeonrs

# **Probability Review**

### **Multinomial Distribution**

$$\Pr(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_r = x_r) = \binom{n}{x_1, \dots, x_r} \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{x_i}$$

## Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$\mathsf{E}\{(Y-c)^2\} = \mathsf{var}(Y) + \{\mathsf{E}(Y) - c\}^2$$

$$\mathsf{E}\{(Y-c)^2|x\} = \mathsf{var}[Y|x] + \{\mathsf{E}[Y|x] - c\}^2$$

which are special cases of  $\mathsf{E}(Y^2) = \mathsf{var}(Y) + [\mathsf{E}(Y)]^2$ . MSE is minimized if and only if c = E(Y) or E[Y|x].

Usually the formula for E[Y|x] = f(x) is determined from observations/data and x can be a vector of realisations from covariates.

$$\mathsf{MSE}_{\mathsf{empirical}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \mathsf{E}[Y|x_i] - y_i \}^2$$

In the real world, we have different realisations  $x_i$  of the random variable X, hence the mean MSE is

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{var}[Y|x_i] \approx \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{var}[Y|X]) \leq \mathrm{var}(Y)$$

### Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

involves breaking of variance into components

$$\mathsf{var}(Y) = \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{var}[Y|X]) + \mathsf{var}(\mathsf{E}[Y|X])$$

#### 1.1 **Distributions**

### $\chi_1^2$ distribution

Let  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .  $V = Z^2$  has a  $\chi^2$  distribution with 1degree of freedom

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} v^{-1/2} e^{-v/2}$$

### Gamma distribution

$$f(t) = \frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\lambda t}, t \ge 0$$

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} dx$$

 $\chi^2_n$  distribution Let  $V_1,\dots,V_n$  be IID  $\chi^2_1$ 

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i$$

has a  $\chi_n^2$  distribution with n degrees of freedom

#### t distribution

Let  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and  $V \sim \chi_n^2$  be independent

$$t_n = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/n}}$$

has a t distribution with n degrees of freedom

Let  $V \sim \chi_m^2$  and  $W \sim \chi_n^2$  be independent

$$F_{m,n} = \frac{V/m}{W/n}$$

has an F distribution with (m,n) degrees of freedom \*Note:  $t_n^2 = F_{1,n}$ 

#### 1.2 Sample Variance

$$S^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}$$

 $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$$

# **Survey and Random Sampling**

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  be random draws without replacement from a population of size N with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

$$\mathrm{cov}(X_i,X_j) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{N-1} \forall i \neq j$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\bar{X}) = \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right) \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

#### 2.1 **Exchangeable**

RV's  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$  are exchangeable if all reordered vectors have the same distribution as  $(Y_1, \dots Y_k)$ . i.e. for any permutation  $\pi$  on  $\{1,\ldots,K\}$ ,

$$(Y_{\pi(1)}, \dots, Y_{\pi(k)}) \stackrel{d}{=} (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$$

#### 2.2 **Estimate and Estimator**

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

- $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^2$  are parameters
- $ar{x}$  is an **estimate** of  $\mu$
- $ar{x}$  is a realisation of the **estimator**  $ar{X}$
- Standard Error (SE) of the estimate (a number) is defined as the SD of the estimator

$$SE = SD(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

which is how much  $\bar{X}$  fluctuates around  $\mu$  (a number) estimated from the data

Estimate of  $\sigma$ 

ST2132 claudeonrs

– Biased estimate of  $\sigma^2$ 

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2$$

– Unbiased estimate of  $\sigma^2$  (preferred)

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$$

$$E(s^2) = \sigma^2$$

How to estimate  $\mu$ ?

- $\mu$  is estimated by  $\bar{x}$
- Error in  $\bar{x}$  is measured by the SE:

$$\mathsf{SD}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

which is **estimated** by  $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$  since  $\sigma$  is unknown

• Conclusion:  $\mu$  is estimated as  $\bar{X}$ , give or take  $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$ 

SE estimated by 
$$\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \times \mathrm{SD}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where SD 
$$= \hat{\sigma}$$

How to estimate p?

•  $\hat{p}$  is the estimator of p

$$E(\hat{p}) = p$$
 
$$\mathrm{var}(\hat{p}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$
 
$$\mathrm{SE} = \mathrm{SD}(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

which is **estimated** by realisations of  $\hat{p}$ 

### 2.3 Interval estimation

#### 2.3.1 Definitions

ullet For sufficiently large n,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- The p-quantile of  $Z\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  is the number q such that

$$\Phi(q) = \Pr(Z \le q) = p$$
$$q = \Phi^{-1}(p)$$

• For  $0 , let <math>z_p$  be such that

$$Pr(Z > z_p) = p$$
$$z_p = \Phi^{-1}(1 - p)$$

In other words,  $z_p = (1 - p)$ -quantile of Z

#### 2.3.2 CI Estimation

• For large n,

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\Pr\left(-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Pr\left(\bar{X} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \le \mu \le \bar{X} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha$$

where the above,  $\left(\bar{X}-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}},\bar{X}+z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$  is a random interval. Realisation  $\bar{x}$  of  $\bar{X}$  gives the realised interval

•  $(1-\alpha)$ -CI for  $\mu$  is of the form

(estimate 
$$-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}SE$$
, estimate  $+z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}SE$ )

## 2.3.3 Exact CI

• Let  $t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},n-1}$  be the number such that

$$\Pr(t_{n-1} > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}) = \alpha/2$$

- [Important] Exact CI only works if  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $x_i$ 's are realisations from IID Normal Distribution
  - \* CI is exact means that  $\Pr(\mu \text{ is within the interval})$  is exactly  $1-\alpha$
- $(1-\alpha)$ -CI for  $\mu$  is

$$\left(\bar{x} - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

### 2.4 Bias in Survey

Famous example: US presidential election survey conducted by *Literary Digest* in 1936

### 2.4.1 Bias in Measurement

- $x_1,\dots,x_n$  are realisations of random draws  $X_i,\dots,X_n$  from a population with mean  $\mu+b$  and variance  $\sigma^2$
- SE =  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$  measures how far  $\bar{x}$  is from  $E(\bar{X}) = \mu + b$
- Definition of Bias

Bias of estimate = E(estimator) - parameter

MSE

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}(\bar{X}-\mu)^2 &= \mathsf{var}(\bar{X}) + \{\mathsf{E}(\bar{X}) - \mu\}^2 \\ \mathsf{MSE} &= \mathsf{SE}^2 + \mathsf{bias}^2 \end{split}$$

However  $\mu$  is unknowable, hence it is not possible to remove bias unless we make very careful observations

# 3 Parameter Estimation

Assuming data  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are realisations of IID RV's  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  with density  $f(x|\theta)$ , estimate  $\theta$ .

The parameter  $\theta$  lies in  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  where  $\Theta$  is the parameter space

How to estimate  $\theta$  from realisations  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ ?

- 1. Method of moments
- 2. Method of maximum likelihood

ST2132 claudeonrs

#### Method of moments 3.1

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be an estimator for  $\theta$ .

The k-th moments of an RV X is

$$\mu_k = E(X^k)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$$

is a realisation of  $\hat{\mu_k}$  and is used as estimate for  $\mu_k$ 

$$\hat{\theta} = g(\hat{\mu_1}, \dots, \hat{\mu_q})$$

is an estimate for  $\theta$  e.g. for Normal RV,

$$g: \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y - x^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Monte Carlo Approximation

Needed if formula for  $\theta$  is complicated/hard to compute the value of its expectation

### Rough Steps:

- 1. Estimate parameters  $\theta$  using MOM/MLE
- 2. Generate n realisations  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  using the estimated parameters and distribution
- 3. From these n realisations, estimate parameters again, these are realisations of  $\hat{\theta}^*$
- 4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 m times until we get m realisations of parameters  $\theta$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{SE} &= \mathsf{SD}(\hat{\theta}) \approx \mathsf{SD}(\hat{\theta}^*) \\ \mathsf{Bias} &= \mathsf{E}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta \approx \mathsf{E}(\hat{\theta^*}) - \theta_{\mathsf{est}} \end{split}$$

5. Finally,  $\theta$  is around  $\theta_{\rm est.}-$  Bias  $\pm$  SE, and the fitted distribution + parameter is called a statistical model for the event in question

Note that as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\mathsf{E}(\hat{\theta}^*) \to \theta_{\mathsf{est}} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Bias} \to 0$ ,  $\mathsf{E}(\hat{\theta}) \to \theta$ .

- Thus, it is asymptotically unbiased
- Every MOM estimator is consistent, it goes to the parameter as  $n \to \infty$

#### 3.3 Maximum Likelihood Method

Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  be realisations of IID RV's  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  with density/mass function  $f(x|\theta)$ 

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i | \theta)$$

$$l(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i|\theta)$$

Find the value of  $\theta$  that maximises the likelihood

## 3.3.1 Multinomial Data

$$L(p_1, \dots, p_r) = p_1^{x_1} \dots p_r^{x_r} \times c$$
$$l(p_1, \dots, p_r) = x_1 \log p_1 + \dots + x_r \log p_r + \log c$$

Since  $p_1 + \cdots + p_r = 1$ , differentiating l does not work since it's constrained, hence we use the Lagrangian function and treating  $p_1,\ldots,p_r,\lambda$  as if they are unconstrained

$$\mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_r, \lambda) = x_1 \log p_1 + \dots + x_r \log p_r + \lambda (p_1 + \dots + p_r - 1)$$

#### 3.3.2 Genetics

**Chromosomes** come in pairs, one from each parent

Locus a subsequence on a chromosome

Alleles different versions of bases at a locus

**Genotype** an unordered pair of alleles

- ullet Given k different alleles, we can construct k(k+1)/2 different genotypes
- Given the genotype proportions, we can calculate the allele proportions
- Given the allele proportions, we can calculate the genotype proportions

#### Mendel's Laws of inheritance

- The maternal allele is randomly chosen from her two alleles; similarly for the paternal allele
- The two choices are independent

Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium: A population is in HWE at a locus if the genotype proportions are

$$f(a_i a_j) = \begin{cases} p_i^2 & i = j\\ 2p_i p_j & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

where  $p_i$  is the proportion of allele  $a_i$  (assumption: random mating, no mutation, no migration)

#### 3.4 Large-Sample Variance of ML Estimator

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -E \left[ \frac{d^2 \log f(X)}{d\theta^2} \right]$$

# **Useful Results**

#### 4.1 **Algebra**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2$$

#### 4.2 **Procedures**

### Framework for statistical inference:

- 1. Parameter is a simple function of the population, real or hypothetical
- 2. Data are realisations of IID RV's (if  $n \ll N$ )
- 3. Estimate is a realisation of an estimator, whose SD is the SE. For large n, can construct CI.
- 4.  $MSE = SE^2 + bias^2$

#### 4.3 Multivariable Calculus

Use Hessian matrix to calculate partial derivatives/maximum points, and |H| > 0