1 NUS Libraries Online Tutorials

Types of documents

- Thesis & Dissertations, conference proceedings, journal & news articles, patents
- Review articles (good for summarizing recent developments/if u're new to the topic), bibliographies, books
 Search in multiple platform to avoid info from falling through the crack

NUS Guides:

- Subject guides: guide avail to NUS community for specific subject areas [Link]
- Other guides: APA Citation Style, Zotero, patents, how to find free online content! [Link]

1.1 Search strategies

Challenges in searching:

- may miss important literature
- how to find the right keywords?
- not specific enough
- irrelevant papers
- publications not recent

How to search?:

- 1. Identify the keywords
- 2. Add search operators
 - OR: eg COVID19 OR coronavirus
 - *: wildcard eg COVID* \rightarrow COVID19/COVID-19/COVID

virus* → viruses/virus (singular/plural)

- AND
- " " search for EXACT phrases
- 3. Refine the search statement
 - look at the search results and articles
 - are there new useful keywords/synonyms?
 - $\, \blacksquare \,$ are there any irrelevant articles? \to remove those noise keywords

1.2 Where to search?

FindMore

- Books and E-resources ⇒ View more
- Sort by relevance/date/author
- Refine your search
 - Content type (journal article etc.)
 - Publication date (1,3,5 years etc.)
- View abstract/summary to not waste time reading article
- Colourful doughnut: usage of article
- Cites/Cited by: show a list of references which have been referred to by the particular publication
- Advanced search: search by discipline etc.
- Save search: allows users to save search

Web of Science

- Peer reviewed journals!
- ullet NUS Libraries Portal o Databases o Web of Science
- Filter by:
 - Publication years
 - Document type ([IMPT] NO REVIEW ARTI-CLES)
 - Sort: by number of citations, relevance

- Read abstract
- Citations
 - Cited by
 - Cited papers
- Find it! @ NUS Library
- Analyze results
 - Discover insights on areas as well as authors
- History

Factiva

- International news database produced by Dow Jones
- Access from NUS Libraries Portal

1.3 A.R.T Evaluation Criteria

- Authoritative
 - Who is the author(s)?
 - What are the author(s) credentials or organization affiliations?
 - Has the author(s) published widely? Is the author an established expert in the field?
 - Is the information from original and reliable authentic sources? (Does the URL reveal anything about the source?)
 - Is there contact information, such as email address?
- Relevance
 - Is the information relevant to your research topic?
 - Who are the intended audience of the information?
 - Is the information at the appropriate level (i.e. not too elementary or overly advanced for your needs)?
- Timely
 - Is the information updated?
 - When was the information published?
 - Is the information up to date for the topic?
 - When was the information last updated or revised?

1.4 Alternative ways to access full texts

Google Scholar

- use similar keyword search
- Library links → Search NUS → Open WorldCat -Library Search & National University of Singapore -FindIt!

Proxy Bookmarklet

■ How to install NUS Proxy Bookmarklet

2 Week 2

2.1 Tutorial 2.1

Current problems with scientific communication

- Current media and its audience value <u>speed</u> and ease of digestion of information over quality and reliability
 - Lack of transparency, people don't know what's happening as media leave out limitations and caveats, as well as scientific methodology due to journalistic constraints

- Exaggerating/inflating information to generate more clicks, can be misused or exploited by media/authority
- Lack of respect from the general public towards the scientific community
 - The uncertain nature of science → contradictory headlines/claims, people don't know what's happening
- Difference in views (Lack of scientific literacy) between the layman and the scientist (e.g. links between vaccination and autism, does man contribute to global warming)
 - Difference in view regarding contribution of science towards society → affects public policy and scientific progress
- The public are generally intimidated by scientific jargons and abstract concepts
- Lack of scientific publications that aim to popularize science to the masses (at least in SG)

Aims of scientific communication

- Educate public on current scientific developments and its relevance to society
 - Obligation to be transparent regarding science work as science uses large amounts of resources
- Spark meaningful debates and discussion
- Increase interest in science and allow people to make more informed decisions as well as political decisions
- Fusion of public and scientific values (general public have more scientific values such as accuracy and reproducibility etc.)

Why is scientific communication useful for scientists?

- Allow scientists to discuss different ideas
 - especially scientists from different domains as even an expert in one area might be an amateur in other areas
- Realize the relevance and societal impact in their work
 - Clarify the aim of their work through writing
- A reflection of their knowledge and how much they have learnt from their studies
- Wider social perspective
 - Thinking from general public perspective
 - Deal with different perspectives and learn how to explain abstract concepts to the layman

Color and Clarity: purpose of scientific communication! **Some strategies (Talia Gershon)**

- different audience? get a sense of audience's prior knowledge by asking questions
- everyday object (noise cancelling headphones)
- how does this affect them (significance) on personal level
- Storytelling (make this relatable to them [hook])

2.2 **Tutorial 2.2**

How are papers organized?

- Title (important keywords) → abstract (summary)
- Introduction (what was the <u>problem</u>? what was the <u>reason</u> for the research? what have previous studies

- done? what are the hypotheses?)
- Methods (how readers can replicate the research [procedures/methodology, observations/data])
- Results (how does it contribute to the body of sci knowledge?)
- Discussion/conclusions
- Acknowledgements → references

Start by asking **IMRAD**

- Intro: what was the question? why is it Important
- Methods: how did the research try to answer it / solve the problem?
- Results: what did they find?
- And Discussion: what do the results mean? How does this contribute to the body of scientific knowledge

How to read a scientific paper?

- **Skim** the article without taking notes (big picture)
- Re-read especially results and methods
 Try to interpret the data before reading explanations
- Ask questions
 - What problems does the study address?
 - Why is it important?
 - Is the method good?
 - Are the findings supported by evidence/other work in the field?
 - Is the study <u>repeatable</u>? How big is the sample size? Is this <u>representative</u> of the larger population?
 - What variables were held constant?
- Write a summary

Other useful tips

- Draw inferences (rely on background knowledge)
- look for words (unexpected, in contrast to previous work, hypothesize, suggest) and main points
- take notes in own words (summary) and develop a template

Other difficulties in reading papers

- Depends on the writing skills of the scientists involved
- Sometimes describes only the 'what' (methods, results), not the 'why'
- Paper has no clear structure
- Description of experiment is ambiguous
- Authors refer back to previous papers
- Authors firmly believe in their particular model, not open to criticisms
- Authors overstate the importance of their findings

Criteria for news article

- Trivial assumptions?
- Generalizations? or can it only be applied to specific areas under certain circumstances?
- Specific fields only? or for general public?

3 Week 3

3.1 Tutorial 3.1

Strategies (important for reflection)

 Unexpectedness Belief turned upside down (mindblown), example would be genes utilising humans in

- Dawkins chapter
- Possibility: possible to be used for more application
- Potential/Effect/Impact: Significance
- Functional recontextualization use function without much details (simplification)
 - Not talking about what it is but about what it does
- Storytelling (plot, character that you can relate to)
- Descriptive (5 senses that you can be fully immersed in)
- Conversational tone (actually, of course,)
- Personal pronouns (I, we, you)
 - 'I' used to indicate level of expertise to separate you and I
- Analogy/metaphors
- Deontological appeal
 - add an air of mystery/intrigue

3.2 **Tutorial 3.2**

Headlines/leads:

- move 1: intro key findings
- move 2: highlight/describe significance/impact concrete examples/impact ⇒ research not just for the sake of science, but can be useful for the layman
- Combat popular perceptions regarding controversial stuff

some more strategies:

- <u>Definitions</u> (using brackets to explain the word/term)
- Analogy (metaphor is more like something ... is ...)
 Don't use unfamiliar concept to explain another unfamiliar concept (e.g. winter skid tyres vs olfactory bulbs)
- Descriptions (explaining process/mechanism/concept)

Areas of evaluation for DEONTOLOGICAL APPEAL

- Unexpectedness (mindblowing stuff)
- Possibility (do the results have potential impacts in multiple domains in the future?)

4 Week 4

4.1 **Tutorial 4.1**

Prime numbers Sautoy

- Humanize mathematicians through narrative story
- Effect on readers: sense of betrayal through plot twists (April fool prank)
- Writing style: Narrative
- Teleological appeal:
 - APPLICATION/BENEFITS FOR THE READERS
 - but might not be the best thing?

4.2 Tutorial 4.2

Cicada shit

- Introduce the key finding
- Significance: "First mathematical treatment", ".. to solve the problem of .."
- RATIONALE FOR DOING THE RESEARCH: nice move

- overcoming current limitations
- Addressing research gap (gap in the literature/missing info/explanation)
- DIRECT QUOTE: to ↑ credibility
 - Author
 - Credentials (job/specialty/institute/any awards/accolades)
 - Conversational/more personable
 - can be obtained from other news articles/past interview videos (use told)
- BACKGROUND INTRO: need to refer to other research/news articles for background knowledge and cite them!
- NON-TECHNICAL TERMS
- EXEMPLIFICATION: giving specific examples
 - Examples must also be understood by majority of readers
 - e.g. everyday objects

COHESIVE DEVICE

- Synonyms: using different names for the same thing to reduce repetition
- Transition words: Although, However
- Demonstrative pronouns: this/that ⇒ refer to complex concepts that have been explained beforehand
- Coherence vs Cohesion
 - coherence: ensure logical flow and understandability
 - cohesion: all the little things come together to tell a whole story
- Hedging:
 - Acknowledging limitations (there are assumptions)
 - Opening avenues for future research
 - alternative results

5 Week 5

5.1 Tutorial **5.1**

Hungry Study

- Hunger \Rightarrow anyone can relate \Rightarrow relatable
- Move 6: introduced methods & findings
 - only included part of methodology that was relevant to understanding key finding
 - easy to understand
- Move: introduce key findings
- Tries to relate to readers because being 'hangry' is something that one has experienced before
 - What happens to u also happen to alot of ppl
- Evaluate the findings
 - acknowledge limitations of research and also previous research WHILE emphasising the validity/reliability of research
 - * incremental nature of science
 - Opening up future directions for research (call to action????)
- Evaluative language: could, possibly etc
 - acknowledge limitations and assumptions
 - unethical research???? etc. unsound methodol-

ogy?? but a peer-reviewed research paper should not have this kind of problem

Conversational language

- More conversational transition words (in the meantime, at the same time)
- inclusive pronouns
- Katong Flower Shop, BYOP protein??, Central Narcotics Bureau, Yeo's,

5.2 Tutorial **5.2**

- Synonyms
- Coherence: logical flow of writing
 Why did the author use the data from Bengal Delta
 and apply it to Yemen?? Move 7: explanation of
 results

THey omitted some explanation and logical link (e.g. the researchers measured some variables but did not explain why they are correlated to cholera outbreak)

- Cohesion:
- borrowed objective POV from outside the research

6 Week 6

- **6.1** Tutorial **6.1**
- **6.2 Tutorial 6.2**

7 Week 7

- 7.1 Tutorial 7.1
- 7.2 **Tutorial 7.2**
- 8 Week 8
- 8.1 Tutorial 8.1
- 8.2 Tutorial 8.2
- 9 Week 9
- 9.1 Tutorial 9.1
- 9.2 Tutorial 9.2
- 10 Week 10
- 10.1 Tutorial 10.1
- 10.2 Tutorial 10.2
- 11 Week 11
- 11.1 Tutorial 11.1
- 11.2 Tutorial 11.2
- 12 Week 12
- 12.1 Tutorial 12.1
- 12.2 Tutorial 12.2