ST2132 claudeonrs

# **Probability Review**

### **Multinomial Distribution**

$$\Pr(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_r = x_r) = \binom{n}{x_1, \dots, x_r} \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{x_i}$$

### Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$\mathsf{E}\{(Y-c)^2\} = \mathsf{var}(Y) + \{\mathsf{E}(Y) - c\}^2$$

$$\mathsf{E}\{(Y-c)^2|x\} = \mathsf{var}[Y|x] + \{\mathsf{E}[Y|x] - c\}^2$$

which are special cases of  $\mathsf{E}(Y^2) = \mathsf{var}(Y) + [\mathsf{E}(Y)]^2$ . MSE is minimized if and only if c = E(Y) or E[Y|x].

Usually the formula for E[Y|x] = f(x) is determined from observations/data and x can be a vector of realisations from covariates.

$$\mathsf{MSE}_{\mathsf{empirical}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \mathsf{E}[Y|x_i] - y_i \}^2$$

In the real world, we have different realisations  $x_i$  of the random variable X, hence the mean MSE is

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{var}[Y|x_i] \approx \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{var}[Y|X]) \leq \mathsf{var}(Y)$$

### Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

involves breaking of variance into components

$$\mathsf{var}(Y) = \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{var}[Y|X]) + \mathsf{var}(\mathsf{E}[Y|X])$$

#### 1.1 **Distributions**

### $\chi_1^2$ distribution

Let  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .  $V = Z^2$  has a  $\chi^2$  distribution with 1degree of freedom

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} v^{-1/2} e^{-v/2}$$

### Gamma distribution

$$f(t) = \frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\lambda t}, t \ge 0$$

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} dx$$

 $\chi^2_n$  distribution Let  $V_1,\dots,V_n$  be IID  $\chi^2_1$ 

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i$$

has a  $\chi_n^2$  distribution with n degrees of freedom

#### t distribution

Let  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and  $V \sim \chi_n^2$  be independent

$$t_n = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/n}}$$

has a t distribution with n degrees of freedom

Let  $V \sim \chi_m^2$  and  $W \sim \chi_n^2$  be independent

$$F_{m,n} = \frac{V/m}{W/n}$$

has an F distribution with (m,n) degrees of freedom \*Note:  $t_n^2 = F_{1,n}$ 

#### 1.2 Sample Variance

$$S^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}$$

 $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$$

# **Survey and Random Sampling**

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  be random draws without replacement from a population of size N with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

$$\mathrm{cov}(X_i,X_j) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{N-1} \forall i \neq j$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\bar{X}) = \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right) \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

#### 2.1 **Exchangeable**

RV's  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$  are exchangeable if all reordered vectors have the same distribution as  $(Y_1, \dots Y_k)$ . i.e. for any permutation  $\pi$  on  $\{1,\ldots,K\}$ ,

$$(Y_{\pi(1)}, \dots, Y_{\pi(k)}) \stackrel{d}{=} (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$$

#### 2.2 **Estimate and Estimator**

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

- $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^2$  are parameters
- $ar{x}$  is an **estimate** of  $\mu$
- $ar{x}$  is a realisation of the **estimator**  $ar{X}$
- Standard Error (SE) of the estimate (a number) is defined as the SD of the estimator

$$SE = SD(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

which is how much  $\bar{X}$  fluctuates around  $\mu$  (a number) estimated from the data

Estimate of  $\sigma$ 

ST2132 claudeonrs

– Biased estimate of  $\sigma^2$ 

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2$$

– Unbiased estimate of  $\sigma^2$  (preferred)

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$$

$$E(s^2) = \sigma^2$$

How to estimate  $\mu$ ?

- $\mu$  is estimated by  $\bar{x}$
- Error in  $\bar{x}$  is measured by the SE:

$$\mathsf{SD}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

which is **estimated** by  $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$  since  $\sigma$  is unknown

• Conclusion:  $\mu$  is estimated as  $\bar{X}$ , give or take  $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$ 

SE estimated by 
$$\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \times \mathrm{SD}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where SD 
$$= \hat{\sigma}$$

How to estimate p?

•  $\hat{p}$  is the estimator of p

$$E(\hat{p}) = p$$
 
$$\mathrm{var}(\hat{p}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$
 
$$\mathrm{SE} = \mathrm{SD}(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

which is **estimated** by realisations of  $\hat{p}$ 

### 2.3 Interval estimation

#### 2.3.1 Definitions

ullet For sufficiently large n,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- The p-quantile of  $Z\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  is the number q such that

$$\Phi(q) = \Pr(Z \le q) = p$$
$$q = \Phi^{-1}(p)$$

• For  $0 , let <math>z_p$  be such that

$$Pr(Z > z_p) = p$$
$$z_p = \Phi^{-1}(1 - p)$$

In other words,  $z_p = (1 - p)$ -quantile of Z

#### 2.3.2 CI Estimation

• For large n,

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\Pr\left(-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Pr\left(\bar{X} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \le \mu \le \bar{X} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha$$

where the above,  $\left(\bar{X}-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}},\bar{X}+z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$  is a random interval. Realisation  $\bar{x}$  of  $\bar{X}$  gives the realised interval

•  $(1-\alpha)$ -CI for  $\mu$  is of the form

(estimate 
$$-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}SE$$
, estimate  $+z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}SE$ )

#### 2.3.3 Exact CI

• Let  $t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},n-1}$  be the number such that

$$\Pr(t_{n-1} > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}) = \alpha/2$$

- [Important] Exact CI only works if  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $x_i$ 's are realisations from IID Normal Distribution
  - \* CI is exact means that  $\Pr(\mu \text{ is within the interval})$  is exactly  $1-\alpha$
- $(1-\alpha)$ -CI for  $\mu$  is

$$\left(\bar{x} - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

### 2.4 Bias in Survey

Famous example: US presidential election survey conducted by *Literary Digest* in 1936

### 2.4.1 Bias in Measurement

- $x_1,\dots,x_n$  are realisations of random draws  $X_i,\dots,X_n$  from a population with mean  $\mu+b$  and variance  $\sigma^2$
- SE =  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$  measures how far  $\bar{x}$  is from  $E(\bar{X}) = \mu + b$
- Definition of Bias

Bias of estimate = E(estimator) - parameter

MSE

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}(\bar{X}-\mu)^2 &= \mathsf{var}(\bar{X}) + \{\mathsf{E}(\bar{X}) - \mu\}^2 \\ \mathsf{MSE} &= \mathsf{SE}^2 + \mathsf{bias}^2 \end{split}$$

However  $\mu$  is unknowable, hence it is not possible to remove bias unless we make very careful observations

## 3 Parameter Estimation

Assuming data  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are realisations of IID RV's  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  with density  $f(x|\theta)$ , estimate  $\theta$ .

The parameter  $\theta$  lies in  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  where  $\Theta$  is the parameter space

How to estimate  $\theta$  from realisations  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ ?

- 1. Method of moments
- 2. Method of maximum likelihood

ST2132 claudeonrs

#### Method of moments 3.1

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be an estimator for  $\theta$ . The k-th moments of an RV X is

$$\mu_k = E(X^k)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^k$$

is a realisation of  $\hat{\mu_k}$  and is used as estimate for  $\mu_k$ 

$$\hat{\theta} = g(\hat{\mu_1}, \dots, \hat{\mu_q})$$

is an estimate for  $\theta$  e.g. for Normal RV,

$$g: \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y - x^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 3.2 Monte Carlo Approximation

Needed if formula for  $\theta$  is complicated/hard to compute the value of its expectation

#### Rough Steps:

- 1. Estimate parameters  $\theta$  using MOM/MLE
- 2. Generate n realisations  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  using the estimated parameters and distribution
- 3. From these n realisations, estimate parameters again, these are realisations of  $\hat{\theta}^*$
- 4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 m times until we get m realisations of parameters  $\theta$

$$SE = SD(\hat{\theta}) \approx SD(\hat{\theta}^*)$$

$$\mathsf{Bias} = \mathsf{E}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta \approx \mathsf{E}(\hat{\theta^*}) - \theta_{\mathsf{est}}$$

5. Finally,  $\theta$  is around  $\theta_{\rm est.}-$  Bias  $\pm$  SE, and the fitted distribution + parameter is called a statistical model for the event in question

Note that as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\mathsf{E}(\hat{\theta}^*) \to \theta_{\mathsf{est}} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Bias} \to 0$ ,  $\mathsf{E}(\hat{\theta}) \to \theta$ .

- Thus, it is asymptotically unbiased
- Every MOM estimator is consistent, it goes to the parameter as  $n \to \infty$

#### 3.3 Maximum Likelihood Method

Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  be realisations of IID RV's  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  with density/mass function  $f(x|\theta)$ 

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i|\theta)$$

$$l(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(x_i|\theta)$$

Find the value of  $\theta$  that maximises the likelihood

#### 3.3.1 Multinomial Data

$$L(p_1, \dots, p_r) = p_1^{x_1} \dots p_r^{x_r} \times c$$

$$l(p_1, \dots, p_r) = x_1 \log p_1 + \dots + x_r \log p_r + \log c$$

Since  $p_1 + \cdots + p_r = 1$ , differentiating l does not work since it's constrained, hence we use the Lagrangian function and treating  $p_1, \ldots, p_r, \lambda$  as if they are unconstrained

 $\mathcal{L}(p_1,\ldots,p_r,\lambda)=x_1\log p_1+\cdots+x_r\log p_r+\lambda(p_1+\cdots+p_r-1)$  where  $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$  is the information in any one of the X's

#### 3.3.2 Genetics

Chromosomes come in pairs, one from each parent

Locus a subsequence on a chromosome

Alleles different versions of bases at a locus

Genotype an unordered pair of alleles

- Given k different alleles, we can construct k(k +1)/2 different genotypes
- Given the genotype proportions, we can calculate the allele proportions
- Given the allele proportions, we can calculate the genotype proportions

#### Mendel's Laws of inheritance

- The maternal allele is randomly chosen from her two alleles; similarly for the paternal allele
- The two choices are independent

Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium: A population is in HWE at a locus if the genotype proportions are

$$f(a_i a_j) = \begin{cases} p_i^2 & i = j\\ 2p_i p_j & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

where  $p_i$  is the proportion of allele  $a_i$  (assumption: random mating, no mutation, no migration)

#### 3.4 Large-Sample Variance of ML Estimator

Let X have density  $f(x|\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ . The Fisher information is the  $p \times p$  matrix

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{d^2\log f(X)}{d\theta^2}\right]$$

with (i, j) entry

$$-\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_i}\right]$$

$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} f(x) dx \text{ or } -\sum_{x} \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} f(x) dx$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}_n) pprox rac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}$$

## 3.4.1 Joint Density

IID  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  with density  $f(x|\theta)$  can be regarded as a sample from  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with joint density

$$q(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = f(X_1|\theta) \cdots f(X_n|\theta)$$

The information in X is

$$- - \mathsf{E}\left[\frac{d^2 \log g(\mathbf{X})}{d\theta^2}\right] = n\mathcal{I}(\theta)$$

ST2132 claudeonrs

### 3.4.2 Multinomial

Let  $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathsf{Multinomial}(n, \mathbf{p}(\theta))$  where

$$\mathbf{p}(\theta) = (p_1(\theta), \dots, p_r(\theta))$$

$$\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k, 1 \leq, k \leq r-1$$

Then,

$$\log f(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} X_i \log p_i$$

(i,j) entry of  $\mathbb{I}(\theta)$ :

$$\frac{n}{p_i} + \frac{n}{p_r}, i = j$$
$$\frac{n}{p_r}, i \neq j$$

### 3.5 Distribution of MLE

As  $n \to \infty$ , the distribution of

$$\sqrt{n\mathcal{I}(\theta)}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$$

converges to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_p)$ 

For large n,

$$\hat{\theta}_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}\right)$$

$$1 - \alpha \approx \Pr\left(-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \le \frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}/n}} \le z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$$

$$1 - \alpha \approx \Pr\left(\hat{\theta}_n - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}} \le \theta \le \hat{\theta}_n + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}}\right)$$

$$1 - \alpha \approx \Pr(\text{estimate} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathsf{SE} \le \theta \le \text{estimate} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathsf{SE})$$

Where estimate is drawn using MLE from data, and SE is drawn using the estimate and Fischer information

### 3.5.1 Asymptotic Normality

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the ML estimator of  $\theta$ .

- For any strictly decreasing/increasing function  $h: \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h(\hat{\theta})$  is also the ML estimator of  $h(\theta)$ .
- For large n,  $h(\hat{\theta})$  is approximately normal (asymptotically normal)

### 3.6 ML vs MOM

- Both ML and MOM are **consistent**: bias goes to 0 as  $n \to \infty$
- ML is better (smaller bias and SE) because it uses all info contained in the density function, whereas MOM uses only sample moments to estimate parameters
- ML estimators have **asymptotic properties**: as  $n \to \infty$ , SE can be estimated without Monte Carlo and so a good CI for the parameter is available
- MOM estimators may not be asymptotically normal so it is more difficult to construct a CI, but it is easier to compute so is sometimes useful

## 4 Goodness-of-fit

## 4.1 Pearson's $X^2$ Test

Let  $(X_1, \ldots, X_r) \sim \text{Multinomial(n,p)}$  with n, r fixed. Then the set of all possible distributions of  $\mathbf{p}$  is:

$$\Omega = \left\{ (p_1, \dots, p_r) : p_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^r p_i = 1 \right\}$$

Consider a subset  $\Omega_0$  where  ${\bf p}$  depends on  $\theta\in\Theta\subset\mathbb{R}^k, k, r-1$ 

$$\Omega_0 = \{ (p_1(\theta), \dots, p_r(\theta)) : \theta \in \Theta \}$$

Now we want to judge if  $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega_0$  given realisations  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  (in other words, is  $\mathbf{p}$  a function which takes in a k-dimensional vector  $\theta$ )

- Assuming  $(X_1,\ldots,X_r) \sim \text{Multinomial}(n,\mathbf{p}(\theta)),\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k, k < r-1$
- $\hat{\theta}$  is the ML estimator of  $\theta$
- $n\mathbf{p}(\hat{\theta})$  is the random expected counts
- Chi-square statistic:

$$X^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(X_{i} - np_{i}(\hat{\theta}))^{2}}{np_{i}(\hat{\theta})} = \sum \frac{(O - E)^{2}}{E}$$

[Theorem] As  $n \to \infty$ , the distribution of  $X^2$  converges to  $\chi^2_{r-1-k}$ 

Note that  $\underline{k}$  can be 0, in the case of assuming fair die where there is no parameter to estimate (when the properties are equal)

Steps for  $X^2$  goodness-of-fit test

- 1. Let  $H_0: \mathbf{p} \in \Omega_0$
- 2. Let  $H_1 : \mathbf{p} \in \Omega_1$
- 3. Substituting each  $X_i$  by  $x_i$  (the observed realisations) and  $\hat{\theta}$  by the ML estimate (to get the expected counts), we get a realisation  $x^2$  of  $X^2$
- 4. The P-value: (Calculated assuming  $H_0$ )

$$\Pr(X^2 \ge x^2) \approx \Pr(\chi^2_{r-1-k} \ge x^2)$$

The smaller it is, the more suspicious we are of  $H_0$  (more likely to reject  $H_0$ )

The bigger it is, we are more likely to accept  $H_0$ 

#### 4.2 Likelihood Ratio

Assuming multinomial, Maximum of likelihood  $L(\mathbf{p})=\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{X_i}$  over  $\Omega,$  happens when there is no restriction, do MLE as usual

$$L_1 = L(\hat{p}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{X_i}{n}\right)^{X_i}$$

Maximum of likelihood  $L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i(\theta)^{X_i}$  over  $\Omega_0$ 

$$L_0 = L(\hat{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i(\hat{\theta})^{X_i}$$

Note that  $L_0/L_1 \geq 1$  , the larger the ratio, the more we doubt  $H_0$ 

$$2\log\left(\frac{L_1}{L_0}\right) = G$$

ST2132 claudeonrs

$$G = 2\sum_{i=1}^{r} X_i \log \left( \frac{X_i}{np_i(\hat{\theta})} \right)$$

### 4.2.1 LR goodness-of-fit test

#### **Assumptions**

- n IID RV's density defined by  $\theta \in \Omega$  with k independent parameters
- $L_1$ : maximum likelihood value over  $\Omega$
- $L_0$ : maximum likelihood value over  $\Omega_0$  with  $k_0 < k_1$ independent parameters

**Theorem**: Suppose  $\theta \in \Omega_0$  (Assume  $H_0$  is true). As  $n \to \infty$ , the distribution of

$$G = 2\log\left(\frac{L_1}{L_0}\right)$$

converges to  $\chi^2_{k_1-k_0}$  LR goodness-of-fit test

- 1.  $H_0: \theta \in \bar{\Omega}_0$
- 2.  $H_1: \theta \in \Omega_1$
- 3.  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  are the maximum likelihood values under  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_1$

$$g = 2\log\left(\frac{L_0}{L_1}\right)$$

is a realisation of G

4. The P-value is calculated with distribution of G under

$$\Pr(G \ge g) \approx \Pr(\chi^2_{k_1 - k_0} \ge g)$$

#### 4.2.2 Conclusion

- The LR test assumes the larger model is valid, and does not assess its goodness-of-fit
- P-value is not a probability that  $H_0$  is true, P-value is computed assuming  $H_0$  is true

#### 4.3 **Poisson Dispersion Test**

For Poisson, if var is more or less the same as mean, then it can fit well. But if var is >> mean then need to find new distribution or the data might come from two or more different RV's

1.  $H_1: \theta \in \Omega$ : For  $i=1,\ldots,n,\ X_i \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$  and each are independent

$$l(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$$

When  $l(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  is maximum,  $\hat{\lambda} = X_i$ , so maximum likelihood under  $\Omega: l_1=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\log X_i-\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  2.  $H_0:\theta\in\Omega_0$ : Every  $\lambda_i=\lambda$ 

$$l(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \log \lambda - n\lambda$$

Maximum likelihood is achieved when  $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$  under  $\Omega_0: l_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log \bar{X} - n\bar{X}$  3. Calculate P-value

$$G = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \log \left(\frac{X_i}{\bar{X}}\right) \approx \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\bar{X}}$$

Suppose every  $\lambda_i = \lambda$ . For large n,  $G \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$  approx-

#### 5 **Useful Results**

#### 5.1 Algebra

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2$$

$$\hat{\theta}_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}\right)$$

#### 5.2 **Procedures**

### Framework for statistical inference:

- 1. Parameter is a simple function of the population, real or hypothetical
- 2. Data are realisations of IID RV's (if  $n \ll N$ )
- 3. Estimate is a realisation of an estimator, whose SD is the SE. For large n, can construct CI.
- $MSE = SE^2 + bias^2$

#### 5.3 Multivariable Calculus

Use Hessian matrix to calculate partial derivatives/maximum points, and  $\left|H\right|>0$