

1 Probability Review

Multinomial Distribution

$$\Pr(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_r = x_r) = \binom{n}{x_1, \dots, x_r} \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{x_i}$$

Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$E\{(Y - c)^2\} = \text{var}(Y) + \{E(Y) - c\}^2$$

$$E\{(Y - c)^2|x\} = \text{var}[Y|x] + \{E[Y|x] - c\}^2$$

which are special cases of $E(Y^2) = \text{var}(Y) + [E(Y)]^2$. MSE is minimized if and only if $c = E(Y)$ or $E[Y|x]$.

Usually the formula for $E[Y|x] = f(x)$ is determined from observations/data and x can be a vector of realisations from covariates.

$$\text{MSE}_{\text{empirical}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{E[Y|x_i] - y_i\}^2$$

In the real world, we have different realisations x_i of the random variable X , hence the mean MSE is

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}[Y|x_i] \approx E(\text{var}[Y|X]) \leq \text{var}(Y)$$

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

involves breaking of variance into components

$$\text{var}(Y) = E(\text{var}[Y|X]) + \text{var}(E[Y|X])$$

1.1 Distributions

χ_1^2 distribution

Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. $V = Z^2$ has a χ^2 distribution with 1 degree of freedom

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} v^{-1/2} e^{-v/2}$$

Gamma distribution

$$f(t) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda t}, t \geq 0$$

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx$$

χ_n^2 distribution

Let V_1, \dots, V_n be IID χ_1^2

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i$$

has a χ_n^2 distribution with n degrees of freedom

t distribution

Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $V \sim \chi_n^2$ be independent

$$t_n = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/n}}$$

has a t distribution with n degrees of freedom

F distribution

Let $V \sim \chi_m^2$ and $W \sim \chi_n^2$ be independent

$$F_{m,n} = \frac{V/m}{W/n}$$

has an F distribution with (m, n) degrees of freedom

*Note: $t_n^2 = F_{1,n}$

1.2 Sample Variance

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

\bar{X} and S^2 are independent

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}$$

2 Survey and Random Sampling

Let X_1, \dots, X_N be random draws without replacement from a population of size N with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

$$\text{cov}(X_i, X_j) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{N-1} \forall i \neq j$$

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}) = \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right) \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

2.1 Exchangeable

RV's Y_1, \dots, Y_k are exchangeable if all reordered vectors have the same distribution as (Y_1, \dots, Y_k) . i.e. for any permutation π on $\{1, \dots, K\}$,

$$(Y_{\pi(1)}, \dots, Y_{\pi(k)}) \stackrel{d}{=} (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$$

2.2 Estimate and Estimator

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

- μ, σ, σ^2 are **parameters**
- \bar{x} is an **estimate** of μ
- \bar{x} is a realisation of the **estimator** \bar{X}
- **Standard Error (SE)** of the estimate (a number) is defined as the SD of the estimator

$$\text{SE} = \text{SD}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

which is how much \bar{X} fluctuates around μ (a number) estimated from the data

- Estimate of σ

- Biased estimate of σ^2

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2$$

- Unbiased estimate of σ^2 (preferred)

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$E(s^2) = \sigma^2$$

How to estimate μ ?

- μ is estimated by \bar{x}
- Error in \bar{x} is measured by the SE:

$$SD(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

which is **estimated** by $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$ since σ is unknown

- **Conclusion:** μ is estimated as \bar{X} , give or take $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$\text{SE estimated by } \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \times SD}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where $SD = \hat{\sigma}$

How to estimate p ?

- \hat{p} is the estimator of p

$$E(\hat{p}) = p$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{p}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

$$SE = SD(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

which is **estimated** by realisations of \hat{p}

2.3 Interval estimation

2.3.1 Definitions

- For sufficiently large n ,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- The p -quantile of $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ is the number q such that

$$\Phi(q) = \Pr(Z \leq q) = p$$

$$q = \Phi^{-1}(p)$$

```
1 q <- qnorm(p)
```

```
2 p <- pnorm(q)
```

- For $0 < p < 0.5$, let z_p be such that

$$\Pr(Z > z_p) = p$$

$$z_p = \Phi^{-1}(1-p)$$

In other words, $z_p = (1-p)$ -quantile of Z

2.3.2 CI Estimation

- For large n ,

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\Pr\left(-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Pr\left(\bar{X} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha$$

where the above, $\left(\bar{X} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is a random interval. Realisation \bar{x} of \bar{X} gives the realised interval

- $(1 - \alpha)$ -CI for μ is of the form

$$(\text{estimate} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{SE}, \text{estimate} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{SE})$$

2.3.3 Exact CI

- Let $t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}$ be the number such that

$$\Pr(t_{n-1} > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}) = \alpha/2$$

- **[Important]** Exact CI only works if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and x_i 's are realisations from IID Normal Distribution

* CI is exact means that $\Pr(\mu \text{ is within the interval})$ is exactly $1 - \alpha$

- $(1 - \alpha)$ -CI for μ is

$$\left(\bar{x} - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

2.4 Bias in Survey

Famous example: US presidential election survey conducted by *Literary Digest* in 1936

2.4.1 Bias in Measurement

- x_1, \dots, x_n are realisations of random draws X_1, \dots, X_n from a population with mean $\mu + b$ and variance σ^2
- $SE = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$ measures how far \bar{x} is from $E(\bar{X}) = \mu + b$
- **Definition of Bias**

$$\text{Bias of estimate} = E(\text{estimator}) - \text{parameter}$$

- MSE

$$E(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 = \text{var}(\bar{X}) + \{E(\bar{X}) - \mu\}^2$$

$$\text{MSE} = \text{SE}^2 + \text{bias}^2$$

However μ is unknowable, hence it is not possible to remove bias unless we make very careful observations

3 Parameter Estimation

Assuming data x_1, \dots, x_n are realisations of IID RV's X_1, \dots, X_n with density $f(x|\theta)$, estimate θ .

The parameter θ lies in $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ where Θ is the parameter space

How to estimate θ from realisations x_1, \dots, x_n ?

1. Method of moments
2. Method of maximum likelihood

3.1 Method of moments

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimator for θ .

The k -th moments of an RV X is

$$\mu_k = E(X^k)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$$

is a realisation of $\hat{\mu}_k$ and is used as estimate for μ_k

$$\hat{\theta} = g(\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_q)$$

is an estimate for θ e.g. for Normal RV,

$$g: \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y - x^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.2 Monte Carlo Approximation

Needed if formula for θ is complicated/hard to compute the value of its expectation

Rough Steps:

1. Estimate parameters θ using MOM/MLE
2. Generate n realisations x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n using the estimated parameters and distribution
3. From these n realisations, estimate parameters again, these are realisations of $\hat{\theta}^*$
4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 m times until we get m realisations of parameters θ

$$SE = SD(\hat{\theta}) \approx SD(\hat{\theta}^*)$$

$$\text{Bias} = E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta \approx E(\hat{\theta}^*) - \theta_{\text{est.}}$$

5. Finally, θ is around $\theta_{\text{est.}} - \text{Bias} \pm SE$, and the fitted distribution + parameter is called a **statistical model** for the event in question

Note that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $E(\hat{\theta}^*) \rightarrow \theta_{\text{est}} \Rightarrow \text{Bias} \rightarrow 0$, $E(\hat{\theta}) \rightarrow \theta$.

- Thus, it is **asymptotically unbiased**
- Every MOM estimator is consistent, it goes to the parameter as $n \rightarrow \infty$

3.3 Maximum Likelihood Method

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be realisations of IID RV's X_1, \dots, X_n with density/mass function $f(x|\theta)$

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$$

$$l(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i|\theta)$$

Find the value of θ that maximises the likelihood

3.3.1 Multinomial Data

$$L(p_1, \dots, p_r) = p_1^{x_1} \dots p_r^{x_r} \times c$$

$$l(p_1, \dots, p_r) = x_1 \log p_1 + \dots + x_r \log p_r + \log c$$

Since $p_1 + \dots + p_r = 1$, differentiating l does not work since it's constrained, hence we use the **Lagrangian** function and treating p_1, \dots, p_r, λ as if they are unconstrained

$$\mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_r, \lambda) = x_1 \log p_1 + \dots + x_r \log p_r + \lambda(p_1 + \dots + p_r - 1)$$

3.3.2 Genetics

Chromosomes come in pairs, one from each parent

Locus a subsequence on a chromosome

Alleles different versions of bases at a locus

Genotype an unordered pair of alleles

- Given k different alleles, we can construct $k(k+1)/2$ different genotypes
- Given the genotype proportions, we can calculate the allele proportions
- Given the allele proportions, we can calculate the genotype proportions

Mendel's Laws of inheritance

- The maternal allele is randomly chosen from her two alleles; similarly for the paternal allele
- The two choices are independent

Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium: A population is in HWE at a locus if the genotype proportions are

$$f(a_i a_j) = \begin{cases} p_i^2 & i = j \\ 2p_i p_j & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

where p_i is the proportion of allele a_i (assumption: random mating, no mutation, no migration)

3.4 Large-Sample Variance of ML Estimator

Let X have density $f(x|\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$. The Fisher information is the $p \times p$ matrix

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -E \left[\frac{d^2 \log f(X)}{d\theta^2} \right]$$

with (i, j) entry

$$-E \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right]$$

$$= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} f(x) dx \text{ or } - \sum_x \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} f(x) dx$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\text{var}(\hat{\theta}_n) \approx \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}$$

3.4.1 Joint Density

IID X_1, \dots, X_n with density $f(x|\theta)$ can be regarded as a sample from $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with joint density

$$g(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = f(X_1|\theta) \dots f(X_n|\theta)$$

The information in \mathbf{X} is

$$-E \left[\frac{d^2 \log g(\mathbf{X})}{d\theta^2} \right] = n\mathcal{I}(\theta)$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$ is the information in any one of the X 's

3.4.2 Multinomial

Let $\mathbf{X} \sim \text{Multinomial}(n, \mathbf{p}(\theta))$ where

$$\mathbf{p}(\theta) = (p_1(\theta), \dots, p_r(\theta))$$

$$\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k, 1 \leq k \leq r-1$$

Then,

$$\log f(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i \log p_i$$

(i, j) entry of $\mathbb{I}(\theta)$:

$$\frac{n}{p_i} + \frac{n}{p_r}, i = j$$

$$\frac{n}{p_r}, i \neq j$$

3.5 Distribution of MLE

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of

$$\sqrt{n\mathcal{I}(\theta)}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$$

converges to $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_p)$.

For large n ,

$$\hat{\theta}_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}\right)$$

$$1 - \alpha \approx \Pr\left(-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}/n}} \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$$

$$1 - \alpha \approx \Pr\left(\hat{\theta}_n - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}} \leq \theta \leq \hat{\theta}_n + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n}}\right)$$

$$1 - \alpha \approx \Pr(\text{estimate} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{SE} \leq \theta \leq \text{estimate} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{SE})$$

Where estimate is drawn using MLE from data, and SE is drawn using the estimate and Fischer information

3.5.1 Asymptotic Normality

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the ML estimator of θ .

- For any strictly decreasing/increasing function $h : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h(\hat{\theta})$ is also the ML estimator of $h(\theta)$.
- For large n , $h(\hat{\theta})$ is approximately normal (**asymptotically normal**)

3.6 ML vs MOM

- Both ML and MOM are **consistent**: bias goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- ML is better (smaller bias and SE) because it uses all info contained in the density function, whereas MOM uses only sample moments to estimate parameters
- ML estimators have **asymptotic properties**: as $n \rightarrow \infty$, SE can be estimated without Monte Carlo and so a good CI for the parameter is available
- MOM estimators may not be asymptotically normal so it is more difficult to construct a CI, but it is easier to compute so is sometimes useful

4 Goodness-of-fit

4.1 Pearson's X^2 Test

Let $(X_1, \dots, X_r) \sim \text{Multinomial}(n, \mathbf{p})$ with n, r fixed. Then the set of all possible distributions of \mathbf{p} is:

$$\Omega = \left\{ (p_1, \dots, p_r) : p_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^r p_i = 1 \right\}$$

Consider a subset Ω_0 where \mathbf{p} depends on $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k, k, r-1$

$$\Omega_0 = \{(p_1(\theta), \dots, p_r(\theta)) : \theta \in \Theta\}$$

Now we want to judge if $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega_0$ given realisations (x_1, \dots, x_r) (in other words, is \mathbf{p} a function which takes in a k -dimensional vector θ)

- Assuming $(X_1, \dots, X_r) \sim \text{Multinomial}(n, \mathbf{p}(\theta)), \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k, k < r-1$
- $\hat{\theta}$ is the ML estimator of θ
- $n\mathbf{p}(\hat{\theta})$ is the random expected counts
- Chi-square statistic**:

$$X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(X_i - np_i(\hat{\theta}))^2}{np_i(\hat{\theta})} = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$$

[Theorem] As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of X^2 converges to χ_{r-1-k}^2

Note that k can be 0, in the case of assuming fair die where there is no parameter to estimate (when the properties are equal)

Steps for X^2 goodness-of-fit test

- Let $H_0 : \mathbf{p} \in \Omega_0$
- Let $H_1 : \mathbf{p} \in \Omega_1$
- Substituting each X_i by x_i (the observed realisations) and $\hat{\theta}$ by the ML estimate (to get the expected counts), we get a realisation x^2 of X^2
- The P -value: (Calculated assuming H_0)

$$\Pr(X^2 \geq x^2) \approx \Pr(\chi_{r-1-k}^2 \geq x^2)$$

The smaller it is, the more suspicious we are of H_0 (more likely to reject H_0)

The bigger it is, we are more likely to accept H_0

4.2 Likelihood Ratio

Assuming multinomial, Maximum of likelihood $L(\mathbf{p}) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{X_i}$ over Ω , happens when there is no restriction, do MLE as usual

$$L_1 = L(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{X_i}{n}\right)^{X_i}$$

Maximum of likelihood $L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i(\theta)^{X_i}$ over Ω_0

$$L_0 = L(\hat{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i(\hat{\theta})^{X_i}$$

Note that $L_0/L_1 \geq 1$, the larger the ratio, the more we doubt H_0

$$2 \log \left(\frac{L_1}{L_0} \right) = G$$

$$G = 2 \sum_{i=1}^r X_i \log \left(\frac{X_i}{np_i(\hat{\theta})} \right)$$

4.2.1 LR goodness-of-fit test

Assumptions

- n IID RV's density defined by $\theta \in \Omega$ with k independent parameters
- L_1 : maximum likelihood value over Ω
- L_0 : maximum likelihood value over Ω_0 with $k_0 < k_1$ independent parameters

Theorem: Suppose $\theta \in \Omega_0$ (Assume H_0 is true). As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of

$$G = 2 \log \left(\frac{L_1}{L_0} \right)$$

converges to $\chi^2_{k_1-k_0}$ **LR goodness-of-fit test**

1. $H_0 : \theta \in \Omega_0$
2. $H_1 : \theta \in \Omega_1$
3. L_0 and L_1 are the maximum likelihood values under Ω_0 and Ω_1

$$g = 2 \log \left(\frac{L_1}{L_0} \right)$$

is a realisation of G

4. The P -value is calculated with distribution of G under H_0

$$\Pr(G \geq g) \approx \Pr(\chi^2_{k_1-k_0} \geq g)$$

4.3 Poisson Dispersion Test

For Poisson, if var is more or less the same as mean, then it can fit well. But if var is \gg mean then need to find new distribution or the data might come from two or more different RV's

1. $H_1 : \theta \in \Omega$: For $i = 1, \dots, n$, $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$ and each are independent

$$l(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$$

- When $l(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is maximum, $\hat{\lambda} = X_i$, so maximum likelihood under $\Omega : l_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
2. $H_0 : \theta \in \Omega_0$: Every $\lambda_i = \lambda$

$$l(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log \lambda - n\lambda$$

Maximum likelihood is achieved when $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$ under $\Omega_0 : l_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log \bar{X} - n\bar{X}$

3. Calculate P -value

$$G = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log \left(\frac{X_i}{\bar{X}} \right) \approx \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\bar{X}}$$

Suppose every $\lambda_i = \lambda$. For large n , $G \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$ approximately

5 Useful Results

5.1 Algebra

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2$$

$$\hat{\theta}_n \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\theta, \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{n} \right)$$

5.2 Procedures

Framework for statistical inference:

1. Parameter is a simple function of the population, real or hypothetical
2. Data are realisations of IID RV's (if $n \ll N$)
3. Estimate is a realisation of an estimator, whose SD is the SE. For large n , can construct CI.
4. $\text{MSE} = \text{SE}^2 + \text{bias}^2$

5.3 Multivariable Calculus

- Use Hessian matrix to calculate partial derivatives/-maximum points, and $|H| > 0$