

Xerox XIP Parser Evaluation Questionnaire

Company	
User Name	
Job Title	
Department	
Telephone	
E-mail	
Date	

Survey of the Xerox XIP Parser

XIP Implementation

1)	How would	you rate XIP	in terms	of processing	speed?
----	-----------	--------------	----------	---------------	--------

Too slow	Satisfactory	Fast	Excellent

2) Is the number of words per second on a given microprocessor a good measure of speed?

Yes	No

3) How important is parsing speed for you at the product development stage?

Not Very Important	Important	Very Important	Critical

4) How important is parsing speed for you at end-user run-time?

Not Very Important	Important	Very Important	Critical

5) Which version of XIP have you evaluated?

Windows	Linux	Solaris

Library of C++	Stand-alone
Objects	Application

6) How likely are you to use another version in the future?

	Very Unlikely	Don' t know	Very Likely
Windows			
Linux			
Solaris			

XIP Grammars

7) What XIP Grammar(s) were you provided with (e.g. English, French etc.)?

8) How robust do you consider the XIP grammars that were provided to you? [Robust means that the grammar provides results even when there are inaccuracies in the text]

Not Very	Quite	Robust	Very
Robust	Robust		Robust

9)	How would you rate the coverage of the XIP grammars that were provided to you?
[Cc]	overage defines the number of functionalities (e.g. syntactic dependencies) of the grammar]

Not Very Good	Satisfactory	Very Good	Excellent

10) What linguistic information will be the most useful for your application?

F	Priority			
Functionalities / linguistic information	Low	Medium	High	Very
				High
Part-of-Speech Features				
Other Features				
Chunks				
Subject-Verb-Object Relations				
Richer Syntactic Relations				
Paraphrase				
Extra-sentential				

11) What would be the additional functionalities / linguistic information that you would like the XIP grammars to cover in priority?

F 11 /11	Priority			
Functionalities / linguistic information	Low	Medium	High	Very High

12) What is the level of customization required for the grammars provided to you by Xerox?

Low	Medium	High	Very High

13) What proportion of the XIP output can be exploited by your current system?

0 %- 20 %	20% - 40%	40% - 60%	60% - 80%	80% - 100%
		П		

14) Do you think this proportion is likely to increase over time?

Yes	No

15) Would you be interested in other languages than the ones you were provided with?

Yes	No

16) If Yes, which languages and in which timeframe?

Language(s)	Timeframe

17) Please rank the 4 ways of interfacing with XIP from the least (1) to most importa	nt (4):
Through Xerox NTM/HMM (Normalizer, Tokenizer, Morphological Analyzer, PoS Tagger)	
Through your own / a 3 rd party Tokenizer/Tagger (with on-the-fly interfacing of lexicons/features/categories)	
Through an XML DTD	
Through the XIP API (for iterative parsing)	

18) How would you rate the XIP API?

Not Very Good	Satisfactory	Very Good	Excellent

19) What do you think about the simplicity (i.e. limited number of instructions) of the XIP API?

Not	Nice to	A Key
Important	Have	Benefit

20) Do you think that the ability of XIP to handle your own lexical resources is an important feature?

Not	Nice to	A Key
Important	Have	Benefit

XIP Grammar / Rule Development (only if applicable)

21) Did the XIP rule formalism meet your expectations?

Below my	In line with my	Above my
expectations	expectations	expectations

22) Are you interested in developing your own rules with XIP?

Not Very	Quite	Interested	Very
Interested	Interested		Interested

23) Which additional functionalities would your own rules co	ver?
--	------

24) Do	you have	people	trained to	o write	rules?

Yes	No

25) Are you interested in outsourcing the grammar development work to Xerox or to a 3rd party Service Provider?

Not Very	Quite	Interested	Very
Interested	Interested		Interested

Documentation e you satisfied with the quality of the XIP documentation? Not Very Quite Satisfied Satisfied Satisfied Satisfied XIP Reference Manual	What will be the key on	nlicatio	one of the VID	Darcar for you	ır hucinasa?	
e you satisfied with the quality of the XIP documentation? Not Very Quite Satisfied Very Satisfied Satisfied Satisfied XIP Reference Manual	vnat will be the key ap	рисан	ons of the Air	Parser for you	ii busiiiess?	
e you satisfied with the quality of the XIP documentation? Not Very Quite Satisfied Very Satisfied Satisfied Satisfied XIP Reference Manual						
e you satisfied with the quality of the XIP documentation? Not Very Quite Satisfied Very Satisfied Satisfied Satisfied XIP Reference Manual						
e you satisfied with the quality of the XIP documentation? Not Very Quite Satisfied Very Satisfied Satisfied Satisfied XIP Reference Manual						
e you satisfied with the quality of the XIP documentation? Not Very Quite Satisfied Very Satisfied Satisfied Satisfied XIP Reference Manual						
e you satisfied with the quality of the XIP documentation? Not Very Quite Satisfied Very Satisfied Satisfied Satisfied XIP Reference Manual						
Not Very Satisfied XIP Reference Manual XIP User Manual XIP Grammar Manual Overall XIP	Documentation					
Not Very Satisfied XIP Reference Manual XIP User Manual XIP Grammar Manual Overall XIP						
Satisfied Satisf	e you satisfied with the	he qual	ity of the XIP	documentatio	n?	
Satisfied Satisf						
XIP Reference Manual						
XIP User Manual			Not Very	Quite	Satisfied	Very
XIP Grammar Manual	VID Deference Med	nuo1	Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
Overall XIP			Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
			Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
Documentation	XIP User Manua	al	Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
	XIP User Manua XIP Grammar Mar	al	Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
	XIP User Manua XIP Grammar Mar Overall XIP	al nual	Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
Fraining and Technical Support	XIP User Manua XIP Grammar Mar Overall XIP Documentation	nual	Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
Training and Technical Support	XIP User Manua XIP Grammar Mar Overall XIP Documentation	nual	Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
	XIP User Manua XIP Grammar Mar Overall XIP Documentation Training and Te	nual e chni	Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
Praining and Technical Support e you satisfied with the training and technical support offered to you by Xerox?	XIP User Manua XIP Grammar Mar Overall XIP Documentation Training and Te	nual e chni	Satisfied	Satisfied		Satisfied
e you satisfied with the training and technical support offered to you by Xerox?	XIP User Manua XIP Grammar Mar Overall XIP Documentation Training and Tele Are you satisfied with the	echnic	Satisfied Satisfied Control Satisfied	Satisfied	fered to you b	Satisfied
	XIP User Manua XIP Grammar Mar Overall XIP Documentation Training and Tele Are you satisfied with the Notes	nual echnic	Satisfied Satisfied Quite	Satisfied Cal support of	fered to you b	Satisfied

29) Were you satisfied with the duration of the Xerox evaluation license?

Too Short	Appropriate	Too Long
		0

30) Have you evaluated other comparable parsing tools?

Yes	No

32) What would you consider the key strengths and weaknesses of the Xerox XIP Parser in comparison with the other tools that you have evaluated?

Key Strengths	Key Weaknesses
+	
+	
+	
+	
+	
+	

33) Further Comments or Suggestions:				

Thank you very much for your participation in this Evaluation and for the responses you have provided today. We greatly appreciate your contribution.