

Machine Learning for Legislative Forecasting: Predicting which bills will pass Congress

Jett Carruth, Claudia Moses

Datasci 112 Winter 2024

Abstract

We used predictive modeling to try and measure which bills presented before the 117th U.S. Congress would become law. We used a variety of methods to collect data from government databases, used merger features to gather them into a single dataframe, and conducted an exploration into the text of the data to learn more about the bills. We fit a regression model to predict which bills would become law, and had a 72% test precision and 83% test recall.

Sources





CONGRESS.GOV



Research Question

We wanted to see if we could use existing legislation to predict which bill introduced on the floor of congress would become law.

Data Collection

While the information we needed was relatively easy to find online, it turned out to be difficult to collect into a dataframe. We used a lot of new methods for collection including:

1) Simulated human page scrolling to prep for scraping using Selenium

```
import os
from selenium import webdriver
from selenium.webdriver.support.ui import WebDriverWait
from selenium.webdriver.support import expected_conditions as EC
from selenium.webdriver.common.by import By
from selenium.webdriver.common.by import Keys
import time

os.environ['PATH'] += ':/usr/bin/chromedriver'
chrome_options = webdriver.ChromeOptions()
chrome_options.add_argument('--headless')
chrome_options.add_argument('--no-sandbox')
chrome_options.add_argument('--disable-dev-shm-usage')
driver = webdriver.Chrome(options=chrome_options)


# Load the webpage
url = "https://www.govtrack.us/congress/bills/browse?congress=118#congress=1176current_status[]=1
driver.get(url)

member_bills = {}
# Scroll down to the bottom of the page
scrolls = 456 # Adjust the number of scrolls as needed
for _in range(scrolls):
    driver.execute_script("window.scrollTo(0, document.body.scrollHeight);")
    time.sleep(1) # Adjust the wait time as needed

# Extract the HTML content
html = driver.page_source

# Parse the HTML with BeautifulSoup
soup = BeautifulSoup(html, 'html.parser')
```

2) Parsing XML from zip files



Data Exploration

Once we'd gathered all the information we needed, we were able to assemble a dataframe with all bill numbers, text, congressmen sponsors, text length, and associated political parties.

| | filename | bill_name | session | text | member | Party | Passed | text_length |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|--|-------------------------|-------|--------|-------------|
| 1 | BILLS-117s11pcs.xml | S.11 | Session 1 | Notwithstanding the second sentence of section | Charles "Chuck" Schumer | D | 0 | 666.0 |
| 2 | BILLS-117s13pcs.xml | S.13 | Session 1 | There is established within the Election Assis | Tim Scott | R | 0 | 6067.0 |
| 5 | BILLS-117s45is.xml | S.45 | Session 1 | This Act may be cited as the Section 4104 of | Ted Cruz | R | 0 | 2106.0 |
| 7 | BILLS-117s72is.xml | S.72 | Session 1 | This Act may be cited as the Congress finds t | Chris Van Hollen | D | 0 | 8107.0 |
| 9 | BILLS-117s59is.xml | S.59 | Session 1 | This Act may be cited as the In this Act: Exc | Thom Tillis | R | 0 | 4618.0 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 18120 | BILLS-117hr9692ih.xml | H.R.9692 | Session 2 | This Act may be cited as the On the date that | Louie Gohmert | R | 0 | 2540.0 |
| 18121 | BILLS-117hr9624ih.xml | H.R.9624 | Session 2 | This Act may be cited as the The table of con | Henry C. "Hank" Johnson | D | 0 | 123902.0 |
| 18122 | BILLS-117hr9623ih.xml | H.R.9623 | Session 2 | This Act may be cited as the The table of con | Pramila Jayapal | D | 0 | 407095.0 |
| 18123 | BILLS-117hr9673ih.xml | H.R.9673 | Session 2 | Congress finds the following: Oral history fro | Donald Beyer | D | 0 | 1781.0 |
| 18124 | BILLS-117hr9553ih.xml | H.R.9553 | Session 2 | This Act may be cited as the by repealing sec | Pramila Jayapal | D | 0 | 203.0 |
| 13767 rd | ows × 8 columns | | | | | | | |

Only about 1% of the bills became law!

We wanted to visualize the differences between bills proposed by democrats and republicans.


Below are the terms uniquely among the top 200 words used by republicans,



and democrats in their bills.



We took a look at the length of bills and found that on average, democrats' bills were longer than republicans'.



Data Analysis

We decided to fit a k-neighbors regressor to try and predict which bills will become law once proposed. We used a machine learning method for imbalanced data: downsampling and upweighting, to train our model.

```
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
     from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
     from sklearn.compose import make_column_transformer
     from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
     from sklearn.pipeline import make_pipeline
    from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
    from sklearn.preprocessing import OneHotEncoder
    warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
    pipeline = make_pipeline(
        make_column_transformer(
           (TfidfVectorizer(smooth_idf=False, norm=None), 'text'),
            (OneHotEncoder(), ['Party']),
            remainder = 'passthrough'
        LogisticRegression()
    scores = cross_val_score(
    pipeline,
    X=df[['text', "Party"]],
    y=df["Passed"], # this is all of the training data!
    scoring="neg_mean_squared_error", # higher is better for a score
    #np.sqrt(scores.mean)
    print(scores)
 print( np.sqrt(-1 * scores))
 [0.26515648 0.21083382 0.18198978
This was the error calculated on 4 folds
```

of training data. We decided to calculate the test error by training the model on a random part of our data and testing it on the rest. We created a confusion matrix and calculated the recall and precision of our predictions.

We ended up with a pleasant surprise: our precision was 72%, and our recall was 83%! Our model accurately predicted 83% of the bills in the test data that were signed into law, and 72% of our predicted passed laws were actually enacted.

Conclusion

We were very pleased with the results of our model, which predicted which bills were enacted with a much higher probability of success than we initially hypothesized. This is important, as it could help us learn what types of factors are most important in deciding which bills become law- from party splits to language in the bills.

Some further considerations include:

- What factor in the pipeline held the most weight?
- How can we use this to optimize bills?