

Market Microstructure  
PROBLEM SET 3

Wednesday 12 February 2020

Johannes Muhle-Karbe, [j.muhle-karbe@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:j.muhle-karbe@imperial.ac.uk)Claudio Bellani, [c.bellani17@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:c.bellani17@imperial.ac.uk)

**Exercise 1.** Similarly to what we did in Problem Set 2 for the Glosten-Milgrom model, we would like here to relax the dichotomy informed/noise traders in Kyle's model. More precisely, we assume that informed traders are in fact traders who utilise a signal  $s$  to infer the true value  $\nu$  of the traded asset. Their signal is written as  $s = \nu + \eta$ , where  $\eta$  is normally distributed with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_\eta^2$ , and it is independent of  $\nu$  and of  $u$ , the latter being the size of noise traders' orders. In other words,  $\eta$  represents the error in the signal. Traders who follow the signal  $s$  are referred to as *partially informed*.

1. Assume that partially informed traders send market orders of size

$$X(s) = \beta(s - \mu), \quad (1)$$

so that, if the trading price is  $p$ , their profit is  $(\nu - p)X(s)$ . Compute the partially informed traders' expected profit conditional on the knowledge of the signal  $s$ . Comment on the meaning of  $\sigma_\eta$ .

2. Assume that the dealers are perfectly competitive and that their quotes  $p(q)$  for a given order size  $q$  are expressed as  $p(q) = \mu + \lambda q$ . Furthermore, let the size of partially informed traders' market orders be as in equation (1). Following the line of the classical Kyle's model, find the coefficients  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$  at equilibrium.

**Exercise 2.** Consider informed investors in the classical Kyle's model presented in Lecture slides. We extend the description of their behaviour by saying that, after acquiring the position (long or short) given by  $X(\nu)$ , they will in a successive trading day unwind this position. They model the price  $\nu_l$  at which they will be able to unwind their position by the formula

$$\nu_l = \nu + \epsilon, \quad (2)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is centred, normally distributed, and independent of any other variable in the model. Their assessment of trading opportunity is therefore

$$\left( \nu - p - \sigma_\epsilon^2 X(\nu) \right) X(\nu) = \underbrace{(\nu - p)X(\nu)}_{\text{profit from trading}} - \underbrace{\text{Var}[(\nu_l - \nu)X(\nu)|X(\nu)]}_{\text{aversion against risk of unwinding}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\sigma_\epsilon^2$  is the variance of  $\epsilon$ . In a Nash equilibrium argument along the lines of that presented in class, compute the value of the coefficient  $\beta$  in the informed traders' trading strategy  $X(\nu) = \beta(\nu - \mu)$ , where their assessment of trading opportunity is given by equation (3) rather than by plain expected profit.