

## Type Checking in COOL (II)

### Lecture 10

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

1

## Lecture Outline

- Type systems and their expressiveness
- Type checking with SELF\_TYPE in COOL
- Error recovery in semantic analysis

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

2

## Expressiveness of Static Type Systems

- Static type systems detect common errors
- But some correct programs are disallowed
  - Some argue for dynamic type checking instead
  - Others argue for more expressive static type checking
- But more expressive type systems are more complex

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

3

## Dynamic And Static Types

- The dynamic type of an object is the class **C** that is used in the “**new C**” expression that created it
  - A run-time notion
  - Even languages that are not statically typed have the notion of dynamic type
- The static type of an expression captures all dynamic types the expression could have
  - A compile-time notion

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

4

## Dynamic and Static Types. (Cont.)

- In early type systems the set of static types correspond directly with the dynamic types
- Soundness theorem: for all expressions **E**  
 $\text{dynamic\_type}(E) = \text{static\_type}(E)$   
(in all executions, **E** evaluates to values of the type inferred by the compiler)
- This gets more complicated in advanced type systems

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

5

## Dynamic and Static Types in COOL

```
class A { ... }
class B inherits A { ... }
class Main {
  x: A ← new A;
  ...
  x ← new B;
  ...
}
```

x has static type A

Here, x's value has dynamic type A

Here, x's value has dynamic type B

- A variable of static type **A** can hold values of static type **B**, if  $B \leq A$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

6

## Dynamic and Static Types

Soundness theorem for the Cool type system:

$$\forall E. \text{dynamic\_type}(E) \leq \text{static\_type}(E)$$

Why is this Ok?

- All operations that can be used on an object of type  $C$  can also be used on an object of type  $C' \leq C$ 
  - Such as fetching the value of an attribute
  - Or invoking a method on the object
- Subclasses only add attributes or methods
- Methods can be redefined but with same type !

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

7

## An Example

```
class Count {  
  i : int ← 0;  
  inc () : Count {  
    {  
      i ← i + 1;  
      self;  
    }  
  };  
};
```

- Class `Count` incorporates a counter
- The `inc` method works for any subclass
- But there is disaster lurking in the type system

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

8

## An Example (Cont.)

- Consider a subclass `Stock` of `Count`

```
class Stock inherits Count {  
  name : String; -- name of item  
};
```

- And the following use of `Stock`:

```
class Main {  
  Stock a ← (new Stock).inc (); Type checking error !  
  ... a.name ...  
};
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

9

## What Went Wrong?

- `(new Stock).inc()` has dynamic type `Stock`
- So it is legitimate to write  
`Stock a ← (new Stock).inc ()`
- But this is not well-typed
  - `(new Stock).inc()` has static type `Count`
- The type checker “loses” type information
  - This makes inheriting `inc` useless
  - So, we must redefine `inc` for each of the subclasses, with a specialized return type

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

10

## SELF\_TYPE to the Rescue

- We will extend the type system
- Insight:
  - `inc` returns “self”
  - Therefore the return value has same type as “self”
  - Which could be `Count` or any subtype of `Count`!
- Introduce the keyword `SELF_TYPE` to use for the return value of such functions
  - We will also need to modify the typing rules to handle `SELF_TYPE`

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

11

## SELF\_TYPE to the Rescue (Cont.)

- `SELF_TYPE` allows the return type of `inc` to change when `inc` is inherited
- Modify the declaration of `inc` to read  
`inc () : SELF_TYPE { ... }`
- The type checker can now prove:  
 $C, M \vdash (\text{new Count}).\text{inc}() : \text{Count}$   
 $C, M \vdash (\text{new Stock}).\text{inc}() : \text{Stock}$
- The program from before is now well typed

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

12

## Notes About SELF\_TYPE

- SELF\_TYPE is not a dynamic type
  - It is a static type
  - It helps the type checker to keep better track of types
  - It enables the type checker to accept more correct programs
- In short, having SELF\_TYPE increases the expressive power of the type system

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

13

## SELF\_TYPE and Dynamic Types (Example)

- What can be the dynamic type of the object returned by `inc`?

- Answer: whatever could be the type of "self"

```
class A inherits Count { } ;  
class B inherits Count { } ;  
class C inherits Count { } ;
```

(`inc` could be invoked through any of these classes)

- Answer: `Count` or any subtype of `Count`

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

14

## SELF\_TYPE and Dynamic Types (Example)

- In general, if SELF\_TYPE appears textually in the class `C` as the declared type of `E` then
$$\text{dynamic\_type}(E) \leq C$$
- Note: The meaning of SELF\_TYPE depends on where it appears
  - We write  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$  to refer to an occurrence of SELF\_TYPE in the body of `C`
- This suggests a typing rule:
$$\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C \leq C \quad (*)$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

15

## Type Checking

- Rule (\*) has an important consequence:
  - In type checking it is always safe to replace  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$  by `C`
- This suggests one way to handle SELF\_TYPE :
  - Replace all occurrences of  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$  by `C`
- This would be correct but it is like not having SELF\_TYPE at all

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

16

## Operations on SELF\_TYPE

- Recall the operations on types
  - $T_1 \leq T_2$   $T_1$  is a subtype of  $T_2$
  - $\text{lub}(T_1, T_2)$  the least-upper bound of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$
- We must extend these operations to handle SELF\_TYPE

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

17

## Extending $\leq$

Let `T` and `T'` be any types but SELF\_TYPE  
There are four cases in the definition of  $\leq$

1.  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C \leq \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$ 
  - In Cool we never need to compare SELF\_TYPEs coming from different classes
2.  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C \leq T$  if  $C \leq T$ 
  - $\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$  can be any subtype of `C`
  - This includes `C` itself
  - Thus this is the most flexible rule we can allow

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

18

### Extending $\leq$ (Cont.)

3.  $T \leq \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$  always false  
Note:  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$  can denote any subtype of  $C$ .
4.  $T \leq T'$  (according to the rules from before)

Based on these rules we can extend  $\text{lub}$  ...

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

19

### Extending $\text{lub}(T, T')$

Let  $T$  and  $T'$  be any types but  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$

Again there are four cases:

1.  $\text{lub}(\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C, \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C) = \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$
2.  $\text{lub}(\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C, T) = \text{lub}(C, T)$   
This is the best we can do because  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}_C \leq C$
3.  $\text{lub}(T, \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C) = \text{lub}(C, T)$
4.  $\text{lub}(T, T')$  defined as before

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

20

### Where Can $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$ Appear in COOL?

- The parser checks that  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$  appears only where a type is expected
- But  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$  is not allowed everywhere a type can appear:
  1.  $\text{class } T \text{ inherits } T' \{ \dots \}$ 
    - $T, T'$  cannot be  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$
  2.  $x : T$ 
    - $T$  can be  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$
    - An attribute whose type is  $\leq \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

21

### Where Can $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$ Appear in COOL?

3.  $\text{let } x : T \text{ in } E$ 
  - $T$  can be  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$
  - $x$  has a type  $\leq \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$
4.  $\text{new } T$ 
  - $T$  can be  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$
  - Creates an object of the same type as  $\text{self}$
5.  $m@T(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ 
  - $T$  cannot be  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

22

### Where $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$ Cannot Appear in COOL?

6.  $m(x : T) : T' \{ \dots \}$ 
  - Only  $T'$  can be  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$  !

What could go wrong if  $T$  were  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$ ?

```
class A { comp(x : SELF_TYPE) : Bool { ... }; };
class B inherits A {
  b : int;
  comp(x : SELF_TYPE) : Bool { ... x.b ... }; };
...
let x : A ← new B in ... x.comp(new A); ...
...
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

23

### Typing Rules for $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$

- Since occurrences of  $\text{SELF\_TYPE}$  depend on the enclosing class we need to carry more context during type checking

- New form of the typing judgment:

$O, M, C \vdash e : T$

(An expression  $e$  occurring in the body of  $C$  has static type  $T$  given a variable type environment  $O$  and method signatures  $M$ )

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

24

## Type Checking Rules

- The next step is to design type rules using **SELF\_TYPE** for each language construct
- Most of the rules remain the same except that  $\leq$  and **lub** are the new ones
- Example:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O(\text{Id}) = T_0 \\ O, M, C \vdash e_1 : T_0 \\ T_1 \leq T_0 \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash \text{Id} \leftarrow e_1 : T_1}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

25

## What's Different?

- Recall the old rule for dispatch

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ M \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', T_{n+1}') \\ T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF\_TYPE} \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_{n+1}'}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

26

## What's Different?

- If the return type of the method is **SELF\_TYPE** then the type of the dispatch is the type of the dispatch expression:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ M \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', \text{SELF\_TYPE}) \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_0}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

27

## What's Different?

- Note this rule handles the **Stock** example
- Formal parameters cannot be **SELF\_TYPE**
- Actual arguments can be **SELF\_TYPE**
  - The extended  $\leq$  relation handles this case
- The type  $T_0$  of the dispatch expression could be **SELF\_TYPE**
  - Which class is used to find the declaration of  $f$ ?
  - Answer: It is safe to use the class where the dispatch appears

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

28

## Static Dispatch

- Recall the original rule for static dispatch

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ M \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \leq T \\ M(T, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', T_{n+1}') \\ T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF\_TYPE} \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0 @ T.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_{n+1}'}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

29

## Static Dispatch

- If the return type of the method is **SELF\_TYPE** we have:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ M \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \leq T \\ M(T, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', \text{SELF\_TYPE}) \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0 @ T.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_0}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

30

## Static Dispatch

- Why is this rule correct?
- If we dispatch a method returning `SELF_TYPE` in class `T`, don't we get back a `T`?
- No. `SELF_TYPE` is the type of the self parameter, which may be a subtype of the class in which the method appears

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

31

## New Rules

- There are two new rules using `SELF_TYPE`

$$\frac{}{O, M, C \vdash \text{self} : \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C}$$
$$\frac{}{O, M, C \vdash \text{new SELF\_TYPE} : \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C}$$

- There are a number of other places where `SELF_TYPE` is used

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

32

## Summary of SELF\_TYPE

- The extended `≤` and `lub` operations can do a lot of the work.
- `SELF_TYPE` can be used only in a few places. Be sure it isn't used anywhere else.
- A use of `SELF_TYPE` always refers to any subtype of the current class
  - The exception is the type checking of dispatch. The method return type of `SELF_TYPE` might have nothing to do with the current class

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

33

## Why Cover SELF\_TYPE ?

- `SELF_TYPE` is a research idea
  - It adds more expressiveness to the type system
- `SELF_TYPE` is itself not so important
  - except for the project
- Rather, `SELF_TYPE` is meant to illustrate that type checking can be quite subtle
- In practice, there should be a balance between the complexity of the type system and its expressiveness

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

34

## Error Recovery

- As with parsing, it is important to recover from type errors
- Detecting where errors occur is easier than in parsing
  - There is no reason to skip over portions of code
- The Problem:
  - What type is assigned to an expression with no legitimate type?
  - This type will influence the typing of the enclosing expression

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

35

## Error Recovery Attempt

- Assign type `Object` to ill-typed expressions

`let y : Int ← x + 2 in y + 3`

- Since `x` is undeclared its type is `Object`
- But now we have `Object + Int`
- This will generate another typing error
- We then say that that `Object + Int = Object`
- Then the initializer's type will not be `Int`  
⇒ a workable solution but with cascading errors

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

36

## Better Error Recovery

---

- We can introduce a new type called `No_type` for use with ill-typed expressions
- Define `No_type ≤ C` for all types `C`
- Every operation is defined for `No_type`
  - With a `No_type` result
- Only one typing error for:  
`let y : Int ← x + 2 in y + 3`

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

37

## Notes

---

- A “real” compiler would use something like `No_type`
- However, there are some implementation issues
  - The class hierarchy is not a tree anymore
- The `Object` solution is fine in the class project

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

38