Intermediate Code & Local Optimizations

Lecture 14

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Lecture Outline

- · Intermediate code
- · Local optimizations
- · Next time: global optimizations

Prof. Aiken. CS 143. Lecture 14

Code Generation Summary

- · We have discussed
 - Runtime organization
 - Simple stack machine code generation
 - Improvements to stack machine code generation
- Our compiler maps AST to assembly language
 - And does not perform optimizations

Prof. Aiken. CS 143. Lecture 14

Optimization

- Optimization is our last compiler phase
- Most complexity in modern compilers is in the optimizer
 - Also by far the largest phase
- First, we need to discuss intermediate languages

Prof. Aiken. CS 143. Lecture 14

Why Intermediate Languages?

- When should we perform optimizations?
 - On AST
 - Pro: Machine independent
 - · Con: Too high level
 - On assembly language
 - Pro: Exposes optimization opportunities
 - Con: Machine dependent
 - Con: Must reimplement optimizations when retargetting
 - On an intermediate language
 - · Pro: Machine independent
 - · Pro: Exposes optimization opportunities

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Intermediate Languages

- Intermediate language = high-level assembly
 - Uses register names, but has an unlimited number
 - Uses control structures like assembly language
 - Uses opcodes but some are higher level
 - E.g., push translates to several assembly instructions
 - Most opcodes correspond directly to assembly opcodes

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Three-Address Intermediate Code

· Each instruction is of the form

```
x := y \text{ op } z
x := \text{ op } y
```

- y and z are registers or constants
- Common form of intermediate code
- The expression x + y * z is translated

```
t_1 := y * z

t_2 := x + t_1
```

- Each subexpression has a "name"

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Generating Intermediate Code

- · Similar to assembly code generation
- But use any number of IL registers to hold intermediate results

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Generating Intermediate Code (Cont.)

- igen(e, t) function generates code to compute the value of e in register t
- Example:

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{igen}(\textbf{e}_1 + \textbf{e}_2, \ \textbf{t}) = \\ & \text{igen}(\textbf{e}_1, \ \textbf{t}_1) & (\textbf{t}_1 \text{ is a fresh register}) \\ & \text{igen}(\textbf{e}_2, \ \textbf{t}_2) & (\textbf{t}_2 \text{ is a fresh register}) \\ & \textbf{t} := \textbf{t}_1 + \textbf{t}_2 \end{aligned}
```

• Unlimited number of registers

⇒ simple code generation

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Intermediate Code Notes

- You should be able to use intermediate code
 - At the level discussed in lecture
- You are not expected to know how to generate intermediate code
 - Because we won't discuss it
 - But really just a variation on code generation . . .

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

10

12

An Intermediate Language

```
\begin{array}{l} P \rightarrow S \ P \ | \ \epsilon \\ S \rightarrow id := id \ op \ id \\ \ | \ id := op \ id \\ \ | \ id := id \\ \ | \ push \ id \\ \ | \ id := pop \\ \ | \ if \ id \ relop \ id \ goto \ L \\ \ | \ L : \\ \ | \ jump \ L \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad id's \ are \ register \ names \\ \bullet \quad Constants \ can \ replace \ id's \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, \star \\ \bullet \quad Typical \ operators: +, -, -, -, -, -, -
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

11

Definition. Basic Blocks

- A <u>basic block</u> is a maximal sequence of instructions with:
 - no labels (except at the first instruction), and
 - no jumps (except in the last instruction)
- I dea:
 - Cannot jump into a basic block (except at beginning)
 - Cannot jump out of a basic block (except at end)
 - A basic block is a single-entry, single-exit, straight-line code segment

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Basic Block Example

- Consider the basic block
 - 1. L:
 - 2. t := 2 * x
 - $3. \quad w := t + x$
 - 4. if w > 0 goto L'
- (3) executes only after (2)
 - We can change (3) to w := 3 * x
 - Can we eliminate (2) as well?

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

13


Definition. Control-Flow Graphs

- · A control-flow graph is a directed graph with
 - Basic blocks as nodes
 - An edge from block A to block B if the execution can pass from the last instruction in A to the first instruction in B
 - E.g., the last instruction in A is jump L_B
 - E.g., execution can fall-through from block A to block B

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

4 14

Example of Control-Flow Graphs



- The body of a method (or procedure) can be represented as a controlflow graph
- There is one initial node
- All "return" nodes are terminal

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

15

Optimization Overview

- Optimization seeks to improve a program's resource utilization
 - Execution time (most often)
 - Code size
 - Network messages sent, etc.
- Optimization should not alter what the program computes
 - The answer must still be the same

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

16

A Classification of Optimizations

- For languages like C and Cool there are three granularities of optimizations
 - 1. Local optimizations
 - Apply to a basic block in isolation
 - 2. Global optimizations
 - Apply to a control-flow graph (method body) in isolation
 - 3. Inter-procedural optimizations
 - · Apply across method boundaries
- Most compilers do (1), many do (2), few do (3)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

17

Cost of Optimizations

- In practice, a conscious decision is made not to implement the fanciest optimization known
- Why?
 - Some optimizations are hard to implement
 - Some optimizations are costly in compilation time $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$
 - Some optimizations have low benefit
 - Many fancy optimizations are all three!
- · Goal: Maximum benefit for minimum cost

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Local Optimizations

- The simplest form of optimizations
- No need to analyze the whole procedure body
 Just the basic block in question
- Example: algebraic simplification

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

19

Algebraic Simplification

· Some statements can be deleted

$$x := x + 0$$

 $x := x * 1$

· Some statements can be simplified

```
x := x * 0 \Rightarrow x := 0

y := y * * 2 \Rightarrow y := y * y

x := x * 8 \Rightarrow x := x << 3

x := x * 15 \Rightarrow t := x << 4; x := t - x
```

(on some machines << is faster than *; but not on all!)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Constant Folding

- Operations on constants can be computed at compile time
 - If there is a statement x := y op z
 - And y and z are constants
 - Then y op z can be computed at compile time
- Example: $x := 2 + 2 \implies x := 4$
- Example: if 2 < 0 jump L can be deleted
- · When might constant folding be dangerous?

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

21

23

Flow of Control Optimizations

- · Eliminate unreachable basic blocks:
 - Code that is unreachable from the initial block
 - E.g., basic blocks that are not the target of any jump or "fall through" from a conditional
- · Why would such basic blocks occur?
- Removing unreachable code makes the program smaller
 - And sometimes also faster
 - Due to memory cache effects (increased spatial locality)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

22

Single Assignment Form

- Some optimizations are simplified if each register occurs only once on the left-hand side of an assignment
- Rewrite intermediate code in *single assignment* form

```
x := z + y b := z + y a := x \Rightarrow a := b x := 2 * x x := 2 * b
```

(b is a fresh register)

- More complicated in general, due to loops

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Common Subexpression Elimination

- If
 - Basic block is in single assignment form
 - A definition x := is the first use of x in a block
- Then
- When two assignments have the same rhs, they compute the same value
- Example:

(the values of x, y, and z do not change in the ... code)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

24

Copy Propagation

- If w := x appears in a block, replace subsequent uses of w with uses of x
 - Assumes single assignment form
- Example:

```
b := z + y
                     b := z + y
a := b
                  a := b
x := 2 * a
                     x := 2 * b
```

- · Only useful for enabling other optimizations
 - Constant folding
 - Dead code elimination

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

25

27

29

Example:

```
a := 5
                                       a := 5
x := 2 * a \Rightarrow x := 10

y := x + 6 y := 16

t := x * y t := x * 0
                                       y := 16
                                       t := x << 4
```

Copy Propagation and Constant Folding

Prof. Aiken. CS 143. Lecture 14

26

28

Copy Propagation and Dead Code Elimination

Ιf

w := rhs appears in a basic block

w does not appear anywhere else in the program

the statement w := rhs is dead and can be eliminated

- <u>Dead</u> = does not contribute to the program's result

Example: (a is not used anywhere else)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Applying Local Optimizations

- Each local optimization does little by itself
- Typically optimizations interact
 - Performing one optimization enables another
- Optimizing compilers repeat optimizations until no improvement is possible
 - The optimizer can also be stopped at any point to limit compilation time

Prof. Aiken. CS 143. Lecture 14

An Example

· Initial code:

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

An Example

• Algebraic optimization:

```
a := x ** 2
b := 3
C := X
d := c * c
e := b * 2
f := a + d
g := e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

An Example

• Algebraic optimization:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := c * c
e := b << 1
f := a + d
g := e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

31

33

35

An Example

Copy propagation:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := c * c
e := b << 1
f := a + d
g := e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

32

34

36

An Example

• Copy propagation:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := x * x
e := 3 << 1
f := a + d
g := e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

An Example

· Constant folding:

```
a:= x * x
b:= 3
c:= x
d:= x * x
e:= 3 << 1
f:= a + d
g:= e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

An Example

• Constant folding:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := x * x
e := 6
f := a + d
g := e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

An Example

• Common subexpression elimination:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := x * x
e := 6
f := a + d
g := e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

An Example

• Common subexpression elimination:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := a
e := 6
f := a + d
g := e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

37

39

41

An Example

Copy propagation:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := a
e := 6
f := a + d
g := e * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

38

40

An Example

• Copy propagation:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := a
e := 6
f := a + a
g := 6 * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

An Example

• Dead code elimination:

```
a := x * x
b := 3
c := x
d := a
e := 6
f := a + a
g := 6 * f
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

An Example

• Dead code elimination:

```
a := x * x
```

f := a + ag := 6 * f

• This is the final form

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Peephole Optimizations on Assembly Code

- These optimizations work on intermediate code
 - Target independent
 - But they can be applied on assembly language also
- <u>Peephole optimization</u> is effective for improving assembly code
 - The "peephole" is a short sequence of (usually contiguous) instructions
 - The optimizer replaces the sequence with another equivalent one (but faster)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Peephole Optimizations (Cont.)

- Write peephole optimizations as replacement rules $i_1,...,\,i_n\to j_1,...,\,j_m$

where the rhs is the improved version of the lhs

· Example:

move $a \b$, move $b \a \rightarrow$ move $a \b$

- Works if move \$b \$a is not the target of a jump

Another example

addiu $a \$ i, addiu $a \$ i \rightarrow addiu $a \$ i+j

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Peephole Optimizations (Cont.)

- Many (but not all) of the basic block optimizations can be cast as peephole optimizations
 - Example: addiu $a \ b \ O \rightarrow move \ a \ b$
 - Example: move \$a \$a →
 - These two together eliminate addiu \$a \$a 0
- As for local optimizations, peephole optimizations must be applied repeatedly for maximum effect

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14

Local Optimizations: Notes

- Intermediate code is helpful for many optimizations
- Many simple optimizations can still be applied on assembly language
- · "Program optimization" is grossly misnamed
 - Code produced by "optimizers" is not optimal in any reasonable sense
 - "Program improvement" is a more appropriate term
- · Next time: global optimizations

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 14