

Daily arXiv Report:  
*Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics of Open Quantum  
Systems:  
Revisiting The One-Body Problem*

arXiv:2602.14105   Naomichi Hatano, Gonzalo Ordóñez

Report generated: February 18, 2026

## 1 Core Question

How does non-Hermiticity arise in an open quantum system whose total Hamiltonian is formally Hermitian, and can the resonant states—eigenstates with complex energies—be incorporated into a *complete* set of basis states for the scattering problem?

## 2 Main Result (Informal Statement)

**Theorem 1** (New complete set, Hatano–Ordóñez). *Consider a one-body open quantum system modelled by a tight-binding Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , with a finite potential region (the “system”) of  $N$  sites coupled to semi-infinite leads (the “environment”). Let  $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(E)$  denote the energy-dependent effective Hamiltonian obtained via the Feshbach projection. Then the  $2N$  discrete eigenstates of the resulting quadratic eigenvalue problem—comprising bound states, anti-bound states, resonant states, and anti-resonant states—together form a complete set for the  $N$ -dimensional system subspace  $\hat{P}\mathcal{H}$ . Consequently, the time evolution of any state initially localised in the system can be decomposed exactly into these discrete states without any continuum integral.*

## 3 Early Concrete Example

Consider the Schrödinger equation on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)\right) \psi(x) = E \psi(x),$$

with a triple-delta potential

$$V(x) = -V_0 \delta(x) + V_1 (\delta(x + \ell) + \delta(x - \ell)), \quad V_0, V_1 > 0.$$

**Siegert boundary condition.** Instead of the usual scattering ansatz with an incident wave, one demands *purely outgoing waves*:

$$\psi(x) \propto e^{iK|x|} \quad \text{for } |x| > \ell, \quad E = \frac{(\hbar K)^2}{2m}.$$

This reduces the number of unknowns by one, yielding a discrete set of complex wave-numbers  $K_n = k_n + i\kappa_n$ . Solutions with  $\text{Re } K_n > 0$ ,  $\text{Im } K_n < 0$  are **resonant states**: their energies

$E_n$  have negative imaginary parts, producing exponential decay in time. Their wave functions *diverge* spatially ( $\text{Im } K_n < 0$  means  $e^{iK_n|x|}$  grows), but this divergence is exactly what ensures probability conservation when one tracks the escaping flux.

For  $V_0 = 0$  (only the two barrier deltas), the resonance condition simplifies to

$$e^{2iK\ell} = \mp \left(1 - \frac{iK}{v_1}\right), \quad v_1 = \frac{mV_1}{\hbar^2},$$

with the upper/lower signs for even/odd parity. Plotting the real and imaginary parts gives graphical solutions whose real parts match the peaks of the transmission coefficient  $T(k)$ .

## 4 Intuition and Setup

An **open quantum system** consists of a finite “system” coupled to an infinite “environment”. The key conceptual points are:

- The total Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  on the full Hilbert space *is* Hermitian. Non-Hermiticity is *not* put in by hand.
- Hermiticity of  $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  requires square-integrability of the wave function (so boundary terms vanish after integration by parts). Resonant eigenfunctions diverge at spatial infinity, so they live *outside*  $L^2$ —and the Hamiltonian is legitimately non-Hermitian on this larger space.
- The **Feshbach formalism** provides a systematic way to “integrate out” the environment: define projectors  $\hat{P}$  (system) and  $\hat{Q}$  (environment), then the effective Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(E) = \hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{Q} \frac{1}{E - \hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{Q}} \hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{P}.$$

This is explicitly non-Hermitian and energy-dependent; its eigenvalues reproduce the Siegert resonance poles.

## 5 Main Results

- (i) **Resonant states from Siegert boundary conditions.** For a compactly supported potential  $V(x)$  ( $V(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > \ell$ ), imposing purely outgoing boundary conditions on the Schrödinger equation yields discrete complex eigenvalues  $E_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . States with  $\text{Im } E_n < 0$  (resonant) decay; those with  $\text{Im } E_n > 0$  (anti-resonant) grow. Every resonant state has a time-reversed anti-resonant partner with eigenvalue  $E_n^*$ , due to the anti-linearity of time reversal  $\hat{T}$ .
- (ii) **Probability conservation despite divergent wavefunctions.** Defining the probability by integrating  $|\Psi_n(x, t)|^2$  over a region expanding at the phase velocity  $v_n = \text{Re}(\hbar K_n/m)$ :

$$P_n(t) = \int_{-L_n(t)}^{L_n(t)} |\Psi_n(x, t)|^2 dx, \quad L_n(t) = \frac{\text{Re}(\hbar K_n)}{m} t + \ell,$$

one proves  $dP_n/dt \equiv 0$ . The spatial growth of the wavefunction exactly compensates the temporal decay.

- (iii) **Feshbach effective Hamiltonian and quadratic eigenvalue problem.** On a tight-binding model with system sites  $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$  coupled to semi-infinite leads with hopping  $W$ , the Feshbach projection yields an  $N \times N$  effective Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(E)$  with energy-dependent boundary terms  $-W\lambda|0\rangle\langle 0| - W\lambda|N-1\rangle\langle N-1|$ , where  $\lambda = e^{iKa}$  satisfies the dispersion  $E = -W(\lambda + \lambda^{-1})$ . This gives a *quadratic* eigenvalue problem in  $\lambda$  of dimension  $N$ , hence exactly  $2N$  solutions.

- (iv) **New complete set.** The  $2N$  eigenstates (bound, anti-bound, resonant, anti-resonant) satisfy completeness:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2N} \frac{a}{\pi i} \hat{P} |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n| \hat{P} = \hat{P},$$

where the biorthogonal inner product is used (left and right eigenstates of the non-Hermitian  $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$ ). This is proven by converting the sum into a contour integral over  $\lambda$  on the unit circle and applying the residue theorem.

- (v) **Non-Markovian dynamics.** Applying the Feshbach formalism to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation produces an integro-differential equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{P} |\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{P} \hat{H} \hat{P} \hat{P} |\Psi(t)\rangle + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^t d\tau \hat{P} \hat{H} \hat{Q} e^{-i\hat{Q} \hat{H} \hat{Q} (t-\tau)/\hbar} \hat{Q} \hat{H} \hat{P} \hat{P} |\Psi(\tau)\rangle.$$

The memory kernel makes dynamics non-Markovian. Consequences:

- **Short time:** survival probability  $P_{\text{surv}}(t) \approx 1 - (\Delta H)^2 t^2 / \hbar^2$  (quadratic, enabling quantum Zeno effect).
  - **Long time:** power-law tail  $P_{\text{surv}}(t) \sim t^{-3}$ , replacing exponential decay.
- (vi) **Continuous-space Feshbach Hamiltonian.** The effective Hamiltonian in continuous space can be recovered as the  $a \rightarrow 0$  limit of the tight-binding Feshbach Hamiltonian. It reproduces the quadratic eigenvalue equation of Tolstikhin et al. (1998), involving the Hermitised Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_H = \hat{H}_\ell + \hat{L}$  (Bloch operator) and boundary terms:

$$\left( \hat{H}_H - \frac{i\hbar^2}{2m} K (|\ell\rangle \langle \ell| + |-\ell\rangle \langle -\ell|) - \frac{\hbar^2 K^2}{2m} \right) |\psi\rangle = 0.$$

## 6 Proof Sketch

1. **Complex eigenvalues from Siegert conditions.** Setting  $A = 0$  (no incident wave) in the scattering ansatz reduces unknowns, yielding a homogeneous system. Non-trivial solutions exist at discrete complex  $K_n$ . Key insight: integration by parts for  $\langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle$  produces boundary terms  $\psi^* \psi' |_{-L}^L$  that do *not* vanish for exponentially growing wavefunctions, breaking the usual Hermiticity proof.
2. **Probability conservation.** Write  $dP_n/dt$  as two contributions: (a) time derivative of the integrand (gives boundary flux via continuity equation), and (b) time derivative of the integration limits (gives  $dL_n/dt \cdot |\Psi|^2$  at the boundaries). Using  $\Psi_n(x, t) = e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} e^{iK_n |x|}$  for  $|x| > \ell$  and  $dL_n/dt = \text{Re}(\hbar K_n/m)$ , these two terms cancel exactly.
3. **Feshbach projection (tight-binding).** Partition  $\hat{H}$  via  $\hat{P}$  (system sites) and  $\hat{Q}$  (lead sites). The resolvent  $(\hat{Q} \hat{H} \hat{Q} - E)^{-1}$  on a semi-infinite chain is computed via the Green's function, yielding  $G(E) = -\lambda/(W a)$  where  $\lambda = e^{iK a}$ . Substituting back gives  $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$  with  $\lambda$ -dependent boundary terms.
4. **Completeness of the  $2N$  eigenstates.** Linearise the quadratic eigenvalue problem in  $\lambda$  to a  $2N \times 2N$  generalised eigenvalue problem. Alternatively, use the contour integral representation: the completeness relation equals

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\lambda|=1} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \hat{P} \left( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) - E(\lambda) \right)^{-1} \hat{P} \cdot (\text{Jacobian}),$$

and the residues at the  $2N$  poles inside/outside the unit circle (mapped by  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}$  symmetry) sum to the identity  $\hat{P}$ .

5. **Non-Markovian dynamics and power law.** Decompose  $P_{\text{surv}}(t)$  using the new complete set into resonant and anti-resonant contributions (paired by time reversal). Each contribution involves Bessel-function integrals  $\int_0^t dt' e^{iE_n t'/\hbar} J_1(2W t'/\hbar)/t'$ . For large  $t$ , saddle-point approximation of the continuum integral on  $|\lambda| = 1$  yields  $P_{\text{surv}}(t) \sim t^{-3}$ .

## Reference

N. Hatano and G. Ordonez, “Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics of Open Quantum Systems: Revisiting The One-Body Problem,” arXiv:2602.14105 (2026).