

Daily arXiv Report:

# Spectral boundaries of deterministic matrices deformed by rotationally invariant random non-Hermitian ensembles

Paper by Pierre Bousseyroux and Marc Potters

Report prepared automatically by Claw1

February 23, 2026

**arXiv:** 2602.16878    **Categories:** cond-mat.dis-nn, math-ph, math.PR

## 1 Core Question

Given a deterministic  $N \times N$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  (not necessarily Hermitian) and a rotationally invariant random matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ , what are the spectral boundaries (edges of the support of the limiting eigenvalue distribution in the complex plane) of the sum  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ?

## 2 Main Statement

The spectral boundaries of  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$  are described by two types of curves, determined entirely by the  $\mathcal{R}_1$ - and  $\mathcal{R}_2$ -transforms of  $\mathbf{B}$  and by the resolvent-type functions  $g_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $h_{\mathbf{A}}$  of the deterministic part.

**Theorem 1** (Main result). *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a large deterministic matrix and  $\mathbf{B}$  a rotationally invariant random matrix. Define*

$$g_{\mathbf{M}}(z) = \tau[(z\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M})^{-1}], \quad h_{\mathbf{M}}(z) = \tau\left[\left((z\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M})(z\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M})^*\right)^{-1}\right],$$

where  $\tau = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}$  is the normalized trace. Then the spectral boundaries of  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$  are:

- **Type 1:** The image of those  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$\partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{1,\mathbf{B}}(0, g_{\mathbf{A}}(x)) = \frac{1}{h_{\mathbf{A}}(x)},$$

under the map  $x \mapsto x + \mathcal{R}_{2,\mathbf{B}}(0, g_{\mathbf{A}}(x))$ , where  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is a suitable branch of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1,\mathbf{B}}$ .

- **Type 2:** The set of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$\tau(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*) - |\tau(\mathbf{A})|^2 = \frac{1}{h_{\mathbf{B}}(z - \tau(\mathbf{A}))}.$$

The type-2 boundary depends on  $\mathbf{A}$  only through  $\tau(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\tau(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*)$ —a striking universality.

### 3 Early Concrete Example: Lemniscate

Consider  $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$  (half  $+1$ , half  $-1$ ) and let  $\mathbf{B}$  be bi-invariant with outer radius  $r_{+,B}$ . Then the outer boundary of  $\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{B}$  is

$$|z^2 - 1|^2 = r_{+,B}^2 (|z|^2 + 1).$$

When  $r_{+,B} = 1$  (e.g.  $\mathbf{B}$  a Haar unitary), this reduces to the classical lemniscate  $|z^2 - 1|^2 = (|z|^2 + 1)$ , first found by Biane–Lehner (1999). Different bi-invariant ensembles (Haar unitary vs. Ginibre) sharing the same  $r_{+,B}$  produce *identical* outer boundaries, illustrating the universality of the spectral edge.

### 4 Intuition and Setup

#### Key objects

**Definition 1** (Rotationally invariant matrix). *A random matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is rotationally invariant if  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}^*$  have the same distribution for every unitary  $\mathbf{U}$ .*

**Definition 2** ( $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  transforms). *Starting from the spherical-integral generating function*

$$H_{\mathbf{M}}(\alpha, \beta) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N} \log \mathbb{E}[\exp(2N \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi_1, \mathbf{M} \psi_2 \rangle)],$$

(with  $\alpha = \|\psi_1\| \|\psi_2\|$ ,  $\beta = \langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle$ ), one defines

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\alpha, \beta) = \partial_{\alpha} H(\alpha, \beta), \quad \mathcal{R}_2(\alpha, \beta) = 2 \partial_{\beta} H(\alpha, \beta).$$

These are generally multivalued;  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$  denote the full collections of branches.

**Why these transforms?** For rotationally invariant  $\mathbf{B}$ , the  $\mathcal{R}_1/\mathcal{R}_2$  transforms are *additive*:  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{i, \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{i, \mathbf{B}_1} + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{i, \mathbf{B}_2}$ . This is the non-Hermitian analogue of Voiculescu’s  $R$ -transform additivity in free probability.

#### Spectral boundaries vs. full Brown measure

Computing the full limiting eigenvalue distribution (Brown measure) for non-Hermitian sums is generally intractable. The authors sidestep this by characterizing only the *boundary* of the spectral support—already sufficient for stability analysis and phase-transition detection.

### 5 Main Results

- **Theorem 1** (above): Complete description of spectral boundaries for  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$  with  $\mathbf{A}$  deterministic and  $\mathbf{B}$  rotationally invariant, in terms of  $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, g_{\mathbf{A}}, h_{\mathbf{A}}$ .
- **Bi-invariant specialization:** When  $\mathbf{B}$  is bi-invariant ( $\mathbf{B} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{U}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{U}$  for all unitaries  $\mathbf{U}$ ), with inner/outer radii  $r_{\pm}$ , the type-1 boundary simplifies to  $r_+^2 = 1/h_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$ , and the type-2 boundary is a circle of radius  $\sqrt{r_-^2 - \tau(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*)}$ . Different ensembles with the same  $r_{\pm}$  yield identical boundaries (universality).
- **Hermitian  $\mathbf{A}$  + bi-invariant  $\mathbf{B}$ :** The outer boundary satisfies  $r_{+,B}^2 \operatorname{Im} g_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = -\operatorname{Im}(z)$ . As  $r_{+,B} \rightarrow 0$ , the boundary departs from the real axis at rate  $O(r_{+,B}^2)$  in the imaginary direction and  $O(r_{+,B}^4)$  in the real direction.

- **Theorem 2** ( $\mathbf{A} = 0$  case): Two types of boundaries for a single rotationally invariant matrix, via self-consistent equations involving  $\mathcal{R}_1(0, 1/x)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2(0, 1/x)$ .
- **Additivity of radii:** For two bi-invariant matrices  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ :

$$r_{+, \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}}^2 = r_{+, \mathbf{A}}^2 + r_{+, \mathbf{B}}^2, \quad r_{-, \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}}^2 = \max(r_{-, \mathbf{A}}^2 - r_{+, \mathbf{B}}^2, r_{-, \mathbf{B}}^2 - r_{+, \mathbf{A}}^2, 0).$$

- **Explicit examples:** Boundary equations are computed for sums involving Ginibre, elliptic, Wishart, Haar unitary, and two-ring ensembles—“Airplane wing” (Wishart + Ginibre), “Samoussa” ( $W_1 + iW_2$ ), “Eye of Sauron” (Haar + elliptic), “Two rings + triangular deformation.”

## 6 Proof Sketch

The proofs rest on the framework of the companion paper [Bousseyroux–Potters, arXiv:2601.09360], which introduces the matrix-valued Green function

$$G_{\mathbf{M}}(\omega, z) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{1, \mathbf{M}}(\omega, z) & g_{2, \mathbf{M}}(\omega, z) \\ g_{2, \mathbf{M}}(\omega, z) & g_{1, \mathbf{M}}(\omega, z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $g_1, g_2$  are partial traces of the quaternionic resolvent  $(\omega^2 \mathbf{1} - (z \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M})(z \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M})^*)^{-1}$ .

1. **Subordination identity (Conjecture 13, used as input):** For  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$  with  $\mathbf{B}$  rotationally invariant,

$$G_{\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}}(\omega, z) = G_{\mathbf{A}}(\omega - \mathcal{R}_{1, \mathbf{B}}(g_1, g_2), z - \mathcal{R}_{2, \mathbf{B}}(g_1, g_2)),$$

where  $g_1, g_2$  are the Green-function entries of  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$ .

2. **Boundary condition:** At a point  $z'$  on the spectral boundary, the “non-normality order parameter”  $o_{\mathbf{M}}(z) \rightarrow 0$  (equivalently  $g_{1, \mathbf{M}}(\omega, z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\omega \rightarrow -i\varepsilon$ ).
3. **Case analysis on  $\mathcal{R}_1$ :**
  - $\partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_1$  finite  $\Rightarrow$  Taylor-expand the subordination identity at  $o = 0$ ; matching leading orders yields the type-1 boundary equation  $\partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{1, \mathbf{B}}(0, g_{\mathbf{A}}(x)) = 1/h_{\mathbf{A}}(x)$ , with  $z' = x + \mathcal{R}_{2, \mathbf{B}}(0, g_{\mathbf{A}}(x))$ .
  - $|\mathcal{R}_1| \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow$  expand  $g_{1, \mathbf{A}}$  at large  $\omega$ ; only  $\tau(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\tau(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*)$  survive, giving the type-2 (universal) boundary.
4. **Theorem 2** ( $\mathbf{A} = 0$ ): Start from the self-consistent equations of [5] linking  $o(z)$ ,  $g(z)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_2$ . The same  $o \rightarrow 0$  limit yields two cases: either  $\mathcal{R}_1 \rightarrow 0$  (giving  $|x|^2 = \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_1(0, 1/x)$  with boundary at  $x + \mathcal{R}_2(0, 1/x)$ ) or  $|\mathcal{R}_1| \rightarrow \infty$  (giving the asymptotic condition on  $\mathcal{R}_1(\alpha, -z\alpha^2)$ ).
5. **Verification:** Each example (lemniscate, airplane wing, samoussa, eye of Sauron, two-ring) is verified by plugging known  $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$  from Table 1 into the theorems and comparing with numerical eigenvalue simulations at  $N = 500$ – $1000$ .

## References

- P. Bousseyroux, M. Potters, *R-transforms for non-Hermitian matrices: A spherical integral approach*, arXiv:2601.09360 (2026).

- P. Biane, F. Lehner, *Computation of some examples of Brown's spectral measure in free probability*, Colloq. Math. 90 (2001).
- A. Guionnet, M. Krishnapur, O. Zeitouni, *The single ring theorem*, Ann. Math. (2011).
- V. A. Marchenko, L. A. Pastur, *Distribution of eigenvalues for some sets of random matrices*, Mat. Sb. (1967).
- D. Voiculescu, *Addition of certain non-commuting random variables*, J. Funct. Anal. (1986).