

Paper Report: Parity-Dependent Double Degeneracy and Spectral Statistics in the Projected Dice Lattice

arXiv:2602.11844

K. Swaminathan, A. Moustaj, J. L. Lado, S. Peotta

February 2026

1 Core Question

When the Hubbard interaction on the dice lattice (with π -flux) is projected onto the two degenerate flat bands and the resulting many-body Hamiltonian is exactly diagonalised, *which random-matrix ensemble governs its spectral statistics?* The surprising answer is: **it depends on the parity of the total particle number**—even- N sectors follow the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE), while odd- N sectors exhibit exact double degeneracy and Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) statistics, despite the Hamiltonian being time-reversal symmetric.

2 Concrete Example

Consider $N_\uparrow = 6$, $N_\downarrow = 6$ particles on a 3×2 cluster (even $N = 12$). After resolving all known quantum numbers $(k_x, k_y, f, S, B) = (0, 0, +, 0, 1)$, the Hilbert-space dimension is $\mathcal{N} = 16,529$. The level-spacing distribution $P_k(s)$ at every order $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ matches the superposition of $m = 4$ independent GOE blocks.

Now change to $N_\uparrow = 5$, $N_\downarrow = 6$ (odd $N = 11$) on the same cluster, with $(S, B) = (1/2, 1/2)$ and $\mathcal{N} = 25,176$. *Every* eigenvalue is exactly doubly degenerate, and the $k = 2$ (second-nearest-neighbour) spacing distribution matches a single GUE block—even though the Hamiltonian is time-reversal invariant and all known symmetries have been resolved.

3 Setup and Key Definitions

The dice lattice. The dice lattice (also called the \mathcal{T}_3 lattice) is a bipartite lattice built from two interpenetrating triangular sub-lattices connected through a hexagonal sub-lattice of “hub” sites. Each magnetic unit cell (under a π -flux per rhombus) contains six sites. All single-particle bands are flat and pairwise degenerate; one focuses on the two *lowest* flat bands.

Wannier basis. Because the flat bands admit *compactly localised* Wannier functions w_{nl} (labelled by band index $n = 1, 2$ and unit cell l), the projected interaction is short-ranged. Let $\hat{d}_{nl\sigma}$ annihilate a fermion with spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ in Wannier state w_{nl} .

Projected Hamiltonian. Projecting an attractive on-site Hubbard interaction onto the two lowest flat bands yields

$$\hat{H}_{\lambda_2, \lambda_3} = \hat{H}_{\text{tri}} + \lambda_2 \hat{H}_{\text{kag}} + \lambda_3 \hat{H}_{\text{tri-kag}}, \quad (1)$$

where

- \hat{H}_{tri} : on-site pair hopping and spin exchange on the triangular lattice of Wannier centres.

- \hat{H}_{kag} : hopping of *bond singlets* (pairs delocalised over two neighbouring Wannier functions) on the dual kagome lattice.
- $\hat{H}_{\text{tri-kag}}$: conversion between on-site pairs and bond singlets.

The physical dice-lattice Hamiltonian corresponds to $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$.

Symmetries.

- **Translations:** lattice translations \hat{T}_μ commute with \hat{H} ; eigenstates carry crystal momentum $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$.
- **SU(2) spin:** the Hamiltonian commutes with total spin \hat{S}^2 and \hat{S}^z . When $N_\uparrow = N_\downarrow$, there is an additional spin-flip parity $f = \pm$.
- **Pseudospin:** for $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda$, the zero-momentum pair creation operator $\hat{B}^+ = \sum_{n,l} \hat{d}_{nl\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{d}_{nl\downarrow}^\dagger$ satisfies a spectrum-generating algebra $[\hat{H}_{\lambda,\lambda}, \hat{B}^+] = -E_p \hat{B}^+$, so total pseudospin \hat{B}^2 is conserved.
- **Time reversal:** all hopping amplitudes are real, so the model has antiunitary time-reversal symmetry \hat{T} with $\hat{T}^2 = -1$. By Kramers' theorem, one would expect GOE statistics (Dyson index $\beta = 1$) once all unitary symmetries are resolved.

Spectral statistics tools. Given an ordered spectrum $\{E_n\}$, define the k -th nearest-neighbour (k NN) spacing $\tilde{s}_n^k = E_{n+k} - E_n$ and, after unfolding (normalising by the local mean spacing), $s_n^k = \tilde{s}_n^k / \langle \tilde{s}^k \rangle_{\text{local}}$. The spacing distribution $P_k(s)$ is fitted to the generalised Wigner surmise

$$P_k(s, \beta) \approx C_\alpha s^\alpha \exp(-A_\alpha s^2), \quad \alpha(\beta, k) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \beta + k - 1,$$

with $\beta = 1$ (GOE), 2 (GUE), or 4 (GSE). Non-overlapping k -order gap ratios $r_n^k = \min(\tilde{s}_n^k, \tilde{s}_{n+k}^k) / \max(\tilde{s}_n^k, \tilde{s}_{n+k}^k)$ provide an unfolding-free diagnostic.

4 Main Results

- **Even N : multi-block GOE.** For even total particle number, the spectrum is non-degenerate. At all accessible cluster sizes (3×2 , 4×2 , 3×3), the k NN spacing and gap-ratio distributions match the superposition of m independent GOE blocks, where m varies with system size ($m = 4, 6, 2$ respectively). The size-dependence of m is consistent with an *extensive* number of local integrals of motion (LIOMs) inherited from the triangular part \hat{H}_{tri} .
- **Odd N : exact double degeneracy + GUE.** For odd N , *every eigenvalue is exactly doubly degenerate*, even after resolving all known quantum numbers ($N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow, \mathbf{k}, f, S, B$). The $k = 2$ and $k = 4$ spacing distributions match the GUE at effective orders $k^* = 1$ and $k^* = 2$, respectively. The $k = 3$ distribution does *not* match any multi-block GOE, ruling out the simple explanation $P_{2k^*}(s, 1, 2) = P_{k^*}(s, 2)$.
- **Coexistence of GOE and GUE in one system.** Adding or removing a single particle switches the spectral statistics from one Gaussian ensemble to another—an unprecedented phenomenon in random matrix theory.
- **Fragility under perturbation.** Adding even a small single-particle hopping term \hat{H}_t (amplitude $t = 0.1$) destroys the double degeneracy and drives all sectors to single-block GOE, as expected for a generic time-reversal-symmetric system.
- **Doublet structure.** Within each degenerate doublet, local observables (particle and spin densities) are related by swapping the Wannier/band index $n = 1 \leftrightarrow 2$, suggesting a hidden antiunitary symmetry involving the band degree of freedom (analogous to Kramers pairs but acting on band indices rather than spin).

5 Proof Sketch / Method

1. **Basis construction.** For a finite cluster of $N_x \times N_y$ unit cells, the Fock-space basis in a sector with fixed $(N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow, \mathbf{k}, f)$ is built using the QuSpin package. To resolve S and B (which do not commute with the computational basis), a penalty-term trick is used: diagonalise $\hat{H}_{\lambda_2, \lambda_3} + \alpha \hat{S}^2 + \beta \hat{B}^2$ with large α, β , then select eigenstates with the desired (S, B) .
2. **Exact diagonalisation.** Full spectra are obtained for clusters up to 3×3 unit cells (Hilbert-space dimensions up to $\sim 10^5$). A fraction $f_{\text{edge}} = 0.025$ of levels at each spectral edge is discarded to focus on the bulk.
3. **Unfolding and fitting.** The retained bulk spectrum is partitioned into $q = 30$ windows; within each, the local mean k NN spacing is computed and used to normalise. The resulting $P_k(s)$ is fitted to the Wigner surmise with α as the single free parameter; the best-matching (β^*, k^*) is determined by minimising $|\alpha_{\text{fit}} - \tilde{\alpha}(\beta, k)|$ using an improved surmise from Shir–Martinez–Azcona–Chenu (2025).
4. **Multi-block identification.** Reference distributions $P_k(s/r, \beta, m)$ for the superposition of m independent β -ensemble blocks are generated by Monte Carlo sampling of the Dumitriu–Edelman tridiagonal model ($N_{\text{RMT}} = 1000$, $N_{\text{samp}} = 1000$). The closest (m, β) is chosen by minimising the Kolmogorov–Smirnov distance (with mean-square deviation as tiebreaker).
5. **Even- N result.** The $k = 1$ distribution is near-Poissonian (characteristic of superposed uncorrelated sub-spectra), but higher- k statistics reveal m -block GOE structure. The number of blocks m varies with cluster size, consistent with LIOMs generating an extensive number of independent spectral subsequences.
6. **Odd- N result.** The $k = 1$ distribution shows a delta-like peak at $s = 0$ from the exact doublets. The $k = 2$ and $k = 4$ distributions match GUE at $k^* = 1, 2$. Crucially, the $k = 3$ distribution does *not* match $P_3(s, 1, 2)$ (two GOE blocks), proving the GUE signature is not an artefact of the known identity $P_{2k}(s, 1, 2) = P_k(s, 2)$.
7. **Consistency checks.**
 - Results persist across all three cluster sizes.
 - The parity dichotomy survives throughout the (λ_2, λ_3) parameter plane (away from the LIOM point $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$).
 - Adding a single-particle hopping term immediately destroys the effect, confirming it is a property of the purely projected (quartic) Hamiltonian.
8. **Physical interpretation (open).** The band-index exchange symmetry within doublets hints at a hidden antiunitary operator acting on band (not spin) indices—a “band Kramers theorem.” An alternative conjecture is that the degeneracy is *topological* in origin (analogous to the toric code, whose *full* spectrum is 4^g -fold degenerate on a genus- g surface), implying parity-dependent topological order.

References

- K. Swaminathan, A. Moustaj, J. L. Lado, S. Peotta, arXiv:2602.11844 (2026).
- M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd ed., Academic Press (2004).
- S. H. Tekur, U. T. Bhosale, M. S. Santhanam, Phys. Rev. B **98**, 104305 (2018).
- K. Swaminathan, P. Tadros, S. Peotta, Phys. Rev. Research **5**, 043215 (2023).