

Paper Report: The Eigenvalues of I.I.D. Matrices Are Hyperuniform

G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, O. Kolupaiev (arXiv:2602.17628)

Auto-generated report

February 24, 2026

1 Core Question

Given an $N \times N$ random matrix X with independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) entries, do its eigenvalues exhibit *hyperuniformity*—i.e., does the variance of the number of eigenvalues in a nice subdomain Ω of the spectrum grow *strictly slower* than the expected count $\mathbb{E}[N_\Omega] \sim N$?

2 Main Statement

Definition 1 (I.I.D. matrix ensemble). An $N \times N$ matrix X belongs to the *i.i.d. ensemble* if its entries $x_{ab} = N^{-1/2}\chi$ are i.i.d. copies of a centered random variable χ with $\mathbb{E}|\chi|^2 = 1$ (and $\mathbb{E}\chi^2 = 0$ in the complex case), satisfying moment bounds $\mathbb{E}|\chi|^p \leq C_p$ for all p .

Definition 2 (Hyperuniformity (Torquato)). A point process in \mathbb{R}^d is *hyperuniform* if the variance of the number of points in a ball of radius R grows strictly slower than its volume R^d as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3 (Complex case, Theorem 2.4 in the paper). *Let X be a complex i.i.d. matrix satisfying the assumptions above. Fix $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ and let $\Omega_N \subset \mathbb{D}$ (the open unit disk) be a “nice” domain of diameter $\sim N^{-\alpha}$ with C^2 boundary, contained well inside \mathbb{D} . Define*

$$N_\Omega := \#\{\sigma_i \in \Omega_N\},$$

where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N$ are the eigenvalues of X . Then for any $\xi > 0$,

$$\text{Var}(N_\Omega) \leq N^{1-2\alpha-q_0(1/2-\alpha)+\xi}, \quad q_0 = \frac{1}{20}.$$

In particular, for macroscopic domains ($\alpha = 0$) one obtains $\text{Var}(N_\Omega) \leq N^{1-1/40+\xi}$, which is $\ll N \sim \mathbb{E}[N_\Omega]$.

Theorem 4 (Real case, Theorem 2.6). *Under the same setup but with real entries (and a transversality condition on $\partial\Omega_N$ at the real axis),*

$$\text{Var}(N_\Omega) \leq N^{1-2\alpha-q_0(1/2-\alpha)+\xi} + N^{1-2\alpha-q_1+\xi}, \quad q_0 = \frac{1}{20}, \quad q_1 = \frac{1}{106}.$$

3 Concrete Example

Take X to be the *complex Ginibre ensemble*: $X_{ij} = N^{-1/2}g_{ij}$ with $g_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$ i.i.d. The eigenvalues are known to form a determinantal point process on \mathbb{D} , and for that ensemble the exact number variance is $\text{Var}(N_\Omega) \sim \sqrt{N}$ (“class I hyperuniform”).

For a *general* i.i.d. matrix—say with Bernoulli ± 1 entries—no determinantal structure is available. The paper proves, for the first time, that the eigenvalue process is still hyperuniform: $\text{Var}(N_\Omega) \leq N^{1-1/40+\xi}$, a power-law improvement over the trivial Poisson bound $\sim N$.

4 Intuition and Setup

Circular law. By the circular law, the empirical spectral measure of X converges to the uniform measure on \mathbb{D} , so $N_\Omega \approx N|\Omega|/\pi$. Fluctuations around this are the object of study.

Linear statistics and Girko's formula. Write $N_\Omega - \mathbb{E}N_\Omega = L_N(\mathbf{1}_\Omega)$ as a *linear statistic*. For smooth test functions f , the variance of $L_N(f)$ is $O(1)$ (proven in prior work). But $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$ is not smooth, and approximating it by smooth functions f^\pm on a sub-microscopic scale N^{-a} ($a > 1/2$) introduces large Laplacian norms $\|\Delta f\|_\infty \sim N^{2a}$.

Girko's formula converts eigenvalue statistics to resolvents of the *Hermitization*:

$$H_z := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X - z \\ (X - z)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_z(w) := (H_z - w)^{-1}.$$

Then $\sum_i f(\sigma_i) = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta f(z) \int_0^\infty \text{Tr } G_z(i\eta) d\eta d^2z$. The variance of $L_N(f)$ thus reduces to bounding $\text{Cov}(\langle G_{z_1}(i\eta_1) \rangle, \langle G_{z_2}(i\eta_2) \rangle)$.

Why existing bounds are insufficient. Prior work (Cipolloni–Erdős–Schröder, 2022) gave

$$\text{Cov}(\langle G_1 \rangle, \langle G_2 \rangle) = \frac{\text{Main Term}}{N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\eta_*(N\eta_1\eta_2)^2}\right),$$

but the error lacks decay in $|z_1 - z_2|$, which is essential for the double integral over (z_1, z_2) .

5 Main Results (Bulleted)

- **Hyperuniformity for complex i.i.d. matrices:** $\text{Var}(N_\Omega) \leq N^{1-1/40+\xi}$ (macroscopic Ω).
- **Hyperuniformity for real i.i.d. matrices:** $\text{Var}(N_\Omega) \leq N^{1-1/106+\xi}$, even when Ω intersects the real axis (new even for real Ginibre).
- **Mesoscopic domains:** Result extends to N -dependent domains Ω_N of diameter $N^{-\alpha}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$.
- **New two-resolvent local law:** For two distinct spectral parameters z_1, z_2 and deterministic matrices B_1, B_2 ,

$$\langle G_{z_1} B_1 G_{z_2} B_2 \rangle = \text{deterministic approximation} + O\left(\frac{1}{N(|z_1 - z_2|^2 + \eta_1 + \eta_2)}\right).$$

- **Improved resolvent covariance (Ginibre-type bound):**

$$\text{Cov}(\langle G_1 \rangle, \langle G_2 \rangle) = \frac{\text{Main}}{N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N(|z_1 - z_2|^2 + \eta_1 + \eta_2)(N\eta_1\eta_2)^2}\right).$$

- **Singular vector overlaps:** For $|z_1|, |z_2| \leq 1 - \delta$,

$$|\langle u_i^{z_1}, u_j^{z_2} \rangle|^2 + |\langle v_i^{z_1}, v_j^{z_2} \rangle|^2 \prec \frac{1}{N(|z_1 - z_2|^2 + N^{-1}|i - j|)},$$

identifying decorrelation in the singular-vector index $|i - j|$ for the first time.

6 Proof Sketch

Step 1. Portmanteau smoothing. Approximate $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$ from above/below by smooth functions $f_{a,N}^\pm := \varphi_N^\pm * \omega_{a,N}$, where $\omega_{a,N}(z) = N^{2a}\omega(N^a z)$ is a bump function at scale N^{-a} , $a > 1/2$, and φ_N^\pm are indicators of Ω_N enlarged/shrunk by N^{-a} . By the Portmanteau lemma:

$$\text{Var}(N_\Omega) \leq 2[\text{Var}(L_N(f^+)) + \text{Var}(L_N(f^-))] + (\mathbb{E}L_N(f^+ - f^-))^2.$$

Step 2. Expectation computation (Proposition 3.1). Using Girko's formula and existing local laws, show

$$\mathbb{E}L_N(f_{a,N}) = \frac{N|\Omega_N|}{\pi}(1 + O(N^{-c})),$$

for $a \in [1/2, 1/2 + 1/14]$. This controls the “discrepancy” term $(\mathbb{E}L_N(f^+ - f^-))^2 \lesssim N^{2(1-a-\alpha)}$.

Step 3. Variance bound via Girko (Proposition 3.2). By Girko's formula, $\text{Var}(L_N(f))$ is expressed as a double integral of $\text{Cov}(\langle G_{z_1} \rangle, \langle G_{z_2} \rangle)$ weighted by $\Delta f(z_1)\Delta f(z_2)$. Split the η -integrals into three regimes:

- *Sub-microscopic* ($\eta \ll 1/N$): controlled by lower-tail bounds on the smallest singular value of $X - z$.
- *Microscopic* ($\eta \sim 1/N$): handled via Dyson Brownian motion (DBM) comparison with the Ginibre ensemble.
- *Macro-mesoscopic* ($\eta \gg 1/N$): requires the new resolvent covariance estimate—the main novelty.

Step 4. Chaos expansion for the covariance (Section 7). To prove the improved covariance bound with $|z_1 - z_2|^2$ decay:

- Start from the trivial bound $\text{Cov}(\langle G_1 \rangle, \langle G_2 \rangle) \prec (N\eta_1\eta_2)^{-1}$ (from single-resolvent local law).
- Perform iterative cumulant expansions: each step gains a factor $(N\eta_*)^{-1}$ (small in the mesoscopic regime $\eta_* \geq N^{-1+\epsilon}$), but also generates higher-order covariances $\text{Cov}(\langle (G_1 - M_1) \cdots \rangle, \langle G_2 \rangle)$.
- These form a *hierarchy*; after $\sim 1/\epsilon$ iterations, truncate using multi-resolvent local laws.
- The accumulated $(N\eta_*)^{-1/\epsilon}$ factors compensate the truncation error, yielding the $|z_1 - z_2|^2 + \eta_1 + \eta_2$ decay.

Step 5. Two-resolvent local law (Section 4 & Supplementary S2). Prove a new *averaged* local law for products $\langle G_{z_1} B_1 G_{z_2} B_2 \rangle$ with $z_1 \neq z_2$. The key difficulty: unlike Wigner resolvents, there is no resolvent identity linking G_{z_1} and G_{z_2} when $z_1 \neq z_2$, so the decorrelation factor does not appear automatically. Instead, it emerges from a *two-body stability analysis* of the matrix Dyson equation (Appendix A).

Step 6. DBM interpolation for the microscopic regime. Interpolate between the general i.i.d. matrix X and a Ginibre matrix X_{Gin} via Ornstein–Uhlenbeck flow $X_t = e^{-t}X + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}X_{\text{Gin}}$. Use the new two-resolvent local law to show that the resolvent covariance of X_t at time $t \sim N^{-1+\epsilon}$ is close to that of X , while at the Ginibre end exact formulas give sharp bounds.

Step 7. Optimization. The parameter a (smoothing scale) is optimized: the variance terms scale as $N^{2(a-\alpha)-2q_0(1/2-\alpha)}$ while the expectation discrepancy scales as $N^{2(1-a-\alpha)}$. Balancing gives the final exponent $q = q_0(1/2 - \alpha)$ in the complex case.

Step 8. Real case (Supplementary S3). Additional complications from eigenvalues on/near the real axis. Use a refined analysis near $\Im z = 0$, where the density of real eigenvalues is $\sim \sqrt{N}$. An extra error term with exponent $q_1 = 1/106$ appears.

References

G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, O. Kolupaiev, *The eigenvalues of i.i.d. matrices are hyperuniform*, arXiv:2602.17628, February 2026.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.17628>