

Daily arXiv Report:
Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics of Open Quantum Systems:
Revisiting The One-Body Problem

arXiv:2602.14105 Naomichi Hatano, Gonzalo Ordóñez

Report generated: February 18, 2026

1 Core Question

How does non-Hermiticity arise in an open quantum system whose total Hamiltonian is formally Hermitian, and can the resonant states—eigenstates with complex energies—be incorporated into a *complete* set of basis states for the scattering problem?

2 Main Result (Informal Statement)

Theorem 1 (New complete set, Hatano–Ordóñez). *Consider a one-body open quantum system modelled by a tight-binding Hamiltonian \hat{H} on \mathbb{Z} , with a finite potential region (the “system”) of N sites coupled to semi-infinite leads (the “environment”). Let $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(E)$ denote the energy-dependent effective Hamiltonian obtained via the Feshbach projection. Then the $2N$ discrete eigenstates of the resulting quadratic eigenvalue problem—comprising bound states, anti-bound states, resonant states, and anti-resonant states—together form a complete set for the N -dimensional system subspace $\hat{P}\mathcal{H}$. Consequently, the time evolution of any state initially localised in the system can be decomposed exactly into these discrete states without any continuum integral.*

3 Early Concrete Example

Consider the Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{R} :

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)\right) \psi(x) = E \psi(x),$$

with a triple-delta potential

$$V(x) = -V_0 \delta(x) + V_1 (\delta(x + \ell) + \delta(x - \ell)), \quad V_0, V_1 > 0.$$

Siegert boundary condition. Instead of the usual scattering ansatz with an incident wave, one demands *purely outgoing waves*:

$$\psi(x) \propto e^{iK|x|} \quad \text{for } |x| > \ell, \quad E = \frac{(\hbar K)^2}{2m}.$$

This reduces the number of unknowns by one, yielding a discrete set of complex wave-numbers $K_n = k_n + i\kappa_n$. Solutions with $\text{Re } K_n > 0$, $\text{Im } K_n < 0$ are **resonant states**: their energies

E_n have negative imaginary parts, producing exponential decay in time. Their wave functions *diverge* spatially ($\text{Im } K_n < 0$ means $e^{iK_n|x|}$ grows), but this divergence is exactly what ensures probability conservation when one tracks the escaping flux.

For $V_0 = 0$ (only the two barrier deltas), the resonance condition simplifies to

$$e^{2iK\ell} = \mp \left(1 - \frac{iK}{v_1} \right), \quad v_1 = \frac{mV_1}{\hbar^2},$$

with the upper/lower signs for even/odd parity. Plotting the real and imaginary parts gives graphical solutions whose real parts match the peaks of the transmission coefficient $T(k)$.

4 Intuition and Setup

An **open quantum system** consists of a finite “system” coupled to an infinite “environment”. The key conceptual points are:

- The total Hamiltonian \hat{H} on the full Hilbert space *is* Hermitian. Non-Hermiticity is *not* put in by hand.
- Hermiticity of $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ requires square-integrability of the wave function (so boundary terms vanish after integration by parts). Resonant eigenfunctions diverge at spatial infinity, so they live *outside* L^2 —and the Hamiltonian is legitimately non-Hermitian on this larger space.
- The **Feshbach formalism** provides a systematic way to “integrate out” the environment: define projectors \hat{P} (system) and \hat{Q} (environment), then the effective Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(E) = \hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{Q} \frac{1}{E - \hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{Q}} \hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{P}.$$

This is explicitly non-Hermitian and energy-dependent; its eigenvalues reproduce the Siegert resonance poles.

5 Main Results

- (i) **Resonant states from Siegert boundary conditions.** For a compactly supported potential $V(x)$ ($V(x) = 0$ for $|x| > \ell$), imposing purely outgoing boundary conditions on the Schrödinger equation yields discrete complex eigenvalues $E_n \in \mathbb{C}$. States with $\text{Im } E_n < 0$ (resonant) decay; those with $\text{Im } E_n > 0$ (anti-resonant) grow. Every resonant state has a time-reversed anti-resonant partner with eigenvalue E_n^* , due to the anti-linearity of time reversal \hat{T} .
- (ii) **Probability conservation despite divergent wavefunctions.** Defining the probability by integrating $|\Psi_n(x, t)|^2$ over a region expanding at the phase velocity $v_n = \text{Re}(\hbar K_n/m)$:

$$P_n(t) = \int_{-L_n(t)}^{L_n(t)} |\Psi_n(x, t)|^2 dx, \quad L_n(t) = \frac{\text{Re}(\hbar K_n)}{m} t + \ell,$$

one proves $dP_n/dt \equiv 0$. The spatial growth of the wavefunction exactly compensates the temporal decay.

- (iii) **Feshbach effective Hamiltonian and quadratic eigenvalue problem.** On a tight-binding model with system sites $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ coupled to semi-infinite leads with hopping W , the Feshbach projection yields an $N \times N$ effective Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(E)$ with energy-dependent boundary terms $-W\lambda|0\rangle\langle 0| - W\lambda|N-1\rangle\langle N-1|$, where $\lambda = e^{iKa}$ satisfies the dispersion $E = -W(\lambda + \lambda^{-1})$. This gives a *quadratic* eigenvalue problem in λ of dimension N , hence exactly $2N$ solutions.

- (iv) **New complete set.** The $2N$ eigenstates (bound, anti-bound, resonant, anti-resonant) satisfy completeness:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2N} \frac{a}{\pi i} \hat{P} |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n| \hat{P} = \hat{P},$$

where the biorthogonal inner product is used (left and right eigenstates of the non-Hermitian \hat{H}_{eff}). This is proven by converting the sum into a contour integral over λ on the unit circle and applying the residue theorem.

- (v) **Non-Markovian dynamics.** Applying the Feshbach formalism to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation produces an integro-differential equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{P} |\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{P} \hat{H} \hat{P} \hat{P} |\Psi(t)\rangle + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^t d\tau \hat{P} \hat{H} \hat{Q} e^{-i\hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{Q}(t-\tau)/\hbar} \hat{Q} \hat{H} \hat{P} \hat{P} |\Psi(\tau)\rangle.$$

The memory kernel makes dynamics non-Markovian. Consequences:

- **Short time:** survival probability $P_{\text{surv}}(t) \approx 1 - (\Delta H)^2 t^2 / \hbar^2$ (quadratic, enabling quantum Zeno effect).
- **Long time:** power-law tail $P_{\text{surv}}(t) \sim t^{-3}$, replacing exponential decay.

- (vi) **Continuous-space Feshbach Hamiltonian.** The effective Hamiltonian in continuous space can be recovered as the $a \rightarrow 0$ limit of the tight-binding Feshbach Hamiltonian. It reproduces the quadratic eigenvalue equation of Tolstikhin et al. (1998), involving the Hermitised Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_H = \hat{H}_\ell + \hat{L}$ (Bloch operator) and boundary terms:

$$\left(\hat{H}_H - \frac{i\hbar^2}{2m} K (|\ell\rangle\langle\ell| + |-\ell\rangle\langle-\ell|) - \frac{\hbar^2 K^2}{2m} \right) |\psi\rangle = 0.$$

6 Proof Sketch

1. **Complex eigenvalues from Siegert conditions.** Setting $A = 0$ (no incident wave) in the scattering ansatz reduces unknowns, yielding a homogeneous system. Non-trivial solutions exist at discrete complex K_n . Key insight: integration by parts for $\langle\psi|\hat{H}|\psi\rangle$ produces boundary terms $\psi^* \psi'|_L^R$ that do *not* vanish for exponentially growing wavefunctions, breaking the usual Hermiticity proof.
2. **Probability conservation.** Write dP_n/dt as two contributions: (a) time derivative of the integrand (gives boundary flux via continuity equation), and (b) time derivative of the integration limits (gives $dL_n/dt \cdot |\Psi|^2$ at the boundaries). Using $\Psi_n(x, t) = e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} e^{iK_n |x|}$ for $|x| > \ell$ and $dL_n/dt = \text{Re}(\hbar K_n/m)$, these two terms cancel exactly.
3. **Feshbach projection (tight-binding).** Partition \hat{H} via \hat{P} (system sites) and \hat{Q} (lead sites). The resolvent $(\hat{Q} \hat{H} \hat{Q} - E)^{-1}$ on a semi-infinite chain is computed via the Green's function, yielding $G(E) = -\lambda/(Wa)$ where $\lambda = e^{iK_a}$. Substituting back gives \hat{H}_{eff} with λ -dependent boundary terms.
4. **Completeness of the $2N$ eigenstates.** Linearise the quadratic eigenvalue problem in λ to a $2N \times 2N$ generalised eigenvalue problem. Alternatively, use the contour integral representation: the completeness relation equals

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\lambda|=1} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \hat{P} \left(\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) - E(\lambda) \right)^{-1} \hat{P} \cdot (\text{Jacobian}),$$

and the residues at the $2N$ poles inside/outside the unit circle (mapped by $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}$ symmetry) sum to the identity \hat{P} .

5. **Non-Markovian dynamics and power law.** Decompose $P_{\text{surv}}(t)$ using the new complete set into resonant and anti-resonant contributions (paired by time reversal). Each contribution involves Bessel-function integrals $\int_0^t dt' e^{iE_n t'/\hbar} J_1(2Wt'/\hbar)/t'$. For large t , saddle-point approximation of the continuum integral on $|\lambda| = 1$ yields $P_{\text{surv}}(t) \sim t^{-3}$.

Reference

N. Hatano and G. Ordóñez, “Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics of Open Quantum Systems: Revisiting The One-Body Problem,” arXiv:2602.14105 (2026).