

# Reading Report: Phase Transitions in Quasi-Hermitian Quantum Models at Exceptional Points of Order Four

arXiv:2602.17491 — M. Znojil (2026)

Auto-generated report for arXiv daily digest

February 25, 2026

## 1 Core Question

Can one reach a fourth-order exceptional point (EP4) of a non-Hermitian but quasi-Hermitian Hamiltonian via a *unitary* evolution, and can the physical domain of parameters near this singularity be determined analytically (i.e., without numerics)?

## 2 Main Result (Informal Statement)

For any  $4 \times 4$  quasi-Hermitian Hamiltonian  $H^{(4)}(g)$  exhibiting an EP4 degeneracy at  $g = g^{(\text{EP4})}$ , the author constructs a six-parameter canonical form

$$P_{(a,b,c,x,y,z)}^{(4)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda^2 z & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \lambda^3 x & \lambda^2 y & 0 & 1 \\ \lambda^4 a & \lambda^3 b & \lambda^2 c & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and shows that after a convenient reparametrisation  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  the secular equation reduces to the  $\lambda$ -*independent* quartic

$$E^4 - \gamma E^2 - \beta E - \alpha = 0.$$

All four roots are real (hence the evolution is unitary) if and only if  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{physical}}$ , whose boundaries are given **in closed form**:

$$\gamma_{\text{phys}} = 6\kappa^2, \quad \kappa > 0, \tag{1}$$

$$|\beta_{\text{phys}}| < 8\kappa^3, \tag{2}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{phys}} \in (\alpha_{\min}(\beta, \kappa), \alpha_{\max}(\beta, \kappa)), \tag{3}$$

where the bounds on  $\alpha$  are obtained from the Cardano formulae for the cubic  $S'(E) = 4E^3 - 2\gamma E - \beta = 0$  and the sign conditions  $S(E_{\pm}^{[3]}) < 0$ ,  $S(E_0^{[3]}) > 0$  at its roots. In particular, at  $\beta = 0$ :

$$-9\kappa^4 < \alpha_{\text{phys}}^{(\text{sample})} < 0.$$

This proves the domain  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{physical}}$  is *non-empty*: there exist unitary corridors to the EP4 singularity.

### 3 A Concrete Example

Take  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (-24, -10, 15)$ . Then the secular polynomial is

$$S(E) = E^4 - 15E^2 + 10E + 24,$$

whose derivative is  $S'(E) = 4E^3 - 30E + 10$ . Both polynomials have all real roots; one can verify numerically that  $S$  has four distinct real zeros and  $S'$  has three real critical points satisfying  $S(E_{\pm}^{[3]}) < 0$  and  $S(E_0^{[3]}) > 0$ . Hence this parameter triple lies inside  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{physical}}$  and the corresponding EP4-unfolding yields four real (physical) energy levels  $\eta_n(\lambda) = \lambda E_n$  that merge linearly as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

### 4 Setup and Intuition

**Definition 1** (Quasi-Hermitian operator). *An operator  $H$  acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{math}}$  is called  $\Theta$ -quasi-Hermitian if there exists a bounded, positive-definite, invertible operator  $\Theta$  (the metric) such that*

$$H^\dagger \Theta = \Theta H.$$

*This generalises self-adjointness:  $H$  is self-adjoint in the “physical” inner product  $\langle \psi_1 | \Theta | \psi_2 \rangle$ .*

**Definition 2** (Exceptional point of order  $N$  (EPN)). *A parameter value  $g = g^{(\text{EPN})}$  at which an  $N$ -tuple of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $H(g)$  coalesce, so  $H$  restricted to the relevant  $N$ -dimensional subspace becomes a Jordan block  $J^{(N)}(E^{(\text{EPN})})$ .*

#### Why EP4 is special.

- At EP2 and EP3 the secular equations (degree 2, 3) are solvable by elementary/Cardano formulae — fully analytic.
- At  $N \geq 5$  the secular equation has degree  $\geq 5$  and is generically solvable only numerically (Abel–Ruffini).
- $N = 4$  is the **last** case admitting closed-form root formulae (Ferrari’s method), making an exact, non-numerical characterisation of the physical domain still possible — though the formulae are unwieldy.

**Dyson map.** The connection to standard quantum mechanics is via the *Dyson map*  $\Omega$ : one writes  $h = \Omega H \Omega^{-1}$  with  $h = h^\dagger$  in a textbook Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L}$ ; the metric is  $\Theta = \Omega^\dagger \Omega$ . At an EPN,  $\Theta$  diverges (“fragile quasi-Hermiticity”), and one must study the approach  $g \rightarrow g^{(\text{EPN})}$  perturbatively.

**Canonical form via transition matrix.** At  $g = g^{(\text{EPN})}$  one solves the Jordan equation  $H^{(N)}(g^{(\text{EPN})}) U = U J^{(N)}$  for the transition matrix  $U$ . The isospectral avatar  $P(\lambda) = U^{-1} H(g^{(\text{EPN})}) + \lambda U$  is dominated by the Jordan block plus a structured perturbation whose entries are weighted by powers of  $\lambda$  according to their distance from the main diagonal.

### 5 Main Results (Bulleted)

- R1. **Canonical six-parameter Hamiltonian.** Any  $4 \times 4$  quasi-Hermitian system near its EP4 is isospectral to the canonical matrix  $P_{(a,b,c,x,y,z)}^{(4)}(\lambda)$  above; only the three sub-diagonal parameters  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  control spectral reality.
- R2.  **$\lambda$ -independence of rescaled spectrum.** The rescaled energies  $E = \eta/\lambda$  satisfy a quartic  $E^4 - \gamma E^2 - \beta E - \alpha = 0$  that is independent of  $\lambda$ , so the physical energies unfold *linearly*:  $\eta_n(\lambda) = \lambda E_n$ .

**R3. Closed-form physical domain.** The unitarity-compatible domain  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{physical}}$  in  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ -space is characterised by the explicit inequalities (1)–(3); no numerical root-finding is needed.

**R4. Non-emptiness.** At  $\beta = 0$  the domain reduces to  $\gamma = 6\kappa^2$ ,  $-9\kappa^4 < \alpha < 0$ , which is manifestly non-empty for every  $\kappa > 0$ .

**R5. Perturbative stability.** As  $\beta$  grows from 0 toward  $\pm 8\kappa^3$ , the interval of admissible  $\alpha$  shrinks and shifts rightward; at  $\beta = 8\kappa^3$  it collapses to the single point  $\alpha = 3\kappa^4$ , recovering an EP2-type sub-degeneracy.

**R6. Universality.** The analysis applies to *any* quasi-Hermitian  $4 \times 4$  system near EP4 — the model-specific information is absorbed into the (possibly numerical) transition matrix  $U$ .

## 6 Proof Sketch

**Step 1. Jordan normal form at EP4.** At  $g = g^{(\text{EP4})}$  the Hamiltonian restricted to the relevant 4-dimensional subspace is similar to the  $4 \times 4$  Jordan block  $J^{(4)}(E^{(\text{EP4})})$ . Solve the matrix equation  $H^{(4)}U = U J^{(4)}$  for the transition matrix  $U$ .

**Step 2. Canonical perturbation.** Define  $\lambda = g - g^{(\text{EP4})}$  (suitably rescaled) and conjugate:  $P^{(4)}(\lambda) = U^{-1}H^{(4)}(g^{(\text{EP4})} + \lambda)U$ . The general theory (Ref. [25] in the paper) shows that only entries *below* the main diagonal affect spectral reality; those on or above are inessential. Weighting by powers of  $\lambda$  according to diagonal distance yields the six-parameter canonical form.

**Step 3. Reparametrisation.** Set  $c = \gamma - y - z$ ,  $b = \beta - x$ ,  $a = \alpha - z(y - \gamma) - z^2$ . The secular determinant  $\det(P^{(4)} - \lambda E I) = 0$  simplifies, after dividing out  $\lambda^4$ , to

$$E^4 - \gamma E^2 - \beta E - \alpha = 0.$$

**Step 4. Reality conditions via Sturm-type argument.** All four roots of  $S(E) = E^4 - \gamma E^2 - \beta E - \alpha$  are real iff:

- $S$  has two local minima at  $E_{\pm}^{[3]}$  and one local maximum at  $E_0^{[3]}$  (roots of  $S'(E) = 4E^3 - 2\gamma E - \beta$ ),
- $S(E_{\pm}^{[3]}) < 0$  and  $S(E_0^{[3]}) > 0$ .

The three extrema exist and are real iff the two roots of  $S''(E) = 12E^2 - 2\gamma$  are real, giving  $\gamma = 6\kappa^2 > 0$ .

**Step 5. Bound on  $\beta$ .** The monotonicity conditions  $S'(-\kappa) > 0$  and  $S'(\kappa) < 0$  translate to  $|\beta| < 8\kappa^3$ .

**Step 6. Bound on  $\alpha$  (Cardano).** With  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  fixed, the sign conditions  $S(E_{\pm}^{[3]}) < 0$ ,  $S(E_0^{[3]}) > 0$  become explicit inequalities in  $\alpha$  once the roots of  $S'$  are expressed via Cardano's formula. At  $\beta = 0$  the roots are  $E_{\pm}^{[3]} = \pm\sqrt{3}\kappa$ ,  $E_0^{[3]} = 0$ , giving  $-9\kappa^4 < \alpha < 0$ .

**Step 7. Non-emptiness and continuity.** The domain is open and non-empty at  $\beta = 0$ ; by continuity it remains non-empty for small  $|\beta|$ . Perturbative estimates for the boundary shift as  $\beta$  increases confirm the domain shrinks smoothly to a point at  $|\beta| = 8\kappa^3$ .

## **Reference**

M. Znojil, “Phase transitions in quasi-Hermitian quantum models at exceptional points of order four,” arXiv:2602.17491 [quant-ph], February 2026.  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.17491>