

1. (a) Xiaoming picks Coin A, we denote it as A. Under this case,
If X means the number of heads.

$$P(X=0) = C_4^0 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^0 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^4$$

$$= \frac{16}{81}$$

$$P(X=1) = C_4^1 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^1 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 = \frac{32}{81}$$

$$P(X=2) = C_4^2 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 = \frac{24}{81}$$

$$\therefore P(X \leq 2) = \frac{16}{81} + \frac{32}{81} + \frac{24}{81} = \frac{72}{81}$$

If Xiaoming picks Coin B, We define Y as the number of heads.
and the case as B.

$$P(Y=0) = C_4^0 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^0 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^4 = \frac{81}{256}$$

$$P(Y=1) = C_4^1 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^1 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3 = \frac{108}{256}$$

$$P(Y=2) = C_4^2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 = \frac{54}{256}$$

$$\therefore P(Y \leq 2) = \frac{81}{256} + \frac{108}{256} + \frac{54}{256} = \frac{243}{256}$$

Since Xiaoming picks one coin randomly, we define Z as the number of heads.

$$P(Z \leq 2) = P(Z \leq 2 | A) P(A) + P(Z \leq 2 | B) P(B)$$

$$= \frac{72}{81} \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{243}{256} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{4235}{4608}$$

(b) We denote the case that Xiaoming picked is Coin B as H.
the coin

$$\text{So } P(H | Z \leq 2) = \frac{P(H, Z \leq 2)}{P(Z \leq 2)}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{243}{256} \times \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{4235}{4608}} = \frac{2187}{4235}$$



$$2.(a) \text{Cov}(X, Y) = E(X)E(Y) - E(XY) \\ = E(X)E(|X|) - E(X|X|)$$

Since X is an even function, $X|X|$ is an odd function.

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f_X(x) dx = 0$$

and we know that $X|X|f_X(x)$ is also an odd function

$$E(XY) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} X|X|f_X(x) dx = 0$$

$$\text{So } \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$$

(b) X and Y are ~~not~~ not independent.

Because when $X \geq 0$, $Y = X$; $X < 0$, $Y = -X$.

~~$f_{X,Y}(x,y)$~~ So Y and X have a strict functional relationship. We can not say that X and Y are independent.



程文彬 1930074005

3.(a) ~~$E(S_n^2) = E\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2\right)$~~

we define a as the mean, so

$$E(S_n^2) = E\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\because \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [(X_i - a) - (\bar{X} - a)]^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - a)^2 - 2(\bar{X} - a) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - a) + n(\bar{X} - a)^2\end{aligned}$$

notice that $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - a) = n(\bar{X} - a)$

$$\text{so } \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - a)^2 - n(\bar{X} - a)^2$$

$$\because a = E(X_i) = E(\bar{X})$$

$$\therefore E(X_i - a)^2 = \text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

$$E(\bar{X} - a)^2 = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i)}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\text{so } E(S_n^2) = \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(n\sigma^2 - n \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) = \sigma^2$$

S_n^2 is an unbiased estimators for σ^2 .

$$\therefore \hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} S_n^2$$

$$\therefore E(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) = E\left(\frac{n-1}{n} S_n^2\right) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \neq \sigma^2$$

$\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is not an unbiased estimators for σ^2 .

$$E(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) = n^{-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2)$$

And ~~$\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$~~ $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, \sigma^2)$.



$$\text{So } E(X_i^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 f_X(x) dx = 2.$$

$$E(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) = 2.$$

So when $\sigma^2 = 2$, $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is an unbiased estimator for σ^2 ;
 $\sigma^2 \neq 2$, $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is not an unbiased estimator for σ^2 .

(b) Since $MSE(\hat{\theta}) = [E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta]^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$.

we can find that:

$$MSE(S_n^2) = \text{Var}(S_n^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}.$$

$$MSE(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) = [E(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) - \sigma^2]^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sigma^4 + \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sigma^4 + \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \sigma^4 = \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^4$$

$$MSE(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) = (2 - \sigma^2)^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)$$

When $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $MSE(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) \rightarrow (2 - \sigma^2)^2 \neq 0$

and $\frac{2}{n-1} > \frac{2n-1}{n^2}$, $MSE(S_n^2) > MSE(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)$

$$MSE(S_n^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad MSE(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

So $MSE(\hat{\sigma}_n^2) < MSE(S_n^2) < MSE(\tilde{\sigma}_n^2)$

So $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is ~~more~~ efficient, S_n^2 is the second

the most
 and $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2$ is the third.



程礼彬 1930074005

$$4. E(\hat{\theta}) = E\left[\frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - 1\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2\right] - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i)$$

we know that $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an i.i.d. ~~Poisson~~ ^{Poisson} distribution

$E(X_i) = \sum x f_X(x) = \lambda$. we that
and $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$, ^{define} $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

$$E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2\right] = E(X^2) = \text{Var}(X) + [E(X)]^2$$
$$= n\lambda + n^2\lambda^2$$

$$\text{So } E(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n^2} E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2\right] - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} (n\lambda + n^2\lambda^2) - \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n\lambda = \lambda^2$$

So $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator for λ^2 .



5. If we want to show $\hat{\mu}$ is a consistent estimator for μ , we just need to prove that $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, |\hat{\mu} - \mu| = \left| \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n j X_j - \mu \right|.$$

$$= \left| \frac{2\varepsilon}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n j \frac{(X_j - \mu)}{\varepsilon} \right|.$$

Since $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim i.i.d.(\mu, \sigma^2)$, we know that

~~$\frac{2\varepsilon}{n(n+1)}$~~ according to LLN, given ~~$\varepsilon > 0$~~ $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$

We can find $N_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n > N_i, P(|X_i - \mu| > \varepsilon) < \delta$

So we choose $N = \max\{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n\}$;

$$\text{when } n > N, |\hat{\mu} - \mu| = \left| \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n j (X_j - \mu) \right|.$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n j |X_j - \mu|$$

$$P(|\hat{\mu} - \mu| > \varepsilon) \leq P\left(\frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n j |X_j - \mu| > \varepsilon\right).$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n j P(|X_j - \mu| > \varepsilon) < \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n j \delta = \delta.$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta.$$

μ is a consistent estimator for μ .



b. (a) Since $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_X(x) dx = 1$.

$$\text{So } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_X(x) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{C}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx = 1$$

$$= \frac{C}{\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx = C = 1 \Rightarrow C = 1$$

4. 统计推断
1930074000

(b). We can find the MGF of $\frac{2X_i}{\theta}$ as follows: $Y = \frac{2X_i}{\theta}$

$$M_Y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ty} f_Y(y) dy = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{ty} \cdot e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} dy$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{y(t - \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{1}{2} dy$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2} e^{-y(\frac{1}{2} - t)} dy = \frac{1}{1 - 2t}$$

We can know that

$$P(Y \leq y) = P\left(\frac{2X_i}{\theta} \leq y\right) = P\left(X_i \leq \frac{\theta y}{2}\right)$$

$$= \int_0^{\frac{\theta y}{2}} \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{y}{2}}$$

So if $A \sim X_{(2n)}^2$,

$$(1 - 2t)^{-n} = E(e^{At}) = E\left(e^{\frac{2}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i t}\right)$$

$\because \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d. $\therefore E\left(e^{\frac{2}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i t}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n E\left(e^{\frac{2}{\theta} X_i t}\right)$

and according to the calculation,

$$E\left(e^{\frac{2}{\theta} X_i t}\right) = (1 - 2t)^{-1}$$

So we know that $2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{\theta} \sim X_{(2n)}^2$.

(c). We can know that the 95% CI is:

~~$\frac{2n\bar{X}}{\theta} \sim X_{(2n)}^2$~~ $\frac{2n\bar{X}}{\theta} \sim X_{(2n)}^2$, $\bar{X} = 5010$, $n = 15$.

So the interval is: $\left[\frac{X_{2n}^2(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})}{2n\bar{X}}, \frac{X_{2n}^2(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{2n\bar{X}} \right]$

~~$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X_i}{n}$~~



7. We can find that:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i = 47.$$

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{10-1} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \frac{2450}{9}.$$

(a) We can ~~say~~ $T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}} \sim N(0,1)$
Suppose that

$\Rightarrow 99\% \text{ CI: } \alpha = 0.01.$

$$\left[\mu - U_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \mu + U_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

$$\text{CI: } [46.5, 47.5]$$

(b) $\alpha = 0.025.$

$$\text{CI: } \left[\mu - U_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \mu + U_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

$$\text{CI: } [46.4, 47.6]$$

(c). It means that ~~the probability that~~ if we choose another product randomly, the probability that it may be in this interval.

(d) $H_0: \mu < 45$

$H_1: \mu \geq 45. \quad \alpha = 0.95$

if $T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}} \geq U_{\alpha}$, we reject H_0 .

$T < U_{\alpha}$, we do not reject H_0 .



8. We can find that.

4. 程方林

1930074000

$$\hat{y}_i = d_0 + d_1 (x_i - \bar{x})$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(d_0, d_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - d_0 - d_1 (x_i - \bar{x})]^2$$

We use the fact that.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial d_0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - d_0 - d_1 (x_i - \bar{x})] = 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial d_1} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) [y_i - d_0 - d_1 (x_i - \bar{x})] = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y}.$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$$

So the β is $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$

