EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

DATA QUALITY ISSUES & INCONSISTENCIES

■ CRITICAL DATA QUALITY FINDINGS FOR POWERPOINT

1. MISSING DATA ISSUES

- Missing Model Results: 2,213 records (4.8% of dataset)
- These applications have no FRAUD_MODEL_RESULT assigned
- Represents a significant coverage gap in fraud screening
- Impact: 4.8% of applications lack fraud model assessment

2. DATA INCONSISTENCIES

- Date Sequence Issues: Applications with illogical date progressions
- Offer shown before application submitted
- Offer selected before offer shown
- Origination before offer selected
 - Fraud Status vs Model Result Misalignment: Cases where final status contradicts initial model decision

3. MODEL COVERAGE GAPS

- DIT Model Coverage: Only runs when applications pass credit policy
- Kount Model Coverage: Only runs when applications pass credit policy
- DNB Model Coverage: Most comprehensive but still has gaps
- Result: Incomplete fraud screening for applications that fail early in process

4. TEMPORAL DATA ANOMALIES

- March 2025 Fraud Spike: 2,724 confirmed fraud cases (vs. 51 in February)
- Represents 97% of all confirmed fraud in dataset
- Suggests massive fraud attack or delayed detection
- Model failed to proactively identify this trend

5. SUSPICIOUS VALUE PATTERNS

- Empty Strings in Critical Fields: Phone numbers, emails, IP addresses
- Score Anomalies: Behavior and device scores outside expected ranges

• Flag Inconsistencies: Model flags not aligned with actual data presence

6. FRAUD MODEL PERFORMANCE GAPS

• Low Model Coverage: Only 53.7% of data covered by complete fraud assessment

• Missing Fraud Status: Some applications lack final fraud determination

• Incomplete Workflow: Applications stuck in review states without resolution

■ QUANTIFIED IMPACT

Issue Category	Count	% of Dataset	Business Impact
Missing Model Results	2,213	4.8%	Unscreened applications
Date Inconsistencies	Variable	<1%	Process integrity issues
Coverage Gaps	~20,000	~43%	Incomplete fraud assessment
March Fraud Spike	2,724	5.9%	Major fraud event missed
Suspicious Values	Variable	<5%	Data quality concerns

■ RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DATA QUALITY

IMMEDIATE ACTIONS (0-30 days)

- 1. **Investigate Missing Model Results:** Identify why 2,213 applications lack fraud assessment
- 2. Review March 2025 Fraud Event: Conduct forensic analysis of the fraud spike
- 3. Implement Data Validation: Add checks for date sequence logic

SHORT-TERM IMPROVEMENTS (1-3 months)

- 1. Expand Model Coverage: Ensure all applications receive fraud screening
- 2. Fix Flag Inconsistencies: Align model flags with actual data processing
- 3. Implement Real-time Monitoring: Add alerts for unusual fraud patterns

LONG-TERM STRATEGY (3-12 months)

- 1. Enhanced Data Pipeline: Implement end-to-end data quality monitoring
- 2. Predictive Analytics: Add early warning systems for fraud trends
- 3. Model Optimization: Improve coverage and reduce gaps in fraud detection

■ KEY TAKEAWAYS FOR LEADERSHIP

- Dataset is usable but has significant quality issues that impact fraud detection effectiveness
- ■■ Critical gaps exist in model coverage and data completeness
- March fraud event suggests reactive rather than proactive fraud detection

- Immediate action required to improve data quality and model coverage
- ROI opportunity exists to significantly improve fraud detection through data quality improvements

Report Generated: August 02, 2025 at 05:13 PM Source: Fraud Analysis Master Notebook