

Please write legibly, explain clearly and present your solutions in an organised way. Some points will be given for the quality of presentation.

1. Consider a tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , with each off-diagonal element equal to 1, and each diagonal element equal to  $a$ , except  $A_{n-1, n-1} = b$  and  $A_{nn} = c$ , where  $a + 1 \leq b$ , and  $b + 1 < c$ .
  - (a) [5 points] Apply Gerschgorin's theorem to  $A$  to find a range where the eigenvalues of  $A$  lie. The range is to be given in terms of  $a$  and  $c$ .
  - (b) [10 points] Consider a similarity transformation  $D_d A D_d^{-1}$ , where  $D_d$  is a diagonal matrix, with all diagonal entries equal to 1, except  $D_{nn} = d > 0$ . Does there exist a  $d$  which gives the lowest (with the help of Gerschgorin's theorem again) upper bound for the eigenvalues of  $A$ ? If yes, give a formula for this  $d$  (in terms of  $b$  and  $c$ ), and explain how you got it. If no, explain why such a  $d$  does not exist.

2. [10 points] Let  $B$  be a  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ . Show that, for any nonzero vector  $x$ ,

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{x^H B x}{x^H x} \leq \lambda_n.$$

3. [10 points] Show that, for any matrix  $A$ ,  $\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|A\|_1 \|A\|_\infty}$ .
4. If  $A$  and  $B$  are two  $n \times m$  matrices, we say that  $A \geq B$ , if  $a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ . We say that a matrix  $M$  is *nonnegative*,  $M \geq 0$ , if all its entries are nonnegative, i.e.  $M_{ij} \geq 0$  for all  $i, j$ . A real square matrix  $A$  is called *monotone*, if it is nonsingular and  $A^{-1} \geq 0$ .

A square matrix  $A$  of size  $n$  is *reducible*, if  $n = 1$ , or if there exists a permutation matrix  $P$  such that

$$P^{-1} A P = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ 0 & G \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $E$  and  $G$  are square matrices.

In other words,  $A$  is *reducible*, if  $n = 1$ , or if one can extract a subsystem of  $Ax = b$  which preserves the correspondence between equations and unknowns, and which can be solved independently of the remaining subsystem.

An  $n \times n$  matrix is *irreducible*, if it is not reducible.

A square matrix  $A$  of size  $n$  is *irreducibly diagonally dominant*, if it is diagonally dominant, with strict diagonal dominance on at least one row, and irreducible.

- (a) [4 points] Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be matrices of arbitrary but appropriate size, with  $C$  nonnegative and  $A \leq B$ . Show that  $AC \leq BC$  and  $CA \leq CB$ . If, in addition to  $A \leq B$ , matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are monotone, show that  $B^{-1} \leq A^{-1}$ .
- (b) [8 points] Let  $A$  be irreducibly diagonally dominant. Show that  $A$  is nonsingular.
- (c) [8 points] Let  $A$  be irreducibly diagonally dominant. Let  $D$  be the diagonal matrix with  $D_{ii} = A_{ii}$ , for all  $i$ . Show that  $\rho(\mathbf{I} - D^{-1}A) < 1$ .

5. Consider the two-point (one-dimensional) fourth-order Boundary Value Problem (BVP)

$$u_{xxxx} = g \quad \text{in } (0, 1) \tag{1}$$

$$u = \gamma \quad \text{on } x = 0, x = 1, \tag{2a}$$

$$u_{xx} = \zeta \quad \text{on } x = 0, x = 1, \tag{2b}$$

where  $u(x)$  is unknown, and  $g(x)$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  and  $\zeta(x)$  are given functions. Consider also the discretization of the domain  $(0, 1)$  by the gridpoints  $x_i = i/n$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , into  $n$  uniform subintervals with stepsize  $h = 1/n$ . Adopt the notation  $u_i = u(x_i)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , whenever it is convenient.

- (a) [6 points] Using Taylor's series, derive a second order centered finite difference approximation to the **fourth** derivative  $u_{xxxx}$  of  $u$  at a point  $x$ . Note that the approximation will use five points, namely,  $u(x - 2h)$ ,  $u(x - h)$ ,  $u(x)$ ,  $u(x + h)$  and  $u(x + 2h)$ . State the smoothness assumptions you make on  $u$ , and explain why, under the assumptions, the approximation is second order.

- (b) [7 points] The approximation derived in (a) can be applied to any point  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . However, while for  $i = 2, \dots, n-2$ , it gives rise to an equation relating some of the  $u_i$ 's,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , to known quantities, for  $i = 1$  and  $i = n-1$ , it gives rise to an equation involving some points outside the domain  $(0, 1)$  of the BVP. In order to derive a set of  $n-1$  equations, relating  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , to known quantities, we employ the boundary conditions (2b). It is known that, under certain assumptions, a second-order discrete form of (2b) is given by

$$\frac{u_{i-1} - 2u_i + u_{i+1}}{h^2} = \zeta_i, \quad i = 0, n. \quad (3)$$

Consider the relation arising from applying the approximation in (a) to  $x_1$  (which involves a point to the left of  $(0, 1)$ ), and the relation (3) for  $i = 0$ , scaled appropriately, and subtract the latter from the former. In the resulting relation, there is no point outside  $(0, 1)$ ; only  $u_0 = \gamma_0$ ,  $\zeta_0$ , and  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are involved. Derive the resulting relation, clearly showing the coefficients. With a similar treatment of the right boundary, a relation which involves only  $u_i$ ,  $i = n-3, n-2, n-1$ ,  $z_n$ , and  $u_n = \gamma_n$  is derived. Indicate the relation.

- (c) [2 points] Write the  $n-3$  equations resulting from applying the approximation derived in (a) to  $x_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n-2$ , and the two boundary equations derived in (b) in the form of a **banded** linear system of size  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ . You now have a discrete form of the BVP (1)-(2), from which approximations  $\bar{u}_i \approx u_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , can be computed. Show the form of the system for  $n = 6$  and the general form of the matrix for any  $n$ . Bring the system (if it is not already in that form) in a form so that a  $1/h^4$  factor accompanies the matrix.
- (d) [3 points] Let  $A$  be the matrix arising in (c) (including the  $1/h^4$  factor). Find a relation between  $A$  and the matrix  $B = 1/h^2 \text{trid}\{1, -2, 1\}$ . Give an interpretation of this relation in terms of derivatives.
- (e) [7 points] Give analytic formulae for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $A$ . Give an approximate upper bound for the Euclidean norm  $\|A^{-1}\|_2$  of the inverse of  $A$ , and explain how you obtained it. Note that this bound should be independent of the size  $n-1$  of  $A$ .
- (f) [20 points] Write a programme (in MATLAB, FORTRAN, C, or any reasonable language) to solve the above BVP using the equations in (c). More specifically: write a programme (and subroutines/functions, if needed), which, given  $n$ ,  $g$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\zeta$ :

(α) Generates the matrix and right-side of the equations in (c). The matrix should be generated and stored in pentadiagonal, or sparse or other equivalent format.

(β) Uses a **banded** (pentadiagonal) linear solver, that performs LU decomposition of the matrix (by Gauss Elimination), then forward and back substitutions, to solve the system and obtain  $\bar{u}_i$  on the (interior) gridpoints. Note that, if the matrix is stored in sparse format (and is pentadiagonal), MATLAB automatically uses a pentadiagonal linear solver (including f/b/s), when the backslash "\" operator is used to solve the system.

(γ) Computes and outputs the maximum in absolute value error  $e_n = \max_{i=1}^{n-1} |\bar{u}_i - u_i|$  of the approximation on the knots (assuming the exact solution  $u$  to the BVP is known).

(δ) For pairs of values of  $n$  of the form  $(n, 2n)$ , computes and outputs the order of convergence,  $\log_2(e_n/e_{2n})$ , corresponding to  $(e_n, e_{2n})$ , where the log should be base 2.

For  $n = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128$ , run your programme for the following choices of  $g(x)$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  and  $\zeta(x)$ :

- (i) Choose  $g(x)$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  and  $\zeta(x)$  so that  $u(x) = x^3$
- (ii) Choose  $g(x)$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  and  $\zeta(x)$  so that  $u(x) = \sin x$
- (iii) Choose  $g(x)$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  and  $\zeta(x)$  so that  $u(x) = x^{9/2}$

For each value of  $n$ , and for each of the three problems i, ii and iii, output the errors as stated in γ. For each pair of values of  $n$ ,  $(8, 16)$ ,  $(16, 32)$ ,  $(32, 64)$  and  $(64, 128)$ , and for each of the two problems ii and iii, compute and output the orders of convergence corresponding to the errors output by the programme. Make a table showing the errors and orders of convergence in each case. (Or format your output to produce the results in the form of a table.) Do **not** output more than requested. Compact your output so that it is easily read. (In MATLAB, use format compact.)

Comment on the results (i.e. how the errors behave with  $n$  and whether the behaviour is expected from theory). If you get any underflow message, use double precision. (MATLAB uses only double precision.) Hand in a hard-copy of your code together with the output required, the table (if separate) and your comments.