1 Taylor series with remainder

$$\phi(t), \phi^{(1)}(t), \phi^{(n)}(t), \phi_i \in \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

exist and are continous for $\forall t \in (a, b), [x, x + h] \subset (a, b)$ then:

$$\phi(x+h) = \phi(x) + \phi^{(1)}(x)h + \dots + \phi^{(n)}(x)\frac{h^n}{n!} + \dots$$

for some $\hat{x} \in (x, x+h)$

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_1} \\ \dots \\ \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}, x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots x_n \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} & \dots \\ \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $f^{(2)}(x)$ is continuous \Longrightarrow mixed partial derivatives are commutative $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable \Longrightarrow $f(x+p) = f(x) + \nabla f(x+tp)^T p, \exists t \in (0,1)$

let $\phi(t) = f(x+tp)$

$$\phi'(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+(t+h)p) - f(x+tp)}{h}$$

$$\det x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\phi'(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + (t+h)p_1 \\ x_2 + (t+h)p_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) - f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + tp_1 \\ x_2 + tp_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + (t+h)p_1 \\ x_2 + (t+h)p_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) - f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + tp_1 \\ x_2 + (t+h)p_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + (t+h)p_1 \\ x_2 + (t+h)p_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) - f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + tp_1 \\ x_2 + (t+h)p_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)}{h}$$

$$+ \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + (t+h)p_1 \\ x_2 + (t+h)p_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) - f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + tp_1 \\ x_2 + (t+h)p_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)}{h}$$

$$\phi'(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f\left(\left[x_1 + (t+h)p_1\right]\right) - f\left(\left[x_1 + tp_1\right]\right)}{hp_1} \frac{hp_1}{h}$$

$$+ \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f\left(\left[x_1 + tp_1\right]\right) - f\left(\left[x_1 + tp_1\right]\right)}{hp_2} \frac{hp_2}{h}$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x + tp)p_1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x + tp)p_2$$

$$f(x + tp) = f(x) + \nabla f(x + tp)^T p$$

$$\phi(1) = \phi(0) + \phi'(t)$$

$$\phi''(t) = p^T \nabla^2 f(x + tp) p$$

$$\phi(1) = \phi(0) + \phi'(0) + \frac{\phi''(t)}{2}, \text{ for some } t \in (0, 1)$$

$$f(x + p) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T \nabla f(x + tp) p, f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$\nabla f(x + p) = \nabla f(x) + \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x + tp) p dt$$

$$\phi(t) = \nabla f(x + tp)_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\phi(1) = \phi(0) + \int_0^1 \phi'(t) dt$$

$$\nabla f(x + tp)_i = \nabla f(x) + \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x + tp)_i^T p dt, \text{ i=ith row of Hessian matrix}$$

$$\nabla f(x + tp) = \nabla f(x) + \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x + tp)^T p dt$$

note:

$$\nabla f(x+p)_i = \nabla f(x)_i + \nabla^2 f(x+t_i p) p$$
, for some $t_i \in (0,1)$ is true $\nabla f(x+p) = \nabla f(x) + \nabla^2 f(x+t p) p$, for some $t \in (0,1)$ is not true

2 1st order conditions

Theorem 2.1. 1st order necessary condition.

if x^* is a local minimizer of f and f is continuously differentiable in a beighbourhood of x^* then $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$

```
Proof. By contradiction (assume hypothesis true, conclusion false)
let p = -\nabla f(x^*)
note \nabla f(x^*)^T p = -\nabla f(x^*)^T \nabla f(x^*) < 0
consider -\nabla f(x^*)^T \nabla f(x^* + tp) < 0 for t \in [0, \alpha], \alpha > 0
\phi(t) = \nabla f(x^*)^T \nabla f(x^* + tp), \phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}
\phi(0) = \nabla f(x^*)^T \nabla f(x^*) > 0 (using assumed false conclusion)
|\phi(o) - \phi(t)| < \epsilon = \frac{\phi(0)}{2}, |t| < \delta
by continuity of \phi(t),
(\exists \alpha > 0) - \nabla f(x^*)^T \nabla f(x^* + tp) < 0, t \in [0, \alpha]
f(x^* + \alpha p) = f(x^*) + \alpha \nabla f(x + tp)^T p, for some t \in [0, \alpha]
\alpha \nabla f(x+tp)^T p < 0, then:
f(x^* + \alpha p) < f(x^*)
x^* is a local minimizer
there esits an r > 0 s.t. for all x(x^*, r) f(x^*)(x)
note for x = x^* + \alpha p : ||x - x^*|| = |a| ||p|| < r
we can adjust t to all smaller values and \alpha \nabla f(x+tp)^T p < 0 would still hold
thus contradiction
```