

## 1 2nd order conditions

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $x^*$  is a local minimizer of  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  exists and is continuous in neighbourhood of  $x^*$ , then  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$  and  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is symmetric positive semi-definite.*

*Proof.* By contradiction.

Note from previous theorem  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$  and  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is symmetric. So contradiction must be  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is not PSD:  $(\exists p)p^T \nabla^2 f(x^*)p < 0$ .

By continuity of  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  must have that there is an  $\alpha > 0$  such that:

$$\phi(t) = p^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + t\alpha p)p < 0$$

for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

$$\phi(t) = \nabla f(x^* + t\alpha p)^T p < 0$$

$$f(x^* + \alpha p) = f(x^*) + \alpha \nabla f(x^*)^T p + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} p^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + t)p \text{ for some } t \in (0, 1)$$

$$\nabla f(x^*)^T = 0, \frac{\alpha^2}{2} p^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + t)p < 0$$

We can always choose  $\alpha$  small enough such that  $x^* + \alpha p \in B(x^*, r)$  □

**Theorem 1.2.** *2nd order Sufficient condition for a minimizer.*

*if  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  exists and is continuous in a neighbourhood of  $x^*$  and  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$  and  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is symmetric positive definite, then  $x^*$  is a local minimizer of  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is symmetric positive definite and  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is continuous in a neighbourhood of  $x^*$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $\nabla^2 f(x^* + p)$  is SPD for all  $\|p\| < \delta$ .

$$u^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + p)u > 0, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \neq 0$$

Choose any point  $x \in B(x^*, \delta), x \neq x^*$

$$\text{Let } p = x - x^*, \|p\| < \delta$$

$$\text{So } f(x) = f(x^* + p) = f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*)^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + tp)p, t \in (0, 1)$$

$$\nabla f(x^*)^T = 0, \frac{1}{2} p^T \nabla^2 f(x^*)^T p > 0, \text{ so:}$$

$$f(x) > f(x^* + p) \quad \square$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Then if  $f$  is convex, any local minimizer is a global minimizer (does not imply a local minimizer is unique).*

*Proof.* By contradiction.

Suppose  $x^*$  is a local minimizer and there is some  $y^*$  s.t.  $f(y^*) < f(x^*)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha x^* + (1 - \alpha)y^*) &\leq \alpha f(x^*) + (1 - \alpha)f(y^*) \\ &< \alpha f(x^*) + (1 - \alpha)f(x^*) = f(x^*), \alpha < 1 \\ z &= \alpha x^* + (1 - \alpha)y^* \\ f(z) &< f(x^*) \end{aligned}$$

For any  $B(x^*, r), r > 0$ , we can choose  $\alpha < 1$  s.t.  $z \in B(x^*, r)$  □

**Theorem 1.4.** *If  $f$  is convex, then any local minimizer is a global minimizer. In addition, if  $f$  is continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $x^*$  and  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$  then  $x^*$  is a global minimizer of  $f(x)$ .*