CS 540 Database Management Systems

Review of Relational Model and SQL



Review problems: people betting on OSU football games

Out(game, outcome) Bets(who, outcome, game, amt)

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanford	120

Some games have not been played yet, e.g., Arizona.



List the completed games that nobody bet on.

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

game	

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanford	120



List the completed games that nobody bet on.

```
(Select Game
  From Out)
Except
(Select Game
  From Bets)
```



Who bet the most money on a single game?

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

who	amt
Kevin	210

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanford	120



Who bet the most money on a single game?



List the games that all bettors agree on.

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

game
Stanford
Arizona
UO
USC

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanford	120



List the games that all bettors agree on.

```
(Select game
From Bets)
Except
(Select Bets1.game
From Bets Bets1, Bets Bets2
Where (Bets1.game = Bets2.game)
  And (Bets1.outcome <> Bets2.outcome))
```



For each game, the number of people betting on OSU to win and the number betting on OSU to lose.

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

game	outcome	num
Stanford	W	1
UO	L	1
UCLA	W	1
UCLA	L	1
USC	W	1
Arizona	L	1

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanford	120



For each game, the number of people betting on OSU to win and the number betting on OSU to lose.

Select game, outcome, Count(who) As num
From Bets

Group By game, outcome



Find the people who have made two or more bets on OSU to lose.

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

who	
Kevin	

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanford	120



Find the people who have made two or more bets on OSU to lose.

```
Select who
From Bets
Where outcome = 'L'
Group By who
Having Count(outcome) >= 2
```



Who bet the most money overall?

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

who	sumAmt
John	450

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanford	120



Who bet the most money overall?

```
Select who, Sum(amt) As sumAmt
From Bets
Group By who
Having Sum(amt) >= ALL
                   (Select Sum (amt)
                    From Bets
                    Group By who)
```



Who has bet on every game?

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanford	120



```
Who has bet on every game?
Create View AllGames As
(Select game From Out) Union
(Select game From Bets)
Select who
From Bets
Group By who
Having Count(Distinct game) =
(Select Count (Distinct game)
 From AllGames)
```



What games have won the most money for the people who bet on OSU to win?

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

game	
USC	

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanfor	120
		d	



What games have won the most money for the people who bet on OSU to win?

```
Create View Success-Win As
(Select Bets.game, Sum(Bets.amt) As SumAmt
 From Bets, Out
 Where out.game = Bets.game And
       Bets.outcome = 'W' And Out.outcome = 'W'
       Group By Game)
Select Distinct game
From Success-Win
Where SumAmt >= All
                 (Select SumAmt
                  From Success-Win)
```



List the people who won some money so far.

game	outcome
USC	W
UCLA	L
Stanford	W

who
John
Kevin

who	outcome	game	amt
John	W	USC	200
John	W	UCLA	100
John	L	Arizona	150
Kevin	L	UO	210
Kevin	L	UCLA	50
Kevin	W	Stanfor	120
		d	



List the people who won some money so far.

```
Create View Success As
(Select who, Sum(amt) As pAmt
 From Bets, Out
 Where Out.game = Bets.game And Bets.outcome = Out.outcome
 Group By who)
Create View Failure As
(Select who, Sum(amt) As nAmt
 From Bets, Out
 Where Out.game = Bets.game And Bets.outcome <> Out.outcome
 Group By who)
```



List the people who won some money so far.

```
(Select Success.who
From Success, Failure
Where Success.who = Failure.who And
      Success.pAmt > Failure.nAmt )
Union
 (Select who
  From Success
   Where who not in
                    (Select who
                     From Failure) )
```



Views

• Virtual relations defined over *base relations*

```
Create View <name> As <query>;
```

• *PopularShop(sname, addr):* shops with at least 10 frequent drinkers.

```
Create View PopularShop As
   Select C.sname, C.addr
   From CoffeeShop C, Frequents F
   Where C.sname = F.sname
   Group By C.sname, C.addr
   Having Count(dname) > 9
```

• Used in queries like the base relations.

```
Select addr
From PopularShops;
```



Advantages of using views

Usability

- easier for users to find relevant relations.
- DBAs create the relevant views for each group of users.

Security

- each group of users should access only a subset of database.
- DBAs define views and their access privileges.

• Efficiency

One may precompute and store frequently used views.



Virtual versus materialized views

Virtual view

- database system stores the view definitions
- database system rewrites the query using view definitions

Materialized view

- Database system pre-computes the views
 - Users issue many queries using *PopularShops*
- advantage: improves the running time for some queries.
- disadvantage: database system should refresh it if its base relations are modified.



Which views to materialize?

- Tradeoff: #read from view vs. #write to base relations
- Two types of database systems
 - Online Transaction Processing (OLTP)
 - banking systems, online shopping, ...
 - heavy write workload
 - Online Analytics Processing (OLAP)
 - integrated database about branches
 - data warehouses
 - write is not frequent, e.g., once a month
 - many complex join queries with aggregation functions
- Materialized views are popular in data warehouses no significant overhead

Maintaining materialized views with both r/w

- No silver bullet!
 - choose a policy that matches the application needs.
- Two steps
 - Propagate: compute changes to the view when data changes.
 - Refresh: apply changes to the materialized view table.
- Maintenance policy
 - Immediate: as soon as the base tables are updated.
 - advantage: views are always consistent.
 - disadvantage: updates are slower.
 - **Deferred:** some time later
 - advantage: scale to many views without slowing down updates.
 - disadvantage: views may become inconsistent.



Deferred maintenance

- Lazy
 - Delay refresh until the next query over the view
 - Refresh before answering the query
- Periodic (snapshot)
 - refresh the view periodically
 - disadvantage: Some queries may be answered over an outdated version of view.
 - advantage: scale to update many views
 - more widely used



Support for view materialization

Example in Oracle

```
Create materialized view PopularShop As
   Select sname, addr
   From CoffeeShop C, Frequents F
   Where C.sname = F.sname
   Group By C.sname, C.addr
   Having Count(dname) > 9
```

- Also called *snapshot*.
- No direct support in MySQL
 - programmers have to implement it



View selection

- Given a query workload, find the minimum set of views to materialize such that
 - minimizes the running time of all queries
- General approach
 - find the time-consuming joins /aggregation sub-queries.
 - pick the ones that are used in sufficiently many times.
- Some database systems provide wizard programs to help



Is SQL Sufficient?

- Using IsParent(parent,child), find grand children of a given person.
- Now find all descendants of a given person.

- It is **not** possible to write this query in standard SQL!
 - We can prove it.
 - 3 bonus points for everybody who finds, understands and writes the main ideas of the proof and submits it by 2/1.



- SQL standards have recursive SQL
 - most database systems do not implement that
- Database systems usually support limited recursion
 - MySQL recursive cte, Oracle's connected by, ...
- They define within a single query
 - a base case (base query)
 - a recursion step
- Systems limit the type of queries used for recursion
 - not group by/ aggregation function
 - to keep the plan for normal queries fast.



- Common table expression (CTE)
 - relation variable within the scope of a single query

```
WITH cte (col1, col2) AS
(
    SELECT 1, 2
    UNION ALL
    SELECT 3, 4
)
SELECT col1, col2 FROM cte;
```



Common table expression (CTE)

```
with
   cte1 AS (SELECT a, b FROM table1),
   cte2 AS (SELECT c, d FROM table2)

SELECT b, d
   FROM cte1 JOIN cte2
WHERE cte1.a = cte2.c;
```

- used similar to virtual view in the query
 - the query plan may be more efficient as each CTE is executed only once and used multiple times.



Recursive CTE

```
WITH RECURSIVE cte (n) AS
   SELECT 1
   UNION ALL
   SELECT n + 1 FROM cte WHERE n < 5
SELECT * FROM cte;
base case (base query)?
recursion step?
```



• Using *Employee(id, name, manager_id)* produce the organizational chart of the management chain.

```
WITH RECURSIVE employee paths (id, name, path) AS
   SELECT id, name, CAST(id AS CHAR(200))
   FROM employees WHERE manager id IS NULL
   UNION ALL
   SELECT e.id, e.name, CONCAT (ep.path, ',', e.id)
   FROM employee paths AS ep
   JOIN employees AS e ON ep.id = e.manager id
SELECT * FROM employee paths ORDER BY path;
```

