





9

Modivation LTL-formulas quantity universally over paths LTL: SF9 ATE Pallis(s): TF9 thus LTL permits do quantify over all pates, but not directly over some OK path existence can be modeled by checking 79: SX79 (=) not VTTE Parlis (s): TF79 = ITE Parlis(s): not TX9 E) ITE Pallis(s): TFP Yes more complicated statements like "it is always possible to store the store of statements like "it is always possible to store " So (stard) Connot be specified in LTL in particular: So K DO Start (LTL) Sos, W K DO Start

(Queille and Sifakis, 1982)

(CTL) Syndax CTL (Clorke & Emerson 7986) for some for all paths formulas) STATE formulas \$::= frue | or | -\$ | \$\Pi\$ PATH formulous 9 := 0 \$ | \$ U \$ Defined operadors (ZTL) potentally. evendually: JO T = J(SrueUI) 〇页:=trueU页 Ho Pinevisably = Y (Srue U) potentially invariantly 74(-true V 70) always: □更:=707更 Juvoriously 7 307 E - J (Inel-1) Eamples formulasover AP= {x=1, x<2, x=3} JO(x=1), ∀O(x=1), x<2 v x=1, ∃((x<2)) U(x≥3)), ∀(true)(x<2) Nou-examples: 3 (x=1 ~ 70 (x=3))

State, but not path formula,
incorrect as CTL-formula 10 (Arue U (x=1)) L pash, but not a stase formula, successed as CTL-formula Safedy Y [TC, VTC2] mutual exclusion Liveness Kism AD AOC: AD (reg -> AD res)

1



