# Curriculum Vitae

## PERSONAL DATA

Clemens Fiedler

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tilburg University} & +31\ 13\ 466\ 2326\\ \text{Department of Economics} & \text{c.fiedler@uvt.nl}\\ \text{PO Box } 90153 & \text{clemensfiedler.com}\\ \text{Tilburg } 5000\ \text{LE}, \text{ The Netherlands} \end{array}$ 

Born: 1988, March 31 in Linz, Austria

Nationality: Austrian

## WORK EXPERIENCE

Ph.D. Candidate in Economics, **Tilburg University** Supervisors: Jan Boone, Florian Schütt

September 2015 -Current

- Focus on competition and innovation in high-tech and software markets.
- What drives firms to invest into high-quality and innovation?
- Methods used are theoretical models and computational simulations.

#### **EDUCATION**

M.Sc. (Research Master) in Economics, **Tilburg University**Cum Laude, Major: Microeconomic Theory, Minor: Econometrics
Thesis: Asymmetric Agents and their Willingness to Fight
Supervisor: Jan Boone

- I analyzed a market with firms competing in R&D and prices.
- When does R&D behave as strategic complements or substitutes?
- Initial levels of asymmetry can be increased by the firms behaviour.

M.Sc. in Economics Vienna University of Business and Economics Passed with Distinction, Major: Mathematics, Thesis: The Tullock-Lottery as a Sub Contest for a Discrete Tug-of-War-Competition.

August 2011 -July 2013

B.Sc. in Business, Economics and Social Sciences Vienna University of Business and Economics September 2006 -March 2011

### TEACHING EXPERIENCE

2017 - 2018
2016 - 2018
2016 - 2018
2014 - 2017
2012, 2013
2013
2013

September 11, 2018 Page 1

Board Member September 2017 -

Tilburg PhD Platform (TiPP), Tilburg University

Present

- Representation of Ph.D. candidates of the TiSEM within the university.
- Information sharing between schools and management.
- Organizing activities for Ph.D. candidates.
- Improving situation of the Ph.D. candidates and graduate programs.

Organizer September 2017 -

Programming Group, Tilburg University

Present

- Founding the TiSEM programming group.
- Setting up the infrastructure, communication and strategic plan.
- Attracting lecturers and coordinating seminar schedule.

Council Member March 2017 -

Faculty Council (TiSEM), Tilburg University

September 2018

- Participation in decision making process of TiSEM.
- Advising management team and consenting to policies.
- Discussing and approving policy changes and budgets of TiSEM.

Chairman June 2016 -

Graduate Students' Society, Tilburg University

September 2018

- Planning and coordinating the activities of the GSS.
- Conducting meetings with stakeholders of the Graduate School.
- Decision making on activities and strategies of the GSS.

IT Coordinator August 2014 -

Graduate Students' Society, Tilburg University

September 2016

- Setting up a Facebook group and a mailing list.
- Maintaining website, composing newsletter.
- Organizing and conducting specialist courses and providing IT knowledge.

#### **OTHERS**

Mathematica: Contribution to the Wolfram Demonstrations Project

(http://demonstrations.wolfram.com/BestResponseLearningInACournotFramework)

Github: https://github.com/clemensfiedler/

Skills

Languages: English (fluent), German (native), Dutch (basic)

Advanced Knowledge: Python (Pandas, SciPy, SciKit-learn), IATEX, GitHub,

Mathematica, R

Basic Knowledge: Stata, Java, MatLAB

Interests & Activities

Research Interests: Industrial Organizations, Computational Economics and

Competition in R&D and ICT

Personal Interests: Technology, Physics and Science Fiction
Other Activities: Running, Cycling, Swimming, Strategy Games

References.

Available upon request.

September 11, 2018 Page 2

# Should we Intervene in the Demand of Firms in the Software Market? (Work in Progress)

In this paper, we study how a research and development cost structure that scales imperfectly with the number of customers impacts the strategic decisions of firms. Two firms compete in R&D efforts. Firms do not charge prices but instead generate indirect revenue from their customers. Efforts attract customers from the competitor and increase the revenue per customer. The cost of efforts depends on the number of customers. We show how this cost structure can generate an inverse u-shaped reaction function of the firms' efforts. We derive conditions under which both firms increase their efforts in response to an intervention supporting the market leader and under which such an intervention only encourages the leader or only the laggard. The outcome hinges on whether a laggard becomes more or less aggressive as they lose market share. This can explain why small firms behave accommodatingly and spend little on product quality in some markets. In contrast, other markets feature small firms that invest heavily in product quality and compete fiercely despite their small market share. Using three exemplary markets - for hardware, software, and platform technology - we illustrate the importance of this effect to regulators interested in stimulating innovation.

# Standards and the Common Good: How Competition Fosters Cooperation (Work in Progress)

We consider the problem of competing firms contributing to the development of a common standard by analyzing the two core functions of a standards. First, standards are set, i.e. they harmonize product characteristics and make products more like each other. Second, standards are developed, i.e. they are a solution to a technical problem that firms jointly solve. To analyze this problem, we develop a model of standard setting, where firms are separated into standards. Within a standard, firms can share their research and development and thus avoid cost duplication. Additionally, firms within the standard also face a higher competition from each other relative to competition from firms outside of the standard. By differentiating between both roles of a standard we show that competition has an inverted U-shaped effect on standard investments. For high levels of competition, a reduction raises firms' investment. For already low levels a further reduction lowers the incentives of firms. Furthermore, by disentangling competition within and outside of the standard we illustrate how both aspects of a standard conflict with each other. Thus, a standard that leads to a high degree of similarity reduces firms' incentives to invest. Consequently, governmental interventions that impact the level of competition with the goal of raising R&D investments need to be carefully implemented in the presence of standards. This is even more so true if society has a preference over one of the two goals of a standard.

#### Conferences and other Presentations

I attended the following Workshops and Conferences and given a presentation if marked with a (P).

Machine Learning and Causal Inference, Erasmus School, Rotterdam  FinTech and Competition in the Financial Sector Workshop, CPB, Den Haag  European Network for Training in Economic Research Jamboree, Toulouse  2018  (2018)	(P)
1, , ,	
European Network for Training in Economic Research Jamboree, Toulouse 2018 (	
	(P)
EARIE Annual Conference, Maastricht 2017 (	(P)
Bergen Competition Policy Conference (BECCLE), Bergen 2017 (	(P)
European Network for Training in Economic Research Jamboree, London 2017 (	(P)
Competition Law and Economics European Network Workshop, Bonn 2016 (	(P)
European Network for Training in Economic Research Jamboree, Madrid 2016	
ESCARES Seminar ULB, Brussles 2016 (	(P)
Big data, Platforms and Privacy Workshop, CPB, Den Haag 2016	

September 11, 2018 Page 3