

# Assignment 3: Test Plan + Pilot Study

## Designing and Testing Your Research Method

### Table of contents

<b>Assignment Overview</b>	<b>2</b>
Learning Objectives . . . . .	3
<b>What Is a “Test Plan + Pilot”?</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Assignment Requirements</b>	<b>4</b>
Part 1: Research Design (1.5-2 pages) . . . . .	4
Research Questions and Hypotheses . . . . .	4
Method Selection and Justification . . . . .	4
Data Collection Protocol . . . . .	4
Analysis Plan . . . . .	5
Part 2: Pilot Study Implementation (1-1.5 pages) . . . . .	5
Pilot Study Design . . . . .	5
Pilot Results and Learning . . . . .	5
Method Refinements . . . . .	6
Part 3: Reproducibility Documentation (0.5 pages) . . . . .	6
Method Documentation . . . . .	6
<b>Method Options and Guidance</b>	<b>6</b>
Low-Code Approaches (Recommended for beginners) . . . . .	6
Building Performance Comparison . . . . .	6
Space Usage Analysis . . . . .	7
Post-Occupancy Survey . . . . .	7
Code-Heavy Options (For experienced students) . . . . .	7
Parametric Performance Analysis . . . . .	7
Sensor Data Analysis . . . . .	7
Spatial Analysis . . . . .	7

<b>Differentiated Expectations</b>	<b>8</b>
Undergraduate Students . . . . .	8
MARCH Students . . . . .	8
PhD Students . . . . .	8
<b>Common Method Categories and Examples</b>	<b>8</b>
Simulation-Based Testing . . . . .	8
Measurement-Based Testing . . . . .	9
User Experience Testing . . . . .	9
<b>Assessment Criteria</b>	<b>9</b>
Research Design Quality (40%) . . . . .	9
Pilot Study Execution (30%) . . . . .	10
Method Documentation (20%) . . . . .	10
Communication Quality (10%) . . . . .	10
<b>Common Challenges and Solutions</b>	<b>10</b>
Challenge: “My pilot didn’t work at all” . . . . .	10
Challenge: “I can’t get access to data/buildings/people” . . . . .	10
Challenge: “My results are boring/inconclusive” . . . . .	11
Challenge: “I don’t have time/resources to do what I planned” . . . . .	11
<b>Resources and Support</b>	<b>11</b>
Technical Tools . . . . .	11
Advanced Tools . . . . .	11
Support Resources . . . . .	11
<b>Timeline and Milestones</b>	<b>12</b>
Week 3: Research Design . . . . .	12
Week 4: Pilot Preparation . . . . .	12
Week 5: Pilot Execution and Refinement . . . . .	12
<b>Due:</b> End of Week 5	
<b>Weight:</b> 25% of final grade	
<b>Length:</b> 3-4 pages + pilot results summary	
<b>Format:</b> PDF submission via course portal	

---

## Assignment Overview

**A3: Test Plan + Pilot Study** translates the evidence gaps identified in A2 into a concrete research method. You will design a systematic approach to test your A1 decision claim, conduct

a small-scale pilot to validate your method, and refine your approach based on initial results. This assignment develops **method design skills** and **adaptive planning** essential for reliable evidence collection.

## Learning Objectives

By completing this assignment, you will:

1. **Design appropriate research methods** matched to your decision claim and evidence gaps
  2. **Plan systematic data collection** with clear protocols and quality controls
  3. **Conduct pilot testing** to validate methods and identify implementation challenges
  4. **Adapt research plans** based on pilot results and practical constraints
  5. **Document methods** clearly enough for reproduction and peer review
- 

## What Is a “Test Plan + Pilot”?

A **test plan** is your systematic strategy for collecting evidence to evaluate your decision claim. It specifies: - Exactly what data you will collect and how - What comparisons you will make to test your claim

- How you will ensure data quality and reliability - What analysis approaches you will use

A **pilot study** is a small-scale trial of your test plan that helps you: - Verify that your methods work in practice - Identify unexpected challenges and solutions - Refine your protocols before full implementation - Estimate time, cost, and resource requirements

Think of this as **engineering your research** — designing a reliable system for generating trustworthy evidence.

---

# Assignment Requirements

## Part 1: Research Design (1.5-2 pages)

### Research Questions and Hypotheses

**Primary Research Question** - Restate your A1 decision claim as a specific, testable question  
- Break complex claims into component sub-questions if needed - Explain how answering this question addresses the evidence gaps identified in A2

**Testable Hypotheses** - What specific outcomes do you predict for your design object? - What alternative explanations will you test? - How will you distinguish between competing hypotheses?

**Success Criteria** - What results would support your claim? - What results would refute it?  
- What results would be inconclusive, and how would you interpret them?

### Method Selection and Justification

**Approach Overview** Choose one of these research approaches (or justify a hybrid):

1. **Comparative Analysis:** Compare performance of different design alternatives
2. **Simulation Study:** Use computational models to test design variations
3. **Measurement Study:** Collect empirical data on existing conditions
4. **User Study:** Evaluate human responses to design alternatives
5. **Case Study Analysis:** Deep investigation of exemplary projects

**Method Justification** - Why is this approach appropriate for your research question? - What are the strengths and limitations of your chosen method? - How does your approach address the gaps identified in A2? - What alternatives did you consider and why did you reject them?

### Data Collection Protocol

**Data Sources and Selection Criteria** - What buildings, spaces, or conditions will you study? - How will you select your sample (random, purposive, convenience)? - What sample size do you need for reliable results? - How will you handle access and permission issues?

**Measurement Procedures** - Exactly what will you measure/observe/record? - What tools, instruments, or software will you use? - What protocols will ensure consistent data collection?  
- How will you handle missing data or measurement errors?

**Quality Control** - How will you verify data accuracy and reliability? - What calibration or validation procedures will you use? - How will you address potential sources of bias or error? - What documentation will you maintain throughout data collection?

## **Analysis Plan**

**Data Analysis Strategy** - How will you process and analyze your data? - What statistical or analytical approaches will you use? - How will you visualize and present your findings? - What software tools will support your analysis?

**Interpretation Framework** - How will you determine if results support your claim? - What threshold or criteria will you use for decision-making? - How will you address uncertainty and limitations? - How will results connect to your stakeholder decision context?

## **Part 2: Pilot Study Implementation (1-1.5 pages)**

### **Pilot Study Design**

**Pilot Scope and Objectives** - What specific aspects of your method did you pilot test? - What questions were you trying to answer about your approach? - How did you scale down your full method for pilot testing? - What success criteria did you set for the pilot?

**Pilot Implementation** - Exactly what did you do in your pilot study? - What data did you collect and how? - What challenges or surprises did you encounter? - How long did different tasks take compared to your estimates?

### **Pilot Results and Learning**

**What Worked Well** - Which aspects of your method performed as expected? - Where did you get good quality, useful data? - What procedures were efficient and reliable? - What tools or approaches exceeded your expectations?

**What Didn't Work** - Where did you encounter problems or failures? - What data was lower quality or less useful than expected? - Which procedures were inefficient, unreliable, or unclear? - What assumptions proved incorrect?

**Unexpected Findings** - What did you learn that you didn't anticipate? - Did you discover new data sources or measurement opportunities? - Did you identify alternative approaches worth exploring? - What insights emerged about your design object or context?

## Method Refinements

**Revised Protocol** Based on pilot results: - How will you modify your data collection procedures? - What changes will you make to tools, instruments, or software? - How will you adjust your sample selection or size? - What quality control improvements will you implement?

**Updated Timeline and Resources** - How has pilot testing changed your time estimates? - What additional resources or support do you need? - What new challenges do you need to plan for? - How will you prioritize if you can't do everything originally planned?

**Risk Management** - What could go wrong with your revised approach? - What backup plans do you have for likely problems? - How will you maintain data quality if you face constraints? - What would constitute partial success if full implementation isn't possible?

## Part 3: Reproducibility Documentation (0.5 pages)

### Method Documentation

**Step-by-Step Protocol** - Document your final method clearly enough for another researcher to replicate - Include specific tools, settings, procedures, and decision criteria - Explain any judgment calls or contextual adaptations - Provide templates, checklists, or forms you developed

**Data Management Plan** - How will you organize, store, and backup your data? - What file naming and organization system will you use? - How will you ensure data security and privacy? - What metadata will you record to support analysis and interpretation?

**Ethical Considerations** - Do you need institutional review for human subjects research? - How will you protect privacy of buildings, spaces, or people you study? - What permissions do you need for access or data use? - How will you acknowledge sources and credit collaborators?

---

## Method Options and Guidance

### Low-Code Approaches (Recommended for beginners)

#### Building Performance Comparison

- **Tools:** EnergyPlus GUI, Climate Consultant, Excel
- **Data:** Energy simulation results, climate data, building characteristics
- **Example:** Compare energy use of different window configurations using preset models

## Space Usage Analysis

- **Tools:** Manual observation, simple counting apps, Excel
- **Data:** Occupancy patterns, space utilization rates, user behavior
- **Example:** Compare seating usage in different plaza configurations over time

## Post-Occupancy Survey

- **Tools:** Google Forms, Qualtrics, Excel/Sheets
- **Data:** User satisfaction, comfort ratings, preference rankings
- **Example:** Compare user satisfaction with different workspace acoustics

## Code-Heavy Options (For experienced students)

### Parametric Performance Analysis

- **Tools:** Python, Ladybug/Honeybee, Jupyter notebooks
- **Data:** Automated simulation results, optimization studies
- **Example:** Parametric study of shading device performance across climate conditions

### Sensor Data Analysis

- **Tools:** Python/R, data loggers, building management systems
- **Data:** Environmental conditions, energy use, occupancy patterns
- **Example:** Statistical analysis of temperature, humidity, and comfort relationships

### Spatial Analysis

- **Tools:** QGIS Python, PostGIS, spatial statistics packages
- **Data:** Urban data, building locations, accessibility metrics
- **Example:** GIS analysis of green infrastructure impact on urban heat island

## Differentiated Expectations

### Undergraduate Students

- **Method complexity:** Single, straightforward approach with clear protocols
- **Pilot scope:** Simple validation of basic procedures
- **Analysis depth:** Descriptive statistics and basic comparisons
- **Documentation:** Clear step-by-step protocols for replication

### MArch Students

- **Method complexity:** Professional-level approach suitable for practice contexts
- **Pilot scope:** Testing feasibility for real project implementation
- **Analysis depth:** Industry-relevant metrics and decision criteria
- **Documentation:** Consultant-quality method documentation

### PhD Students

- **Method complexity:** Methodologically innovative or rigorous approach
- **Pilot scope:** Testing validity and reliability of new procedures
- **Analysis depth:** Statistical analysis with uncertainty quantification
- **Documentation:** Publication-quality method description

---

## Common Method Categories and Examples

### Simulation-Based Testing

**Building Energy Analysis** - Compare design alternatives using EnergyPlus or similar tools  
- Vary parameters systematically (insulation, window area, shading) - Analyze results across multiple climate conditions or use patterns

**Daylighting Performance** - Use Radiance, VELUX, or similar tools to model natural lighting  
- Compare spatial layouts, window configurations, or shading strategies - Evaluate metrics like daylight autonomy, glare probability

**Urban Microclimate** - Model heat island effects, wind patterns, or solar access - Compare building arrangements, materials, or green infrastructure - Use tools like ENVI-met, Climate Consultant, or CFD software



## Measurement-Based Testing

**Environmental Monitoring** - Deploy sensors to measure temperature, humidity, light, noise, air quality - Compare conditions in different spaces or times - Correlate physical conditions with user experience or energy use

**Space Utilization Studies** - Observe and record how people use different spatial configurations - Count occupancy, track movement patterns, measure dwell times - Compare usage between different design alternatives

**Building Performance Assessment** - Analyze utility bills, energy monitoring data, or BMS records - Compare actual performance with design predictions - Identify factors that explain performance variations

## User Experience Testing

**Occupant Satisfaction Surveys** - Develop questionnaires to assess comfort, satisfaction, productivity - Compare responses between different design conditions - Correlate subjective responses with objective measurements

**Behavioral Observation** - Systematically observe user behavior in different environments - Record patterns of space use, social interaction, movement - Compare behavior between design alternatives

**Focus Groups or Interviews** - Gather qualitative insights into user needs and preferences - Understand reasoning behind quantitative survey responses - Explore unexpected findings from other data collection methods

---

## Assessment Criteria

### Research Design Quality (40%)

- **Methodological appropriateness:** Does your method match your research question?
- **Technical feasibility:** Can you realistically implement your approach?
- **Quality controls:** Have you planned for data reliability and validity?
- **Analysis strategy:** Do you have a clear plan for interpreting results?

## Pilot Study Execution (30%)

- **Implementation quality:** Did you conduct a meaningful pilot test?
- **Critical evaluation:** Do you accurately assess what worked and didn't work?
- **Learning integration:** Have you revised your approach based on pilot results?
- **Problem-solving:** Do you have realistic solutions for identified challenges?

## Method Documentation (20%)

- **Reproducibility:** Could another researcher follow your protocol?
- **Transparency:** Are your procedures and decision criteria clear?
- **Ethical considerations:** Have you addressed privacy, access, and consent issues?
- **Data management:** Do you have sound plans for data organization and security?

## Communication Quality (10%)

- **Professional presentation:** Clear writing, good organization, error-free
- **Appropriate detail:** Right level of technical depth for your audience
- **Logical flow:** Does your narrative connect research design to pilot results to refinements?
- **Visual aids:** Do tables, diagrams, or figures support your text effectively?

---

## Common Challenges and Solutions

### Challenge: "My pilot didn't work at all"

**This is actually good news!** Pilots are supposed to reveal problems. **Solutions:** - Document exactly what went wrong and why - Develop alternative approaches based on what you learned - Simplify your method to something more manageable - Focus on the most important aspects of your original plan - Frame pilot "failure" as valuable methodological learning

### Challenge: "I can't get access to data/buildings/people"

**Solutions:** - Develop backup options with easier access requirements - Scale down to publicly accessible examples - Use existing datasets or published case studies - Partner with classmates for mutual data access - Focus on method development rather than comprehensive data collection

### **Challenge: “My results are boring/inconclusive”**

**Solutions:** - Inconclusive results are still results — document why - Look for unexpected patterns or interesting secondary findings - Consider what additional data would clarify results - Frame inconclusive results as identifying needs for future research - Focus on validating your method rather than proving your claim

### **Challenge: “I don’t have time/resources to do what I planned”**

**Solutions:** - Prioritize the most important aspects of your research question - Document what you would do with more time/resources - Do a smaller-scale but higher-quality study - Focus on method development and pilot testing rather than full implementation - Plan a phased approach with clear priorities

---

## **Resources and Support**

### **Technical Tools**

**Low-code simulation:** EnergyPlus GUI, VELUX Daylight, Climate Consultant **Data collection:** Google Forms, manual observation templates, basic sensors **Analysis:** Excel/Sheets, QGIS, basic statistical functions **Documentation:** Templates for protocols, data sheets, consent forms

### **Advanced Tools**

**Simulation:** Python scripting, Ladybug/Honeybee, R statistical packages **Data collection:** IoT sensors, building management system APIs, web scraping **Analysis:** Python/R statistical analysis, machine learning approaches **Documentation:** Jupyter notebooks, GitHub version control, automated reporting

### **Support Resources**

- **Week 3-4 method workshops:** Hands-on help with tool selection and setup
- **Office hours:** Wednesdays 4-6pm at KB722, or by appointment
- **Technical support:** HKU IT services for software installation and troubleshooting
- **Peer collaboration:** Classmate partnerships for data access and method validation
- **External mentors:** Week 5 consultations with practitioners using similar methods

---

## Timeline and Milestones

### Week 3: Research Design

- Finalize research questions and hypotheses
- Select method approach and justify choice
- Develop initial data collection protocol
- Plan pilot study scope and procedures

### Week 4: Pilot Preparation

- Set up tools, instruments, and access permissions
- Create data collection materials (forms, templates, protocols)
- Identify pilot test locations, subjects, or data sources
- Conduct any necessary training or calibration

### Week 5: Pilot Execution and Refinement

- Conduct pilot study and collect initial data
- Evaluate pilot results and identify needed changes
- Refine method protocol based on pilot learning
- Submit A3 with pilot results and revised method plan

---

**Remember:** Your A3 test plan becomes the foundation for your A4 final analysis. Invest time in getting the method right — good evidence comes from good methods, and good methods come from careful planning and pilot testing.

---

*Research is iterative. Your pilot “failures” are actually methodological successes — they help you design better studies and collect more reliable evidence.*