Assignment 1: Decision Claim

Identifying and Framing Your Design Research Question

Table of contents

Assignment Overview	2
Learning Objectives	2
What Is a "Decision Claim"?	3
Good Decision Claims Have Four Elements:	3
1. Design Object (What you're investigating)	3
2. Performance Dimension (What you're measuring)	
3. Stakeholder Group (Who needs this evidence)	
4. Decision Context (When/how evidence would be applied)	
Assignment Requirements	4
Core Deliverables	4
Part 1: Problem Statement (1 page)	4
Part 2: Stakeholder Analysis (1 page)	4
Part 3: Scope and Constraints (0.5-1 page)	5
Part 4: Visual Summary (1 page)	5
Differentiated Expectations	5
Undergraduate Students	5
MArch Students	6
PhD Students	6
Examples of Strong Decision Claims	6
Example 1: Residential Energy Performance	6
Example 2: Workplace Productivity	6
Example 3: Public Space Usage	
Assessment Criteria	7
Clarity and Feasibility (40%)	7

	Stakeholder Understanding (30%)
	Communication Quality (20%)
	Research Potential (10%)
C	ommon Pitfalls to Avoid
	Scope Issues
	Claim Problems
	Stakeholder Misalignment
G	etting Started
	Week 1 Activities
	Resources for Topic Development
	Getting Help

Due: End of Week 2

Weight: 15% of final grade

Length: 2-3 pages + 1 visual summary **Format:** PDF submission via course portal

Assignment Overview

A1: Decision Claim establishes the foundation for your semester-long research brief. You will identify a specific design object (building element, space type, or system) and articulate a testable claim about its performance, impact, or optimal configuration. This assignment focuses on **problem framing** — converting design intuitions into questions that evidence can answer.

Learning Objectives

By completing this assignment, you will:

- 1. **Identify a suitable design object** at an appropriate scale for evidence-based investigation
- 2. Frame a testable claim that can be evaluated with available data and methods
- 3. Define stakeholders who would benefit from evidence about your claim
- 4. Articulate the decision context where your evidence would be applied
- 5. Scope your investigation realistically within course constraints

What Is a "Decision Claim"?

A decision claim connects a specific design choice to a measurable outcome that matters to identifiable stakeholders. It moves beyond aesthetic preference to ask: What evidence would help us choose between alternatives?

Good Decision Claims Have Four Elements:

1. Design Object (What you're investigating)

- Specific enough to test systematically
- Broad enough to generate useful evidence
- Accessible for data collection within semester timeframe

Examples: - Window-to-wall ratios in Hong Kong residential towers - Ceiling height in collaborative workspaces

- Green roof configurations for urban buildings - Wayfinding signage systems in hospital environments

2. Performance Dimension (What you're measuring)

- Clearly defined and measurable
- Connected to stakeholder concerns
- Achievable with available tools and methods

Examples: - Energy performance, daylighting quality, thermal comfort - User satisfaction, space utilization, productivity metrics - Construction cost, maintenance requirements, lifecycle impact

3. Stakeholder Group (Who needs this evidence)

- Specific decision-makers who would use your findings
- Clear understanding of their constraints and priorities
- Realistic access for validation and feedback

Examples: - Residential developers in dense urban markets - Corporate facility managers optimizing workplace design - Hospital administrators balancing patient outcomes and costs - Municipal planners developing green infrastructure policies

4. Decision Context (When/how evidence would be applied)

- Real situations where choices must be made
- Clear alternatives being compared
- Specific decision criteria and trade-offs

Examples: - Early design phase when major systems are being selected - Retrofit decisions for existing building portfolios - Policy development requiring performance standards - Post-occupancy evaluation informing future projects

Assignment Requirements

Core Deliverables

Part 1: Problem Statement (1 page)

Write a clear, concise problem statement addressing:

Design Object Description - What specifically are you investigating? (Be precise about scale, typology, context) - Why is this object/system/space important in contemporary practice? - What design variables or alternatives will you focus on?

Current Decision-Making Process - How are decisions about this object typically made now? - What information do decision-makers currently use? - What gaps or uncertainties exist in current practice?

Proposed Claim - State your testable claim in one clear sentence - Explain why you believe this claim might be true (initial hypothesis) - Identify what evidence would support or refute your claim

Part 2: Stakeholder Analysis (1 page)

Identify and analyze your primary stakeholders:

Primary Decision-Makers - Who would directly use your evidence to make design decisions? - What are their main concerns, constraints, and success criteria? - How do they currently evaluate alternatives for your design object?

Secondary Stakeholders - Who else is affected by decisions about your design object? - What outcomes matter most to these groups? - How might their priorities conflict with primary decision-makers?

Evidence Requirements - What type of evidence would be most convincing to your stakeholders? - What level of precision/confidence would they require? - What format would make your findings most actionable for them?

Part 3: Scope and Constraints (0.5-1 page)

Realistically assess what you can accomplish this semester:

Available Resources - What data sources are accessible to you? - What tools and methods can you realistically learn and apply? - What time and budget constraints will shape your approach?

Manageable Scope - How will you narrow your investigation to be achievable? - What limitations will you acknowledge upfront? - What would constitute "success" for this project?

Part 4: Visual Summary (1 page)

Create a single-page visual that communicates: - Your design object with key variables high-lighted - Your proposed claim as a testable question - Your primary stakeholders and their decision context - The type of evidence you plan to collect

This can be a diagram, infographic, or annotated photographs — choose the format that best communicates your concept.

Differentiated Expectations

While all students complete the same core deliverables, expectations vary by program level:

Undergraduate Students

- Focus: Clear problem identification with instructor guidance
- Scope: Well-defined, smaller-scale objects (single building element or system)
- Stakeholders: Primary focus on one main stakeholder group
- Evidence: Straightforward metrics accessible through existing data or simple measurement

MArch Students

- Focus: Professional memo format suitable for practice contexts
- Scope: Building-scale or neighborhood-scale investigations
- Stakeholders: Multiple stakeholder groups with competing priorities
- Evidence: Industry-relevant metrics and decision criteria

PhD Students

- Focus: Research question suitable for systematic investigation
- Scope: Multi-building or policy-scale questions
- Stakeholders: Complex stakeholder networks including regulatory bodies
- Evidence: Methodologically rigorous approaches with uncertainty quantification

Examples of Strong Decision Claims

Example 1: Residential Energy Performance

Design Object: Window-to-wall ratios in Hong Kong high-rise residential buildings

Claim: "Optimizing window-to-wall ratios can reduce residential cooling energy by 15-25%

while maintaining acceptable daylighting levels"

Stakeholders: Residential developers, building owners, energy policy makers **Decision Context:** Early design phase for new residential developments

Example 2: Workplace Productivity

Design Object: Open office acoustics and spatial configuration

Claim: "Strategic placement of acoustic treatments can improve reported productivity by

20% without major space reallocation"

Stakeholders: Corporate facility managers, workplace designers, HR departments **Decision Context:** Office renovation decisions and workplace strategy planning

Example 3: Public Space Usage

Design Object: Seating arrangements in urban plazas

Claim: "Flexible seating configurations increase space utilization by 40% compared to fixed

bench arrangements"

Stakeholders: Urban planners, park departments, public space designers

Decision Context: Public space design guidelines and renovation priorities

Assessment Criteria

Your assignment will be evaluated on:

Clarity and Feasibility (40%)

• Clear problem definition: Is your design object specific and well-bounded?

• **Testable claim**: Can your claim be evaluated with evidence?

• Realistic scope: Is your investigation achievable within course constraints?

Stakeholder Understanding (30%)

- Relevant stakeholders: Have you identified appropriate decision-makers?
- **Decision context**: Do you understand when/how your evidence would be used?
- Evidence requirements: Do you know what would convince your stakeholders?

Communication Quality (20%)

- Professional presentation: Is your document clear, well-organized, and error-free?
- Visual effectiveness: Does your visual summary communicate key concepts clearly?
- Appropriate audience: Is your writing suitable for your intended stakeholders?

Research Potential (10%)

- Interesting question: Will investigating this claim generate useful knowledge?
- Methodological feasibility: Can you reasonably collect relevant evidence?
- Broader relevance: Could your findings inform similar decisions elsewhere?

Common Pitfalls to Avoid

Scope Issues

- Too broad: "How to design sustainable buildings" (instead: focus on one specific system/element)
- **Too narrow**: "The optimal paint color for my bedroom" (instead: scale up to stakeholder relevance)
- Too abstract: "How to make beautiful architecture" (instead: focus on measurable performance)

Claim Problems

- Untestable: Claims about aesthetic preference or cultural meaning
- Already proven: Well-established relationships documented in literature
- Impossible to measure: Outcomes requiring unavailable data or methods

Stakeholder Misalignment

- No real stakeholders: Academic exercise without practical application
- Unrealistic access: Stakeholders who won't engage with student research
- Mismatched priorities: Evidence that doesn't address stakeholder concerns

Getting Started

Week 1 Activities

- 1. Browse examples: Look at evidence-based design studies in journals and practice
- 2. **Identify interests**: What design decisions intrigue you? What performance questions do you have?
- 3. Talk to practitioners: What design decisions do working architects struggle with?
- 4. Check feasibility: What data and methods are realistically available to you?

Resources for Topic Development

- Building performance databases: Energy Star, LEED project database, local building surveys
- User experience research: POE studies, occupant satisfaction surveys, space utilization data
- **Professional publications**: Architectural Record, Journal of Green Building, Environment and Behavior
- Local contexts: Hong Kong buildings, climate, regulations, and construction practices

Getting Help

- Office hours: Wednesdays 4-6pm at KB722, or by appointment
- Peer discussion: Week 1 in-class workshop for topic development
- Online forum: Course Slack for questions and idea sharing
- Writing center: HKU academic support for clear technical writing

Remember: A strong Decision Claim sets up your entire semester project. Invest time in getting this foundation right — it will make all subsequent assignments clearer and more focused.

This assignment launches your journey into evidence-based design thinking. Choose a question that genuinely interests you and matters to real decision-makers.