Evidence and Narrative in Research: From Osman & Abramson to Thermal Comfort Studies

DESN2003: Research for Innovation, Week Six

Hongshan Guo

2025-03-06

1: From Osman & Abramson to Thermal Comfort Studies: Evidence and Narrative in Research

A Data-Driven Qualitative Review in Architectural Research

2: What makes a story credible?

How do we transform raw facts into a compelling narrative?

3: Let's open with some ideas from Osman & Abramson

Osman's Idea:

- Evidence is not self-explanatory.
- Facts become "evidence" when selectively assembled into a narrative.

Abramson's Contribution:

- Advocates for an "undetermined history."
- Emphasizes embracing ambiguity, accident, and counter-narratives.

Leverage these ideas as the thread that stitches our session together.

4: Session Overview & Objectives

Our Focus:

- Use Osman & Abramson as theoretical anchors to examine research narratives.
- Case study: "A Data-Driven Qualitative Review of Thermal Comfort Studies."

Learning Outcomes:

- Understand roles of primary vs. secondary data.
- Recognize how narrative is constructed—and what is omitted.
- Develop strategies for critical, reflexive evidence gathering.

5: Introduction & Context Setting

• Exploration:

- How evidence and narrative work together in research.
- Our case study is the provided draft on thermal comfort studies.

Focus:

Examining how the draft uses different data types to build its narrative.

6: Dissecting the Draft's Methodology

Data Collection:

- Primary Data: Field measurements, surveys, direct observations.
- Secondary Data: Established databases (e.g., ASHRAE, Chinese TCDB) and literature.
- Systematic Approach:
 - Literature screening, numerical evaluation criteria (scores 0–3).
 - Mapping personal (age, gender, BMI) and contextual parameters.

This method exemplifies how facts are mobilized into evidence, as Osman suggests.

Section 1

-3 (cold) to 0 (neutral) to +3 (hot)

7: Mapping between perception and numbers: A Quantitative Review

What benefits and drawbacks do you see in using numerical scoring to convert raw data into a narrative?

8: Primary vs. Secondary Data Sources

• Primary Data:

- Direct measurements (e.g., temperature, humidity).
- First-hand surveys and observations.

Secondary Data:

- Data from previous studies and databases.
- Provides context and broadens scope.

The draft combines both to create a layered narrative.

9: How Did We Do This in Our Manuscript?

Leveraging Secondary Data:

- Utilized established thermal comfort databases (ASHRAE & Chinese TCDB) as our primary sources.
- Compiled 88+ articles to form our evidence base.

Systematic Data Evaluation:

- Applied a numerical scoring system (0–3) to assess personal, contextual, and PMV parameters.
- Enabled structured comparison and identification of gaps across studies.

Constructing the Narrative:

- Integrated diverse data points to build a coherent story that supports our hypothesis.
- Demonstrated how selection and framing of secondary data can drive new insights.

Testament to Methodology:

- Our approach shows that robust secondary data can be effectively leveraged.
- Aligns with Osman's and Abramson's ideas on how evidence is reinterpreted into a narrative.

10: Critically Assessing Our Data Setup

• Maintain Critical Awareness:

- Triangulation: Validate findings by comparing multiple sources.
- Reflexivity: Regularly question data selection and categorization.
- **Iterative Review:** Continuously refine data collection and narrative as new evidence emerges.

• Draft's Limitations:

- Inconsistent classifications and mapping issues.
- Underrepresentation of certain demographics.

• Future Enhancements:

- Develop standardized classification/predictive frameworks.
- Incorporate mixed-method approaches.

This process embodies the critical reflection championed by Osman and Abramson.

11: How can we ensure our data-driven narratives remain robust and adaptable as new evidence emerges?

12: Conclusion & Key Takeaways

Summary:

- Evidence transforms into narrative through careful selection and interpretation.
- Our case study demonstrates both the potential and limitations in this process.

• Key Takeaways:

- Balance rigorous data collection with reflexive narrative construction.
- Be aware of what is included—and omitted—to strengthen research credibility.
- Osman and Abramson remind us to remain open to alternative interpretations.

Final Reflection:

"As researchers, how do we balance the rigor of data collection with the interpretive nature of narrative construction?"

13: Thank You & Q&A

Questions & Discussion?