



## Week 3 Quiz



**6/10** points earned (60%)

You haven't passed yet. You need at least 80% to pass.

Review the material and try again! You have 3 attempts every 8 hours.

[Back to Week 3](#)



1 / 1  
points

1.

For which of the following situations would a 0/1 loss function make the most sense?



Your answer choice on a Coursera multiple choice quiz.



**Correct**

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Understand the concept of loss functions and how they relate to Bayesian decision making.
- ☐ Your estimate of the market price of your house.
- ☐ Your prediction for the number of bikes sold this year by a local bike shop.
- ☐ Your prediction as to whether it will rain tomorrow.



1 / 1  
points

2.

Fill in the blank: Under a **linear loss function**, the summary statistic that minimizes the posterior expected loss is the \_\_\_\_\_ of the posterior.

- ☐ Mean
- ☒ Median

**Correct**

Correct Answer. The median is the summary statistic that minimizes the posterior expected loss under the linear loss function.

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Understand the concept of loss functions and how they relate to Bayesian decision making.

- ☐ Mode



1 / 1  
points

3.

You are employed in the Human Resources department of a company and are asked to predict the number of employees that will quit their jobs in the coming year. Based on a Bayesian analysis of historical data, you determine the posterior predictive distribution of the number of quitters to follow a Poisson( $\lambda = 10$ ) distribution. Given a **0/1** loss function, what is the prediction that minimizes posterior expected loss?

- ☐ a. 9
- ☐ b. 10
- ☐ c. 11
- ☒ d. Either a or b

**Correct**

Correct Answer. Both 9 and 10 are modes of Poisson( $\lambda = 10$ ). Since the loss function is 0/1, the mode of the posterior distribution minimizes posterior expected loss.

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Make optimal decisions given a posterior distribution and a loss function.

0 / 1  
points

4.

Suppose you are testing a new drug to determine whether it is more effective than an existing drug. Let  $H_0$  denote the hypothesis that the new drug is no more effective than the existing drug and  $H_1$  denote the hypothesis that the new drug is more effective than the existing drug. If you accept  $H_1$  when in fact  $H_0$  is true, the loss is 100. If you accept  $H_0$  when in fact  $H_1$  is true, the loss is 40. Assume that no loss is incurred if you accept a true hypothesis. At what posterior probability of  $H_0$  will you be indifferent between the two hypotheses?

☐  $\frac{1}{4}$ ☐  $\frac{2}{7}$ ☐  $\frac{2}{5}$ ☒  $\frac{1}{3}$ **This should not be selected**

If  $p$  is the posterior probability that  $H_0$  is true, then the expected loss under  $H_0$  is  $40(1 - p)$  and the expected loss under  $H_1$  is  $100p$ . Set the two equal and solve for  $p$ .

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Decide between hypotheses given a loss function: Make optimal decisions given a posterior distribution and a loss function.

1 / 1  
points

5.

You are testing a hypothesis  $H_1$  against an alternative hypothesis  $H_2$  using Bayes Factors. You calculate  $BF[H_1 : H_2]$  to be 42.7. According to guidelines first given by Jeffreys (and presented in the lecture), what conclusion can be drawn from the data?

☐ The data provides strong evidence against  $H_1$ .☐ The data provides decisive evidence against  $H_1$ .



The data provides strong evidence against  $H_2$ .

**Correct**

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Compare multiple hypotheses using Bayes Factors.



The data provides decisive evidence against  $H_2$ .



0 / 1  
points

6.

Suppose that you are trying to estimate the true proportion  $p$  of male births in the United States. Starting with a strong prior (Beta(500,500)) on the proportion, you randomly sample 10,000 birth certificates, observing 5029 males and 4971 females. What is the posterior probability that  $p$  is less than or equal to 0.5? (Hint: use function(s) in R to answer this question)



0.27



0.28



**This should not be selected**

Recall the conjugacy of the Beta-Binomial model. Use the pbeta function in R to calculate the posterior probability that  $p$  is less than 0.5.

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Conceptualize Lindley's paradox and how the Bayes Factor depends on prior elicitation
- Make inferences about a proportion using a conjugate Beta prior.



0.29



0.30



1 / 1  
points

7.

Suppose that you are trying to estimate the true proportion  $p$  of male births in the United States. You want to evaluate two hypotheses against each other: first the null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) that  $p = 0.5$  and an alternative hypothesis ( $H_1$ ) that  $p \neq 0.5$ . To do this, you assign a point-null prior to  $p = 0.5$  under  $H_0$  and a uniform  $Beta(1, 1)$  prior to  $p$  under  $H_1$ . Then, if we define  $k$  to be the number of male births out of a total sample of  $n$  birth certificates,

$$P(k|H_0) = \binom{n}{k} 0.5^k (1 - 0.5)^{n-k}$$

$$P(k|H_1) = \int_0^1 \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} dp = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Using the `dbinom` function in R calculate the Bayes Factor  $BF[H_1 : H_0]$  if you observed 5029 male births out of 10,000 birth certificates.

☐  $BF[H_1 : H_0] = 67.44$

☐  $BF[H_1 : H_0] = 8.33$

☐  $BF[H_1 : H_0] = 0.12$

☒  $BF[H_1 : H_0] = 0.015$

**Correct**

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Conceptualize Lindley's paradox and how the Bayes Factor depends on prior elicitation
- Compare multiple hypotheses using Bayes Factors.

 0 / 1 points

8.

Suppose you are trying to infer the difference in the proportion of yellow M&Ms between two bags of M&Ms. Each bag is estimated to contain roughly 30 M&Ms. To make inferences about the proportion, you draw 10 M&Ms from each bag **without** replacement and record the number of yellow M&Ms in each bag.

Is there a problem with the experimental design? If so, what is it?

- ☐ Yes, the probability of drawing a yellow M&M is not independent within groups.
- ☐ Yes, the probability of drawing a yellow M&M is not independent between groups.



There is no problem with the experimental design.



**This should not be selected**

Because we are sampling without replacement and the population size is small, for a given proportion  $p$  of yellow M&Ms, our draws are not independent and cannot be modeled b.

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Identify assumptions relating to a statistical inference.



0 / 1  
points

9.

Suppose that when testing  $H_0 : p = 0.5$  versus  $H_1 : p \neq 0.5$  using Bayes Factors, we get the posterior probability  $P(H_0 \mid \text{data}) = 0.25$ . Conditional on  $H_1$ , the posterior mean of  $p$  is 0.6. Under **quadratic** loss, what is the point estimate for  $p$  that minimizes expected posterior loss?



0.5



**This should not be selected**

Under quadratic loss, the mean minimizes expected posterior loss. Use the posterior probabilities of each hypothesis to weight their respective means. Hint - if  $H_0 : p = 0.5$  what is the posterior mean of  $p$  under  $H_0$ ?

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Create point estimates and credible intervals by averaging over multiple hypotheses
- Make optimal decisions given a posterior distribution and a loss function.



0.55



0.575



0.6



1 / 1  
points

10.

True or False: The use of the reference prior  $Beta(1/2, 1/2)$  has little bearing on the posterior distribution of a proportion  $p$ , provided that the sample size is sufficiently large.



True

**Correct**

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Conceptualize Lindley's paradox and how the Bayes Factor depends on prior elicitation.



False

