

Class notes on gross substitutes

Alfred Galichon

math+econ+code masterclass on equilibrium transport and
matching models in economics
Special lecture 4, November 12, 2021

1 Agenda

The following topics will be (briefly) touched upon: **polymatroids** and **exchangeability**, Lovasz extensions, **discrete convex analysis**, **L / L# / M / M# convexity** as well as unified gross substitutes. This class is only meant to provide an bird's-eye view of topic.

2 Some references

- Murota's monograph
<https://www.amazon.com/Discrete-Analysis-Monographs-Mathematics-Applications/dp/0898715407>
- Murota's overview
<https://www.comp.tmu.ac.jp/kzmurota/paper/HIMSummerSchool15Murota.pdf>
- Gul and Stacchetti papers:
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0022053199925310>
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0022053199925802>
- Bach's monograph:
<https://www.di.ens.fr/~fbach/2200000039-Bach-Vol6-MAL-039.pdf>
- 2 working papers of mine (available upon request):
Galichon, Hsieh and Sylvestre. "Monotone comparative statics for sub-modular functions, with an application to aggregated deferred acceptance."
Galichon, Samuelson and Vernet. "Unified Gross Substitutes".

3 Reminders on gross substitutes

Recall the example from last time, which was the supplier's problem

$$Q(p) = \arg \max_q \{p^\top q - C(q)\}$$

where $C(q)$ is the cost of producing q , which is assumed convex. Consider the indirect utility

$$C^*(p) = \max_q \{p^\top q - C(q)\}$$

and by duality

$$C(q) = \max_p \{p^\top q - C^*(p)\}$$

we have $Q(p) = \partial C^*(p)$. Recall that gross substitutes is equivalent with submodularity of C^* . Indeed, assuming differentiability, $Q_z(p) = \partial C^*(p) / \partial p_z$, and

$$\frac{\partial Q_z(p)}{\partial p_{z'}} = \frac{\partial^2 C^*(p)}{\partial p_z \partial p_{z'}}$$

thus gross substitutes mean that $\frac{\partial^2 C^*(p)}{\partial p_z \partial p_{z'}} \leq 0$ for $z \neq z'$.

This lecture is all about gross substitutes, which here means $C^*(p)$ submodular [and convex].

Assume $f(p)$ is submodular and convex. Define $f^*(q) = \max_p \{p^\top q - f(p)\}$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} Q(p) &= \arg \max_q \{p^\top q - f^*(q)\} = \partial f(p) \\ Q^{-1}(q) &= \arg \max_p \{p^\top q - f(p)\} = \partial f^*(q) \end{aligned}$$

What can I say about f^* ?

- * it's convex
- * it's supermodular. Heuristically. Show that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f^*(q)}{\partial q_z \partial q_{z'}} \geq 0 \text{ for } z \neq z'$$

well,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f^*(q)}{\partial q_z \partial q_{z'}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_z} \frac{\partial f^*(q)}{\partial q_{z'}}$$

and $\frac{\partial f^*(q)}{\partial q_{z'}} = Q^{-1}(q) = \arg \max_p \{p^\top q - f(p)\}$. Now we can apply Topkis to $(p, q) \rightarrow p^\top q - f(p)$.

This function satisfies increasing differences; and it is supermodular in p . Hence $\arg \max_p \{p^\top q - f(p)\}$ is nondecreasing in q . Hence $\frac{\partial f^*(q)}{\partial q_{z'}}$ is a nondecreasing function of q . Hence its derivative wrt any q_z is ≥ 0 . Thus

$$\frac{\partial^2 f^*(q)}{\partial q_z \partial q_{z'}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_z} \frac{\partial f^*(q)}{\partial q_{z'}} \geq 0.$$

* Proof that if f is submodular and convex, then f^* is supermodular and convex.

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(q \vee q') + f^*(q \wedge q') &\geq f^*(q) + f^*(q') \\ f^*(q) &= \max \{pq - f(p)\} = pq - f(p) \text{ for some } p \end{aligned}$$

$$f^*(q') = \max \{pq' - f(p)\} = p'q' - f(p') \text{ for some } p'$$

$$pq - f(p) + p'q' - f(p') \leq pq + p'q' - (f(p) + f(p')) \leq pq + p'q' - (f(p \vee p') + f(p \wedge p'))$$

But

$$pq + p'q' \leq (p \wedge p')(q \wedge p') + (p' \vee p')(q' \vee q')$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} pq - f(p) + p'q' - f(p') &\leq (p \wedge p')(q \wedge p') + (p' \vee p')(q' \vee q') - (f(p \wedge p') + f(p \vee p')) \\ &\leq f^*(q \vee q') + f^*(q \wedge q') \end{aligned}$$

Question: does the converse hold. Ie does $g(q)$ convex and supermodular imply that $g^*(p) = \max_q \{pq - g(q)\}$ is submodular.

Yes when the dimension of p and q is 2.

In fact, no as soon as dimension ≥ 3 .

Submodularity of $f(p)$ means that $D^2 f(p)$ is a Z-matrix. Assuming f is strictly convex, $D^2 f(p)$ is strictly nonreversing, thus $D^2 f(p)$ is an M-matrix. We have that it follows that

$$(D^2 f(p))^{-1}$$

has nonnegative entries. But

$$(D^2 f(p))^{-1} = D^2 f^*(q)$$

where $q = \nabla f(p)$.

We can do this by blocks. We could have

$$D^2 g(q) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & P^\top \\ P & D_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where P has nonnegative entries and D_1 and D_2 are diagonal matrices with positive diagonal terms.

Because of the particular structure., I can change the signs of q and write $\tilde{q} = (q_X, -q_Y)$

Define $\tilde{g}(\tilde{q}) = g(\tilde{q}_X, -\tilde{q}_Y)$. Compute the Hessian

$$D^2 \tilde{g}(\tilde{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & -P^\top \\ -P & D_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

thus now $D^2 \tilde{g}(\tilde{q})$ is a Z-matrix, and $\tilde{g}(\tilde{q})$ is submodular.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{xy} &= \exp(\Phi_{xy} - u_x - v_y) \\ \sum_y \exp(\Phi_{xy} - u_x - v_y) &= n_x \end{aligned}$$

4 Discrete convexity, exchangeability and poly-matroids

1. L-Convexity

We shall assume that the domain of C is contained in $\Delta_a = \{q : \sum_{z \in Z} q_z = a\}$,
eg $a = 1$. That is

$$C(q) = +\infty \text{ if } q \notin \Delta_a$$

and therefore

$$C^*(p) = \max \{pq - C(q)\}$$

we have

$$C^*(p + t1_Z) = C^*(p) + ta.$$

indeed

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(p + t1_Z) &= \max_q \left\{ (p + t1)^\top q - C(q) \right\} = \max_q \left\{ ta + p^\top q - C(q) \right\} \\ &= C^*(p) + ta. \end{aligned}$$

A $f(p)$ function is L-convex iff
 f is convex
 $f(p + t) = f(p) + ta$ for some a .
 f is submodular

2. M-convexity

A function $g(q)$ is M-convex iff
 g is convex and g^* is L-convex.

In particular,

* the domain of g is Δ_a

* g supermodular.

But this is not sufficient!

Theorem (Murota). A function $g(q)$ is M-convex iff

g is convex

The domain of g is contained in $\Delta_a = \{q : \sum_{z \in Z} q_z = a\}$

Exchangeability is satisfied:

given $q, q' \in \Delta_a$, for any $\delta_1 : 0 \leq \delta_1 \leq (q' - q)^+$, $\exists \delta^2 : 0 \leq \delta^2 \leq (q - q')^+$

with $\sum_z \delta_z^1 = \sum_z \delta_z^2$ s.t.

$$g(q + \delta^1 - \delta^2) + g(q' + \delta^2 - \delta^1) \leq g(q) + g(q').$$

3. M#- and L#- convexity

g is M#-convex iff $\tilde{g}(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_Z) = g(q_1, \dots, q_Z)$ if $q_0 + \sum_z q_z = 0$ is M-convex.

$$\tilde{f}(\pi, p_1, \dots, p_Z) = \max_q \left\{ (p - \pi 1)^\top q - g(q_1, \dots, q_Z) \right\} = g^*(p_1 - \pi, \dots, p_Z - \pi)$$

Thus f is L#-convex iff $(\pi, p_1, \dots, p_Z) \rightarrow f(p_1 - \pi, \dots, p_Z - \pi)$ is L-convex.

4. Base polymatroid /base polyhedron

Given a closed convex set Q , Q is a base polymatroid when its support function

$$\iota_Q^*(b) = \sup_{q \in Q} q^\top b$$

is a L-function.

Equivalently, when its indicator function ι_Q is a M-function, where the indicator function is defined by $\iota_Q(q) = 0$ if $q \in Q$, $+\infty$ if $q \notin Q$

As a result, a base polymatroid can be expressed as $Q = \{q : \sum_{z \in B} q_z \leq h(B), \forall B \subseteq Z, \sum_{z \in Z} q_z = a\}$ where h is a submodular function.

Equivalently, when Q is contained in $\Delta_a = \{q : \sum_{z \in Z} q_z = a\}$ and when Q satisfies an exchangeability axiom:

given $q, q' \in Q$, for $\delta^1 : 0 \leq \delta^1 \leq (q' - q)^+$, $\exists \delta^2 : 0 \leq \delta^2 \leq (q - q')^+$ s.t.
 $q + \delta^1 - \delta^2 \in Q$ and $q' + \delta^2 - \delta^1 \in Q$.

One of our leading questions is how does this translate on the properties C .
When

$$Q(p) = \arg \max_q \{p^\top q - C(q)\}$$

Here, we are going to assume that the domain of C is Δ_1 . We want to show that $Q(p)$ is a base polymatroid, hence that there is a submodular function $h(B)$ such that

$$Q(p) = \left\{ q \in \Delta_1 : \sum_{z \in B} q_z \leq h(B) \right\}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(p) &= \arg \max_q \{p^\top q - C(q)\} = \left\{ q \in \Delta_1 : p \in \arg \max_p \{pq - C^*(p)\} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ q \in \Delta_1 : \frac{d}{dt} \{C^*(p + tb) - (p + tb)^\top q\} \big|_{0+} \geq 0 \forall b \right\} \\ &= \left\{ q \in \Delta_1 : q^\top b \leq \frac{d}{dt} \{C^*(p + tb)\} \big|_{0+} \forall b \right\} \end{aligned}$$

define $\tilde{h}(b) = \frac{d}{dt} \{C^*(p + tb)\} \big|_{0+}$. We have

$$Q(p) = \left\{ q \in \Delta_1 : \sum_z q_z b_z \leq \tilde{h}(b) \right\}$$

Take $b_z = 1 \{z \in B\}$ that is $b = 1_B$, and let's define $h(B) = \tilde{h}(1_B)$. Then we see that

$$Q(p) \subseteq \left\{ q \in \Delta_1 : \sum_{z \in B} q_z \leq h(B) \right\}$$

But we would like to show that the converse holds

h submodular means $h(B \cap B') + h(B \cup B') \leq h(B) + h(B')$
 this is equivalent with $\tilde{h}(1_B \wedge 1_{B'}) + \tilde{h}(1_B \vee 1_{B'}) \leq \tilde{h}(1_B) + \tilde{h}(1_{B'})$.
 $1_B \wedge 1_{B'} = 1_{B \cap B'}$ and $1_B \vee 1_{B'} = 1_{B \cup B'}$

A remark Typically we consider problems of the sort

$$Q(p) = q$$

with gross substitutes. We expect $Q(p)$ to be a base polymatroid, and $Q^{-1}(q)$ to be a lattice. Hence base polymatroids is somehow the “dual structure” to lattice structure. Prices live in lattices, quantities live in (base) polymatroids.