

M2RI Asymptotic Statistics Lecture 5: Bracketing Number

Context With 1 and 2 estimators, we need to show

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |\hat{M}_n(\theta) - M(\theta)| \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \|\hat{Z}_n(\theta) - Z(\theta)\| \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

This lecture will explain how to do this for 1-estimators, 2-estimators being handled in the same way.

Hypothesis: • X_1, \dots iid

$$\bullet \hat{M}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m(X_i, \theta) \quad m: \mathbb{R}^k \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\bullet M(\theta) = E(m(X_1, \theta))$$

Notation: $F = \{m(\cdot, \theta); \theta \in \Theta\}$.

$$\text{Rewriting: } \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |\hat{M}_n(\theta) - M(\theta)| = \sup_{f \in F} |\hat{M}_n(f) - M(f)| = \sup_{f \in F} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) - E(f(X_1)) \right|$$

Θ : We need to measure the complexity of the class of functions F .

1st Idea Since we know how to handle F' finite (Law of large numbers).

So we can search F' finite such that $\forall f \in F, \exists f' \in F'$ st $\|f - f'\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$.

$$\text{Then } \sup_{f \in F} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) - E(f(X_1)) \right| \leq \sup_{f' \in F'} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f'(X_i) - E(f'(X_1)) \right| + 2\varepsilon$$

p.s.

But $N(F, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \varepsilon) = \inf_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ N: \exists F' \text{ with } |F'| = N \text{ and } \forall f \in F, \exists f' \in F', \|f - f'\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \right\}$
(covering number) can be ∞ on simple examples.

• • • • •

Exercise What is $N(F, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \varepsilon)$ when

$$F = \left\{ \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, t]} ; t \in [0, 1] \right\} ?$$

Definition (Bracketing numbers)

For P and ν two functions from \mathbb{R}^k to \mathbb{R} and such that $\forall x, P(x) \leq \nu(x)$.

$$[P, \nu] := \left\{ f: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \forall x, P(x) \leq f(x) \leq \nu(x) \right\} \text{ (bracket)}.$$

We define the bracketing number $N_{[\cdot]}(F, L^q(\mathcal{X}), \varepsilon)$ as

$$N_{[\cdot]}(F, L^q(\mathcal{X}), \varepsilon) = \inf_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \exists [P_1, \nu_1], \dots, [P_N, \nu_N] : \forall j, \| \nu_j - P_j \|_{L^q(\mathcal{X})} \leq \varepsilon, F \subset \bigcup_j [P_j, \nu_j] \right\}.$$

Exercise $P = \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} [0, t]$ $F = \left\{ f_t(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, t]}(x) : t \in [0, 1] \right\}$

Find (up to mult. constants) $N_{[\cdot]}(F, L_2(P), \varepsilon)$.

Solution

$$\bullet \forall s, t \in [0, 1], \|f_t - f_s\|_{L_2(P)} = \left(\int_0^1 \left(\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, t]}(u) - \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, s]}(u) \right)^2 du \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{|t - s|}$$

$\bullet [f_0, f_{\varepsilon^2}], [f_{\varepsilon^2}, f_{2\varepsilon^2}], \dots, [f_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}}, f_1]$ satisfies the covering condition and the metric condition and has $\propto 1/\varepsilon^2$ brackets

at most a length $\bullet \|f_a - f_b\|_2 = \sqrt{|a - b|}$ so given a set of brackets $[f_{a_1}, f_{b_1}], \dots, [f_{a_n}, f_{b_n}]$,
 " $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i - b_i|$ is covered, and since $\forall i, \sqrt{|a_i - b_i|} \leq \varepsilon$,
 " $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]$ is covered.

So, since everything must be covered, $N \varepsilon^2 \geq 1 \Rightarrow N \geq 1/\varepsilon^2$.

Definition (L-Glivenko-Cantelli)

F is said L-Glivenko-Cantelli:

- $\forall f \in F, \int |f| d\mathcal{L} < \infty$

- $\forall (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ i.i.d with distribution \mathcal{L} ,

$$\sup_F \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(x_1)) \right| = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1).$$

Theorem: If F is such that

- $\forall f \in F, \int |f| d\mathcal{L} < \infty$

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \mathcal{N}_{[\square]}(F, L^1(\mathcal{L}), \varepsilon) < \infty$.

Then F is L-Glivenko-Cantelli.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N = \mathcal{N}_{[\square]}(F, L^1(\mathcal{L}), \varepsilon) < \infty$ and $[p_1, v_1], \dots, [p_N, v_N]$ a bracket that satisfies this bound.

$$\forall f \in F, \forall j, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_j(x_i) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_j(x_i),$$

$$\text{and since } \mathbb{E}(v_j(x_1)) - \mathbb{E}(p_j(x_1)) \leq \mathbb{E}(|p_j(x_1) - v_j(x_1)|) \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(p_j(x_1)) \leq \mathbb{E}(f(x_1)) \leq \mathbb{E}(v_j(x_1)) \leq \mathbb{E}(p_j(x_1)) + \varepsilon$$

$$\text{So, } \frac{1}{n} \sum_i p_j(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(v_j(x_1)) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_i f(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(x_1)) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_i v_j(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(p_j(x_1))$$

And thus,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_j(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(p_j(x_1)) - \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(x_1)) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_j(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(v_j(x_1)) + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_F \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(x_1)) \right| \geq 2\varepsilon\right)$$

joint

$$\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{j=1, \dots, n} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_j(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(p_j(x_i)) \right|, \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_j(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(v_j(x_i)) \right| \right\} \geq \varepsilon \right)$$

And now, from the law of large numbers, since the $\max_{j=1, \dots, n}$ is finite, we know that the last term $\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$.

$$\text{so, } \sup_{f \in F} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(x_i)) \right| = o_p(1).$$

Proposition Let \mathcal{L} be a distribution on \mathbb{R}^k , $F = \{g_\theta; \theta \in \Theta\}$ with $\forall \theta, g_\theta: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, let's assume that

- Θ is a compact set of a metric space

- $\forall \theta, \theta \mapsto g_\theta(\cdot)$ is continuous

- $\int \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |g_\theta(\cdot)| d\mathcal{L}(\cdot) < \infty$.

then F is \mathcal{L} -Glivenko-Cantelli.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We are going to show that $N_{[]} (F, L^1(\mathcal{L}), \varepsilon) < +\infty$

for $\theta \in \Theta$, we consider $(B_{\theta, n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $B_{\theta, n} = \{\tilde{\theta} \in \Theta \mid |\theta - \tilde{\theta}| \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$.

and define

$$\forall n, \quad \tilde{f}_{\theta, n}(\cdot) = \inf_{\tilde{\theta} \in B_{\theta, n}} g_{\tilde{\theta}}(\cdot); \quad \tilde{v}_{\theta, n}(\cdot) = \sup_{\tilde{\theta} \in B_{\theta, n}} g_{\tilde{\theta}}(\cdot).$$

We have, $\forall \theta, \tilde{v}_{\theta, n}(\cdot) - \tilde{f}_{\theta, n}(\cdot) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$ by continuity and

$\tilde{v}_{\theta, N} - \tilde{p}_{\theta, N} \leq 2 \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |g_{\theta}|$,
 and thus $\int_{\text{new}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |\tilde{v}_{\theta, N} - \tilde{p}_{\theta, N}| d\mathcal{L} < +\infty$ so by dominated convergence,

$$\int |\tilde{v}_{\theta, N} - \tilde{p}_{\theta, N}| d\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

So $\exists N$, s.t. $\int |\tilde{v}_{\theta, N} - \tilde{p}_{\theta, N}| d\mathcal{L} \leq \varepsilon$.

Now, $\Theta \subset \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} B_{\theta, N_{\theta}}$ and by compactness (Borel-Lebesgue),

$$\exists \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \text{ s.t. } \Theta \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_{\theta_j, N_{\theta_j}}.$$

We define $\forall j, \kappa_j$,

$$f_j(\cdot) = p_{\theta_j, N_{\theta_j}} \quad v_j(\cdot) = \tilde{v}_{\theta_j, N_{\theta_j}}.$$

Then we have build a finite sequence of brackets that works.

$$\text{So } \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}(F, L^1(\mathcal{L}), \varepsilon) < \infty. \quad \square.$$

Application to Maximum Likelihood

Theorem Statistical Model $\{L_{\theta} ; \theta \in \Theta\}$ with $\forall \theta, L_{\theta}$ with density f_{θ} .
 $X_1, \dots \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f_{\theta_0}$, $\hat{\theta}_n$ Maximum Likelihood Estimator.

Assumptions:

- Θ Compact
- $\forall \theta, f_{\theta}(\cdot) > 0$ a.s.

- $\forall \mu, \theta \mapsto \int_{\theta}(\mu)$ is continuous
- $\int \sup_{\theta \in \eta} |\log(p_{\theta}(\mu))| \int_{\theta_0}(\mu) d\mu < \infty$
- $\theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ is injective on \mathcal{G} .

Then : $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \theta_0$.

Proof: By the last theorem,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \log(p_{\theta}(x_i)) - \mathbb{E}(\log(p_{\theta}(x_i))) \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

We simply need to check the well-posed identification from last lecture with $\eta(\theta) = \mathbb{E}(\log(p_{\theta}(x_i)))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } \theta \neq \theta_0, \eta(\theta) - \eta(\theta_0) &= \mathbb{E}(\log(p_{\theta}(x_i))) - \mathbb{E}(\log(p_{\theta_0}(x_i))) \\ &= \int \log\left(\frac{p_{\theta}(\mu)}{p_{\theta_0}(\mu)}\right) p_{\theta_0}(\mu) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(t) &\leq 2(t-1) \\ \text{for } t > 0 \end{aligned} \quad \leq 2 \int \left(\sqrt{\frac{p_{\theta}(\mu)}{p_{\theta_0}(\mu)}} - 1 \right) p_{\theta_0}(\mu) d\mu$$

$$= 2 \int \sqrt{p_{\theta}(\mu)} \sqrt{p_{\theta_0}(\mu)} d\mu - 2 \int p_{\theta_0}(\mu) d\mu$$

$$= 2 \int \sqrt{p_{\theta}(\mu)} \sqrt{p_{\theta_0}(\mu)} d\mu - \int p_{\theta}(\mu) d\mu - \int p_{\theta_0}(\mu) d\mu$$

$$= - \int \left(\sqrt{p_{\theta}(\mu)} - \sqrt{p_{\theta_0}(\mu)} \right)^2 d\mu$$

$$< 0.$$

Finally, Π is continuous by continuity under the integral.

Hence, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\sup_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{O} \\ \|\sigma - \sigma_0\| \geq \varepsilon}} \Pi(\sigma) < \Pi(\sigma_0)$.

We can apply Past lemma's result

Then $\hat{\sigma}_n \xrightarrow{P} \sigma$.