RTX Software Design Report

Clement Hoang

 $20531116 \\ \texttt{c8hoang@uwaterloo.ca}$

David Su

 $20516776 \\ \texttt{dysu@uwaterloo.ca}$

Cole Vander Veen

 $20503626 \\ \texttt{cgvander@waterloo.ca}$

Peter Li

20522308 y 648 li@uwaterloo.ca

Winter 2016

Contents

1	Intr	oducti	on	
2	Des	ign De	escription	
	2.1	Globa	Variables and Data Structures	
	2.2	Memo	ry Management	
		2.2.1	Memory Overview	
		2.2.2	Requesting Memory Blocks	
		2.2.3	Releasing Memory Blocks	
	2.3	Proces	ssor Management	
		2.3.1	Process Control Structures	
		2.3.2	Process Queues	
		2.3.3	Process Scheduling	
	2.4	Proces	ss Priority Management	
		2.4.1	Get Process Priority	
		2.4.2	Set Process Priority	
	2.5	Interp	rocess Communication	
		2.5.1	Message Structure	
		2.5.2	Sending Messages	
		2.5.3	Receiving Messages	
		2.5.4	Delayed Send	
	2.6	Interru	upts and I-Processes	
		2.6.1	UART I-Process	
		2.6.2	Timer I-Process	
	2.7	System	n Processes	
		2.7.1	Null Process	
		2.7.2	CRT Process	
		2.7.3	KCD Process	
	2.8	User F	Processes	
		2.8.1	Wall Clock Process	
		2.8.2	Set Priority Process	
		2.8.3	Stress Test Processes	
	2.9		ization	
	2.10		g	
			Design Changes	

3	Lessons Learned														
	3.1 Version Control	16													
	3.2 Code Management	16													
	3.3 Logging and Output	17													
4	Team Dynamics and Individual Responsibilities														
5	Timing Analysis	19													

List of Algorithms

1	Requesting memory function	8
2	Releasing memory function	1(

List of Figures

2.1	Memory La	yout .																														(
-----	-----------	--------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to outline the design of the RTX written by the group members, Clement Hoang, David Su, Peter Li, and Cole Vander Veen, as part of the SE350 course at the University of Waterloo. The OS is designed for a Keil MCB1700 Cortex-M3 board, with a LPC1768 microcontroller.

It is aimed to provide documentation for the operating system, in order to facilitate the use and understanding for anyone interested in programming for the OS. As such, this report outlines the global variables used in the OS, and then moves on to describing the kernel API in a modular and chronological way, from when we implemented it. Finally, the report closes with some analysis on the OS, and challenges that the group faced for the duration of the lab.

Design Description

2.1 Global Variables and Data Structures

- memQueue: A data structure that models the free physical memory in the OS, by splitting the heap into blocks of equal size. It is represented by a MemQueue data structure, which is a linked list of MemBlock nodes of size BLOCK_SIZE. It is used by the kernel API when releasing and requesting memory, by popping a block when it is used by a process, and pushing it back in when it is released.
 - MemBlock: To expand, the MemBlock is a C-struct that holds a pointer to the next MemBlock in the queue. It also has reserved space in the front in case the block needs to hold an envelope. The envelope contains the following fields:
 - * next: a pointer to the next envelope in the queue
 - * sender_id: the process ID of the sender
 - * recv id: the process ID of the receiver
 - * send_time: the time it was originally sent at
- gp_pcbs: A pointer to an array of PCB structs. It holds the state of all the process control blocks that are in the OS, and is interacted with by functions that change and read PCB states. For example, setting the process priority or getting the process priority uses gp_pcbs to access the priority of a specific PCB.
 - PCB: a model of a process and its state. The PCB contains the following fields:
 - * mp_sp: stack pointer of the process
 - * m_pid: ID of the process
 - * m_priority: priority of the process
 - * m_state: state of the process
 - * nextPCB: pointer to the next PCB, if it is in a queue
 - * msgHead: beginning of the message queue
 - * msqTail: end of the message queue
- g_proc_table: an array of PROC_INIT structs that contains process information for initialization. The PROC_INIT looks like the following:
 - m_id: ID of the process

- m_priority: initial priority of the process
- m_stack_size: size to allocate for the process in words

The process table is used often during the process_init() function.

- gp_stack: a pointer to the top of the memory heap, which is also the last conceptual memory block in memQueue. It is used during heap_init().
- p_end: a pointer to the beginning of the memory heap, which is also the first conceptual memory block in memQueue. It is calculated by starting at &Image\$\$RW_IRAM1\$\$ZI\$\$Limit, then incrementing it upon PCB initialization in memory_init().
- numOfBlocks: the number of blocks of MemBlock allocated in the heap init()
- g_switch_flag: a boolean flag that indicates whether to continue to run the process before the UART receives an interrupt. If 1, then it will immediately switch, and if it's 0, then it will continue to run the process.
- gp_current_process: a pointer to the PCB of the currently running process. It is used in process_switch() among other functions that deal with the current process
- ReadyPQ: a linked list of linked lists of PCB structures. It represents a priority queue of process blocks in the ready queue. This is used for scheduling, for example inside the scheduler() function.
- BlockPQ: a linked list of linked lists of PCB structures. It represents a priority queue of process blocks in the blocked queue. This is used for scheduling, for example inside the scheduler() function.
- NUM_OF_PRIORITIES: a constant that represents the number of priorities in the OS (default = 5). 0-3 represent the normal priorities from highest to lowest, whereas 4 is the priority of the null process.
- gp_buffer: a pointer to the next location g_buffer. It is used in c_UARTO_IRQHandler().
- g_buffer: a circular buffer that keeps track of the input and wraps around at a certain size. It is used in kcdProc() and other functions that deal with uart input.
- g_buffer_end: a pointer to the last location in g_buffer. It is helpful for calculations in kcdProc().
- PROC_STATE_E: An enum which consists of the states:
 - NEW: process was just created
 - RDY: process is on the ready queue
 - RUN: process is currently running
 - BLK: process is blocked on memory
 - WAIT: process is waiting to receive a message
- MSG_BUF: It represents a message buffer and is a part of an envelope, with some space in memory blocks reserved for it. It consists of the following fields:

- mtype: a user defined message type
- mtext: a char array which contains the body of the message

2.2 Memory Management

2.2.1 Memory Overview

By keeping track of the gp_stack and gp_pcbs pointers and keeping track of how much memory the PCBs use, then it is possible to do some pointer arithmetic to determine the starting and ending addresses of the heap (the physical memory available to allocate in the RTX).

The implementation of memory management in this RTX involves maintaing a linked list that keeps track of all the available MemBlocks on the heap. Upon process consumption of memory, MemBlocks allocated are removed from the linked list and a reference to the block is kept in the process. Upon release, the MemBlock is appended to the linked list again. This has performance implications of O(1) for deletion and insertion of blocks.

The MemBlocks have a user-defined size called BLOCK_SIZE. The system also reserves some space in the beginning of MemBlocks for envelopes. By default, the BLOCK_SIZE is set to 128 bytes.

2.2.2 Requesting Memory Blocks

```
int k_request_memory_block(void);
```

This function doesn't take any parameters, and returns the address of an available MemBlock. The algorithm is basically a queue dequeue function. It takes the previous head of memQueue, returns it, then updates the linked list accordingly.

Algorithm 1 Requesting memory function

- 1: procedure REQUEST_MEMORY_BLOCK
- 2: **while** heap is full **do**
- 3: block the current process
- 4: end while
- 5: update the free space list
- 6: return the address of the top of the block
- 7: end procedure

2.2.3 Releasing Memory Blocks

```
int k_release_memory_block(void* memory_block);
```

This function takes a MemBlock parameter. This parameter is a reference to the MemBlock that will be freed. It also returns a flag on whether that operation was a success or not - RTX_ERR or RTX_OK. The algorithm is basically a queue enqueue function. After some validation logic, it attached the freed memory block to the end of the linked list. It also moves processes into the ready queue if possible.




Figure 2.1: Memory Layout

2.3 Processor Management

2.3.1 Process Control Structures

DFASFAFD

2.3.2 Process Queues

fsadfasdfadsf

2.3.3 Process Scheduling

sdfasdfasdfdasf

Algorithm 2 Releasing memory function

```
1: procedure RELEASE_MEMORY_BLOCK(*memory_block)
      if this block is the top block of the heap then
2:
          modify heap header node (never gets overwritten)
3:
4:
      end if
      if there is free space immediately beneath this block then
5:
          combine them by increasing this block's length
6:
7:
      else this block becomes a new block node, is added to the list
      end if
8:
      if there is free space immediately beneath this block then
9:
          combine them by increasing this block's length
10:
      end if
11:
12:
      if a process is blocked on memory then
          unblock that process, release the processor
13:
       end if
14:
15: end procedure
```

2.4 Process Priority Management

2.4.1 Get Process Priority

asdfadsfasf

2.4.2 Set Process Priority

dsfasdfasfsfdf

2.5 Interprocess Communication

2.5.1 Message Structure

dsfadsfadsfdasfdafs

2.5.2 Sending Messages

adsfdsafasdfasf

2.5.3 Receiving Messages

dsfafasfdasf

2.5.4 Delayed Send

sdfasfasfd

2.6 Interrupts and I-Processes

2.6.1 UART I-Process

The UART interrupt is enabled to send output to a display, and to receive input from the user. The OS handles those interrupts by registering a UARTO_IRQHandler function that will be called whenever a UART interrupt occurs.

The file uart_irq.c is initialized by calling the function uart_irq_init. This function initializes the UART interrupts by setting the appropriate flags and choosing the correct UART port. Below is the interrupt handling pseudocode, starting with UARTO_IRQHandler:

```
1: function UARTO_IRQHANDLER
2:
      push registers onto stack
3:
      call c_UART0_IRQHandler_wrapper()
4:
      pop registers off stack
5: end function
1: function C_UARTO_IRQHANDLER_WRAPPER
2:
      call c_UART0_IRQHandler()
3:
      if there is another ready process with higher priority than the current process then
4:
          call k_release_processor()
      end if
5:
6: end function
1: function C_UARTO_IRQHANDLER
2:
      if receive data available then
          g_char_in = newly received char
3:
          if g_char_in == null character then
4:
             return
5:
          end if
6:
7:
          echoMsg = get memory block (non blocking)
          if echoMsg is not null then
8:
             echoMsg->mtype = ECHO
9:
             if g_{char_in} == '\r' then
10:
                echoMsg->mtext = "\n\r\0"
11:
             else
12:
                echoMsg->mtext = g_char_in + ' \setminus 0'
13:
14:
             end if
             send echoMsg (no preemption) to KCD
15:
          end if
16:
          if cur_msg is null then
17:
             cur_msg = get memory block (non blocking)
18:
             if cur_msg is null (no more memory) then
19:
                return
20:
             end if
21:
             msg\_str\_index = 0
22:
          end if
23:
          if g_char_in == '\r' or message about to overflow memory block then
24:
             cur_msg->mtext += '\0'
25:
             cur_msg->mtype = DEFAULT
26:
```

```
27:
              send cur_msg (no preemption) to KCD
              reset cur_msg, msg_str_index
28:
          else
29:
30:
              cur_msg->mtext += g_char_in
31:
          end if
32:
       else if transmit interrupt enabled then
          if *gp_buffer!= null character then
33:
              send *gp_buffer
34:
              gp_buffer = next location in circular buffer
35:
          else
36:
              disable transmit interrupt
37:
              send null character
38:
39:
              reset gp_buffer and g_buffer_end
          end if
40:
       end if
41:
42: end function
```

If there is an incoming character, immediately forward it to the KCD (assuming there is free memory) to echo back to the user. Also, add that character to a buffer. Once a newline is encountered, send the entire buffer to the KCD to decode

If there are still messages in the transmit buffer, send the next character. If the character is a null character, disable the transmit interrupt as the transmission is finished.

Also, there is an enable_UART_transmit() function that sets the appropriate flags to enable the interrupt for outputting characters. This function is called by the CRT to begin outputting characters.

2.6.2 Timer I-Process

sdfasfdafd

2.7 System Processes

2.7.1 Null Process

sdfdasfafadsf

2.7.2 CRT Process

The CRT's sole responsibility is to output the contents of the messages they receive to the UART.

```
    function CRTPROC
    while true do
    msg = receive_message()
    copy msg->mtext to output buffer
    enable_UART_transmit()
    release_memory_block(msg)
    end while
    end function
```

```
    function COPYTOBUFFER(str)
    for each char in str do
    g_buffer[g_buffer_end] = char
    g_buffer_end = (g_buffer_end + 1) mod BUFFER_SIZE
    end for
    end function
```

2.7.3 KCD Process

The KCD's (Keyboard Command Decoder) responsibility is to decode messages that it receives, and forward them to the appropriate process that has registered itself to handle these types of messages.

```
1: function KCDPROC
      identifiers = empty array
2:
3:
      processes = empty array
      numIdentifiers = 0
4:
      while true do
5:
          msg = receive\_message()
6:
          if msg->mtype == KCD\_REG then
 7:
             add msg's identifier to identifiers
8:
9:
             add msg's sender to processes
10:
             numIdentifiers++
             release_message_block(msg)
11:
          else if msg->mtype == ECHO then
12:
             send_message(PID_CRT, msg)
13:
          else
14:
             if msg->mtext starts with '%' then
15:
                 handler = search for handler in identifiers
16:
             end if
17:
18:
             if no handler for this type of message then
                 release_memory_block(msg)
19:
             else
20:
                 send_message(handler, msg)
21:
             end if
22:
23:
             if msg->mtext begins with '!' then
                 search through PCBs for processes in ready state
24:
                 debugMsg = create message with those processes
25:
                 send_message(PID_CRT, debugMsg)
26:
             else if msg->mtext begins with '@' then
27:
                search through PCBs for processes in blocked state
28:
                 debugMsg = create message with those processes
29:
                 send_message(PID_CRT, debugMsg)
30:
             else if msg->mtext begins with '#' then
31:
                search through PCBs for processes in blocked on message state
32:
                 debugMsg = create message with those processes
33:
34:
                 send_message(PID_CRT, debugMsg)
             end if
35:
```

```
36: end if37: end while38: end function
```

This processes repeatedly receives messages. If it is a keyboard command registration, the process saves the identifier along with the process that is registered to handle those commands. If it is an echo command, the message is merely forwarded to the CRT. Otherwise, if the message is an actual command (i.e. it starts with a '%'), the message is forwarded to the process that is registered to handle it, if it exists. Finally, if the message begins with any debug hotkey, the corresponding debug output is sent to the CRT.

2.8 User Processes

2.8.1 Wall Clock Process

This process receives messages to reset, start at a specified time, and stop the wall clock. Once started, the clock will print the elapsed time every second.

```
1: function WALLCLOCKPROC
      register itself with KCD to handle '%W' commands
2:
      id = 0
3:
      while true do
4:
5:
          msg = receive\_message()
          if msg contains reset command then
6:
             id++
 7:
8:
             time = 0
             send increment time command to itself, delayed 1 second
9:
             construct time string, send to CRT to print
10:
          else if msg contains increment command then
11:
             if msg's id == id then
12:
                 time++
13:
                 send increment time command to itself, delayed 1 second
14:
                 construct time string, send to CRT to print
15:
             else
16:
                 release_memory_block(msg)
17:
18:
             end if
19:
          else if msg contains a start at specified time command then
             id++
20:
21:
             time = parseTime(msg)
22:
             send increment time command to itself, delayed 1 second
23:
             construct time string, send to CRT to print
          else if msg contains stop command then
24:
             id++
25:
             release_memory_block(msg)
26:
          else
27:
28:
             release_memory_block(msg)
29:
          end if
      end while
30:
```

31: end function

2.8.2 Set Priority Process

dsfasdfasdfadsf

2.8.3 Stress Test Processes

dfdasfasdfads

2.9 Initialization

dasfasfasfd

2.10 Testing

dfadsfasdf

2.11 Major Design Changes

dsfdafadsf

Lessons Learned

Upon the completion of this project, the group has gained many valuable insights. This includes things like code quality, source control, and team dynamics.

3.1 Version Control

For our codebase, we used Git as version control. At first, it was a bit painful because we were mostly committing to the master branch and we haven't found out which files to add to the .gitignore yet. This led to a lot of merge conflicts from differing binary files. However, when the project was in a more stable state, we later progressed to branching out for different tasks and modules. This proved to be quite helpful because it allowed us to separate concerns and work on tasks indepdently. One way we could have improved this is by having a systematic review process. Because of the team's lack of periodic code reviews and the fact that we divided the work up, the team did not have the opportunity to learn about work that other team members worked on, resulting in a team whose knowledge was extremely speciaized and exclusive for each individual member. This meant that bugs relating to a certain module can only be understood by some members of the team, which sometimes slowed progress down. To improve on this, the team could have periodic code reviews to keep everyone up to date and knowledgable in all parts of the codebase.

3.2 Code Management

When the group first started off coding, the code was extremely unmodular, files were monolithic, comments were lacking, and globals were overly used. This made the code quite messy to look at, and as we progressed through the different parts of the lab, we found it increasingly difficult to debug and navigate through our codebase. Somewhere between P2 and P3, we hit a wall where our RTX had some fundamental bugs with regard to scheduling. However, it was very hard to trace this bug down with our style of code. We dedicated a few days to refactoring the code base; we added comments on hard to understand expressions, we tried to reduce the use of global variables if they were not needed, and we separated long functions into smaller re-usable components. The end result was that unit testing was a lot easier.

3.3 Logging and Output

For some memory issues, it was extremely hard to debug. Even when tracing through the debugger, looking at pointer values is not always the most intuitive. In order to make development easier, we decided to add scoped debug flags in our RTX in order to trace bugs without convoluting the output. For example, we had a MEM_DEBUG flag which could be enabled to output the available amount of memory blocks after each transaction in order to debug a memory leak, and have the default DEBUG flag enabled for less specialized debugging. This allowed us to more intuitively track errors down.

Team Dynamics and Individual Responsibilities

The team members did not enforce a strict task allocation process for deciding each members' responsibilities. Generally however, the scheduling process is similar to the following scenario: Upon the initial lab introduction, all four of the members would be present, in order to discuss the material and areas of interest. Then, the members would split into two pairs to work on the parts they chose respectively, using pair programming concepts, and communication both online and offline. If one pair is finished earlier than the other, then they would usually group up with the remaining pair to work through the problem. Otherwise, they would colloratively merge the parts they've worked on into a final working product before the deadline.

Chapter 5
Timing Analysis