

Designing Matter: Ethics and Technological Design

Deborah G. Johnson

March 15, 2005

ETHICS:

**HAS TO DO WITH HUMAN BEHAVIOR,
HUMAN ARRANGEMENTS, HOW
INDIVIDUALS TREAT ONE ANOTHER, AND
HOW SOCIETIES, COUNTRIES OR
COMMUNITIES ARE ORGANIZED**

EXAMPLES OF MORAL PRINCIPLES:

- **ACCORDING TO EMMANUAL KANT, FOR EXAMPLE, WE MUST ALWAYS TREAT ONE ANOTHER AS ENDS AND NEVER MERELY AS MEANS**
- **UTILITARIANISM WOULD HAVE US MAXIMIZE GOOD CONSEQUENCES WHEREIN THE FOCUS IS ON CHOOSING ACTIONS (HUMAN ACTIONS) THAT WILL PRODUCE GOOD CONSEQUENCES FOR ALL OTHER HUMAN BEINGS**

ETHICS:

- **ALSO, OFTEN REFERS TO RESPONSIBILITIES OR OBLIGATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SPECIAL ROLES AND ESPECIALLY PROFESSIONAL ROLES**

ETHICS:

**MIGHT BE THOUGHT OF AS A LENS
THROUGH WHICH HUMAN ACTION AND
INTERACTION CAN BE VIEWED**

TECHNOLOGY:

- **MATERIAL OBJECTS/ ARTIFACTS**
- **INERT AND, THEREFORE, NEUTRAL**

THE QUESTION

**SINCE ETHICS IS ABOUT HUMAN ACTION
AND TECHNOLOGY ISN'T HUMAN AND
DOESN'T ACT, HOW COULD TECHNOLOGY
HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH ETHICS?**

1. CASES THAT STARTED ME OFF THINKING ABOUT ETHICS AND TECHNOLOGY AND CONTINUE TO REVERBERATE IN MY THINKING

2. A START AT SORTING OUT THE ISSUES – WHAT MIGHT BE THOUGHT OF AS THE BEGINNINGS OF A THEORY OF ETHICS AND TECHNOLOGY

3. THE IMPLICATIONS OF RECOGNIZING THAT ETHICS AND TECHNOLOGY ARE INTERTWINED

PART I

THE BRIDGES OF LONG ISLAND

L. WINNER, “Do Artifacts have Politics?” The Whale and the Reactor: a Search for Limits in an Age of High Technology. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1986), pp. 19-39

**WHAT DO THE BRIDGES OF LONG
ISLAND SHOW US?**

IS THE INTERNET A DEMOCRATIC TECHNOLOGY?

***D.G. JOHNSON, “Is the Global Information Infrastructure a Democratic Technology?”
Computers & Society 27 3 (1997): 20-26.***

FOUR MEANINGS OF VALUES IN TECHNOLOGY:

- **METAPHYSICAL**
- **SUPPORT**
- **MATERIAL/DESIGN**
- **SYMBOLIC/EXPRESSIVE**

WHERE DOES THIS LEAVE US?

**IT WOULD SEEM THAT WE BOTH
ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE ARE VALUES
IN TECHNOLOGY AND AT THE SAME TIME
DENY IT; THIS NEEDS EXPLANATION.**

PART II

ARE THE VALUES 'IN' THE ARTIFACT (THE MATERIAL OBJECT) OR 'IN' THE SOCIAL PRACTICES AROUND THE ARTIFACT.

STS LITERATURE

A REJECTION OF TECHNOLOGICAL DETERMINISM.

TECHNOLOGICAL DETERMINISM = THE VIEW THAT TECHNOLOGY EVOLVES ACCORDING TO A LOGICAL, LINEAR PATH DICTATED BY NATURE AND THEN DETERMINES THE KIND OF SOCIETY WE HAVE

STS LITERATURE

- **RECONCEPTUALIZATION OF THE VERY NOTION OF TECHNOLOGY**
- **TECHNOLOGY IS NOT JUST ARTIFACTS; IT IS ARTIFACTS TOGETHER WITH SOCIAL PRACTICES, SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND SYSTEMS OF KNOWLEDGE**
- **FOCUS ON SOCIO-TECHNICAL SYSTEMS**

THE ARTIFACT IS THE ABSTRACTION

“DUMPING IN DIXIE”

CONCLUSION OF PART II

TECHNOLOGY IS A PART OF THE MORAL WORLD, NOT JUST BECAUSE IT FACILITATES AND CONSTRAINS BEHAVIOR, BUT BECAUSE IT “IS” SOCIAL RELATIONS AND IT SOLIDIFIES (REINFORCES/ LEGISLATES) SOCIAL RELATIONS AND THIS GOES TO THE HEART OF MORALITY

PART III - IMPLICATIONS

- **STOP BELIEVING THAT TECHNOLOGY IS NEUTRAL**
- **STOP BELIEVING THAT TECHNOLOGY HAS NO ROLE IN OUR MORAL AND POLITICAL LIVES**

PART III - IMPLICATIONS

- **ACKNOWLEDGE THAT ENGINEERS ARE NOT JUST MAKING THINGS, THEY ARE BUILDING SOCIETY**
- **TECHNOLOGICAL DECISION MAKING SHOULD BE MORE PARTICIPATORY/ DEMOCRATIC**