

# **The Evolution of Administrative Correction in Philippine Civil Registration: An Analysis of R.A. 9048, R.A. 10172, and R.A. 11909**

## **The Genesis of Administrative Correction: Republic Act No. 9048**

The legal landscape governing the correction of entries in Philippine civil registry documents underwent a monumental shift with the advent of Republic Act No. 9048. This law established a new paradigm, moving certain corrective actions from the exclusive and often prohibitive domain of the judiciary to a more accessible administrative process.

### **Historical Context: From Judicial Mandate to Administrative Remedy**

Prior to 2001, the Philippine legal framework was rigid and uncompromising regarding changes to civil registry records. Articles 376 and 412 of the Civil Code of the Philippines unequivocally mandated that no entry in a civil register could be changed or corrected, and no person could change their name, without a judicial order.<sup>1</sup> This necessitated a formal court petition for even the most minor of errors. The process was inherently adversarial, often proving to be time-consuming, costly for the average citizen, and a significant contributor to the congestion of court dockets across the nation.<sup>3</sup>

Recognizing these systemic burdens, the legislature enacted Republic Act No. 9048, also known as the Clerical Error Act. Taking effect on April 22, 2001, the law's primary intent was to declog the courts and provide a more expeditious and affordable remedy for citizens needing to correct their civil registry documents.<sup>1</sup> It achieved this by amending the aforementioned Civil Code articles, carving out specific exceptions

to the judicial rule and empowering administrative officers to act on them.<sup>1</sup>

## Scope and Authority under the Clerical Error Act

R.A. 9048 vested authority in City or Municipal Civil Registrars (C/MCRs) and Consuls General (CGs) to correct two specific categories of entries without judicial intervention.

First, it allowed for the correction of a **"clerical or typographical error."** The law statutorily defines this as a mistake committed in the performance of clerical work—such as writing, copying, transcribing, or typing—that is "harmless and innocuous" and "visible to the eyes or obvious to the understanding".<sup>6</sup> Common examples include misspelled names or misspelled places of birth.<sup>7</sup>

Second, the law permitted the **change of a person's first name or nickname.** This was not an unrestricted authority but was limited to three exclusive grounds<sup>6</sup>:

1. The petitioner finds the first name or nickname to be "ridiculous, tainted with dishonor or extremely difficult to write or pronounce."
2. The new first name or nickname has been "habitually and continuously used" by the petitioner, and he or she has been publicly known by that name in the community.
3. The change will "avoid confusion."

## Statutory Limitations: What R.A. 9048 Excludes

A cornerstone of the law's design is its clear set of limitations. R.A. 9048 explicitly excluded corrections that are substantial in nature and affect a person's fundamental legal identity. The administrative remedy could not be used for corrections involving changes in **sex, age, nationality, and status.**<sup>7</sup> By keeping these significant and potentially controversial changes within the judicial sphere, the law maintained a critical safeguard against fraudulent alterations of core legal identity.

## Procedural Framework

The law and its implementing rules and regulations established a detailed administrative procedure.

### Who May File

The petition may be filed by any person of legal age (18 years and above) who has a "direct and personal interest" in the correction.<sup>7</sup> The law defines this interest as belonging to the owner of the record, or their spouse, children, parents, siblings, grandparents, guardian, or any other person duly authorized by law or by the owner.<sup>1</sup>

### The Petition: Form, Content, and Venue

The petition must be prepared in the form of a verified affidavit, subscribed and sworn to before an individual authorized to administer oaths.<sup>7</sup> Official forms for this purpose are provided by civil registry offices.<sup>13</sup>

The general rule on venue requires the petition to be filed with the Local Civil Registry Office (LCRO) where the record containing the error is kept.<sup>7</sup> This includes the Office of the Clerk of a Shari'a Court for records of Muslim divorces, conversions, and certain marriages.<sup>1</sup>

A key innovation of the law is the **Migrant Petitioner Rule**. This provision acknowledges population mobility and allows a petitioner whose current residence is different from their place of registration to file the petition at the nearest LCRO. This "Petition-Receiving Civil Registrar" (PRCR) then forwards the petition to the "Record-Keeping Civil Registrar" (RKCR) where the document is officially registered.<sup>1</sup> This same principle applies to Filipino citizens residing abroad, who may file their petitions in person at the nearest Philippine Consulate.<sup>6</sup> This framework demonstrates a clear legislative policy shift towards making civil registration corrections more accessible and affordable, recognizing both financial constraints and the reality of a

mobile populace.

## **Documentary Evidence and Filing Mechanics**

All petitions must be supported by a certified true copy of the civil registry document to be corrected and at least two public or private documents showing the correct entry.<sup>6</sup> These supporting documents can include baptismal certificates, school records, voter's affidavits, and employment records.<sup>7</sup>

For a change of first name, the law imposes stricter requirements to safeguard against potential fraud. In addition to the basic documents, the petitioner must publish the petition once a week for two consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation and submit clearances from law enforcement agencies like the National Bureau of Investigation (NBI) and the Philippine National Police (PNP) to prove the change is not intended to evade legal liabilities.<sup>6</sup> This tiered approach to requirements shows a careful balancing of convenience for minor corrections against the need for greater public scrutiny and integrity for more significant changes.

## **Fees**

The law authorizes the collection of reasonable fees, with a specific exemption for indigent petitioners.<sup>6</sup> The implementing rules set the fees for clerical error corrections at Php 1,000 and for change of first name petitions at Php 3,000 for local filings. Consular filings have a different fee schedule, typically in US dollars (\$50 for clerical errors, \$150 for change of name).<sup>6</sup>

## **The Role of the Civil Registrar General (CRG)**

The C/MCR or CG must act on the petition within five working days after the completion of the posting and/or publication requirements.<sup>6</sup> The decision, along with the entire record of the proceedings, is then transmitted to the Office of the Civil

Registrar General (CRG), a position held by the Administrator of the Philippine Statistics Authority (PSA), formerly the National Statistics Office (NSO).<sup>1</sup>

The CRG holds the power to impugn—that is, to challenge or object to—the decision of the local registrar on specific grounds, such as if the error is not truly clerical or the correction is substantial and controversial.<sup>9</sup> This power serves as a crucial, centralized check to ensure uniformity and prevent local registrars from overstepping their statutory authority. If the CRG fails to act within the prescribed period, the local decision becomes final and executory. Should a petition be denied at the local level, the petitioner has two options: appeal the decision to the CRG or file the appropriate petition with the proper court.<sup>6</sup>

## **Expanding the Scope: The Amendments of Republic Act No. 10172**

While R.A. 9048 was a landmark law, its limitations soon became apparent. Common errors in birth dates and sex still required a full judicial proceeding, which for many citizens defeated the purpose of the administrative remedy. In response, Congress enacted Republic Act No. 10172, which was approved on August 15, 2012, to "further authorize" administrative corrections and address these significant gaps.<sup>18</sup>

### **Newly Authorized Corrections: Day, Month, and Sex**

R.A. 10172 amended Sections 1, 2, 5, and 8 of R.A. 9048 to expand the scope of administrative corrections.<sup>22</sup> The law now allows for the correction of the

**day and month in the date of birth** and the **sex of a person**, provided it is "patently clear that there was a clerical or typographical error or mistake in the entry".<sup>12</sup>

The correction of the day and month of birth must be supported by the petitioner's "earliest school record or earliest school documents," as well as other evidence like medical records or baptismal certificates.<sup>18</sup> Crucially, the law does not allow for the administrative correction of the

**year of birth.**<sup>24</sup> This deliberate exclusion reflects a significant policy choice. Age is a fundamental determinant of a vast range of legal rights and obligations, including criminal liability, capacity to contract, and eligibility for social benefits. Altering the year of birth is thus a "substantial" change with far-reaching consequences. By retaining this specific correction within the purview of the courts, the law ensures that any change to a person's age is subjected to the high evidentiary bar and procedural rigor of an adversarial judicial proceeding, thereby safeguarding against potential fraud.

The correction of the entry for sex is a particularly nuanced area. The law permits this only for a patent clerical error, such as when the box for "Male" is checked but all supporting documents clearly indicate the person is female.<sup>18</sup> The framework for this correction represents a careful navigation of practicality and broader social policy. While acknowledging that simple clerical mistakes occur and should be rectifiable, the law deliberately avoids engaging with the more complex issue of gender identity. This is enforced through the

**"No Sex Change" Proviso:** the petition *must* be accompanied by a medical certification from an accredited government physician attesting that the petitioner has not undergone a sex change or sex transplant.<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, the petitioner must personally file the petition for a correction of sex.<sup>20</sup> This provision effectively walls off the administrative process from being used for gender-affirming corrections, compelling individuals who have undergone gender transition to pursue the more arduous and uncertain judicial path.

## **Enhanced Procedural Safeguards and New Requirements**

Reflecting the more substantial nature of these new corrections, R.A. 10172 introduced enhanced safeguards. Unlike simple clerical errors, petitions for the correction of the day/month of birth or sex now **require publication** at least once a week for two consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation, a requirement similar to that for a change of first name.<sup>12</sup>

The law's implementing rules and regulations also mandate a more extensive list of supporting documents, including clearances from the petitioner's employer (if any), the NBI, and the PNP.<sup>22</sup> The filing fee for these corrections was also set at a higher

rate of Php 3,000, distinguishing them from less consequential typographical fixes.<sup>26</sup>

## The Administrative and Judicial Divide: A Comparative Framework

The trilogy of laws governing civil registry corrections operates on a fundamental division between administrative remedies for simple errors and judicial proceedings for substantial changes. Understanding this boundary is critical for legal practitioners and the public.

### The Boundary Line: Distinguishing Clerical from Substantial Errors

R.A. 9048 and R.A. 10172 are strictly limited to "clerical or typographical" errors, defined as those that are harmless, innocuous, and obvious on the face of the document.<sup>7</sup> Any correction that is "substantial" or "controversial"—meaning it affects a person's civil status, nationality, legitimacy, filiation, or age—remains outside the scope of administrative action and requires a judicial order from a competent court.<sup>9</sup>

### Rule 108 of the Rules of Court: The Enduring Path for Substantial Corrections

The legal mechanism for effecting substantial corrections is Rule 108 of the Rules of Court, which governs the "Cancellation or Correction of Entries in the Civil Registry".<sup>29</sup> A petition under Rule 108 is fundamentally different from an administrative one. It is an adversarial,

*in rem* proceeding, meaning its judgment is binding on the whole world.<sup>31</sup>

The following corrections fall exclusively under the judicial process of Rule 108:

- Correction of the **year of birth**, as this affects legal age.<sup>10</sup>
- Changes to **nationality or citizenship**.<sup>10</sup>
- Changes affecting **legitimacy or filiation**, such as correcting a parent's name

where it involves a dispute over paternity.<sup>10</sup>

- Changes to **marital status**, which require the annotation of a judicial decree of annulment, declaration of nullity of marriage, or recognition of a foreign divorce.<sup>29</sup>
- Changes of surname that are not the result of a simple clerical error.<sup>25</sup>

The procedure under Rule 108 is significantly more rigorous. The verified petition is filed with the Regional Trial Court (RTC) where the civil registry is located and must implead the civil registrar and all other persons who have or claim an interest that would be affected.<sup>30</sup> The court order setting the hearing must then be published once a week for three consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation, a requirement that is jurisdictional.<sup>28</sup> The court conducts a full hearing where evidence is presented, often with the participation of the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG) representing the state's interest, before issuing a decision.<sup>28</sup>

**Table: Comparative Analysis of Administrative vs. Judicial Correction**

The following table provides a clear, at-a-glance summary of the two distinct remedial paths.

Feature	Administrative Correction (R.A. 9048 & R.A. 10172) <sup>10</sup>	Judicial Correction (Rule 108, Rules of Court) <sup>10</sup>
<b>Governing Law</b>	R.A. 9048, as amended by R.A. 10172, and their IRRs.	Rule 108 of the Rules of Court.
<b>Scope</b>	Clerical/typographical errors; Change of first name; Correction of day/month of birth; Correction of sex (if patently clerical).	All other substantial changes (e.g., year of birth, nationality, legitimacy, filiation, marital status, change of surname).
<b>Venue</b>	LCRO of registration, LCRO of residence (migrant), or Philippine Consulate.	Regional Trial Court (RTC) where the civil registry is located.
<b>Nature</b>	Administrative, summary, non-adversarial.	Judicial, adversarial, <i>in rem</i> .
<b>Pleading</b>	Verified Petition-Affidavit.	Verified Petition.



<b>Parties</b>	Petitioner.	Petitioner, Civil Registrar, and all other interested parties.
<b>Publication</b>	Posting for 10 days. Publication for 2 weeks for change of first name, correction of birth date/sex.	Publication for 3 consecutive weeks is mandatory and jurisdictional.
<b>Deciding Authority</b>	City/Municipal Civil Registrar or Consul General, subject to review by the Civil Registrar General.	Regional Trial Court Judge.
<b>Timeline</b>	Generally faster (weeks to a few months).	Significantly longer (months to years depending on court docket).
<b>Cost</b>	Lower filing fees.	Higher court filing fees, publication costs, and typically requires counsel.
<b>Appeal</b>	To the Civil Registrar General, then potentially to court.	To the Court of Appeals.

## The Permanent Validity Paradigm: Republic Act No. 11909

The final law in this trilogy, Republic Act No. 11909, or the "Permanent Validity of the Certificates of Live Birth, Death, and Marriage Act," introduced another paradigm shift. Lapsing into law on July 28, 2022, its primary focus is not on the correction process itself, but on the usability and acceptance of the documents once issued.<sup>35</sup>

### Declaration of Policy: Curbing Bureaucratic Redundancy

The core policy of R.A. 11909 is to promote efficiency and economy by eliminating the widespread and burdensome practice of government and private entities requiring citizens to secure and submit new or recently-issued copies of their civil registry documents for various transactions.<sup>38</sup> This practice was based on the erroneous belief

that older documents, particularly those printed on NSO security paper, were less valid than newer ones issued by the PSA.

### **The Principle of Permanent Validity: Mandate and Application**

The law's central provision declares that certificates of live birth, death, and marriage issued, signed, certified, or authenticated by the PSA (and its predecessor, the NSO), LCROs, Shari'a Circuit/District Registrars, and Philippine Foreign Service Posts "shall have permanent validity regardless of the date of issuance".<sup>38</sup> All government and private entities are mandated to recognize and accept these documents in any transaction requiring proof of identity or legal status.<sup>38</sup>

### **The Inherent Limitation: Analyzing the Exceptions to Permanent Validity**

The concept of "permanent validity" is not absolute. The law itself and its Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR), Administrative Order No. 2, Series of 2023, provide crucial exceptions where a new copy of a certificate can and must be required.<sup>41</sup>

First, a new copy is necessary if the physical integrity of the document is compromised—that is, if it is unreadable, illegible, damaged, or its security features are no longer visible.<sup>38</sup>

Second, and most critically, the law creates a direct link to the correction process. It explicitly states that permanent validity is "without prejudice to any administrative or judicial correction" undertaken pursuant to R.A. 9048, R.A. 10172, and other relevant laws.<sup>38</sup> The IRR further clarifies that when a document has undergone such a correction, the concerned person

**must submit the new, amended, or updated certificate.**<sup>38</sup> This creates a paradox of permanent validity: the law does not grant permanent validity to the

*data* on a certificate if that data is erroneous. It grants permanent usability to the *physical document* itself, but only if it is authentic, legible, and, most importantly, accurate. If a "permanently valid" certificate contains a material error, an end-user

agency is justified in refusing the transaction pending correction. The burden then shifts back to the citizen to use the remedies under R.A. 9048 or R.A. 10172 to obtain a new, corrected certificate, which they must then submit. In this way, R.A. 11909 indirectly reinforces the necessity of the first two laws in the trilogy.

## **Enforcement and Penalties**

To ensure compliance, Section 5 of the Act prohibits all entities from requiring a newer copy of a certificate when a valid one can be presented.<sup>38</sup> Violators face penalties of imprisonment from one to six months, a fine of Php 5,000 to Php 10,000, or both. Public officials who violate the act face the additional penalty of temporary disqualification from public office.<sup>38</sup>

The law also contains a forward-looking technological mandate, requiring the PSA and the Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT) to develop a civil registry database and a virtual viewing facility.<sup>38</sup> This signals a fundamental policy shift from a document-centric system, where the physical paper is the primary proof of authenticity, to a data-centric one where verification will eventually be done by querying a trusted digital database. This will ultimately render debates over the "date of issuance" moot and has profound implications for efficiency, fraud reduction, and data privacy.

## **Synthesis and Analysis of Complex Legal Scenarios**

The interaction of these three laws creates complex legal scenarios that require careful analysis.

### **The Interplay of Validity and Correctability**

A central question arising from this legal framework is whether an agency can refuse a "permanently valid" but erroneous certificate. The analysis indicates that it can. An

agency's refusal is not a violation of R.A. 11909 if it is based on the exceptions clearly provided in the law and its IRR.<sup>38</sup> An erroneous certificate is not truly "valid" for the purpose of a transaction if the error is material to that transaction. The agency can legally require the citizen to have the error corrected via R.A. 9048 or R.A. 10172 and to submit the resulting new and corrected certificate.<sup>38</sup> The citizen's proper recourse in this situation is not to file a complaint against the agency under R.A. 11909, but rather to file the appropriate petition for correction with the LCRO.<sup>46</sup>

## Navigating Cross-Jurisdictional Filings

The process for a migrant petitioner involves filing at the local PRCR, which collects a service fee and the main filing fee (in the form of a postal money order payable to the RKCR).<sup>16</sup> The petition is posted at the PRCR for ten consecutive days before being transmitted to the RKCR, where it is posted again for another ten days before being processed.<sup>16</sup> For Filipinos abroad, petitions are filed at the nearest Philippine Consulate, which processes the petition and transmits it to the PSA in Manila for final review and decision by the CRG.<sup>13</sup>

## The Limits of "Clerical Error": Litigated Cases and Supreme Court Doctrines

Jurisprudence has been instrumental in defining the boundaries of what constitutes a "clerical" versus a "substantial" error.

- In ***Republic v. Gallo***, the Supreme Court meticulously outlined the distinct remedies available under Rule 103 (Change of Name), Rule 108, and R.A. 9048, clarifying their separate purposes and procedures.<sup>51</sup>
- The landmark case of ***Silverio v. Republic*** firmly established that a change of sex resulting from gender reassignment surgery is a substantial change that cannot be undertaken through the administrative process of R.A. 9048/10172; it requires a full judicial proceeding.<sup>51</sup>
- The Court has also consistently held that a petition for correction under Rule 108 cannot be used as a collateral attack on a child's legitimacy or filiation, which must be addressed in a direct action for that specific purpose.<sup>33</sup>

## **Recommendations and Practical Guidance for Legal Practitioners**

Navigating this intricate legal framework requires a precise understanding of its rules and nuances.

### **Client Counseling: Choosing the Correct Remedial Path**

The practitioner's first duty is to correctly diagnose the nature of the error to determine the proper legal remedy.<sup>46</sup> Filing under the wrong procedure can lead to dismissal and unnecessary cost and delay for the client. Using the comparative table in Part III of this report as a diagnostic tool is highly recommended. If the error is a simple misspelling, a clerical error in the date of birth (day/month) or sex, or falls under one of the grounds for a change of first name, the administrative path under R.A. 9048/10172 is appropriate. For all other substantial changes, the judicial path under Rule 108 is the only recourse.

### **Assembling the Petition: Best Practices for Documentary Evidence**

The success of an administrative petition hinges almost entirely on the strength and consistency of the supporting documentary evidence.<sup>7</sup> Practitioners should front-load the petition with clear, authentic, and compelling proof. It is crucial to secure the "earliest" possible records (e.g., elementary school records, baptismal certificates) as specifically required by the IRR of R.A. 10172 for corrections of birth date or sex.<sup>22</sup> Standardized petition forms are available from LGU and DFA websites and should be utilized to ensure compliance with formal requirements.<sup>13</sup>

### **Navigating the Process: From LCR Filing to PSA Annotation**

The administrative process follows a clear path:

1. Filing of the verified petition and supporting documents with the appropriate LCRO or Consulate.
2. Payment of fees.
3. Posting of the petition for ten consecutive days (and publication for two weeks, if required).
4. Decision by the C/MCR or CG within five working days of completing the posting/publication.
5. Transmittal of the decision and records to the PSA for review by the CRG.
6. The CRG either affirms or impugns the decision.
7. If affirmed, the decision becomes final and is annotated on the civil registry record. The PSA can then issue a new, corrected certificate.

### **Addressing Denials: Administrative Appeals and Judicial Recourse**

If a C/MCR denies a petition, the petitioner has two primary options. First, they may appeal the denial to the Civil Registrar General at the PSA.<sup>6</sup> The CRG may overturn the denial if new evidence is presented or if the C/MCR is found to have erred or acted with grave abuse of discretion.<sup>8</sup> Second, the petitioner may opt to file a judicial petition under Rule 108 directly with the appropriate RTC.<sup>6</sup> Finally, practitioners must remain mindful of their ethical duties, including the duty of candor in all submissions and the avoidance of forum shopping by filing multiple, simultaneous petitions for the same relief without proper disclosure.<sup>10</sup>

### **Works cited**

1. R.A.-9048.pdf - IRIGA CITY, accessed June 16, 2025, <https://iriga.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/R.A.-9048.pdf>
2. I.R.R. 9048 - LawPhil, accessed June 16, 2025, [https://www.lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra2001/irr\\_9048\\_2001.html](https://www.lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra2001/irr_9048_2001.html)
3. Clarifying the Timeline for PSA Updates Under Republic Act No. 9048 - Respicio & Co., accessed June 16, 2025, <https://www.lawyer-philippines.com/articles/clarifying-the-timeline-for-psa-updates-under-republic-act-no-9048>
4. Correction of Clerical Error in Civil Registry Documents - Philippine Consulate General, accessed June 16, 2025,

- <https://newyorkpcg.org/pcgny/civil-registration/correction-clerical-error-in-civil-registry-documents/>
5. Republic Act No. 9048 | Senate of the Philippines Legislative Reference Bureau, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://ldr.senate.gov.ph/legislative-issuance/republic-act-no-9048>
  6. Republic Act No. 9048 | Philippine Statistics Authority, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://psa.gov.ph/content/republic-act-no-9048>
  7. Republic Act 9048 - Philippine Statistics Authority, accessed June 16, 2025,  
[https://rssoncr.psa.gov.ph/sites/default/files/RA%209048\\_rev.pdf](https://rssoncr.psa.gov.ph/sites/default/files/RA%209048_rev.pdf)
  8. RA 9048 Implementing Rules & Regulations - Administrative ..., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://jeddahpcg.dfa.gov.ph/ra-9048-implementing-rules-regulations-administrative-correction-of-clerical-errors-in-the-civil-registry>
  9. Republic Act No. 9048 | PDF | Affidavit | Justice - Scribd, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.scribd.com/document/598832801/REPUBLIC-ACT-NO-9048>
  10. Clerical Error Act and its Implementing Rules [R.A. No. 9048, as amended by R.A. No. 10172] | SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.respicio.ph/bar/2025/remedial-law-legal-ethics-legal-forms/special-proceedings/clerical-error-act-and-its-implementing-rules-ra-no-9048-as-amended-by-ra-no-10172>
  11. General Information about RA 9048 - The Official Website of the Philippine Embassy in Ankara, Turkey, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://ankarape.dfa.gov.ph/consular-services/civil-registry/clerical-error-and-change-of-name/general-information-about-ra-9048.html>
  12. Republic Act No. 10172 - LawPhil, accessed June 16, 2025,  
[https://lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra2012/ra\\_10172\\_2012.html](https://lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra2012/ra_10172_2012.html)
  13. Petition for Correction of Clerical Error in a Report, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://bangkokpe.dfa.gov.ph/consular-office/services/civil-registry/petition-for-correction-of-clerical-error-in-a-report-of-birth-marriage-and-death>
  14. RA-9048-Form-No.-1.2-Petition-correction-live-birth-entry.pdf - Philippine Embassy, Washington DC, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://philippineembassy-dc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/RA-9048-Form-No.-1.2-Petition-correction-live-birth-entry.pdf>
  15. RA 9048 Form No-Clerical Error in Birth Cert | PDF - Scribd, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.scribd.com/document/394233167/RA-9048-Form-No-clerical-Error-in-Birth-Cert>
  16. Ra 9048 Implementing Rules | PDF | Ex Post Facto Law | Social Institutions - Scribd, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.scribd.com/document/478034015/ra-9048-implementing-rules>
  17. REPUBLIC ACT NO. 9048 - AN ACT AUTHORIZING THE CITY OR MUNICIPAL CIVIL REGISTRAR OR THE CONSUL GENERAL TO CORRECT A CLERICAL OR TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN AN ENTRY AND/OR CHANGE OF FIRST NAME OR NICKNAME IN THE CIVIL REGISTER WITHOUT NEED OF A JUDICIAL ORDER, AMENDING FOR THIS PURPOSE ARTICLES 376 AND 412 OF THE CIVIL CODE OF

- THE - Chan Robles Virtual Law Library, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://chanrobles.com/republicactno9048.html>
18. Republic Act No. 10172 | Philippine Statistics Authority, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://rss011.psa.gov.ph/republic-act-no-10172>
  19. R.A. 10172 | IRIGA CITY, accessed June 16, 2025, <https://iriga.gov.ph/r-a-10172/>
  20. Republic Act No. 10172 Implementing Rules and Regulations | Philippine Statistics Authority, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://psa.gov.ph/content/republic-act-no-10172-implementing-rules-and-regulations>
  21. RA 10172 and Its Implementing Rules | PDF | Birth Certificate | Criminal Record - Scribd, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.scribd.com/document/155859379/RA-10172-and-Its-Implementing-Rules>
  22. Ra 10172 | PDF - Scribd, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.scribd.com/document/339257903/RA-10172-docx>
  23. Republic Act No. 10172 - Jur.ph, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://jur.ph/law/facts/authority-to-correct-certain-clerical-or-typographical-errors-appearing-in-the-civil-register-without-need-of-a-judicial-order>
  24. Correcting Birth Certificate Errors in the Philippines: Costs and Procedure - Respicio & Co., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.lawyer-philippines.com/articles/correcting-birth-certificate-errors-in-the-philippines-costs-and-procedure>
  25. Correcting Errors in Philippine Birth Certificates - Respicio & Co., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.lawyer-philippines.com/articles/correcting-errors-in-philippine-birth-certificates>
  26. IRR 10172 - national statistics office, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://nsoaklan.weebly.com/irr-10172.html>
  27. Correction of Birth Date/Sex in Civil Registry - Jur.ph, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://jur.ph/law/summary/authority-to-correct-certain-clerical-or-typographical-errors-appearing-in-the-civil-register-without-need-of-a-judicial-order>
  28. Filing a Petition for Birth Year Correction on Official Records in the Philippines, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.respicio.ph/commentaries/filing-a-petition-for-birth-year-correction-on-official-records-in-the-philippines>
  29. Legal Name and Civil Status Correction Process - Respicio & Co., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.lawyer-philippines.com/articles/legal-name-and-civil-status-correction-process>
  30. Petition for Birth Certificate Correction: Substantial Error in Parent's Name - Respicio & Co., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.lawyer-philippines.com/articles/petition-for-birth-certificate-correction-substantial-error-in-parents-name>
  31. Cancellation or Correction of Entries (Rule 108) | SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS, accessed June 16, 2025,



- <https://www.respicio.ph/bar/2025/remedial-law-legal-ethics-legal-forms/special-proceedings/cancellation-or-correction-of-entries-rule-108>
32. Rule 108, Rules of Court | Correction of Entries in the Civil Register - respicio & co., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.respicio.ph/bar/2025/civil-law/civil-register/correction-of-entries-in-the-civil-register/rule-108-rules-of-court>
  33. IN RE: PETITION FOR CANCELLATION AND CORRECTION OF ENTRIES IN THE RECORDS OF BIRTH, RITA K. LEE, LEONCIO LEE TEK SHENG, ROSA K. LEE-VANDERLEK, MELODY K. LEE-CHIN, LUCIA LEE-TEK SHENG-ONG, JULIAN K. LEE, HENRY K. LEE, MARTIN K. LEE, VICTORIANO K. LEE, NATIVIDAD K. LEE-MIGUEL, AND THOMAS K. LEE, PETITIONERS, VS. EMMA LEE AND THE CIVIL REGISTRAR FOR THE CITY OF CALOOCAN, RESPONDENTS. D E C I S I O N - Supreme Court E-Library, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://elibrary.judiciary.gov.ph/thebookshelf/showdocs/1/68835>
  34. Rules 103 and 108 change of name correction of entries - Family Matters, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://familymatters.netlify.app/procedural%20laws/rules%20103%20and%20108%20change%20of%20name%20correction%20of%20entries>
  35. Republic Act No. 11909 | Senate of the Philippines Legislative Reference Bureau, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://issuances-library.senate.gov.ph/legislative-issuance/republic-act-no-11909>
  36. AUTHOR LAUDED CREATION INTO LAW OF BILL ON VALIDITY OF BIRTH, DEATH AND MARRIAGE CERTIFICATES - Province of Guimaras, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://guimaras.gov.ph/news-and-updates/author-lauded-creation-into-law-of-bill-on-validity-of-birth-death-and-marriage-certificates/>
  37. Press Release - Revilla-sponsored bill for permanent validity of birth ..., accessed June 16, 2025, [https://web.senate.gov.ph/press\\_release/2022/0802\\_revilla1.asp](https://web.senate.gov.ph/press_release/2022/0802_revilla1.asp)
  38. Republic Act No. 11909 - LawPhil, accessed June 16, 2025,  
[https://lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra2022/ra\\_11909\\_2022.html](https://lawphil.net/statutes/repacts/ra2022/ra_11909_2022.html)
  39. Permanent Validity of the Certificates of Live Birth, Death, and Marriage Act - Republic Act No. 11909 - Digest PH, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.digest.ph/laws/permanent-validity-of-the-certificates-of-live-birth-death-and-marriage-act>
  40. Permanent validity of civil registry certificates - Jur.ph, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://jur.ph/law/summary/permanent-validity-of-the-certificates-of-live-birth-death-and-marriage-act>
  41. IRR-of-RA-11909.pdf - Philippine Statistics Authority, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://psa.gov.ph/sites/default/files/kmcd/files/IRR-of-RA-11909.pdf>
  42. R.A. No. 11909 | Correction of Entries in the Civil Register - Respicio & Co. Law Firm, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.respicio.ph/bar/2025/civil-law/civil-register/correction-of-entries-in-the-civil-register/ra-no-11909>
  43. Facts: Permanent validity of civil registry certificates - Jur.ph, accessed June 16, 2025,

<https://jur.ph/law/facts/permanent-validity-of-the-certificates-of-live-birth-death-and-marriage-act>

44. implementing rules and regulations (irr) of ra 11909 permanent validity of the certificates of live birth, death and marriage act - Philippine Statistics Authority, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://psa.gov.ph/system/files/nccrvs/IRR-of-RA-1-1909-Permanent-Validity-of-the-Certificates-of-Live-Birth-Death-and-Marriage-Act.pdf>
45. Permanent Validity of PSA Documents - Aritao Law Office, accessed June 16, 2025, <https://aritaolaw.com/articles/permanent-validity-of-psa-documents>
46. Correcting Errors in Birth Certificates: Legal Procedures in the Philippines, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.respicio.ph/commentaries/correcting-errors-in-birth-certificates-legal-procedures-in-the-philippines>
47. CORRECTION OF ENTRIES IN THE CIVIL REGISTRY (BIRTH CERTIFICATE, MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE, DEATH CERTIFICATE, (REPORT OF BIRTH, REPOR - Philippine Embassy, accessed June 16, 2025,  
[https://bernepe.dfa.gov.ph/images/Info\\_Sheet\\_on\\_Correcting\\_Civil\\_Registry\\_Entries.pdf](https://bernepe.dfa.gov.ph/images/Info_Sheet_on_Correcting_Civil_Registry_Entries.pdf)
48. Middle Name Concern on the Birth Certificate - Respicio & Co., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.lawyer-philippines.com/articles/middle-name-concern-on-the-birth-certificate>
49. Correction of Clerical Error - Embassy of the Republic of the Philippines, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://philippineembassy-dc.org/correction-of-clerical-error/>
50. Petition for Correction of Clerical Error - The Official Website of the Philippine Embassy in Brussels, Belgium - DFA, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://brusselspe.dfa.gov.ph/sample-sites/civil-registry/petition-for-correction-of-clerical-error>
51. Supreme Court E-Library Information At Your Fingertips, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://elibrary.judiciary.gov.ph/thebookshelf/showdocs/14/65653>
52. Expedited Clerical Error Correction Birth Certificate Philippines - Respicio & Co., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.lawyer-philippines.com/articles/expedited-clerical-error-correction-birth-certificate-philippines>
53. Correction of Entries in the Civil Register - respicio & co., accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://www.respicio.ph/bar/2025/civil-law/civil-register/correction-of-entries-in-the-civil-register>
54. 22. R.A. 10172 - Petition for Correction of Sex and/or Day and/or Month In the Date of Birth - Quezon City Government, accessed June 16, 2025,  
[https://quezoncity.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/R.A.-10172-Petition-for-Correction-of-Sex-and\\_or-Day-and\\_or-Month.pdf](https://quezoncity.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/R.A.-10172-Petition-for-Correction-of-Sex-and_or-Day-and_or-Month.pdf)
55. RA-10172-Form-No.-1.1.pdf - Philippine Embassy in Riyadh, accessed June 16, 2025,  
<https://riyadhpe.dfa.gov.ph/images/DownloadableForms/RA-10172-Form-No.-1.1>

[pdf](#)