

6.1 & 6.2: Cartesian Product and Power sets

Cliff Sun

February 28, 2024

Previously, we showed that induction implies the well ordering of the natural numbers.

Remark: Strong induction is equivalent to this case as well. That is, the validity of Strong induction implies the well-ordering of the natural numbers.

Cartesian Products

Theorem 0.1. *Let A and B be sets. Then their Cartesian product is*

$$A \times B = \{(a, b), a \in A, b \in B\} \quad (1)$$

You can also take the product of multiple sets A, B, C where the definition would be:

$$A \times B \times C = \{(a, b, c), a \in A, b \in B, c \in C\} \quad (2)$$

Remark: $A \times B$ is not equal to $B \times A$ since the group $(a, b) \neq (b, a)$

That means that

$$(A \times B) \times C \neq A \times (B \times C) \neq A \times B \times C \quad (3)$$

However, there are bijections between them such that

$$f : A \times B \rightarrow B \times A \quad (4)$$

or

$$(b, a) \rightarrow (a, b) \quad (5)$$

Generally speaking the cardinality of $A \times B$ is the same as multiplying the cardinality of A and B .

Proof. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$, then $A \times B$ can be written as:

$\{(a_1, b_1), (a_1, b_2), \dots, (a_1, b_n) \dots (a_m, b_1)\}$.

Since there are a total of $m \times n$ number of elements, it follows that the cardinality of $A \times B$ is just $|A||B|$. \square

Theorem 0.2. *If $A \subseteq C$ and $B \subseteq D$, then it follows that $A \times B \subseteq C \times D$*

Proof. Suppose that $A \subseteq C$ and $B \subseteq D$. We claim that $A \times B \subseteq C \times D$. To prove this, consider an element of $A \times B$. Then this element would be an ordered pair. This has the form of (a, b) for a in A and b in B . Since $A \subseteq C$, then a is an element in C . Similarly, we can apply the same argument for b . Therefore, (a, b) is an ordered pair where the first entry is an element in C and the second entry is in D . Thus, by definition, (a, b) is an element in $C \times D$. This concludes the proof. \square

What is the compliment of $A \times B$ in the context of $C \times D$?

Suppose that $(x, y) \in C \times D$, and $(x, y) \notin A \times B$. But that implies that $x \in C - A$ and $y \in D - B$. Then that implies that

$$(x, y) \in (C - A) \times D \cup (C) \times (D - B) \quad (6)$$

Power Sets

Theorem 0.3. *Let A be a set. Then the power set of A is*

$$P(A) = \{B : B \subseteq A\} \quad (7)$$

Such that

$$B \subseteq P(A) \iff B \subseteq A \quad (8)$$

This can include vectors consisting of multiple elements.

Theorem 0.4. *If $|A|$ is n , then the cardinality of $P(A) = 2^n$*

Proof. We will use induction on n . As a base case, $|A| = 0$, thus the power set of A has cardinality 1. For the inductive step, suppose the theorem is true for all sets of cardinality n , and let $|A| = n + 1$, then $B =$ set of all elements in A until a_n and $C =$ just the last element are subsets of A . \square