

# Archimedean Property and Sup/Inf

Cliff Sun

April 12, 2024

Recall, suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  ( $A$  cannot be  $\emptyset$ ), then

$$\sup(A) = \text{least upper bound of } A \quad (1)$$

$$\inf(A) = \text{greatest lower bound of } A \quad (2)$$

Claim,  $\inf(\mathbb{R}^+) = 0$

*Proof.* We must prove that

1.  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 \leq x$
2.  $\forall b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b$  is a lower bound of  $\mathbb{R}^+, b \leq 0$

To prove (2), suppose that  $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we must prove that  $b$  is not a lower bound of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . To prove this, note that  $\frac{b}{2}$  is less than  $b$ , thus  $b$  cannot be a lower bound of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . This is because  $b > 0$ .  $\square$

Claim: Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then

1. If  $A$  has a smallest element  $x$ , then  $x = \inf(A)$
2. If  $A$  has a largest element  $y$ , then  $y = \sup(A)$

*Proof.* To prove (1), suppose that  $x$  is the smallest element of  $A$ . Then  $x$  is a lower bound of  $A$  since  $x \leq a \forall a \in A$ . Moreover, if  $b$  is a lower bound of  $A$ , then  $b \leq a \forall a \in A$ . Then in particular,  $b \leq x$ . Thus  $x$  is the greatest lower bound of  $A$ , that is  $x = \inf(A)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 0.1.**  $\mathbb{N}$  is not bounded above.

*Proof.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\mathbb{N}$  is bounded above. In particular, by the least upper bound property,  $\mathbb{N}$  must have a least upper bound. Then, we define  $n$  is a least upper bound of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then we define  $x > n - 1$  such that  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , but it follows that  $x + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$  by definition of the natural numbers. Thus, it follows that  $\mathbb{N}$  doesn't have an upper bound.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.2.** Let  $A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then  $\inf(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We first must prove that 0 is a lower bound, and that 0 is also the greatest lower bound. To prove the first claim, we have that trivially that  $0 \leq \frac{1}{n} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Secondly, suppose that 0 is not the greatest lower bound for the sake of contradiction. Then there exists some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . Flipping both sides yields  $\frac{1}{b} \geq n$ , but this implies that the natural numbers is bounded. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.3.** The Archimedean property states that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$nx > y \quad (3)$$

**Theorem 0.4.**  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  for all real numbers  $x < y$ , there exists some rational number  $r$  such that

$$x < r < y \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* To prove the Archimedean property, given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we want to find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nx > y$ . Equivalently,  $n > \frac{y}{x}$ . We can find such a number since the natural numbers is not bounded.  $\square$

*Proof.* To prove the theorem that follows from the Archimedean Property, we first assume that  $0 \leq x < y$ . Since  $x < y$ , we have that  $y - x > 0$ , in particular,  $y - x > \frac{1}{n}$ . Fix  $n$ , we claim that for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x < \frac{m}{n} < y$ . Let

$$A = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{k}{n} > x\} \quad (5)$$

Claim that  $A$  is nonempty, if it were empty, then that means that  $\frac{k}{n} \leq x$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , but that means that the natural numbers is bounded, thus this set must be nonempty. Since  $\mathbb{N}$  is well-ordered, it follows that  $A$  contains a least element. We must now prove that  $x < \frac{m}{n} < y$ . By definition,  $\frac{m}{n} > x$ . But since  $m - 1 \notin A$ , thus  $\frac{m-1}{n} \leq x$ , or  $\frac{m}{n} \leq x + \frac{1}{n} < y$ . Thus, this proves the inequality.  $\square$

**Definition 0.5.** If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then let

$$xA = \{xa : a \in A\} \quad (6)$$

$$x + A = \{x + a : a \in A\} \quad (7)$$

Then

**Theorem 0.6.** Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and that  $x$  is a real number. Assuming that  $\sup(A)$  exists, then

1.  $\sup(x + A) = \sup(A) + x$
2.  $\inf(x + A) = \inf(A) + x$
3.  $\sup(xA) = x \sup(A)$  if  $x > 0$
4. etc.

*Proof.* To prove (1), for any  $b$  in the real numbers, we have that  $b$  is an upper bound of  $A$  means that

$$b \geq a \forall a \in A \quad (8)$$

$$b + x \geq a + x \quad (9)$$

Thus  $b + x$  is an upper bound of  $A$ .  $\square$