

## 7.4-7.5 Partitions and Modular Arithmetic

Cliff Sun

March 22, 2024

### Partitions

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $X$ . Then for  $x, y \in X$ , we have that  $x \sim y \iff [x] = [y]$ . That is the equivalence class of  $x$  is equal to that of  $y$ .*

*Proof.*  $\implies$ , Suppose that  $x \sim y$ , we must prove that  $[x] \subseteq [y]$  and that  $[y] \subseteq [x]$ . Suppose that  $z \in [x]$ , which implies that  $z \sim x$ . But by definition of the equivalence class, then  $z \sim y$  by transitivity. Thus  $z \in [y]$ . Conversely, suppose that  $z \in [y]$ , that implies that  $z \sim y$  which means that  $z \sim x$  by symmetry of the equivalence class. Thus  $z \in [x]$  by transitivity. This proves the  $\implies$  direction.

$\impliedby$ , next suppose that  $[x] = [y]$ , that is for every element in  $[x]$ , it also exists in  $[y]$ . Then because  $\sim$  is reflexive, we have that  $x \sim x$ , then that implies that  $x \in [x]$ . But since  $[x] = [y]$ , it follows that  $x \in [y]$  which by definition of the equivalence class, means that  $x \sim y$ . This concludes the full proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.2.** *Let  $X$  be a set, then:*

1. *If  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ , then its equivalence classes partition  $X$ .*
2. *If  $\{A_n : n \in I\}$  forms a partition, then there exists some equivalence relation that relates the values in that partition.*

For 2, a more concrete definition is that

$$x \sim y \iff \exists n \in I : x \in A_n \wedge y \in A_n \quad (1)$$

*This relation is an equivalence relation.*

*Proof.* This is a proof of 1 in the theorem. Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation, then we must prove that

1. Every  $x \in X$  is in some equivalence class.
2. That given an 2 equivalence classes, they are either the same or disjoint.

For the 1st statement above, it follows that  $x$  is in its own equivalence class ( $[x]$ ) by reflexivity.

Next, for the 2nd statement above, suppose that we are given two equivalence classes. We can prove this by stating that if they have a common element, then they must share the same elements. So suppose  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  share a common element  $z$ . Then we must show that  $[x] = [y]$ . But this statement that  $z \in [x]$  and  $z \in [y]$  states that  $z \sim x$  and  $z \sim y$ . Then the lemma states that  $[x] = [y] = [z]$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* This is a proof of 2 in the theorem. We must show that the relation showed in the theorem is an equivalence relation. We begin first by proving reflexivity,

Reflexive: For any  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $x \sim x$  since  $x \in A_n$  and  $x \in A_n$  by definition of partitions.

Symmetric: If  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same partition, then it follows that  $y \sim x$  since  $y$  and  $x$  are in the same partition.

Transitivity: Suppose that  $x \sim y$ , and that  $y \sim z$ . This implies that for some  $m, n \in I$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  share a partition and that  $z$  and  $y$  share a partition. We define  $A_n$  to be the partition that  $x$  and  $y$  share for some  $n \in I$ , then it follows that  $y$  and  $z$  share that same partition since  $y$  lives in  $A_n$  by definition of the relation. Thus  $x \sim y \sim z$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Recall that  $X/\sim = \{[x] : x \in X\}$ . Let's make a mobius strip. Suppose  $X$  is a rectangle. That is  $X = [0, 6] \times [0, 1]$ . Let's glue the ends of this rectangle together. More specifically, for all  $(0, y)$  to  $(6, 1 - y)$  The partition that does this is that

1.  $A_{(x,y)} = x, y$  for all  $x \in (0, 6)$  and  $y \in (0, 1)$ .
2.  $A_{(0,y)} = (0, y), (6, 1 - y)$  for  $y \in [0, 1]$ .