

MTH 416: Lecture 21

Cliff Sun

November 12, 2024

Lecture Span

- Criteria for diagonalizability
- Cayley-Hamilton

Continuing from previous Lecture

Theorem 0.1. *Let $A \in M_{n \times n}$ be diagonalizable if and only if*

1. *char poly(A) splits completely over \mathbb{R}*
2. *For every eigenvalue λ ,*

$$\text{geo multi} = \text{alg multi}$$

This required that

Lemma 0.2. *If β_1, \dots are linearly independent sets in different eigenspaces E_{λ_1}, \dots of A , then*

$$\beta = \beta_1 \cup \dots \quad (1)$$

is also linearly independent.

Proof. We first prove a special case, that each $\beta_i = \{v_i\}$ where v_i is a non-zero vector. Prove this by induction

Base Case

If $k = 1$, then this is linearly independent.

Induction

Assume that the special case works for some value of k , then we consider $k + 1$. Let

$$\beta_1 = \{v_1\}, \dots, \beta_{k+1} = \{v_{k+1}\} \quad (2)$$

We claim that

$$\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\} \quad (3)$$

Suppose that

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k+1} v_{k+1} = 0 \quad (4)$$

Then

$$A(a_1 v_1) + \dots + A(a_{k+1} v_{k+1}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k+1} \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} = 0 \quad (6)$$

Subtract (6) - λ_{k+1} (5)

$$a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1})v_1 + \dots + a_k(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1})v_k = 0 \quad (7)$$

We can apply IH, and conclude that

$$a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0 \quad (8)$$

This implies that

$$a_{k+1} = 0 \quad (9)$$

□

But what about the general case? Given $\beta_1 = \{v_1, v_2\}, \dots$. We can call

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 = w_1 \quad (10)$$

And sum

$$w_1 + w_2 + \dots = 0 \quad (11)$$

But since the coefficients in front are non-zero, it implies that

$$w_1 = w_2 = \dots = 0 \quad (12)$$

Thus implies that

$$a_1 = a_2 = \dots = 0 \quad (13)$$

Cayley Hamilton

Suppose $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\det(A - tI) = 0 \quad (14)$$

is the characteristic polynomial. But what if we plug in $t = \text{matrix}$?

Warning: the equation

$$\det(A - tI) = 0 \quad (15)$$

is only valid when t is a number, not a matrix. So we're only interested in plugging $t = \text{matrix}$ into the characteristic polynomial.

Example:

$$f(t) = t^2 + 1 \quad (16)$$

Then let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

Then we claim that

$$f(A) = A^2 + I_2 = 0_{2 \times 2} \quad (18)$$

Then

$$A^2 + I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0_2 \quad (19)$$

So we know that

$$\text{char poly}(A) = t^2 + 1$$

Interesting...

Theorem 0.3. Cayley-Hamilton Theorem: For any $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, A satisfies its own characteristic equation.

Aside, we can also state this for linear operations

$$T : V \rightarrow V \quad (20)$$

Read lecture notes for proof.

Direct Sum Decomposition

Suppose $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is diagonalizable. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be eigenvalues and E_{λ_i} be eigenspaces.

Fact: If β_1, \dots, β_k are basis for E_{λ_1}, \dots , then

$$\beta = \beta_1 \cup \dots \quad (21)$$

is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

This fact can be reinterpreted as follows:

Theorem 0.4. Suppose W_1, \dots, W_k are subspaces of V . Then the following are equivalent:

1. One can form a basis of V by taking the union of a basis of each W_i
2. Every vector $v \in V$ can be expressed uniquely in the following form:

$$w_1 + \dots + w_k \quad (22)$$

where $w_i \in W_i$.

3.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k W_i = V \quad (23)$$

For all j , then

$$W_j \cap \sum_{i=1}^k W_i = \{0\} \quad (24)$$

Definition 0.5. Given subspaces $W_i \subseteq V$, then

$$\sum_i W_i = \{\text{vectors of the form } w_1 + \dots + w_k\} \quad (25)$$

Definition 0.6. If $W_i \subseteq V$ satisfies the conditions above, then we can say that V is a direct sum of the W_i , and write

$$V = W_1' + \dots + W_k' \quad (26)$$

Conclusion

Then if A is diagonalizable, then \mathbb{R}^n decomposes as the direct sum of its eigenspaces.