COMP3048: Lecture 13 Code Generation II

Matthew Pike

University of Nottingham, Ningbo

Code Generation: Demo I

Let us generate code for:

```
let
    var f: Integer := 1;
    var i: Integer := 1
in
    while i <= 10 do begin
        f := f * i;
        putint(f);
        i := i + 1
    end
```

Code Generation: Demo II (1)

And for this program using arrays and a procedure:

```
let
    proc swap(var x: Integer, var y: Integer)
        let
            var t: Integer
        in begin
            t := x; x := y; y := t
        end;
    var a: Integer[5] := [7,3,1,9,2];
    var i: Integer;
    var j: Integer
```

Code Generation: Demo II (2)

```
in begin
    i := 0;
    while i < 4 do begin
        j := i + 1;
        while j < 5 do begin
            if a[i] > a[j] then
                 swap(a[i], a[j])
            else skip();
            j := j + 1
        end;
        i := i + 1
    end;
```

Code Generation: Demo II (3)

```
i := 0;
while i <= 4 do begin
    putint(a[i]);
    i := i + 1
end
end</pre>
```

Specifying Code Selection (1)

 Code selection is specified inductively over the phrases of the source language:

Code Function: maps a source phrase to an instruction sequence. For example:

 $\overline{execute}: Command \rightarrow Instruction^*$

 $evaluate : Expression \rightarrow Instruction^*$

 $elaborate : Declaration \rightarrow Instruction^*$

Specifying Code Selection (2)

Note:

- execute generates code for executing a command (it does not execute a command directly);
- evaluate generates code for evaluating an expression, leaving the result on the top of the stack.
- elaborate generates code for reserving storage for declared constants and variables, evaluating any initialisation expressions, and for declared procedures and functions.

Specifying Code Selection (3)

 Code functions are specified by means of code templates:

$$execute \ \llbracket \ C_1 \ ; \ C_2 \ \rrbracket =$$
 $execute \ C_1$ $execute \ C_2$

- The brackets [and] enclose pieces of concrete syntax and meta variables.
- Note the *recursion*; i.e. inductive definition over the underlying phrase structure.

(Think of $[\cdot]$ as a map from concrete to abstract syntax as specified by the abstract syntax grammars.)

Specifying Code Selection (4)

In a simple language, the code template for assignment might be:

$$execute \ [\![I := E]\!] =$$
 $evaluate \ E$ $STORE \ addr(I)$

where

 $addr: Identifier \rightarrow Address$

Note that the instruction sequences and individual instructions in the RHS of the defining equation are implicitly *concatenated*.

Note: meta variables range over abstract syntax.

Exercise: Code Templates

Generate code for the fragment

```
f := f * n;
n := n - 1
```

using the following two templates:

```
execute \ \llbracket C_1 \ ; \ C_2 \ \rrbracket = execute \ \llbracket I \ := E \ \rrbracket = execute \ C_1 evaluate \ E STORE addr(I) and addr(f) = [SB + 11], \ addr(n) = [SB + 17].
```

Expand as far as the above templates allow.

Not Quite that Simple ...

However, something is clearly missing! Recall:

 $\overline{execute} : Command \rightarrow Instruction^*$

 $|evaluate: Expression \rightarrow Instruction^*|$

 $\overline{elab}orate : \overline{Declaration} \rightarrow \overline{Instruct}ion^*$

 $addr: Identifier \rightarrow Address$

and consider again:

execute [I := E] = evaluate E $STORE \ addr(I)$

How can the function addr possibly map an identifier (a name) to an address?

Not Quite that Simple ...(2)

In more detail:

- elaborate is responsible for assigning addresses to variables
- a function like *addr* needs *access* to the addresses assigned by *elaborate*
- but the given type signatures for the code functions do not permit this communication!

Not Quite that Simple ... (3)

Consequently:

- The code functions need an additional *stack environment argument*, associating variables with addresses.
- The code function elaborate must return an updated stack environment.
- Need to keep track of the *current stack*depth (with respect to LB) to allow *elaborate*to determine the address (within activation record) for a new variable.

Not Quite that Simple ... (5)

Need to keep track of the current scope level as the difference of current scope level and the scope level of a variable is needed in addition to its address to access it (see later lecture on run-time organisation and *static links*).

Moreover, need to generate *fresh names* for jump targets (recall the demo).

Not Quite that Simple ... (6)

To clearly convey the basic ideas first, we will:

- Use simplified MiniTriangle as main example:
 - No user-defined procedures or functions (only predefined, global ones).
 - Consequently, all variables are global (addressed with respect to SB).
 - No arrays (only simple variables, all of size 1 word).
- Gloss over the bookkeeping details for the most part.

Not Quite that Simple ... (7)

However:

- Additional details will be given occasionally.
- Will revisit at appropriate points in lectures on run-time organisation.
- Refer to the HMTC (coursework compiler) source code for full details.

Code Functions for MiniTriangle

In the simplified exposition, we can consider the code functions to have the following types:

```
run : Program \rightarrow Instruction^*
```

 $execute : Command \rightarrow Instruction^*$

 $\overline{execute^*}: Command^* \to Instruction^*$

 $evaluate : Expression \rightarrow Instruction^*$

 $\overline{evaluate^* : Expression^*} \rightarrow Instruction^*$

 $fetch : Identifier \rightarrow Instruction^*$

 $assign: Identifier \rightarrow Instruction^*$

 $\overline{elaborate}: \overline{Declaration} \rightarrow \overline{Instruction}^*$

 $elaborate^*: Declaration^* \rightarrow Instruction^*$

A Code Generation Monad

HMTC uses a *Code Generation monad* to facilitate some of the bookkeeping:

```
instance Monad (CG instr)
```

Takes care of:

- Collation of generated instructions
- Generation of fresh names

Typical operations:

- emit :: instr -> CG instr ()
- newName :: CG instr Name

Some HMTC Code Functions

```
execute :: Level -> CGEnv -> Depth -> Command
           -> CG TAMInst ()
evaluate :: Level -> CGEnv -> Expression
           -> CG TAMInst ()
elaborateDecls :: Level -> CGEnv -> Depth
                  -> [Declaration]
                  -> CG TAMInst (CGEnv, Depth)
```

(In essence: actual signatures differ in minor ways.)

MiniTriangle Abstract Syntax Part I

(Simplified: no procedures, functions, arrays)

Program	\rightarrow	Command	Program
Command	\rightarrow	$\underline{Identifier} := Expression$	CmdAssign
		<u>Identifier</u> (Expression*)	CmdCall
		begin $Command^*$ end	CmdSeq
		if Expression then Command	Cmdlf
		else Command	
		while Expression do Command	CmdWhile
		let Declaration* in Command	CmdLet

Meta Variable Conventions

```
C \in Command
Cs \in Command^*
E \in Expression
Es \in Expression^*
\overline{D} \in Declaration
Ds \in Declaration^*
 I \in Identifier
O \in Operator
IL \in IntegerLiteral
```

 $TD \in TypeDenoter$

Code Function execute (1)

 $run : \operatorname{Program} \to \operatorname{Instruction}^*$

execute: Command \rightarrow Instruction*

$$run \ [C] =$$
 $execute \ C$
 $HALT$

execute [I := E] = evaluate E assign I

Code Function execute (2)

In detail (pseudo Haskell, code generation monad) the code for assignment looks more like this. Note that the variable actually is represented by an *expression* that gets evaluated to an *address*:

```
execute l env n \llbracket E_{\mathrm{v}} := E \rrbracket = \mathsf{do}
evaluate l env E
evaluate l env E_{\mathrm{v}}

case sizeof(E) of
1 \rightarrow emit (\mathtt{STOREI0})
s \rightarrow emit (\mathtt{STOREIB} s)
```

(Reasons include: array references (a [i]), call by reference parameters.)

Code Function execute (3)

Code Function execute (4)

where g and h are fresh names.

Exercise: Code Function *execute*

Given

```
evaluate ||I|| =
                      addr(a) = [SB + 11]
     LOAD addr(I)
                      addr(b) = [SB + 12]
  execute II := ILI =
                      addr(c) = [SB + 13]
     LOADL IL
     STORE addr(I)
generate code for:
  if b then
       if c then a := 1 else a := 2
  else
       a := 3
```

Code Function execute (5)

In detail (pseudo Haskell, code generation monad):

```
execute \ l \ env \ n \ \llbracket 	ext{if} \ E \ 	ext{then} \ C_1 \ 	ext{else} \ C_2 \ \rrbracket \ = \ 	ext{do}
        q \leftarrow newName
        h \leftarrow newName
        evaluate l env E
        emit (JUMPIFZ g)
        execute l env n C_1
        emit (JUMP h)
        emit (Label g)
        execute l env n C_2
        emit (Label h)
```

Code Function execute (6)

```
execute \ [\![ \, \text{while} \, E \, \operatorname{do} C \, ]\!] = \\ \operatorname{JUMP} h
```

g: execute C

h: evaluate E

JUMPIFNZ g

where g and h are fresh names.

Code Function execute (7)

where s is the amount of storage allocated by $elaborate^* Ds$.

Code Function execute (8)

In detail (pseudo Haskell, code generation monad):

```
execute l env n [let Ds in C] = do
(env', n') \leftarrow elaborate^* \ l \ env \ n \ Ds
execute \ l \ env' \ n' \ C
emit \ (\texttt{POP 0} \ (n'-n))
```

where:

```
elaborate^*: Level \rightarrow CGEnv \rightarrow Depth
\rightarrow Declaration^*
\rightarrow CG\ TAMInst\ (Env, Depth)
```

Code Function execute*

The code function $execute^*$ has the obvious definition:

$$execute^* \ \llbracket \, \epsilon \, \rrbracket = \epsilon$$

$$execute^* [C; Cs] =$$
 $execute C$
 $execute^* Cs$

MiniTriangle Abstract Syntax Part II

Expression	\rightarrow	$\underline{IntegerLiteral}$	ExpLitInt
		$\underline{Identifier}$	ExpVar
		Operator Expression	ExpUnOpApp
		Expression Operator	ExpBinOpApp
		Expression	
Declaration	\rightarrow	const <u>Identifier</u> :	DeclConst
		TypeDenoter = Expression	
		var <u>Identifier</u> : TypeDenoter	DeclVar
		$(:= Expression \mid \epsilon)$	
TypeDenoter	$\stackrel{-}{\rightarrow}$	$\underline{Identifier}$	TDBaseType

Code Function evaluate (1)

 $evaluate : Expression \rightarrow Instruction^*$

Fundamental invariant: all operations take arguments from the stack and writes result back onto the stack.

Code Function evaluate (2)

Consider evaluating 2 + 4 * 3 - 5. Plausible instruction sequence:

LOADL 2 Stack: 2

LOADL 4 Stack: 4, 2

LOADL 3 Stack: 3, 4, 2

CALL mul Stack: 12, 2

CALL add Stack: 14

LOADL 5 Stack: 5, 14

CALL sub Stack: 9

(mul, add, sub are routines in the MiniTriangle standard library.)

Code Function evaluate (3)

$$evaluate \ \llbracket IL
rbracket =$$
 LOADL c

where c is the value of IL.

$$evaluate \ \llbracket I \ \rrbracket = \\ fetch \ I$$

Code Function evaluate (4)

```
evaluate \ \llbracket \ominus E \ 
bracket = evaluate \ E
\operatorname{CALL} addr(\ominus)
evaluate \ \llbracket E_1 \otimes E_2 \ 
bracket = evaluate \ E_1
evaluate \ E_2
\operatorname{CALL} addr(\otimes)
```

(A call to a known function that can be replaced by a short code sequence can be optimised away at a later stage; e.g. CALL add \Rightarrow ADD.)

Code Functions fetch and assign (1)

In simplified MiniTriangle, all constants and variables are *global*. Hence addressing relative to SB.

$$fetch [I] =$$
LOAD [SB + d]

where d is offset (or displacement) of I relative to SB.

$$assign \ \llbracket I \ \rrbracket =$$
 STORE [SB + d]

where d is offset of I relative to SB.

Code Functions fetch and assign (2)

In a more realistic language, fetch and assign would take the current scope level and the scope level of the variable into account:

- Global variables addressed relative to SB.
- Local variables addressed relative to LB.
- Non-global variables in enclosing scopes would be reached by following the *static links* (see later lecture) in one or more steps, and *fetch* and *assign* would have to generate the appropriate code.

Exercise: Code Function evaluate

Given

$$addr(a) = [SB + 11]$$
 $addr(b) = [SB + 12]$
 $addr(+) = add$
 $addr(*) = mult$

generate code for:

$$a + (b * 2)$$

Code Function elaborate (1)

Elaboration must deposit value/reserve space for value on stack. Also, address (offset) of elaborated entity must be recorded (to be used by *fetch* and *assign*).

 $elaborate: Declaration \rightarrow Instruction^*$ elaborate [const I: TD = E] = evaluate E

(Additionally, the offset (w.r.t. SB) has to be recorded for the identifier denoted by I.)

Code Function elaborate (2)

(Additionally, the offset (w.r.t. SB) has to be recorded for the identifier denoted by I.)

LOADL 0 is just used to reserve space on the stack; the value of the literal does not matter. More space must be reserved if the values of the type are big (e.g. record, array).

Code Function elaborate (3)

For procedures and functions:

- Generate a fresh name for the entry point.
- Elaborate the formal arguments to extend the environment.
- Generate code for the body at a scope level incremented by 1 and in the extended environment.

Identifiers vs. Symbols (1)

- The coursework compiler HMTC uses symbols instead of identifiers in the latter stages.
- Symbols are introduced in the type checker (responsible for identification in HMTC) in place of identifiers (rep. changed from AST to MTIR).
- Symbols carry **semantic** information (e.g., type, scope level) to make that information readily available to e.g. the code generator.

(Cf. the lectures on identification where applied identifier occurrences were annotated with semantic information.)

Identifiers vs. Symbols (2)

- Two kinds of (term-level) symbols:
 - External: defined outside the current compilation unit (e.g., in a library).
 - Internal: defined in the current compilation unit (in a let).

```
type TermSym = Either ExtTermSym IntTermSym
data ExtTermSym = ExtTermSym { ... }
data IntTermSym = IntTermSym { ... }
```

External Symbols

- External symbols are known entities.
- Can thus be looked up once and for all (during identification).
- Have a value, such as a (symbolic) address.

data ExtSymVal = ESVLbl Name | ESVInt MTInt | ..

Internal Symbols

- Internal symbols do **not** carry any value such as stack displacement because this is not computed until the time of code generation.
- Such "late" information about an entity referred to by an internal symbol thus has to be looked up in the code generation environment.