

Critical Reasoning & Moral Theory (Summary)

Professional Ethics in Computing

MORAL THEORIES:
KEY ISSUES FOR & AGAINST

Moral Theory	Proponents' Arguments	Critics' Arguments
Divine Command Theory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presents no possible conflict with religious belief • Is not assailable via rational argument 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not directly address questions of modern life that is not spiritually relevant • Does not include people outside of the religion
Cultural Relativism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No objective way to evaluate cultures; morality must therefore be evaluated relative to a given culture; promotes tolerance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Empirical evidence suggests that universal moral principles do exist; does not provide guidance for cross-cultural interaction
Virtue Ethics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acts committed by people, so the best way to have moral acts is to have moral people • No set of rules or principles for action can cover all situations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No rational way of deciding the best course of action in a difficult situation • Set of virtues seems incomplete and lacks rational basis

Moral Theory	Proponents' Arguments	Critics' Arguments
Act Utilitarianism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The only way to judge an act is to examine the consequences of the act • When examining the consequences of an act, one should use the overall impact on human happiness as the measure of goodness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are numerous problematic cases in which act utilitarianism conflicts with intuition and/or religious norms • Calculating consequences and happiness is complex and highly prone to error
Rule Utilitarianism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rules can make the evaluation of an act less prone to calculation errors • Rigorous compliance with the rules (except for compelling reasons to violate) will maximise happiness providing rules are selected properly 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is still difficult to determine whether a set of rules will truly maximise overall happiness

Moral Theory	Proponents' Arguments	Critics' Arguments
Deontological Ethics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Categorical imperatives, (e.g., the universal law of nature and the principle of the end in itself), tend to be simple to state and easy to apply • Acknowledges the importance of motivation in determining whether an act was good or not • Because the principle is categorical, there are no exceptions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of exceptions leads to cases where the consequences are unacceptable according to intuition and/or religious norms
Contractarianism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A rational theory that an individual's best interest is served by acting for the common good • Major premise, that an individual's interest is best served by acting for the common good is supported by empirical evidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Major premise may be incorrect • Even if premise correct, there will always be possibility for greater advantage to an individual for immoral behaviour if that individual can avoid retaliation by those wronged by the justice system

Moral Theory	Proponents' Arguments	Critics' Arguments
Rawls' Theory of Justice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Makes it easy to understand why we may not necessarily be obligated to do away with all economic inequalities • Encourages people to help the less fortunate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Justification is much more complicated, and controversial, than those for utilitarianism or Kant's principles. Therefore, unlikely to be widely accepted.
Noddings' Ethics of Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Many moral heroes (e.g., Mother Teresa) are characterised by their capacity for caring for others, not their abilities to follow rules or calculate how acts affect others • Unlike utilitarianism, it explains why it's OK to focus energies on caring for those close to you, rather than in far-away places 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Because of focus on close relationships, ethics of caring does not easily lead to universal rules or judgements of the kind needed by computing professionals.

DELIBERATIVE DISCUSSIONS:
DIFFERENCES & RECONCILIATIONS

Reasons for different conclusions		Reconciliation Strategies
Different perceptions of the world		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New direct observations • Reports from mutually trusted source • No remedy if insufficient evidence to establish one perspective definitively
Different Beliefs	Factual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refutation of false belief • Appeal to a mutually trusted source
	Convictional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conversion (unlikely) • No remedy if disagreement is fundamental
Faulty Reasoning	Mistake	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstration of fallacy
	Ignorance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Edification
	Insufficient Intellect	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No remedy; rare to occur on this course!