

Camp for Climate Action National Gathering Minutes London, 18-19 July 2009

Contents

Summary of Key Decisions	2
---------------------------------------	----------

SATURDAY

1. Coal Mass Action update	3
2. The Swoop	3
3. Media Access Policy (part 1)	5
4. Scotland & Wales feedback	7
5. August gathering	8
6. Outreach Group	8
7. NGOs & Political Parties Policy..	10
8. Police Liaison	12
9. Swoop Meeting Feedback	13
10. Working Groups	13
- Medics	13
- Festivals	13
- Entertainments	13
- Media	14
- Site	14
- Website	14
- Workshops	14
11. Working Group Feedback	14
- Action Support	14
- Entertainments	14
- Media	14
- Workshops	14
- Festivals	14
- Council Liaison	15
- Networking	15
- Welcome/Tranquillity	15

- Site	15
- Medics	15
- Legal	15
- Kids	16

12. Announcements	16
--------------------------------	-----------

SUNDAY

1. Announcements	17
2. Media Access Policy (part 2)	17
3. Defence	20
4. Neighbourhoods	21
5. Narrative of camp	22
6. Announcements	24
- Vestas	24
- Finance	24
- August gathering	25
- Tar sands	25
- Comms	26
- Space	26
7. Copenhagen	26
8. Capitalism and consumerism ...	30
9. Working group time	31
- Outreach Group remit	31
10. Working group feedback	32
- Power	32
- Process	32
- Media	33
- Welcome/Tranquillity	33
- Vestas Group remit	33

Summary of key decisions and discussion

- * The public Swoop for this year's Camp will take place on Wednesday 26 August, the day before the first full day of the Camp, with Swoopers asked to be in central London from 12 noon.
- * A media access policy for the 2009 Camp was agreed. CONSENSUS
- * An NGOs and political parties policy for the 2009 Camp was agreed. CONSENSUS
- * There was a report back from the Liaison group on police liaison meetings.
- * The Workshops group gave an update on the nearly-finalised workshops timetable. Day one and day seven will be tat up and tat down, with five days of workshops in between. There will be four workshop timeslots a day, and three large evening meetings during the Camp.
- * Neighbourhoods will begin to organise themselves into teams to defend the site, keeping in mind the suggestion that 14 is a minimum number per team, and appoint a defence team contact person for each neighbourhood. CONSENSUS
- * The Camp will support and mobilise for the Reclaim Power! Pushing for Climate Justice action in Copenhagen on 16 December. CONSENSUS
- * The August gathering will be at the Scotland or Wales Camp if we can find a marquee to bring and hold it in, and Oxford if not.
- * A new Outreach working group, and a Vestas solidarity working group were set up. CONSENSUS

SATURDAY

1) Coal mass action update

- Aim to shut down a power station – Ratcliffe-on-soar / Drax
- **17 October – NOT the date previously stated**
- Previously clashed with anarchist book fair
- Will now be called the 'Chimney Swoop'
- Will be a public vote on which power station – will be open from until about 2 weeks time until the end of camp when the power station will be announced

2) The Swoop

Intro:

- A swoop is where lots of people arrive on site at once at the start of the camp, like we did at the G20 at the European Climate Exchange.
- This year we have agreed we will be doing a swoop at the start for the set-up of the camp (agreed at Brighton), subject to feedback from Land Group.

Update from Land Group:

The land group whole-heartedly supports the swoop and believes this will be really important in making sure we have a safe and healthy site from the beginning.

For security reasons we have set up a sub-group of the land group to coordinate the swoop. But we need the ideas and input of the gathering now to make the swoop as exciting and effective as possible.

We realise that people will want to start publicising the Swoop from Monday. However, at this time (and possibly right up until the time) we ask that no specific time or place is given for the swoop, as it could happen at any time during the day or night. For now, we suggest that people are asked to be in London and prepared to swoop from 12 midday on Wednesday 26th, and that they sign up for the SMS text service and twitter.

The swoop group will come back with proposals for further publicity in the next 2 weeks.

We envisage that the swoop group themselves won't know the details of the time and site until the very last moment.

For the gathering swoop session:

We would like the gathering to brainstorm creative ideas for how to make the swoop exciting and effective on the day, and to discuss questions such as: should everyone gather in one place, or several, or should people remain dispersed? Should there be a theme to the swoop? How can we use the time between when we put people on alert (midday) and the actual time of the swoop - bearing in mind

this is an indeterminate period of time which could be very short, or turn into several hours?

It's going to be amazing!

Love the land group

Clarifying questions

- Swoop could take place any time between midday on Wednesday 26th & Thursday 27th 'at any time of day or night'
- If swoop not taking place on Wednesday – people outside London may have difficulties in finding accommodation overnight.
- The site location will be communicated by text message
- There will still be a closed site take, then a few hours later once site is set the swoop will begin

Small groups discussion – feedback:

- Creative ideas?
 - Not at night – very difficult to organize
 - Could go to a different location as a dummy / protest in advance of the swoop
- How do we gather?
 - If all gather in advance may as well be at camp
 - Dispersal good (*v warm*)
 - But people feel vulnerable without groups
 - Encourage dispersal but also good have meet up points for vulnerable / no phone / not engaged (*v warm*)
 - Could do two stage text message – one with area, one with specific location
 - Need to be prepared for new people who may not know where they are going
 - Good to have set locations for pre-meeting points
 - Need to cater for difference in engagement – shouldn't divide up people who know and people who don't
 - Could we organize luggage transport? Eco-transport for activists (not using public transport)
 - Set info points for people without phones / not on twitter
 - Really important not to leave people on their own
 - Neighborhoods could organize together, but need accessible points for new people
 - Info by radio station?
 - Each n'hood looking after / overseeing affinity groups
 - Could have series of meeting points sent out by text message
 - not a single meeting point
- Is there a theme?
 - Swoop itself is a theme – doesn't need anything more

- Carnival – link into Notting Hill – street party moving to the site
- Flags / drummers
- Link to effects of climate change?
- Critical mass bike ride to the space?
- Revolution – anti-capitalist – each n’hood representing a different revolution
- Some people not keen on having a theme
- Monopoly – top hats, suits, go round the board
- What we do while waiting?
 - Could do lots of outreach & flyering while waiting
 - Difficult to be mobile / play games with all our camping kit
 - Police have said they will be much nicer – less likely to be searched
 - Could do legal & stop & search training
 - Could do workshops at each of the group meet up points – make it more accessible to new people
 - Could start allocating jobs / working groups in advance of getting to the camp – so people are all ready to go
 - Picnic while waiting
 - Mass recce of places in city of London – places to come back to – gathering info, taking photos etc.
 - Flashmob somewhere obvious – e.g. Trafalgar sq
- Questions for the land group:
 - ‘Could the swoop happen on Thursday, or would it definitely be at some point on Wednesday?’
 - ‘How will people without mobile phones find out where the site is?’
 - What is more important – speed of arriving, or everyone at one time? Should there be a target time or over a few hours?
- Toilets need to be set up before everyone gets there

3) Media Access Policy

Proposal:

We would like the Gathering to do a temperature check on some different options for the media access policy on Saturday, which we can then discuss in working group time to bring back a proposal on Sunday.

Questions

MEDIA ACCESS TO STRUCTURES

A – Opt Out: No access to action support structure, + an opt out policy for neighbourhoods: clearly marked NO MEDIA zones

B – Opt in: no access to any structures except if you opt in: clearly marked media zones

NEWS CREW HOURS

A – Unrestricted

B – Media hours in the middle of day (2-4 hours)

C – Hospitable hours. i.e. from after breakfast to before dinner
D – None

OUTSIDE OF NEWS CREW HOURS

A – Only for emergencies
B – Have one or two press badges at gates to allow shorter visits for tours and interviews

PRINT/ PHOTO JOURNALISTS

A – We treat all print/photo journalists as any other camper.
B – Ask print/photo journalists to notify us if they are in camp, and introduce themselves at neighbourhood meetings. We then give them a code of conduct and press badges the whole time
C – we try to stop print/photo journalists being in camp outside of media hours.

Recommendations

MEDIA SPOT

Media spot for live news that has good background image, and so that campers are aware that there are cameras there. Is this okay?

PHOTO POLICY

Recommended: 'Is that a camera in your hand' policy.
Be aware and respectful of the fact that quite a few people attending the camp will not want to be photographed and are probably enjoying a week away from techno-gadgetry overload, so give them a break. If you really want to take a picture and it includes people, always, ALWAYS ask first. If you can't ask, don't take the picture. Don't be offended if the person or people you ask decline. No questions, just smile, put the camera away and go get involved in cooking dinner or plotting an ace direct action or something.

IN EMERGENCIES

- If police are raiding, police violence on site/perimeter, the media access policy will be suspended. We believe that this is in the interest of Campers and the movement. Is this okay?

- Public Swoop, will have journalists, cameras. The first day is an open day for media, because people won't be planning actions, and it looks lovely! Is this okay?

Discussion

- Media Team Provide Context to the discussion: in previous years, media access policy has had 2 hours where all journo's have been allowed on site. Campers feel more comfortable, but has severely restricted journalists. Also not friendly journalists have ignored the policy and gone in anyway. Context now different, less likely to have as much media coverage as we're not next to Heathrow / Kingsnorth. In the past journo's have had to put up with the policy because they need the story. This year restrictive policy may mean journo's don't bother. Also, post-G20, different perception of the Camp –

now more sympathetic and understanding of what we're doing. Site could also be more open access – may not be one gate where journo's can be identified. Also increasing blurring between campers / bloggers / independent journo's / mainstream journo's.

Temperature checks

- Media spot – a set place in the camp with good background to allow the tv cameras on site – V WARM
- Photo policy - ALWAYS ask permission, if can't ask, don't do it. VERY WARM – applies to everyone – campers & journos. Enforced by all campers.
- Emergencies – in event of police raid / violence – media access policy and photo policy to be temporarily suspended to encourage mass documentation. WARM
- Public swoop – will have journo's – media open day as swoop looks really nice – WARM
- Media access to structures – No access to action support at any time and:
 - Opt-out – assumed in unless. (70% wavy)
 - Opt-In – assumed not allowed unless stated. (30% wavy)
 - (feedback from action support – happy for training & workshops to be filmed – not structure specific but dependent on activity)
- News crews & camera hours
 - Any of the time. 5%
 - 2-4 media slot. 20%
 - 'Hospitable hours' – breakfast-dinner. 50%
 - No media on site. 1%
- Exceptions to hours
 - Only emergencies. (when media team think its essential / exceptional circumstances) 10%ish
 - Limited numbers outside of hours. 15%ish
- Print & photo policy
 - Treat them just like a normal camper. 10%ish
 - Ask them to let us know who they are, introduce themselves, code of conduct, press badges, give them interviews in tours. 70%ish
 - Try and keep them out outside of media hours. 1%
- Note – all appears to be focusing on mainstream media, not focusing on indymedia, be the media. Need to ensure that policy works for both corporate media & camper self-journalism.

4) Feedback from Scotland and Wales Camps

- Scotland Camp (3-10th August): Gathering welcome at camp, can physically host it, but would need someone else to take on agenda, facilitation.
 - Also would need a structure to hold everything.
 - Would be good 8th-9th August (already party planned), but would be great to have people coming up for the whole camp!

- Would be good to know which barrios are coming, probably not “England” barrio because don’t know if it’s going to be geographical yet
- Please take publicity- posters, flyers, stickers

Actionpoint: neighbourhoods please feed back to Scotland if they are planning on sending people along

- Wales Camp 15-16th August- in discussion right now, we’ll hear later today whether Wales are up for hosting the Gathering or if there are capacity issues.

5) August gathering

Scotland, Wales or somewhere else? Temperature check

- Things to consider:
 - Timing:
 - 8th/9th (3 weeks from now 3 weeks before camp) 25% wavy
 - 15th/16th (4 weeks from now, 2 weeks before camp) 40% wavy or so
 - seems like most people are up for making either date
 - Would it work to have a Gathering at camp?
 - This discussion postponed until we have more options confirmed tomorrow so we can make a decision on when to have the Gathering.

It was mentioned that Oxford could possibly host a Gathering, but probably can’t let us know by tomorrow

It is also possible that other neighbourhoods can talk and feed back tomorrow if they can host

It was offered that we could not have another Gathering, and there were responses that working groups have expected another Gathering, and we have needed Camp-wide decisions to be made this close before the Camp, so we can’t just let working groups meet when they want to.

Representatives from every working group sent to Finance meeting to be able to make a budget happen this weekend!

6) Outreach group

Proposal: To form an outreach working group (this would become a sub-group of networking, in the same way that media and festivals have in the past. Networking would then concentrate primarily on the design and production of publicity materials).

Problem

We align our movement with historical direct action movements such as black civil rights and suffragettes but a point we frequently pass over is that they had far

greater numbers that were willing to engage in direct action. This is something that at some stage we need to reflect on and address.

There are 50,000 FOE members and 1,000,000 people vote Green. Lots of community, religious and political groups have stated principles that they believe in protecting the environment. But we have reached an impasse in the numbers that come to the camp or actions of about 2000 people and the social make-up of the people that do come is not representative of the country as a whole.

Together these factors limit the impact we can have and aid the police's ability to abuse us and the government and media's ability to ignore us. They also mean less support from the general public, who sometimes view us as marginal radicals that are 'not like me'. While this remains the case the activists we do have will continue to get over-busy, burnt-out and unable to achieve everything we aim for and feeling that others don't want to know. If we are to be successful we need to be a movement of all the people in this country.

It should also be noted that the existing 'networking' group spends a lot of time discussing the design and production of publicity materials, arguably at the expense of outreach. It is also frequently observed that the networking group is too large.

Proposed solution

We need to make deliberate efforts to reach out to target constituencies that reflect the diversity of the population to engage them with Climate Camp. This is a significant role of the networking group and deserves its own sub-group dedicated to this purpose, so that it does not get sidelined to networkings other priorities. This group would work closely with the publicity networking group, as these are the tools of effective outreach and will need to be inclusive and accessible for the outreach to be successful.

Provisional aims:

- To increase numbers and diversity of people involved in Climate Camp at neighbourhood and national level
- To build a movement by generating positive relationships and good-will for Climate Camp and its aims amongst additional constituencies than we do at present

Provisional remit (the final remit would be established by the working group at the planning meeting and presented to national gathering for a mandate)

- Organising resources for neighbourhoods to outreach with and offering guidance on what works well with particular target constituencies
- Organising and promoting training and skillshare opportunities on increasing diversity in Climate Camp and how to do effective outreach
- Building working relationships with community, faith, trade union and political groups according to the networking strategy
- Devising outreach strategy for Climate Camp and the upcoming mass action

- Ensure the aims and actions of the camp and communicated in an inclusive accessible way

Next steps

- Form an outreach group
- Hold a day long strategy meeting for anyone interested ideally this will include diversity training
- This meeting will establish a final remit for the group, target consistencies, strategy to outreach Climate Camp, suggested budget and bring this back to the next national gathering for a mandate.

Clarifying questions:

- Overlap with festivals? No, festivals will just deal with festivals.
- Budget? Probably to come out of existing networking budget, shouldn't need massive budget, but will be discussed more.
- What is a working relationship with these groups we want to outreach to? Communicating, knowing who they are, liaising in both directions.
- Concern that this should be discussed in plenary, otherwise a small group is setting a policy that should be decided by the Camp.
- Response: the Outreach group is trying to implement the steer from Camp, the discussion which will follow for workshops will generate good ideas.
- Why hasn't this been raised as a need earlier- this should be a starting point.
- Concern: Tension between widening bubble, and taking a radical stance. There is often a trade-off.
- Reminder that this work is already being done through Networking: setting up separate group will help them do it more effectively.
- Reminder that there should be ongoing communication between Campers and working groups to steer the work of all the working groups.

Testing for Consensus:

Stand Asides? 6 Standasides

Blocks? 3. This is a more profound discussion fundamentally affecting how we work with other groups.

No consensus. Outreach subgroup will meet with networking during working group time.

7) NGO and Political Parties policy

Workshops would like to review last year's policy on NGOs and Political Parties to check we're still happy with it:

The Climate Camp Workshops Group welcomes members of NGOs and political parties to attend and speak at workshops at the Camp.

The Climate Camp is not affiliated to any NGO or political party however, so asks members of parties and NGOs at the camp to refrain from:

- Advertising or propagandising for their organisation
- Recruiting for membership or collecting contact details
- Setting up stalls or bringing other visible signs for their organisation, such as flags and banners

Clarifying questions:

- o To what extent does this apply to any group coming as a group?
- o Response: It makes more sense to make it clear which group is running workshop, etc, so not just as individuals. This policy is largely about what those groups/individuals do outside of the workshop.
- o Does this mean that banners not allowed even when group is talking?
- o What about individuals promoting movements they feel strongly about?
- o Last year, wasn't it you can give out materials during workshop, but not outside of it.
- o Workshops reiterates that this isn't to stop people from giving workshops, just to not use climate camp to promote their own group.
- o Do people feel ready to test for consensus on Workshops proposal?

Concerns:

- o Clarifying about quangos, making sure that people can still get connected to others with shared interests, making sure that more resourced groups don't have disproportionate presence.
- o Workshops clarify that yes, inside workshop, materials are welcome. The point of the workshop is not to recruit, but to bring people together. [this added as an amendment]
- o Past Gathering discussions have prioritized grassroots stuff, not even a huge amount of workshops will be NGOs.
- o Process concern: this can't be taken back to workshops as we need to agree it today because offers are going out this week.

3 Standasides - don't think it's a very well-thought out policy
CONSENSUS on proposal

Also the issue of religious groups. Religious leaders or just grassroots groups?
Should it be a secular space?

***** LUNCH *****

Evaluation of the block:

- Some felt discussion needed about appropriate use of block.
- Can we just go with the facilitators? It's a difficult job. Lots of men talking.
- Blocker: but I had major concerns and no time to discuss them. What process would have been a better way to have dealt with it?

- Facilitator: possibly a strong stand aside. However, either way, we need to investigate the reasons behind the block, address them, and then try and bring the proposal back for consensus later in the weekend.

8) Police Liaison

Update from Legal and Liaison:

- o Following the Kingsnorth Camp there is a judicial review of the blanket use of stop and search under section 1 of PACE. Kent police has pretty much thrown the towel in. The decision will be made by the end of the year. However it is clear that anyone who was searched under section 1 of PACE probably has a good legal case to make a claim against Kent police. However, the case needs to be lodged by the end of July. You can only lodge a claim if you have a section 1 search form or have given your search form to legal team. There have been two critical reports into the policing, although Kent is trying to bury the first report.
- o HMIC (Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabularies), led by Dennis O'Connor was asked to review the G20 policing and has issued a report on the policing of the G20 protests which is far less bad than the report by the Home Affairs Select Committee (see page 12 of the report for comedy comments about the structure of protest movements). The key message from HMIC is that the police should be facilitating peaceful protest rather than restricting themselves to facilitating lawful protest. The Met Police's strategy for the G20 was to facilitate lawful protest which the HMIC said was the wrong starting point. The report is highly critical of the policing of the G20. It is likely that this report will lead to new ACPO guidelines. There is to be a further more wide-ranging report from the HMIC at the end of September which will also feature Kingsnorth.
- o It is in this context that the Liaison group, including members of Legal and Media, have been holding liaison meetings with the police in the run up to the camp, as in past years. The police liaison team have met with gold and silver command. The indications are that this will not be a repeat of Kingsnorth. There is a recognition that with no mass action the police strategy should be different. Actions will be policed geographically by local police. They say there will be no overuse of Forward Intelligence Teams and search tactics and no sleep deprivation. They say the aim is Safer Communities-style policing (a sergeant and 7 constables on duty, with strategic reserves). As the camp overlaps with Notting Hill Carnival there will be mutual aid. Whether any of this can be trusted remains to be seen. The Legal Team will be talking about its issues with the policing based on previous experience.
- o The two key issues are the location of the site and site access. The Met would like us to limit the potential location to a number of boroughs so they can do some community liaison to prepare for the camp. They raised significant concerns about the nature of an urban camp in terms of the local community. They have also raised concerns that not everything within the M25 is within the Met area and we are only liaising with the Met. This is of

concern for those doing police liaison. From a Council liaison perspective those liaising had similar concerns about the difficulty of liaising effectively with 32 boroughs and the city and the potential problems of an urban area. This is a matter for the Land Group in the first instance so any decision will need to be deferred to the next gathering. In terms of access to the site, the police would like to have 4 officers patrolling. It may be that the National Gathering believes this is an issue that is dependent on the site and those attending the Camp but the police liaison team would like some feedback in the limited time available

Discussion:

- Access is always a decision for the Camp itself.
- Is there a difference between community support officers and P.C.'s onsite?
- 4 police policing a camp 24 hours per day is excessive. No community in the whole country has that kind of police presence – waste of resources.
- The site felt better last year by keeping the police off-site and they weren't needed.
- We want to avoid a battle with the police and avoid the camp turning into an ongoing battle with the police.
- Reports of U.S. experience of police on-site and how to deal with that. Suggest that we self patrol with our own security.
- If we give them a hint about where the camp will be we will not have a site.
- The police will already know what the possible sites for a camp are, we are not having a mass action so we shouldn't retreat any further.

9) Swoop meeting feedback

- There should be multiple gathering points with mobile groups that are staying mobile and gathering people
- During this time could be welcoming, briefings, doling out jobs for the site and outreach
- Should be during the daytime and shouldn't involve an overnight stretch
- Divergent views about theme and swoop groups: (1) umbrella theme (2) no theme (3) Area groups have individual themes

10) Working groups

Medics: More medics needed. 14th 15th and 16th medic training. Can be done easily in Bradford less easily in London.

Festivals: Need people to attend Kendal calling and be willing to put up marquees

Entertainments: Sound equipment (anything technical) people with contacts with bands/acts, shepherds people willing to meet bands outside the camp willing to bring people in and bands

Media team: Need people with technical IT skills

Site: need every working group to assign one person to site group liaison.

Website group: need all working groups to fill out a questionnaire and nominate a web-spoke because they are going to launch a new website 2 weeks before the start of the camp

Workshops: Have produced a draft handbook. If you have any feedback please give it asap.

11) Working Group feedback

Action Support:

- Sharing Stories, Trying Tactics: people will share their stories, and each of those stories will feed into a training that will happen
- Nuts and Bolts of Direct Action: specific training like tripod training etc
- Also we're going to have amazing action games that were trialled at Glastonbury and were a massive success at Glastonbury in some ways.
- Not going to be doing list of 10 targets

Entertainments:

- We have got some skilled people involved and we're happy
- Looking for sound equipment and we're looking
- We've kind of agreed with workshops that on some days there'll be entertainments

Media

1. At the moment we don't have any equipment in order to do a Be the Media stall in order for campers to have open access to laptops at the Camp. If anyone knows any independent media collectives who might be able to help get in touch
2. Tomorrow will be talking about tech requirements and budget
3. Media Access Policy: we've updated it on basis of feedback and will be proposing tomorrow
4. We need offsite support. Mainly because we're not sure we're going to have all the equipment we need. If people are going to be off-site for whatever reason or can do it for two days or a day please get in touch
5. Mooting the idea of doing a nice exciting media training at the gathering

Workshops

- Workshop are being timetabled now – we've been in a side-meeting all day, it's quite a long job.
- Handbook: if any working groups need something putting in it and we haven't already spoken tell us now

Festivals

- Pretty much sorted for Big Green Gathering

- Secret Garden Party and Big Chill coming up
- Still places for Kendal Calling

Council Liaison

- We've decided to send a letter to Councils, firmly establishing environmental health responsibilities.
- We'd like to arrange a meeting for Councillors and perhaps others to explain what climate camp is
- Probably going to be massive issues with Community Liaison. Wherever we're going to be in London there's going to be community issues. Important to establish who's dealing with making preparations on that so that it doesn't fall between several working groups.

Networking

- We will probably take on at least making a generic leaflet for the local area.
- We've had a good discussion moving on from the proposal this morning. Looks like we've got a proposal for remit that can move us forward.

Tranquillity and Welcome group

- If anyone wants to get involved please email welcome@climatecamp.org.uk
- Does anyone know where the Safer Spaces Policy from last year is?

Site

- Practicing putting structures up training is sorted

Medics

- There was no working group meeting but I've managed to speak to a few people
- If we're creating the world we want to see then hopefully there will be some healthcare provision!
- medics@climatecamp.org.uk – training dates are 14,15,16 August
- if you have friends who you know have had medic training before, put them in touch
- if we have to shut up shop because there's not enough people then that's what we'll have to do
- if you have people who are friends who are health workers, please put them in touch
- at the moment getting tat to our space may be a bit tricky.
- Neighbourhoods if you can think of how to provide tat that would be great. In particular we need a fridge

Wellbeing

- We're under control and everything's on track but we would like to do a callout for more people. This could involve having a training before the Camp or it could just be talking to one of us
- Contact us on wellbeing@climatecamp.org.uk
- We want to liaise with Medics, Legal and Tranquillity

Legal

- arrestee support phone will start on the weds before 12pm
- if we get kettled...that could be fun?

Kids

- beginning to take shape. Going to be a smashing kids space. Could do with some help and input to make it more inclusive. Would like a non-parent, and pref a male could get involved. This doesn't mean full commitment, you will have time to do other stuff at camp

International – no meeting

Website – no meeting

12) Announcements

Pre-meeting in Barcelona just before Copenhagen

Coal Swoop meeting 7pm

There's been a call out to have a Climate Camp in Pittsburgh in September.

Swoop group – sign up list on the Welcome Desk

Next Sunday there's Stepping into Direct Action at Greenpeace 12-4.30pm

Finance Liaisons – please don't forget how excited you are about getting a budget written this weekend

Kitchen Crew rock

SUNDAY

1) Announcements

- It was pointed out that not all have complied with the group agreement as agreed yesterday. Let's try harder today.
- Film maker from documentation group would like to film some climate camp meetings. Perhaps the debate on consumerism and capitalism where no one could possibly incriminate themselves? Would be for longevity, for a record rather than for any immediate project. It would one day be included in a film, probably. The idea is to document the consensus decision making process. It was felt the debate mentioned wouldn't be the best example. It was suggested it might better to film part of the Copenhagen discussion.
- Stand asides? None.
Blocks? None
CONSENSUS

Workshops:

- The group was hoping to do feedback but don't feel they're far enough on to do so. But in brief: four workshops a day. Three evening set piece plenaries: the economy, why we're here, why we have to deal with capitalism to deal with climate change.
- Programming five days. Last day people take down the camp. When there isn't an evening plenary, the entertainment will start earlier.
- We tried to take into account the feedback from national gatherings. Now we are having to choose – had to say no to many of the workshop offers that came in. We hope we've chosen well.
- We have prioritized the economy and action as opposed to the science, as this is what the feedback suggested was important to CC right now.
- Neighbourhoods can still autonomously organize workshops but these won't be publicized in the handbook.

2) Media Access Policy

NB. Because of poor acoustics some of the discussion points in this session have not been recorded, but the consensus is accurate.

Proposal:

After discussion following yesterday's temperature checks the Media Team proposes the following media access policy for this year's Camp:

1. TV crews and press photographers

** Allowed on site between 10am – 7pm, but only if there are enough members of the media team able to accompany them as 'guides' (escorts).*

** The guides will ensure they will stay away from areas/neighbourhoods that have opted out of media access.*

** Their guides will act as intermediaries in spaces to ensure people are happy to be filmed/photographed.*

3 stand asides:

- Reinforcing same hierarchies, making distinctions between different types of media;
- I believe in a free press
- Same reason
- The press are not free!
- That's not a stand aside.

No blocks

CONSENSUS

2. Media spot

** There will be a media spot (dependent on physical layout of the camp) where we can escort TV camera crews to this spot with an appropriate backdrop to conduct interviews at times outside media access hours. They will then be escorted straight out afterwards (with no filming on the way there or back).*

** Very useful for media that lies outside of access hours; eg. breakfast and evening media.*

No stand asides

No blocks

CONSENSUS

3. Print journalists (commercial)

** All print journalists should register with media team on arrival and have a code of conduct explained to them.*

** This way we can offer to provide help and 'guide them' by providing them with a buddy (to check in with them when relevant/appropriate, as opposed to being with them at all times like a guide).*

** They should wear their press badges at all times and inform people that they are a working journalists.*

** Press journalists have the option of staying for duration of the camp.*

Discussion:

- It's difficult to discern good hacks from dodgy ones.
- The dodgy ones won't abide by the rules anyway
- In the past we've effectively screened out the sympathetic journalists only
- Will this include bloggers?
- Separate Documenting the Camp item

No stand asides

No blocks

CONSENSUS

4. Opt out

- * Opt out policy for media (including print journalists and TV and still cameras). Neighbourhoods or working group spaces should notify the media team if they don't want to have any sort of journalists in their space.*
- * We will inform print journalists of this and put it in the code of conduct and press-pack.*
- * Guides will not take video and camera journalists to these areas.*
- * Neighbourhoods have responsibility for making signs to this effect and communicate it to the media (before or during the camp).*

Discussion:

- How will we differentiate between documentation and print journalists?
- Separate item on Documentation group

No stand asides

No blocks

CONSENSUS.

5. 'Documenting the Camp'

- * There will be a 'Documenting the Camp' group. They will be known and trusted individuals who will be selected by the media team (before the camp).*
- * These individuals will be clearly identified – i.e. a brightly coloured bib with markings to state 'Documenting the Camp'.*
- * Brightly coloured bib will enable campers to approach the 'Document' people and tell them that they don't want to be filmed or photographed. As part of this group and as known individuals, they will be accountable to the camp for their actions.*
- * They will not be accompanied.*

Discussion:

- How will others join the documentation team?
- Some kind of buddy system?

One stand aside – same reasons as before – don't agree with distinctions

No blocks

CONSENSUS.

6. Campers' Cameras (Camera policy for 'others')

- * There are lots of other people taking photos and video footage at each camp separately from corporate media and documenting group. These people are outside the remit of the media team.*
- * But we recommend that a section is added to the camp welcome booklet providing guidelines on respecting peoples privacy and sensitivity. Who will decide content needs to be decided. Someone needs to bottom line (TBC).*
- * We aim to facilitate 'up-loading of this material' depending on availability of computers/resource.*

7. Provisions

No stand asides

No blocks

CONSENSUS.

** If at any point the relationship between the camp and media becomes untenable, the media team will take action to make it more restrictive in terms of hours/number of journalists on site.*

** The media team will call on appropriate journalists (video and still) to attend if they feel it is an emergency situation that needs documenting that occurs outside of media access hours.*

No stand asides

No blocks

CONSENSUS.

3) Defence

Introduction:

- There was a proposal from the Land Group about Defence of the site, scheduled for yesterday. However feedback from the Defence Group meant further discussion was required overnight. This has now happened.
- Proposal from Defence Group that each neighbourhood appoints a defence contact person to work with Defence Group. Request from Defence Group that this discussion does not get in to strategy, but focuses on general capacity needs and identifying the contact people.
- Counter-proposal that it is important that we discuss these issues now as a gathering and make some initial decisions in order to get organised. Counter-proposal to be made.

Background:

HMIC report was not so much about whether the police hitting people over the head is good or not, but how it looks in the media. We have defended ourselves courageously in the past but could be better organised. We need to be organised to defend the camp from the point of the site take. We need to build up teams now to do this.

Proposal:

- That defence team is a standard size of 14 people. 3 teams of 4, plus 2 people from each team to go to the defence spokescouncil.
- Spokescouncil will get bigger as more teams get put together

Discussion:

- Is that a counter-proposal to someone in each neighbourhood co-ordinating it? Not incompatible, but specifying the size is useful.
- I wanted to ask the defence group - are we also going to be defending the camp from anti-social behaviour? Absolutely. It's not just the police it's the doorkeepers. It's not just defending our gate but defending our name.
- Could we have someone from each neighbourhood appointed now?
- In terms of synthesizing getting people empowered could we have 14 as a minimum? Not keen on specifying numbers now.

- When we all arrive there's going to need to be basically a defence group defending the site, welcome to welcome new people, site needs to build the site: just to flag up I'm not sure how much thought has gone in about how to do that and whether to have a common plan.
- Site: all in hand: coordination between Site, Defence and Welcome is happening.
- I think the issue of internal security and anti-social behaviour is the responsibility of everyone. I'm against having some kind of internal security team. Have a rapid response team within each neighbourhood of 14 or 15 people.
- The most vulnerable point of the whole camp is between the Swoop and the setting up of the Defence teams. You need your defence to have already started even as you step onto the Site.
- Land group have said they're considering a number of sites including ones with permeable edges, so we need to be aware of that.
- Defence Group: we're considering all the options. We're getting together all the equipment. Lots of creativity. Some people to go back to their neighbourhoods and begin discussions.
- I'd still like to put my proposal about size of teams.
- I think we should try and get both agreed as they're different visions.
- This seems to be about how much it's a working group thing and how much it's for everyone to talk about.
- The point of these meetings isn't just decisionmaking isn't everyone taking on an awareness of the key issues. Defence should be a responsibility of everyone and all neighbourhoods need to start planning now.
- The same could be said about toilets.
- Toilets tend to work and last year's defence didn't work.
- I don't think you can criticize us for trying to organize things.
- It seems that a while back in the discussion a lot of people liked the idea of neighbourhoods creating defence teams and the details of discussions can be made then.
- I'd still like my proposal of 14 people to a team to be the default until the next gathering.
- We can propose that.
- Perhaps we can do a callout with those things incorporated, but some flexibility.

Proposal:

Neighbourhoods will begin to organise themselves into teams to defend the site, keeping in mind the suggestion that 14 is a minimum number per team, and appoint a defence team contact person for each neighbourhood.

Stand asides: 0

Blocks: 0

CONSENSUS

4) Neighbourhoods

Introduction:

Let's get up and move around the room to make a map of where we're from in the country. Get into neighbourhood groups, appoint your defence contact, and nominate a neighbourhood spoke for the spokesmeeting.

This happened.

5) Narrative of camp discussion

Introduction:

We need to discuss who we're targeting with this year's camp and why – currently less clear cut than eg carbon trading focus at G20. Need to make sure we tell the most powerful story of why we're returning to the City of London. Group discussions – what story do we want to tell? To feed back to networking and media teams.

Media team key messages from last gathering:

1. This year we have seen just how disastrous our economic and political systems are. They are unsustainable, disempowering, and causing catastrophic climate change.

2. At the UN Climate Conference in Copenhagen this December, we cannot afford to let bankers and politicians dictate yet more false solutions, like carbon trading- which does not lower emissions.

3. The only way we can fight climate change is by ordinary people doing extraordinary things together. Come to the Camp in August and help us build another future. Now is the time for action!

Feedback from small groups:

Group 1

Why in London – big int. hub for politics and business – suggests cl ch is global issue

Interst in UK gov really saying involved in cc policy, we can challenge that, highlight empty promises

Camp not just about being against everything – sustainable living

Work being done around 'carbon web' – interconnected business and carbon model, create understanding? Builds on carbon trading idea

Group 2

Need to make clear what we're complaining about. Not just bunch of hippies starting a fight, need to stress reasons and democracy

Need to talk about Copenhagen

All about targets, need to focus on NOW.

Idea that nothing has changed, still loans, bankers, CCS etc

Politicians becoming hate figures, have responsibility to take message to bankers.

Group 3

London heart of political and financial system
Straight to heart of problem
About empowerment and education- positive alternatives. Enough silence?
Need to be fluid, appeal to ordinary people
Important that education as means to an end, not shying away from DA.
Really trying to connect with all people

Group 4

Need to make clear that opposed to system not specific groups, not hate campaign.
Need to emphasise non-hierarchical approach
London place of inequality, need to reclaim space.
Not necessarily anti-greed but not just about profit, capitalism not providing for people's basic needs.
System broken, taking control of whole lives, not just in camp,

Group 5

Repetition of previous points about greed and bankers
Centre of climate business
Positive alternatives

Group 6

Opportunity to challenge
Focus on green jobs in UK – vespa's wind turbine factory on IoW as good example
Greenwash, carbon trading, false solutions
'another world is necessary'
'a clean planet is priceless'

Group 7

World in sorry state
Story:
We are here, we don't have power but must go and get it. Enemy=capitalism
3 trials
1- gather troops, identify enemy
2 – invade/besiege castle (power stations etc)
3 -Copenhagen as final battle. Wise soul says 'remember Seattle'

Power is ours – story really begins!

Group 8

Focus on economics
Action NOW (at camp, London full of active people)
Science of climate change still important
Necessary to recognize growth, need to identify inequality within the UK

Capitalism as symptom not cause/
People in London behind computers, can be utilized

6) Announcements

Vestas:

- Green wind turbine factory on Isle of Wight, due to be shut at end of month. Petition to government available. What can climate camp do?
- Workers talking about occupations, proposal that climate camp should write statement to support struggle, same issues etc. Also we can provide practical support etc.
- Callout on lists for people to go and advise workers?
- Get together and take big photo for support for workers
- If anyone has capacity contact Workers Climate Action or savevestas@gmail.com
- Lots of wavy hands!
- Campaign weekend 25-26 July.
- Suggestion to highlight previous victories by Christian occupations.

Proposals:

- People to get together and write solidarity statement
- Email sent to list
- Photo taken

Concerns:

- We need to be able to guarantee support, meeting to happen during working group time?
- To test for consensus at end of gathering
- Someone wants to have discussion about this issue NOW. We need to have ownership over this.
- No time now but definitely to have working group.

London events:

- Sunday 26th July 10.30-4.30 parallel workshops one on rocket stoves one on bikes. Email london@climatecamp.org.uk
- Sat 25th 12-4.30 at Greenpeace in London. Intro to direct action.

Finance:

- All working groups need to have submitted budgets by now. Well done to Site, Workshops, Process and Website. ALL OTHERS NEED TO DO IT.
- There will be prizes!! There will be a raffle draw among those working groups that have submitted budgets at the end of the gathering.
- Ents want to be in raffle draw even though no budget.

Travel pool:

Travel pool £50 down, but most in, well done.

No Borders:

Following No-borders camp in Calais, destruction of jungles to start. Suggestion – campaign to link arms trade to migration success, need to link migration to climate change.

Student café:

Student café at last climate camp. Really important to network amongst students. Need some more leads of students interested in getting involved and particularly of any neighbourhoods that might have a spare structure. Get in touch with site@climatecamp.org.uk.

Scotland Camp:

Scotland 8th and 9th, lots of exciting stuff happening. Loads of stickers and flyers too. Beautiful place. Come!

August gathering:

Process update on next gathering:

- Wales all up for having us at their camp. Need our own structures. Can we provide any? Need to think about food etc cos 600 people there. Would be 15th-16th.
- Scotland possible too 8-9 August. Also would need to bring our own marquee.
- Oxford possibility.

Location:

- Some people already going to both camps. Test for if more would go if gathering was at each place. Scotland around 8 more able to go. Plenty more able to go to Wales. Most able to go to Oxford on both weekends.
- Megabus to Edinburgh from London only £12? Train about £25 cheapest single?
- Suggestion that we determine if we have the structure to take to Wales or Scotland. Do we? No.
- Suggestion that difficulties with turning up to someone else's camp.
- Suggestion that if we haven't found out in next few days if we can go to Scotland/Wales then use Oxford.
- Could use minibus? Doesn't solve structure problem.

Date:

- Need to establish what date. More general support for 2nd weekend.
- Proposal that least bad to clash with Scotland as would be at other end of country,
- Test for 8th/9th stand aside – 2nd was more popular.
- Reasoning questioned and above repeated.
- Scrapped. First weekend now more popular (over 50%)
- Process to sort it out. Suggestion that people should talk to process if they have strong feelings.

Tar Sands:

People been working with Tar Sands campaigners. If plans to extract tar sands were to be carried out global temp would rise by 2 degrees. Speaker tour planned, if you want to get involved contact

Comms:

Comms group will not provide phones/credit, working groups will need to budget for them. It was clarified that the question was more that groups needed phones and could get them cheap in bulk. But this is not comms' job.

Neighbourhood Spokes Meeting:

Neighbourhood spokes meant to be happening, suggested to have it at start of Copenhagen discussion. Problems. Now to be in capitalism session

Space:

Kingsnorth: space secured. Building movement. For more info or to offer help speak to space@climatecamp.org.uk

7) Copenhagen

Introduction:

Plea to stick to time. Going to be a presentation, then read proposal, then discussion and consensus. Smooth and nice apparently.

Copenhagen info:

- COP is explained. (Conference of Parties to Kyoto Protocol). Climate Justice action is introduced: last September a group was set up in Copenhagen to see what could be done. In December in Poznan a (more international) group was set up and a call was drafted, which was then endorsed by CC at a meeting last year. This year they organized some more, this time in Berlin.
- Mass action has now been formally agreed. Network has agreed name (Climate Justice Action). Some of the more progressive NGOs, groups representing eco/climate debt, various indigenous groups, and various European groups, some involved in direct action.
- Specific goals agreed.
- Critical of COP generally.
- In Copenhagen there will be a big march on the 12th December. Organised by various affiliation groups.
- On the Sunday there will be another big action, directed at the capitalist mode of production (specific target as yet undecided) in Copenhagen.
- Throughout the week there will be specific themed days on stuff, and support for autonomous direct action.
- On Thursday the 16th, there will be 'this action which we are going to talk about now'.

Proposal: *'Reclaim power – pushing for climate justice'*

At the start of the ministerial phase of the UN-climate negotiations we will take over the conference for one day and transform it into a people's climate justice summit.

The UNFCCC is failing to solve the climate crisis. We are no closer to reducing greenhouse gas emissions than we were when international negotiations began fifteen years ago: emissions continue to rise at alarming rates, while carbon trading allows climate criminals to pollute and profit. Together, we, thousands of people active in the emerging global movement for climate justice, are saying enough! No more business as usual, no more false solutions! Together, we will reclaim power and push for climate justice.

Our goal is not to shut down the entire summit. However: this day will be ours, we will speak for ourselves and decide what is, and what is not, on the agenda. In particular, the voices of those affected by climate change from the Global South will have a forum. Using the force of our collective body to achieve our goal, our Reclaim Power! march will push into the conference area and enter the building, disrupt the sessions and take over the podiums. To this end, we will overcome, move around, or flow through any physical barriers that stand in our way, we will also carry tools that will help us overcome such barriers. We do not intend physical harm to anyone, and will not respond to any attempt by the police to escalate the situation. Our action is one of civil disobedience, open to people from all backgrounds, ages, and levels of experience.

We will meet at a common starting point, which will be legal and announced to the police and media - there will be a police liaison. From this starting point, we will march towards the conference centre and begin our push for climate justice. We are planning for multiple action scenarios, in order to be prepared for different kinds of responses from the police as well as changing situations. In order to facilitate action planning, and to be able to take quick decisions during our action, we will set up an action council drawn from the various groups and networks participating in the action.

Clarifying questions:

- The decision here is to *actively support this action* – mobilize for this action. The proposal of what actually happens has already been decided – we cannot change it.
- Question: is this something that is going to happen one way or another, or are we going to be taking on a hell of a lot of work to do this?
- Question: Is it actually possible to take over the conference.
- Question: What is the date and time?
- Question: Is there any option at all of changing the proposal.
- Response: The reason we are doing it at the end is to get as many people as possible.
- The reason we are doing it for ONE DAY is because some people do not want to shut down the conference completely, but others refuse to legitimize it: this action is shutting it down for one day, denying its legitimacy, without disrupting it altogether.
- As to whether it's possible – it's a massive amount of work, but doable: the most important thing is to get as many people there as possible. Climate

camp's main work would be GETTING AS MANY PEOPLE THERE AS POSSIBLE, not organizing per se.

- YES there will be a lot of response from police, army etc. IT WILL BE DIFFICULT. There are however contingency measures in place for a number of scenarios, including the police going crazy and shooting people, though keen to assure us all that this is not going to happen.
- We can perhaps submit a proposal or some concerns, but we cannot change what the action actually is.
- Question: logistically, in the event of getting in there, what exactly is the message, and how will it be different to what has happened at previous G8s (massive scrum which the officials dismiss as the inevitable action of angry loonies, separate from the Serious Politics happening inside)?
- Is there a way of getting the message across if we can't actually do what we're planning.
- Question: (since if we get 5,000 people there we can pretty much do what we want) what arrangements have been made to get everyone there?
- Question: Copenhagen is on an island – IS THERE ANYWHERE FOR US ALL TO SLEEP OR WILL WE ALL BE COLD AND HOMELESS IN DENMARK IN WINTER?
- Response: When we get inside, there are arrangements being made, the group has come up with shared messaging which it wants to use.
- How it would be different from previous G8s – we will be given more legitimacy by the involvement of indigenous groups and people from the global south.
- Interjection: Having a mass ruckey thing is important to de-legitimizing the institutions involved.
- Response: part of this action is (we have, on reflection decided not to have a frontline entirely made up of people from the global south).
- If we cannot actually get in: We will try to get as close as we possibly can, try to get through the police line etc., when as close as possible we will stop, and invite people inside to come out and join us, and just hold the summit wherever we are.
- There are some charter trains etc being organized, but there is no real mass transport being organized. If there is, people will still have to pay for their own transport.
- Coach is return £100. Apparently you can get the ferry for £40, but this is not definite.
- What do you do inside?
- When you get inside, it becomes international law: remit of the Copenhagen police ends, they cannot come and do stuff. We are under the jurisdiction of the UN, and they really do not like to do anything about that.
- Question: Want to know more about CJA's messaging – what IS the message?
- Answer to that question: The network is quite diverse, and there are tensions within it about COP – some are completely opposed to its mere existence, others still hope the process may do more good than harm.
- Another answer: It is going to be difficult to get inside, but the symbolism of the actual people of the world rising up against it is important. The media

angle is going to be very important. A question is whether CC intend to have their own message too.

- Point: there is an ongoing debate about messaging. No-one is happy with the UNFCCC process – the question is to what degree you expose the illegitimacy of it.
- Point: there is really no precedent of so many DIFFERENT groups (from the spikiest activists to the more progressive NGOs) coming together over an action. The networking possibilities are extraordinary. An inherent problem though is that there is not that much that everyone involved agrees on.
- Point: We have always been saying that Copenhagen is part of the focus of the Camp – it is important to do this.
- Facilitator: This will anyhow have to be put before the wider Camp process.
- Facilitator: we will take more points, but please bear in mind that this is about Camp action, not the legitimacy of the Copenhagen process.
- Point: the messaging is the most important point of the action. The way forward with this is, taking into account the unprecedented nature of this and the diversity of opinion of those involved, we should agree on SOME messages that we CAN all agree on.
- Point: we have already agreed that we are supporting action in Copenhagen, and this is the action which is happening in Copenhagen. We will inevitably be mixed up in lots of other messaging – the question is whether CC is comfortable with this. We have talked before about the possibilities open to us, when we believed something good might come out of the talks, now it seems more likely that this is the only option we have.
- Concern: we could bugger it up and just be stood around outside getting kettled. Which is annoying. WE COULD ALSO BE BLAMED FOR THE INEVITABLE FAILURE OF THE MEETING TO PRODUCE ANYTHING.
- What CC has to bring to this is ORGANISATION.
- What we should do is think about different WAYS in.
- Point: check out Zero Carbon Caravan for transport.
- Point: The police ARE GOING TO REACT. By October, people from all over the world are going to realise that this is very important. There will be thousands of people coming from all over the world. There will not be enough accommodation.
- Facilitator: We're getting somewhere insofar as no-one is saying it's a terrible idea, but we need to bash out logistics.
- Point: It will not be that easy to get in. The concern is whether we trust the spectrum involved to be politically on target. A better way of looking at the spectrum of involvement is to see it as going from people who think the entire process is illegitimate to people who think the system itself is legitimate but this instance will produce nothing good.
- Point: It's a good proposal and we should support it, but we should think about what committing to it entails. We have committed to stuff in October, and as committing to this will entail trying to get a load of people to go somewhere in December, committing to this could mean that we tie up all our capacity in Copenhagen, leaving ourselves unable to do stuff in the UK.
- Process point: should we do blocks first? Apparently not.
- Clarification: haven't we already decided to do this?

- Answer: No, we have agreed to put out messages of solidarity. This is a decision to dedicate CCUK to mobilize for this.
- Question: is there any possibility that we might do something in the UK instead?
- Answer: There is scope for this but additionally not instead. This is the proposal on the table now.

Stand asides: None

Blocks: None

CONSENSUS

8) Capitalism and consumerism

Proposal:

- Climate Camp this year has committed itself to challenging the economic system that is causing climate change.
- A breakdown of process has occurred. Somebody has printed a load of climate camp t-shirts and bags and badges and selling them to the public at things like Glastonbury and to people affiliated with Climate Camp.
- The question I'm posing is: are we seeking to challenge this kind of capitalist consumerism that is causing climate change?

Process:

- Temperature check on whether it's important enough to talk about this now and take this half an hour out of the working group time.
- I've understood the issue but what is the proposal? Is it going to be resolved.
- I think it's a jumble of process and political issues. I'm also concerned that it is about an individual, and if I were that person I'd have quite an emotional reaction to this proposal.
- Can it be done in 15 mins?
- Controversial. Don't think it'll be in 15 mins.
- From a Finance point of view I think this issue is something that needs to be addressed. In terms of a process for dealing with this kind of thing it's really needed.
- I think it would be good to discuss it but I fear we'll have to discuss it again.
- I agree with that. I think the appropriate time to discuss it would be at the Camp. It would be crazy to discuss it now at the end of Sunday when we've got a camp in a few weeks.
- I'm very unhappy that the individual is not actually here.
- Response: I wrote this proposal because I wanted to get the issues out and discussed. Two issues: 1) what do we do with the merchandise. 2) how do we set up a process to stop this happening again cos a lot of people have found it problematic. Guidelines put forward.

Temperature check:

- Temperature check on whether we can do this justice now: most people down. A few people up.

- I think this proposal shows quite a lack of awareness of the lack of capacity in the networking group. People have gone ahead with autonomous actions and have been criticized very strongly. All this energy that has been put into a critique of the process that doesn't necessarily help.
- Much better an idea to put it into the Camp than a gathering.
- In terms of how the individual will feel we need to think about what happens next.
- It doesn't end here. The networking group, the process group and the finance group need to take it forward on the basis of this proposal.
- It's a clear proposal, can we do a spectrum line?
- We've agreed not to talk about it now, so we should stick with that decision.

9) Working Group time

Outreach group remit proposal:

- Yesterday, the outreach group remit was blocked through lack of time. We've since discussed with the people who blocked what the concerns were.
- Some of the concerns were specifically prompted by some concerns around working with faith groups. There's a distinction between groups we go and talk to about CC and work out who within them to work with, and a narrower set of groups we might want to work with because they share our politics.
- Also concerns around would this group be doing the outreach or should they be co-ordinating it and the whole camp do it. Who we go out and talk to needs to be contextualized around CC's core values. Radical action now, government's corps part of the problem not solution. Direct action necessary. Need to be in solidarity with those affected.

Proposal:

To promote the Camp for Climate Action, participation in its activities, and awareness of its core values, by :

- a) co-ordinating the planning of the Climate Camp's own outreach and educational events;
- b) co-ordinating arrangements to have a Climate Camp presence or speakers at other groups' and organisations' meetings or activities; and
- c) forging links with groups with common or related struggles.

Clarifying questions:

- does this mean that our only interest in doing outreach is to convert people to our politics and that we're not interested in talking to other groups and feeding in to each other
- First one: triggered by tension between talking to anyone who might want to join in with us, versus working with groups because if we bring in those groups then they may want to dilute our identity. We are interested in individuals within those groups.

- if this is a splitting off from the networking group is there a revised networking group
- networking remit will be shortened to take out this bullet point.
- Individuals? Yes it's about coordinating
- It's not just up to the outreach group it's up to all of us.

Stand asides: None

Blocks: None

CONSENSUS

Working groups meeting:

- Vestas
- Entertainments
- International – budget
- Meetings
- Media
- Outreach
- Welcome and Tranquility
- Action Support
- Site

10) Working Groups feedback

Powerdown:

- o We want to do a temperature check on the time for power down. 11pm, 12am or 1am?
- o Too dependant on site, no time.
- o Print deadline on handbook looming,
- o Concern about legislation relating to raves

Spectrum line:

Internal camp concerns 11pm 55%

Internal camp concerns midnight 35%

Internal camp concerns 1am 10%

With residential area early 90%

With residential area late 10%

The Ents group to take the feeling from the room forward into their decision on power down.

Site:

Callout for marquee training @ big green

Process:

Neighbourhood liaisons needed and facilitators @ camp

Media:

Neighbourhood press packs being produced, look out for them.

Tranquillity:

Callout out for crew and training, thoughts' for camp guidelines wanted for next gathering

Vestas group remit:

- To co-ordinate and facilitate the response of the Camp for Climate Action to the Vestas situation
- Keep the network up to date about Vestas.
- Make proposals as to the use of CC resources to support the campaign.
- Generate suggestions for how other members of the network can effectively support the campaign

Stand asides: None

Blocks: None

CONSENSUS