

# Environmental pathogen reservoirs and habitat heterogeneity in a metapopulation

Clinton B. Leach · Paul C. Cross · Colleen T. Webb

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

## 1 Introduction

Many wildlife diseases are caused by pathogens that can persist, and remain infectious, for long periods of time in the environment. Examples include chronic wasting disease (Miller et al (2006)), anthrax (Dragon and Rennie (1995)), plague (Eisen et al (2008)), and white nose syndrome (Lindner et al (2011)), among others. This environmental persistence creates environmental pathogen reservoirs from which hosts can become infected without direct contact with an infected individual. This additional transmission pathway can have important consequences for disease dynamics, with models showing that increased environmental persistence generally facilitates increased pathogen persistence and spread relative to direct transmission alone (Almberg et al (2011), Sharp and Pastor (2011), Breban et al (2009).

Since environmental transmission is spatially explicit (i.e. environmental reservoirs can only infect local residents), its role in disease dynamics may further depend on the spatial structure of the host population. In particular, we expect that the host population structure and movement will be influenced by heterogeneity in quality among the habitat patches in a metapopulation. Indeed, the quality of a habitat patch can affect its extinction and colonization probabilities, as well as its contribution to the colonization of other empty patches (Moilanen and Hanski (1998)). These processes in turn may then influence where environmental pathogen reservoirs get established and how they affect disease dynamics and host occupancy throughout the metapopulation.

Specifically, we expect that high quality habitat patches, which support greater host density and traffic than lower quality habitat, might be more likely to form pathogen reservoirs. As these reservoirs are undetectable to the host, we predict that high quality patches will continue to attract – and infect – susceptible immigrants, effectively creating an ecological trap (Almberg et al (2011)). In addition, the greater traffic through high quality patches may further facilitate pathogen spread by positioning high quality patches as metapopulation-scale superspreaders (citation). Similarly, we expect that low quality patches, which see relatively

---

Address(es) of author(s) should be given

less host traffic, will be less likely to develop pathogen reservoirs and thus may serve as refuges on which susceptible hosts can escape infection.

Many, if not all, of the pathogens listed above affect spatially structured host populations (e.g. plague in prairie dog colonies), and thus understanding how environmental transmission interacts with patterns of habitat quality is critical to managing disease in these systems. In this study then, we seek to explore how a pathogen's environmental longevity (how long it can persist and remain infectious in the environment), and habitat heterogeneity (the variance in the distribution of patch quality in a metapopulation) interact to influence pathogen spread and host occupancy, with a specific interest in the roles played by high and low quality habitat.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Model Structure

To address the above questions, we developed a theoretical stochastic patch occupancy model (SPOM) in which each patch can be in one of four possible states: occupied by susceptibles (S), occupied by infecteds (I), pathogen reservoir without host population (Z), and unoccupied (by both pathogen and host) ( $\emptyset$ ). State transitions are governed by host colonization, extinction, and infection rates, where a susceptible population can become infected either through direct contact with infected immigrants, or through a local pathogen reservoir.

Building on the framework developed by Hanski (1994), the rate at which patch  $i$ , with quality  $A_i$ , is colonized by individuals in state  $X \in (S, I)$  is given by its connectivity:

$$C_{Xi} = A_i^{\xi_{im}} \sum_{j \neq i} \phi_j A_j^{\xi_{em}} e^{-D d_{ij}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi_j$  is an indicator function that is 1 if patch  $j$  is in state  $X$  and is 0 otherwise;  $\xi_{im}$  and  $\xi_{em}$  control how rates of immigration and emigration, respectively, scale with patch quality;  $D$  is the inverse of mean dispersal distance; and  $d_{ij}$  is the distance between patches  $i$  and  $j$ . Essentially,  $C_{Xi}$  sums the colonization effort from all patches in state  $X$  to patch  $i$ .

The extinction rate of patch  $i$  in state  $X$  is given by:

$$E_{Xi} = \frac{e_X}{A_i^\alpha}, \quad (2)$$

where  $e_X$  is the extinction rate of a patch of unit quality in state  $X$  (here we assume that  $e_I > e_S$ ). When an infected patch goes extinct, we assume that the infected hosts leave behind an infectious pathogen reservoir.

Susceptible populations are infected via direct contact with infected colonists at rate  $\delta C_{Ii}$ , where  $\delta$  is the transmission probability. Environmental transmission occurs when a susceptible population occupies a reservoir patch, and occurs at rate:

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 \exp(-rt_I), \quad (3)$$

where  $\gamma_0$  is the initial infection rate of the pathogen reservoir,  $t_I$  is the time since last infected occupancy, and  $r$  is the pathogen's decay rate in the environment.

**Table 1** Parameters of SPOM model and their meanings.


Parameter	Interpretation	Default Value
$\xi_{im}$	Scaling parameter for effect of target patch quality on immigration	0.5
$\xi_{em}$	Scaling parameter for effect of target patch quality in emigration	0.5
$D$	Inverse of mean dispersal distance	5
$d_{ij}$	Distance between patch $i$ and $j$	1 for all $i, j$
$e_S$	Extinction rate of unit quality susceptible patch	0.1
$e_I$	Extinction rate of unity quality infected patch	0.5
$\alpha$	Strength of environmental stochasticity	1
$\delta$	Probability of direct infection	0.5
$\gamma_0$	Initial rate of infection from reservoir patch	0.5

## 2.2 Model Implementation and Analysis

The model outlined above was parameterized (Table 1) according to the following assumptions. (1) High quality patches maintain higher population sizes and therefore produce more colonists than low quality patches and have lower extinction rates than low quality patches ( $\xi_{im} = 0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ , Hanski and Ovaskainen (2003)). (2) High quality patches attract more colonists than low quality patches ( $\xi_{em} = 0.5$ , Hanski and Ovaskainen (2003)). (3) Connectivity is determined entirely by quality ( $d_{ij} = 1$ ). In addition to these assumptions, parameters were chosen so that without infection, approximately 0.75 of the metapopulation was occupied ( $e_S = 0.1$ ,  $D = 5$ ), and a range of epidemiological behaviors were feasible ( $e_I = 0.5$ ,  $\delta = 0.5$ ,  $\gamma_0 = 0.5$ ).

With the above parameters fixed, we explored the effects of pathogen environmental persistence and habitat heterogeneity by varying pathogen longevity (10 values from 20 to 200 time steps, with values representing the half-life of the pathogen's infectivity), and the variance of the patch quality distribution (10 values ranging from 0.02 to 0.2). For each simulation (100 replicates for each of the 100 longevity-variance combinations), qualities for 100 patches were drawn from a uniform distribution with mean 1 and given variance. In each case, an entirely susceptible population was simulated until it reached a steady state, at which point a randomly chosen occupied patch was infected. The state of the metapopulation was then tracked for 5000 time steps. To address the metapopulation-level questions above, we recorded the proportion of patches in each state at the end of each simulation and classified the simulation as host extinction, disease-free (no infected populations after 5000 time steps), endemic (both susceptible and infected populations present), or pandemic (only infected populations). In addition, to address patch-level questions, for every simulation, we recorded the quality of each patch and the proportion of time spent in each of the four states.

In the above simulations, the mean of the patch quality distribution was held constant, and thus the range was expanded symmetrically about one as the variance was increased. To further parse out the relative roles of high and low quality patches, we performed a series of simulations where we expanded the range of the quality distribution non-symmetrically to include high and low quality patches separately. For these simulations, we fixed longevity at an intermediate level (100) and ran 100 replicates for each of four patch quality distributions: low variance, (range 0.75 to 1.25); intermediate variance, high quality (range 0.75 to 1.75); in-



**Fig. 1** Percent of simulations resulting in (a) endemic disease (both susceptibles and infecteds persist) and (b) pandemic disease (no susceptibles persist), as a function of the pathogen's environmental longevity (half-life of infectivity decay) and the variance of the patch quality distribution.

intermediate variance, low quality (range 0.25 to 1.25); and high variance (range 0.25 to 1.75).


Continuous time stochastic simulations of the above model were implemented in the R language (citation) using the Gillespie algorithm (Gillespie (1977)). Code is available at <https://github.com/clint-leach/Metapop-Disease>.

### 3 Results

The pathogen persisted in 7087 of the 10000 simulations, spreading through the entire susceptible host population (i.e. a pandemic) in 3721 simulations. Pathogen persistence increased substantially with longevity, with intermediate longevity favoring endemic disease, and high longevity facilitating pandemic dynamics (Fig 1). The effect of longevity, however, depended on the variance of the patch quality distribution, with low variance metapopulations favoring endemic dynamics for a wider range of longevity (Fig 1a), and high variance metapopulations lowering the longevity required for pandemic dynamics (Fig 1b).

To separate the influence of high and low quality patches, we explored how susceptible and infected patch occupancy changes when high and low quality patches are included separately in the patch quality distribution. Relative to a low-variance metapopulation (patch qualities roughly between 0.75 and 1.25), shifting the distribution toward low quality patches (distribution ranging from 0.25 to 1.25) increased mean susceptible occupancy, while shifting towards high quality patches (range from 0.75 to 1.75) decreased mean susceptible occupancy, with the largest effects observed at intermediate longevity (Fig 2(a)). Conversely, a shift towards low quality patches decreased infected occupancy, while a shift towards high quality patches increased infected occupancy (Fig 2(b)).

However, at high pathogen longevity, high quality patch distributions had a net positive effect on total occupancy relative to the other patch quality distributions (Fig 2(c)). Due to their lower extinction rates, high quality patches are able




**Fig. 2** The effect of the presence of high and low quality patches on (a) mean susceptible occupancy, (b) mean infected occupancy, and (c) mean total occupancy, measured relative to a low variance metapopulation. Red solid lines show high quality metapopulations, blue dashed show low quality, and black shows high variance.

to maintain stable occupancy despite widespread infection. On the other hand, at high pathogen longevity, the positive effect of low quality distributions on susceptible occupancy is overwhelmed, and low quality patches are unable to support infected occupancy, resulting in an increase in host extinction events. Thus, the role of the patch quality distribution and the presence of high or low quality patches is generally greatest for low to intermediate pathogen longevity – once longevity is high enough, the pathogen persists and spreads easily enough that the effects of individual patches are washed out. Then the role of patch quality lies primarily in its effect on extinction rates, and whether infected hosts can persist.

To understand the mechanisms behind these effects, we further examined within patch dynamics in high variance metapopulations that produced endemic dynamics. Because of their lower extinction rate and higher recolonization rate, high quality patches support more consistent occupancy than low quality patches (Fig 3). However, as an epidemic progresses, high quality patches increasingly support infected occupants, while low quality patches support infecteds infrequently (Fig 3). In addition, while high quality patches do support susceptible occupancy, they experience a greater number of infection events (susceptible to infected transitions) than low quality patches (Fig 4). This relationship becomes weaker as longevity increases, due to the fact that as longevity increases, there are fewer susceptibles remaining in the metapopulation to become infected.

## 4 Discussion

The positive relationship between pathogen spread and environmental longevity demonstrated in the theoretical model above is well documented in models of em-




**Fig. 3** Results from a single simulation with variance 0.2 and longevity 80. The top panel shows total occupancy of susceptible (blue) and infected (red) patches over time. The bottom panel shows the state – susceptible (blue), infected (red), or unoccupied (white) – of individual patches through time. Patches are stacked vertically with the lowest quality ( $\sim 0.25$ ) at the bottom and the highest quality ( $\sim 1.75$ ) at the top.

pirical systems. Almberg et al (2011) find that, in plausible simulations of chronic wasting disease in deer, increasing prior survival in the environment increases the resulting force of infection and peak prevalence, with the importance of reservoir transmission increasing through time. Similarly, Breban et al 2009 show that environmental transmission of avian influenza virus allows it to persist in situations where direct transmission alone is insufficient. *Other examples.*

Moreover, for a given longevity, these simulations suggest that high variance metapopulations are more prone to widespread pathogen spread than low variance metapopulations (Fig 1). The mechanisms underlying this behavior can be understood by drawing parallels with models of pathogen spread through a contact network. In this context, our metapopulation can be viewed a network with patches as nodes connected through immigration (with the strength of the connection measured by connectivity). Many studies have shown that networks with high degree variance (where a node's degree is roughly equivalent to its total connectivity in our metapopulation model) are easily invaded by pathogens, which are then able to spread much more rapidly than in less heterogeneous networks (Pastor-Satorras and Vespignani (2001)).

These simulations also offer insight into the interaction between environmental longevity and habitat heterogeneity, suggesting that the specific effect of longevity on pathogen spread is mediated by the variance of the patch quality distribution.



**Fig. 4** The number of infection events ( $S$  to  $I$  transitions) for individual patches in endemic simulations. Light gray lines show smoothed fit for single simulations, while the thick black line shows the smoothed fit over all simulations. The thin black lines show the smoothed fits for longevities of 40 (top) and 140 (bottom).

In particular, metapopulations with high patch quality variance require lower environmental longevities to experience pandemic dynamics (Fig 1). In this way, the effects of environmental longevity are exacerbated, or amplified, by a high variance patch quality distribution, especially at intermediate longevities.

This interaction between environmental longevity and the variance of the patch quality distribution is largely driven by the presence of high quality patches. Since a patch's connectivity is determined, at least in part, by its quality, high quality patches function as highly connected hubs in the metapopulation. Specifically, high quality patches both attract more colonists from other patches and produce more colonists that spread to other patches. These two properties have important implications for pathogen spread in the metapopulation. Attracting colonists helps position high quality patches as the ecological "traps" hypothesized above, wherein susceptible hosts repeatedly colonize high quality patches and subsequently become infected (Fig 4). This infection pressure results in part from direct transmission from infected immigrants attracted to the high quality patch, reflecting results from the contact network literature that show that highly connected nodes have higher infection risk (Christley et al (2005), Keeling and Eames (2005)), but is further augmented by environmental transmission.

This trap effect, coupled with the relatively low extinction rate on high quality patches helps to create a stable platform from which the pathogen can spread through the rest of the metapopulation. Indeed, high quality patches are effectively metapopulation scale superspreaders (Lloyd-Smith et al (2005)). Once the pathogen infects high quality patches, the larger number of colonists produced allow it to spread to the rest of the metapopulation relatively easily. This process helps to maintain infected occupancy throughout the metapopulation, which in turn feed back on high quality patches to ensure a steady stream of infected

colonists that maintain the trap effect. Thus, through these two interacting mechanisms – the trap and superspreader effects – the presence of high quality patches serves to significantly increase pathogen spread and infected occupancy in the metapopulation (Fig 2).

Despite the dominating effects of high quality patches, low quality patches still play an important role in some cases by facilitating increased susceptible occupancy (Fig 2). Even though individual low quality patches are unable to support consistent occupancy (Fig 3), at the metapopulation level, the presence of low quality patches increases overall susceptible occupancy relative to a lower variance metapopulation, an effect that is strongest at intermediate longevities (Fig 2). This phenomenon represents the other side of the hub role played by high quality patches in that low quality patches are relatively weakly connected to the metapopulation and are therefore infrequently colonized by infected individuals. Moreover, the instability of low quality patches (i.e. the high extinction rate), together with the increased mortality of infected populations, means that infected populations do not persist long on low quality patches. Because low quality patches are so infrequently colonized, at low to intermediate longevities, the environmental reservoir left behind by these infected populations generally decays before it has the opportunity to infect new susceptible colonists. As a result, low quality patches represent a dead-end for the pathogen, reducing the number of patches from which it can spread, and thereby reducing infected occupancy (Fig 2).

However, the importance of the patch quality distribution in pathogen spread depends on the pathogen’s longevity, with the strongest effects of patch quality observed for intermediate longevities. For these longevities, the timescale of pathogen decay roughly matches the timescale of the colonization and extinction processes. In contrast, for low longevities, when the pathogen reservoir decays much faster than colonizations and extinctions occur, environmental transmission has little effect on disease dynamics and the effect of patch quality is relatively weak. Conversely, when the pathogen decays much slower than colonizations and extinctions occur, i.e. high longevity, the pathogen reservoir persists on nearly all patches and the effects of patch quality are washed out. In these cases, the role of patch quality lies primarily in its effect on extinction rates. Due to their lower extinction rates, high quality patches are able to maintain stable occupancy despite widespread (pandemic) infection, while low quality patches are unable to support infected occupancy, resulting in an increase in host extinction events at the highest longevities.

These findings suggest the presence of a trade-off between facilitating metapopulation stability and inhibiting disease spread, with a pathogen’s environmental longevity controlling the roles of different quality patches with respect to this trade-off. At low to intermediate longevities, the addition of high quality patches functions similarly to increasing movement in the model developed by Hess (1996), increasing pathogen spread and reducing overall occupancy (Fig 2c). In these cases, rather than serving as sources in the metapopulation, high quality patches become sinks, and more importantly, they become ecological traps, which can be even more detrimental to the overall population (Kristan (2003)). From a management perspective, it is critical to understand this shift, as previous theoretical work suggests that management should focus on patches where conditions are most favorable (Strasser et al (2010)). Generally, this means that maintaining high quality habitat should form the focus of managers, but Strasser et al. (2010) show that



stochastic disturbance (e.g. disease) can lead to cases where focusing on low quality patches is more effective in increasing population growth rate.

Also discuss Sharp and Pastor (2011), who show that management actions that increase carrying capacity can increase disease spread and destabilize population. And Hess (1996) who finds that implementing a quarantine on centralized patches reduces pathogen spread.


However, as pathogen longevity increases, the trade-off shifts and the addition of high quality patches is a net benefit to overall occupancy, reflecting the findings of Gog et al (2002), who find that under background infection from an alternative host, increasing movement rarely reduces occupancy, despite facilitating pathogen spread. Park (2012) further develops this work by noting that the magnitude of the detrimental effects of increased movement on occupancy depends on the relative strength of different transmission pathways. Specifically, he finds that as environmental transmission becomes stronger (e.g. higher longevity) relative to direct transmission, then total occupancy increases monotonically with movement, i.e. movement is a net benefit to the metapopulation. These studies, coupled with the results presented here, suggest that when there is a persistent source of infection throughout the metapopulation (e.g. when the pathogen is long-lived in the environment), factors that facilitate metapopulation stability (i.e. increased movement, high quality patches) are a greater benefit than factors that inhibit pathogen spread (i.e. decreased movement, low quality patches).

Spatial structure and disease spread represent two major factors influencing the dynamics of wildlife populations. As we have seen, in cases where the pathogen is able to persist and remain infectious in the environment, these two factors can interact in complex and interesting ways. Failing to consider these interactions and their effect on the roles played by high and low quality habitat risks overlooking the importance of low-quality habitat for the persistence of wildlife populations and the importance of high-quality habitat for the persistence of wildlife disease.


## 5 Appendix

### 5.1 Sensitivity Analyses


#### 5.1.1 Lattice structure




**Fig. 5** Percent of simulations resulting in (a) endemic disease (both susceptibles and infecteds persist) and (b) pandemic disease (no susceptibles persist), as a function of the pathogen's environmental longevity (half-life of infectivity decay) and the variance of the patch quality distribution.




**Fig. 6** The effect of the presence of high and low quality patches on (a) mean susceptible occupancy, (b) mean infected occupancy, and (c) mean total occupancy, measured relative to a low variance metapopulation. Red solid lines show high quality metapopulations, blue dashed show low quality, and black shows high variance.




**Fig. 7** The number of infection events ( $S$  to  $I$  transitions) for individual patches in endemic simulations. Light gray lines show smoothed fit for single simulations, while the thick black line shows the smoothed fit over all simulations. The thin black lines show the smoothed fits for longevity of 40 (top) and 140 (bottom).

286 5.1.2 Extinction scaling,  $\alpha = 0$ 


**Fig. 8** Percent of simulations resulting in (a) endemic disease (both susceptibles and infecteds persist) and (b) pandemic disease (no susceptibles persist), as a function of the pathogen's environmental longevity (half-life of infectivity decay) and the variance of the patch quality distribution.




**Fig. 9** The effect of the presence of high and low quality patches on (a) mean susceptible occupancy, (b) mean infected occupancy, and (c) mean total occupancy, measured relative to a low variance metapopulation. Red solid lines show high quality metapopulations, blue dashed show low quality, and black shows high variance.




**Fig. 10** The number of infection events ( $S$  to  $I$  transitions) for individual patches in endemic simulations. Light gray lines show smoothed fit for single simulations, while the thick black line shows the smoothed fit over all simulations. The thin black lines show the smoothed fits for longevity of 40 (top) and 140 (bottom).

287 5.1.3 Weak direct transmission,  $\delta = 0.1$ 

**Fig. 11** Percent of simulations resulting in (a) endemic disease (both susceptibles and infecteds persist) and (b) pandemic disease (no susceptibles persist), as a function of the pathogen's environmental longevity (half-life of infectivity decay) and the variance of the patch quality distribution.



**Fig. 12** The effect of the presence of high and low quality patches on (a) mean susceptible occupancy, (b) mean infected occupancy, and (c) mean total occupancy, measured relative to a low variance metapopulation. Red solid lines show high quality metapopulations, blue dashed show low quality, and black shows high variance.



**Fig. 13** The number of infection events ( $S$  to  $I$  transitions) for individual patches in endemic simulations. Light gray lines show smoothed fit for single simulations, while the thick black line shows the smoothed fit over all simulations. The thin black lines show the smoothed fits for longevity of 40 (top) and 140 (bottom).

## References

- Almberg ES, Cross PC, Johnson CJ, Heisey DM, Richards BJ (2011) Modeling routes of chronic wasting disease transmission: environmental prion persistence promotes deer population decline and extinction. *PloS one* 6(5):e19,896, DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0019896, URL <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019896>
- Breban R, Drake JM, Stallknecht DE, Rohani P (2009) The role of environmental transmission in recurrent avian influenza epidemics. *PLoS computational biology* 5(4):e1000,346, DOI 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000346, URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2660440&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Christley RM, Pinchbeck GL, Bowers RG, Clancy D, French NP, Bennett R, Turner J (2005) Infection in social networks: using network analysis to identify high-risk individuals. *American journal of epidemiology* 162(10):1024–31, DOI 10.1093/aje/kwi308, URL <http://aje.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/content/abstract/162/10/1024>
- Clancy D (2005) A stochastic SIS infection model incorporating indirect transmission. *Journal of applied probability* 737(February):726–737, URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jap/1127322023>
- Dragon DC, Rennie RP (1995) The ecology of anthrax spores: tough but not invincible. *The Canadian veterinary journal La revue vétérinaire canadienne* 36(5):295–301, URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1686874&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Eisen RJ, Petersen JM, Higgins CL, Wong D, Levy CE, Mead PS, Schriefer ME, Griffith KS, Gage KL, Beard CB (2008) Persistence of *Yersinia pestis* in soil under natural conditions. *Emerging infectious diseases* 14(6):941–3, DOI 10.3201/eid1406.080029, URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2600287&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Fuller E, Elderd BD, Dwyer G (2012) Pathogen Persistence in the Environment and Insect-Baculovirus Interactions: Disease-Density Thresholds, Epidemic Burnout, and Insect Outbreaks. *The American Naturalist* 179(3):E70–E96, DOI 10.1086/664488, URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/info/10.1086/664488>
- Gillespie D (1977) Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions. *The journal of physical chemistry* 93555(1):2340–2361, URL <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/j100540a008>
- Gog J, Woodroffe R, Swinton J (2002) Disease in endangered metapopulations: the importance of alternative hosts. *Proceedings Biological sciences / The Royal Society* 269(1492):671–6, DOI 10.1098/rspb.2001.1667, URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1690941&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Hanski I (1994) A Practical Model of Metapopulation Dynamics. *The Journal of Animal Ecology* 63(1):151, DOI 10.2307/5591, URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/5591?origin=crossref>
- Hanski I, Ovaskainen O (2003) Metapopulation theory for fragmented landscapes. *Theoretical Population Biology* 64(1):119–127, DOI 10.1016/S0040-5809(03)00022-4, URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-5809\(03\)00022-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-5809(03)00022-4)



- Hess G (1996) Disease in metapopulation models: implications for conservation. *Ecology* 77(5):1617–1632, URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2265556>
- Keeling MJ, Eames KTD (2005) Networks and epidemic models. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface / the Royal Society* 2(4):295–307, DOI 10.1098/rsif.2005.0051, URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1578276&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Kristan I (2003) The role of habitat selection behavior in population dynamics: source-sink systems and ecological traps. *Oikos* 103(3):457–468, DOI 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12192.x, URL <http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/links/doi/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12192.x>
- Lindner DL, Gargas A, Lorch JM, Banik MT, Glaeser J, Kunz TH, Blehert DS (2011) DNA-based detection of the fungal pathogen *Geomyces destructans* in soils from bat hibernacula. *Mycologia* 103(2):241–6, DOI 10.3852/10-262, URL <http://www.mycologia.org/content/103/2/241.short>
- Lloyd-Smith JO, Schreiber SJ, Kopp PE, Getz WM (2005) Superspreading and the effect of individual variation on disease emergence. *Nature* 438(7066):355–9, DOI 10.1038/nature04153, URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16292310>
- Miller MW, Hobbs NT, Tavener SJ (2006) DYNAMICS OF PRION DISEASE TRANSMISSION IN MULE DEER. *Ecological Applications* 16(6):2208–2214, DOI 10.1890/1051-0761(2006)016[2208:DOPDTI]2.0.CO;2, URL [http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1890/1051-0761\(2006\)016\[2208:DOPDTI\]2.0.CO;2](http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1890/1051-0761(2006)016[2208:DOPDTI]2.0.CO;2)
- Moilanen A, Hanski I (1998) Metapopulation Dynamics: Effects of Habitat Quality and Landscape Structure. *Ecology* 79(7):2503, DOI 10.2307/176839, URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/176839?origin=crossref>
- Park AW (2012) Infectious disease in animal metapopulations: the importance of environmental transmission. *Ecology and evolution* 2(7):1398–407, DOI 10.1002/ece3.257, URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3434925&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Pastor-Satorras R, Vespignani A (2001) Epidemic dynamics and endemic states in complex networks. *Physical Review E* 63(6), DOI 10.1103/PhysRevE.63.066117, URL <http://pre.aps.org/abstract/PRE/v63/i6/e066117>
- Sharp A, Pastor J (2011) Stable limit cycles and the paradox of enrichment in a model of chronic wasting disease. *Ecological Applications* 21(4):1024–1030, URL <http://www.esajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1890/10-1449.1>
- Strasser Ca, Neubert MG, Caswell H, Hunter CM (2010) Contributions of high- and low-quality patches to a metapopulation with stochastic disturbance. *Theoretical Ecology* 5(2):167–179, DOI 10.1007/s12080-010-0106-9, URL <http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1007/s12080-010-0106-9>