# High-Throughput Sequencing Course Statistical Inference: Part II

Biostatistics and Bioinformatics



Summer 2019





### TWO-SAMPLE MODEL: INFERENCE

- ▶ The mRNA abundance level in the untreated population is  $\mu_0$
- ► The mRNA abundance level in the untreated population is  $\mu_1$
- ► Assumed model:
  - ▶ Untreated Population:  $Y = \mu_0 + \epsilon$
  - ► Treated Population:  $X = \mu_1 + \epsilon'$
- ► Statistical Hypotheses
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $H_0: \mu_0 = \mu_1$  (no treatment effect)
  - $H_0: \mu_0 \neq \mu_1$  (treatment effect)

## TWO-SAMPLE MODEL: ESTIMATION

- ► What is often of interested is estimate the unknown parameters or quantities
- ► Examples
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Mean level for the untreated group  $\mu_0$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Mean level for the treated group  $\mu_1$
  - ► Fold-change  $\rho = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_0}$
  - Standardized difference  $\Delta = |\mu_1 \mu_0|/\sigma$
- ► Two types of estimates
  - ▶ Point estimate
  - ► Interval estimate

### Confidence Intervals

- ► Example: The sample mean (the average of the observations) is a point estimate of the population (true) mean
- ▶ It is either equal to the true value of the parameter or is not
- ► As it is a single number it does not provide any direct measure of accuracy
- ► An interval estimate incorporates some measure of accuracy
- ► Thus it is generally more appropriate to present an interval estimate
- ► A common example of an interval estimate is the confidence interval

## ESTIMATION EXAMPLE (ONE-SAMPLE MODEL)

- ► Truth: The RNA abundance follows a normal distribution with mean  $\mu = 0$  and standard deviation  $\sigma = 1$
- ▶ Assumption: The RNA abundance follows a normal distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and unknown standard deviation  $\sigma$
- ▶ Goal: The population mean  $\mu$  is to be estimated on the basis of sample of size n=7
- ► Objectives:
  - ightharpoonup Produce point estimate of  $\mu$
  - ▶ Produce a 95% confidence interval of  $\mu$

# ESTIMATION EXAMPLE (SIMULATE DATA)

```
mu <- 0
sigma <- 1
n <- 7
set.seed(12131)
x <- rnorm(n, mu, sigma)
x

## [1] 1.5227356 -2.7829224 0.3571897 0.5478351 1.2733071 0.5166791
## [7] -1.3890287
```

### POINT ESTIMATOR

- ightharpoonup A point estimator of  $\mu$  is the so called sample mean
- ▶ The sample mean  $\bar{x}_n$  is obtained by simply averaging all the observations
- ► Note that an alternative is to used the sample median (rather than sample mean)
- ► The sample median is obtained by first sorting the observations (in say ascending order)
- ► The median is the middle observation (among the sorted observation)
- ► The median is more robust against outliers

## Point Estimates

► The data

```
x
## [1] 1.5227356 -2.7829224 0.3571897 0.5478351 1.2733071 0.5166791
## [7] -1.3890287
```

► The sample mean

```
mean(x)
## [1] 0.006542226
```

► The data sorted in ascending order

```
sort(x)
## [1] -2.7829224 -1.3890287 0.3571897 0.5166791 0.5478351 1.2733071
## [7] 1.5227356
```

► Sample median

```
median(x)
## [1] 0.5166791
```

## CONFIDENCE INTERVAL ESTIMATORS

- ▶ To construct a confidence interval for  $\mu$  we need to deal with the nuisance parameter  $\sigma$
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can estimate it using the sample standard deviation  $s_n$  (details omitted)
- ▶ A 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$  is obtained as

$$[\bar{x}_n - \frac{s_n}{\sqrt{n}}t(0.975, n-1), \bar{x}_n + \frac{s_n}{\sqrt{n}}t(0.975, n-1)]$$

- ▶ t(0.975, n-1) is the 0.975 quantile of a t distribution with n-1=6 degrees of freedom
- $ightharpoonup \frac{s_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  is called the standard error
- ▶  $\frac{s_n}{\sqrt{n}}t(0.975, n-1)$  is called the margin of error
- ► The confidence interval is obtained as the point estimate plus or minus the margin of error

### SIMULATE EXPERIMENT 1

► Calculate the sample mean

```
xbar <- mean(x)
xbar
## [1] 0.006542226
```

► Calculate standard deviation

```
s <- sd(x)
s
## [1] 1.544261
```

► Calculate standard error

```
se <- s/sqrt(n)
se
## [1] 0.5836759
```

► Calculate margin of error

#### ► Calculate 95% CI

```
c(xbar - me, xbar + me)
## [1] -1.421661 1.434746
```

### COVERED OR NOT COVERED

- ▶ The goal is to estimate  $\mu$
- ▶ If  $\mu$  (the true but unknown parameter) is contained in the confidence interval, we say that it is covered
- ► Otherwise, it is not covered
- ▶ Note that when doing a simulation study, we can ascertain if  $\mu$  is covered or not.
- ► Why?
- ▶ In real data analysis, we cannot ascertain if  $\mu$  is covered by the confidence interval
- ► Why?
- ▶ We can only state that we are 95% confident that  $\mu$  is covered by the interval estimate based on the data from our experiment
- ► More on "confidence" later

#### REPEAT THE EXPERIMENT

## Repeat the Experiment 10 times

| exp | n | mu | sigma | xbar  | S    | lcl   | ucl   | cover | len  |
|-----|---|----|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| 1   | 7 | 0  | 1     | 0.48  | 0.42 | 0.09  | 0.87  | FALSE | 0.78 |
| 2   | 7 | 0  | 1     | 0.34  | 0.88 | -0.47 | 1.15  | TRUE  | 1.63 |
| 3   | 7 | 0  | 1     | -0.51 | 1.18 | -1.60 | 0.58  | TRUE  | 2.18 |
| 4   | 7 | 0  | 1     | -0.87 | 0.67 | -1.49 | -0.25 | FALSE | 1.24 |
| 5   | 7 | 0  | 1     | -0.09 | 0.95 | -0.97 | 0.78  | TRUE  | 1.76 |
| 6   | 7 | 0  | 1     | 0.30  | 1.62 | -1.20 | 1.80  | TRUE  | 3.00 |
| 7   | 7 | 0  | 1     | -0.68 | 0.52 | -1.15 | -0.20 | FALSE | 0.96 |
| - 8 | 7 | 0  | 1     | 0.06  | 1.30 | -1.15 | 1.26  | TRUE  | 2.41 |
| 9   | 7 | 0  | 1     | 0.28  | 1.02 | -0.66 | 1.23  | TRUE  | 1.89 |
| 10  | 7 | 0  | 1     | -0.31 | 0.48 | -0.76 | 0.14  | TRUE  | 0.89 |
|     |   |    |       |       |      |       |       |       |      |

## CONFIDENCE INTERVAL: COMMON

### MISUNDERSTANDING

- ► A (not the) 95% CI for the mean based on the first experiment was (0.09, 0.87)
- ▶ A (not the) 95% CI for the mean based on the second experiment was (-0.47, 1.15)
- ▶ It is wrong to say that the probability that the first CI does not contain the true value  $\mu = 0$  is 95%
- ▶ It is also wrong to say that the probability that the second CI contains the true value  $\mu = 0$  is 95%
- ► We conduct one and only one experiment
- ▶ Based on the first experiment, we can say that we are 95% confident that it contains the true value
- $\blacktriangleright$  Note that  $\mu$  is not covered by the first experiment
- ▶ If we repeated the experiment a large number of times, 95% of the CIs would cover the true value
- ▶ We are 95% confident that the first experiment is among these (which it is not)

## RECAP: ASSUMPTIONS

- ► We do not need to make distributional assumptions (e.g., normality) on the sample mean for the purpose of point estimation
- ► The sample mean, however, is not robust against outliers
- ► Why did 1984 UNC geography graduates have high average salary?
- ► We made distributional assumptions for using the confidence interval
- ightharpoonup The margin of error was based on a t distribution

### A MORE COMPLICATED EXAMPLE: OUTLINE

- ▶ Suppose that you are measuring a quantity that is between 0 and  $\theta$
- ▶ How would you estimate  $\theta$ ?
- ► Would you take the sample average?
- ► How about the sample mean?
- ► If the measurements are uniformly distributed, it turns out that the maximum observation is an "optimal" estimator
- ▶ It is also intuitively speaking a "reasonable" estimator
- ► Why?

## A MORE COMPLICATED EXAMPLE: SIMULATION

 $\triangleright$  Simulate data from a uniform distribution on [0,1]

#### ► Sample mean

```
mean(x)
## [1] 0.4719706
```

#### ► Sample median

```
median(x)
## [1] 0.4870492
```

#### ► Maximum observation

```
max(x)
## [1] 0.9549831
```

### A MORE COMPLICATED EXAMPLE: RECAP

- ► An estimator is "valid" if it depends only on the data and no unknown quantities (including the parameter to be estimated)
- ► Why?
- ▶ Both the sample mean and median are valid estimators of  $\theta$
- ► There are, however, not good estimators
- ► In fact, in this case, the sample mean and median should be close to 0.5
- ► Why?
- ► The maximum observation is not only a valid estimator but also intuitively reasonable estimator
- ► This example has a rich history

# QUICK NOTE: ESTIMATE VERSUS ESTIMATOR

- ▶ We use the terms estimate and estimators interchangeably
- ► There is a subtle but important distinction
- ► Suppose that you decide to estimate the population mean using the sample mean (once you get the data)
- ► The sample mean is the estimator
- ► Its outcome is random before you collect the data
- ► Once you collect the data and plug them into the estimator you get an (not the) estimate