

Charles Valentine

Homework 3

9/25/2016

### Problem 1

Solution: a

### Problem 2

Due to constraints on the gamma function we are unable to compute probabilities for the range  $[-\infty, 0)$ .

```
P(X=1) is:  
[1] 0.2707
```

```
P(-2 < X < 4) is:  
[1] 0.9473
```

### Problem 3

```
n = 3 children  
p = 0.25 probability of being albino
```

### Problem 4

```
P(Y <= 2)  
[1] 0.9844  
E(Y)  
[1] 0.7500  
Var(Y)  
[1] 1.0000
```

### Problem 5

```
P(1 < X < 4)  
[1] 0.5398  
E(X)  
[1] 3.0000  
Var(X)  
[1] 6.0000  
100,000 random draws and P(1 < X < 4)  
[1] 0.5381
```

The Monte Carlo approximation was extremely accurate with a 0.1% relative error. This is in strict, but not complete, agreement with the analytical solution.

### Problem 6

```
E(Y)
[1] 10
Var(Y)
[1] 20
Does E(Y) == 10?
[1] TRUE
```

Y follows a Chi-square distribution that has been transformed by the function  $Y = 4X - 10$  from the Chi-square distribution of X with  $E(X) = 5$  and  $Var(X) = 10$ .

### Problem 7

```
P(1 < X < 1.6)
[1] 0.4332
500000 random draws and P(1 < X < 1.6)
[1] 0.4321

Probability that 2 out of 5 patients have gene expression value (1.0, 1.6)
binomial (n = 5, p = 0.4321)
[1] 0.6257
```

See code for implementation.

### Problem 8

See code for implementation. The relative errors for the function and formulaic based mean calculations are on average ~0.1% different. However, the relative errors for the function and formulaic based variance calculations average 9%. This difference could be attributed to the difficulty in measuring the variance of this distribution as it represents a scale-free function.