Issues in using PREMIS with METS

- § Which METS sections to use and how many
- § Whether to record elements redundantly in PREMIS that are defined explicitly in the METS schema
- § How to record elements that are also part of a format specific technical metadata schema (e.g. MIX)
- § Recording structural relationships
- § How to deal with locally controlled vocabularies
- Whether to use the PREMIS container

PREMIS and METS sections

- § Flexibility of METS requires implementation decisions
- You can't put all PREMIS metadata directly under amdSec
- What sections to use for PREMIS metadata?
 - Alternative 1
 - Object in techMD
 - Event in digiProvMD
 - Rights in rightsMD
 - Agent with event or rights
 - Alternative 2
 - Everything in digiProvMD
 - Alternative 3
 - Everything in techMD
- § How many administrative MD sections to use?
- § Experimentation will result in best practices

```
PREservation Metadata Implementation Strategies
<fileSec><fileGrp>
<file ID="FID1" SIZE="184302" ADMID="TMD1PREMIS TMD1MIX DP1EVENT
  DP1AGENT"
  CHECKSUM="4638bc65c5b9715557d09ad373eefd147382ecbf"
  CHECKSUMTYPE="SHA-1">
<FLocat LOCTYPE="OTHER" xlink:href="BXF22.JPG" />
</file></fileGrp></fileSec>
<techMD ID="TMD1PREMIS">
 <mdWrap MDTYPE="PREMIS">
  <xmlData>
   c
     <objectCharacteristics>
      <fixitv>
       <messageDigestAlgorithm>SHA-1 </messageDigestAlgorithm>
<messageDigest>4638bc65c5b9715557d09ad373eefd147382ecbf
        </messageDigest>
  <messageDigestOriginator>EchoDep/messageDigestOriginator>
      </fixitv>
      <size>184302</size> </objectCharacteristics>
```

Elements defined in both METS and PREMIS:

- METS: Checksum, Checksumtype
 - attribute of <file>
 - not repeatable
- § PREMIS: fixity
 - also includes messageDigestOriginator
 - allows multiples

PREservation Metadata Implementation Strategies

```
<file ID="FID1" ADMID="TMD1PREMIS DP1EVENT DP1AGENT"
  MIMETYPE="image/jpeg"
<FLocat LOCTYPE="OTHER" xlink:href="BXF22.JPG"/>
</file></fileGrp></fileSec>
<techMD ID="TMD1PREMIS"
 <mdWrap MDTYPE="PREMIS">
  <xmlData>
   cobject>
    <objectCharacteristics>
      <format>
       <formatDesignation>
        <formatName>image/jpeg</formatName>
        <formatVersion>1.02 </formatVersion>
       </formatDesignation></format>
    </objectCharacteristics>
```

Elements defined both in METS and PREMIS:

- METS: MIMETYPE
 - attribute of <file>
 - optional
- PREMIS: <format>
 - more granular; includes name and version (although name may be MIMETYPE)
 - mandatory

PREservation Metadata Implementation Strategies

- <file ID="FID1" ADMID="TMD1PREMIS TMD1MIX DP1EVENT DP1AGENT">
- <techMD ID="TMD1PREMIS">
 - <linkingEventIdentifier>
 - linkingEventIdentifierType>ECHODEP Hub Event
 - </linkingEventIdentifierType>
 - <linkingEventIdentifierValue>echo12345</linkingEventIdentifierValue>
 - </linkingEventIdentifier>
- <digiprovMD ID="DP1EVENT">
 - cont
 - <eventIdentifier>
 - <eventIdentifierType>ECHODEP Hub Event/eventIdentifierType>
 - <eventIdentifierValue>echo12345 </eventIdentifierValue>
 - </eventIdentifier>
 - <eventType>ingestion</eventType>
 - <eventDateTime>2006-05-02T15:12:53 </eventDateTime></event>

Elements defined both in METS and PREMIS

- METS ID/Idref: used to associate metadata in different sections and for different files
- **PREMIS identifiers**: explicit linking between entity types

PREservation Metadata Implementation Strategies

```
<structMap TYPE="physical">
  <div ORDER="1" TYPE="text">
  <:fptr FILEID="FID9"/>
        <div ORDER="1" TYPE="page" LABEL=" Page [1]">
        <fptr FILEID="FID1"/></mets:div>
        <div ORDER="2" TYPE="page" LABEL=" Page [2]">
        <fptr FILEID="FID2"/></mets:div>
        </div>
```

<relationship>

<relationshipType>structural</relationshipType>

<relationshipSubType>is sibling of </relationshipSubType>

<relatedObjectIdentification>

<relatedObjectIdentifierType>UCB</relatedObjectIdentifierType>

<relatedObjectIdentifierValue>FID2</relatedObjectIdentifierValue>

<relatedObjectSequence>1</relatedObjectSequence>

Elements defined both in METS and PREMIS:

- § METS: structMap
 - details structural relationships and is the heart of the METS document
 - hierarchical, so may be more expressive than PREMIS semantic units
 - links the elements of the structure to content files and metadata
- § PREMIS: <relationship>
 - details all kinds of relationships, including structural
 - . data dictionary cave that implementations may record by other means

Should semantic units be recorded redundantly?

- Various options are possible when there is overlap between PREMIS and METS or PREMIS and other technical metadata schemas
 - Record only in METS
 - Record only in PREMIS
 - Record in both
- § Are there advantages in using PREMIS semantic units?
- Is it important to keep PREMIS metadata together as a unit? There may be an advantage for reuse and maintenance purposes

Possible XPATH solution to redundant semantic units

- § From Markus Enders:
- I would extend the premis schema in a way, that every element, which might contain a text value (a text node), may have an attribute called e.g. valuePointer and a valuePointerType.

How to record elements from 2 different technical metadata schemas

- § Format specific metadata may be included in addition to PREMIS general technical metadata
- § Use multiple techMD sections and specify source in MDType attribute and/or namespace declaration
 - e.g. MDTYPE="NISOIMG" or "PREMIS"
 - Give MIX schema declaration in METS document
- § MIX was recently revised to correspond with the revision of the Z39.87 technical metadata for digital still images standard; names harmonized with corresponding PREMIS semantic units
- § For digital still images, best practice may be to use PREMIS for general semantic units defined in PREMIS and MIX for format specific units without redundancy

ID/IDREF vs. XPTR

- Should we use ID/IDREF to link from METS to PREMIS and PREMIS to METS?
- § Is XPTR a better option? Should we add an XPTR attribute to elements that already ID/IDREF attributes?

§ Issues:

 If you maintain separate xml files and associate metadata via mdRef attributes than IDREFs to that metadata do not validate

XPTR sample from Clay Redding

- <mets:mdRef LOCTYPE="URL" MDTYPE="PREMIS"
 xlink:href="premis.xml" XPTR="xpointer(id('object1'))"/>
- <!-- The following won't validate because DMDID='ver02'
 doesn't appear in this METS, only in the referenced
 external MODS Unless I have something like @DMDXPTR or
 @AMDXPTR, ala
 </p>
 - @DMDXPTR="mods.xml#xpointer(id('ver02'))" An alternative construct could follow that which is on mdRef, with @xlink:href and @XPTR -->

PREMIS Container Element

- There is some agreement to use if all PREMIS in one place, do not use if multiple PREMIS statements.
- § How to indicate the version of a PREMIS schema?
 - @version
 - namespace
 - Major vs. Minor PREMIS schemas
- What is the value of MDTYPE in METS if the PREMIS container is not used? Do we need to add a MDVERSION?
- § Should object be required for PREMIS container schema?

Should we change METS?

- § Use of amdSec
 - Relax requirement to use children (techMD, etc.)?
 - Add new child element (otherMD or preservationMD)?
- § Add to MDTYPE vocabulary for PREMIS subschemas?
- § Add MDVERSION attribute?
- § Add @XPTR?

Should we change PREMIS?

- § Extensibility mechanism for format specific metadata
- § PREMIS container requirement for objectID?
- § Use of PREMIS ID/IDREF pairs?