

# Stats 102A - Homework 6 Instructions

*Miles Chen*

Homework questions and prompts copyright Miles Chen, Do not post, share, or distribute without permission.

## Reading

- a. Comparing Groups - Chapters 6 (especially section 6.4), 7, 8, and 9 (skip 9.7 and 9.9)

Textbook available free for UCLA students: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/book/10.1002/9781118063682>

- b. Science Isn't Broken - <http://fivethirtyeight.com/features/science-isnt-broken>

- c. ASA's 2016 statement on Statistical Significance and P-Values

<http://amstat.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00031305.2016.1154108?needAccess=true>

## Part 1

**Read the two webpages linked above. Explain what a p-value is and how a researcher should use it. (150 words or less)**

## Part 2

### Randomization test for numeric data

credit: David C. Howell

"When waiting to get someone's parking space, have you ever thought that the driver you are waiting for is taking longer than necessary? Ruback and Juieng (1997) ran a simple experiment to examine that question. They observed behavior in parking lots and recorded the time that it took for a car to leave a parking place. They broke the data down on the basis of whether or not someone in another car was waiting for the space.

The data are positively skewed, because a driver can safely leave a space only so quickly, but, as we all know, they can sometimes take a very long time. But because the data are skewed, we might feel distinctly uncomfortable using a parametric t-test. So we will adopt a randomization test." – David C. Howell

Miles: Technically this is an observational study, but the assumption of exchangeability still holds. Whether someone is waiting for the driver or not can be assumed to be random and not related to the driver itself. Thus, all permutations of the condition are equally likely, and it is valid to use a randomization test.

```
# Data credit: David C. Howell
# `no_waiting` is a vector that records the time it took a driver to leave the
# parking spot if no one was waiting for the driver
no_waiting <- c(36.30, 42.07, 39.97, 39.33, 33.76, 33.91, 39.65, 84.92, 40.70, 39.65,
39.48, 35.38, 75.07, 36.46, 38.73, 33.88, 34.39, 60.52, 53.63, 50.62)

# `waiting` is a vector that records the time it takes a driver to leave if
# someone was waiting on the driver
waiting <- c(49.48, 43.30, 85.97, 46.92, 49.18, 79.30, 47.35, 46.52, 59.68, 42.89,
49.29, 68.69, 41.61, 46.81, 43.75, 46.55, 42.33, 71.48, 78.95, 42.06)

mean(waiting)
```

```
## [1] 54.1055
```

```
mean(no_waiting)

## [1] 44.421

obs_dif <- mean(waiting) - mean(no_waiting)
```

### Randomization test

Conduct a randomization test to test the hypothesis that there is no difference in average time for drivers who have a person waiting vs those who do not have a person waiting, against the alternative that drivers who have a person waiting will take *longer* than if they did not.

Be sure to calculate an empirical p-value and make the appropriate conclusion.

### Comparison to traditional t-test

Conduct a traditional two-sample independent t-test. Compare the resulting p-value from the parametric t-test with the randomization test.

## Part 3

### Another Randomization test for numeric data

#### *Textbook: Comparing Groups, Chapter 6, Exercise 6.1*

Make sure you use the **Comparing Groups** textbook. Make sure you read the description of the experiment that generated the data on page 118 of the textbook.

Be sure to read the codebook which describes each variable.

Data: <https://raw.githubusercontent.com/zief0002/comparing-groups/master/data/AfterSchool.csv>

Data Codebook: <https://github.com/zief0002/comparing-groups/blob/master/data-codebooks/AfterSchoolProgram.pdf>

### Exploratory Analysis

Carry out an exploratory analysis to initially examine whether there are treatment effects of the after-school program on victimization measures. You need only to find the summary statistics for the two groups and produce a side-by-side boxplot comparing the two groups.

### Randomization Test

Use the randomization test to evaluate whether there is convincing evidence that the after-school program has an effect on victimization.

Be sure to calculate an empirical p-value and make the appropriate conclusion.

## Part 4

The data is based on the following journal article, referenced by Samuels, et al.

Ware, J. H. (1989). Investigating therapies of potentially great benefit: ECMO. *Statistical Science*, 298-306. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/2245829?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/2245829?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is a procedure that can be used to treat newborn babies who suffer from severe respiratory failure. An experiment was conducted in which 29 babies were treated

with ECMO and 10 babies were treated with conventional medical therapy (CMT). The outcomes of this study are shown here:

	Treatment: CMT	Treatment: ECMO	Total
Outcome: Die	4	1	5
Outcome: Live	6	28	34
	10	29	39

More recently, ECMO has also been used in adults with respiratory failure caused by COVID-19.

### Randomization test

Perform a randomization test (with 10000 randomizations) to test if ECMO is more effective at saving the lives of newborn babies with respiratory failure. You'll need to generate the vector of TRUE/FALSE values on your own.

### Comparison to Fisher's Exact Test

We did not cover this in lecture, but do a tiny bit of reading on Fisher's exact test.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fisher%27s\\_exact\\_test](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fisher%27s_exact_test)

<https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-patched/library/stats/html/fisher.test.html>

Use R's `fisher.test()` function to conduct a Fisher exact test. How does your p-value of the Fisher Exact test compare to the results of the randomization test?

## Part 5

### *Comparing Groups, Chapter 7, Exercise 7.1*

Be sure to read the codebook which describes each variable.

Data: <https://raw.githubusercontent.com/zief0002/comparing-groups/master/data/HSB.csv>

Data codebook: <https://github.com/zief0002/comparing-groups/blob/master/data-codebooks/HSB.pdf>

### Non-parametric bootstrap test

Use a non-parametric bootstrap test to test if there is a difference in the variances of science scores, between public and private school students.  $H_0 : \sigma_{\text{Public}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{Private}}^2$

Make sure you calculate an empirical p-value and comment on the outcome.

### Parametric bootstrap test

Make a parametric assumption about the population of Science Scores. Use a parametric bootstrap test to test if there is a difference in the variances of science scores, between public and private school students.

$H_0 : \sigma_{\text{Public}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{Private}}^2$

Make sure you calculate an empirical p-value and comment on the outcome.

## Part 6

### Bootstrap hypothesis test for the speed of light.

In 1882 Simon Newcomb tried to measure the speed of light. He measured the time it took for light to travel from Fort Myer on the west bank of the Potomac River to a fixed mirror at the foot of the Washington monument approximately 3720 meters away. <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2002EO000297>

The values are recorded as deviations from 24800 nanoseconds. Thus a value of 28 means that Newcomb measured the time to travel to the mirror and back as 24828 nanoseconds.

He made 66 measurements, and the deviations are as follows:

```
light <- c(28, 26, 33, 24, 34, -44, 27, 16, 40, -2, 29, 22, 24, 21, 25, 30, 23, 29, 31, 19,
           24, 20, 36, 32, 36, 28, 25, 21, 28, 29, 37, 25, 28, 26, 30, 32, 36, 26, 30, 22,
           36, 23, 27, 27, 28, 27, 31, 27, 26, 33, 26, 32, 32, 24, 39, 28, 24, 25, 32, 25,
           29, 27, 28, 29, 16, 23)
```

The modern accepted value for the time light travels the distance used in the experiment is 24833 nanoseconds, or a deviation of 33.

### Non-parametric bootstrap test

Perform a bootstrap test to see if Newcomb's measurements are significantly different from the modern accepted value of 33.

- Hypotheses:  $H_0 : \mu = 33$  and  $H_A : \mu \neq 33$
- The mean of this sample of data is 26.212.
- Add a constant to the vector `light`, so the vector is centered at 33. This simulates a population of data that is centered at the true mean, but exhibits the same variation around the mean as Newcomb's measurements.
- Perform bootstrap resampling (you might need  $10^5$  repetitions) to see how often a random sample drawn from this population could produce a mean as extreme as the sample observed in our data.

### Non-parametric bootstrap test with outliers removed

Perform the bootstrap test again after removing the two negative outliers (-2, and -44) to see if the Newcomb's measurements are significantly different from the modern accepted value of 33. Don't forget to add a constant to the data (so the vector is centered at 33) after removing the outliers.