Product-one subsequences over subgroups of a finite group

by

Weidong Gao (Tianjin), Yuanlin Li (St. Catharines), Chao Liu (Tianjin) and Yongke Qu (Luoyang)

1. Introduction. As in the recent papers [10], [14] and [15], we write a finite group G multiplicatively and we say that a finite sequence S over G is a *product-one sequence* if its terms can be ordered so that their product equals 1, the identity element of the group.

Let G be a finite cyclic group and $g \in G$ with $\operatorname{ord}(g) = |G| = n$. For a sequence

$$S = g^{n_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot g^{n_l}$$
 over G , where $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_l \in [1, n]$,

we set

$$||S||_g = \frac{n_1 + \dots + n_l}{n},$$

and then denote by

$$\operatorname{ind}(S) = \min\{\|S\|_h : h \in G \text{ with } \operatorname{ord}(h) = n\} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$$

the *index* of S. The index of a sequence is a crucial invariant in the investigation of (minimal) product-one sequences (resp. of product-one free sequences) over cyclic groups. It was first addressed by Lemke and Kleitman [19], used as a key tool by Geroldinger [13, p. 736], and then investigated by Gao [7] in a systematic way. Since then it has attracted a great deal of attention from researchers in combinatorial and additive number theory and related areas (see, for example, [7, 11, 20, 21, 27]).

A possible way to generalize the concept of index of sequences from cyclic groups to finite groups is as follows. For any finite (not necessarily abelian) group G, we say that a sequence S over G has index 1 if S is a sequence over a cyclic subgroup of G and $\operatorname{ind}(S) = 1$. Let $\operatorname{t}(G)$ be the smallest positive

DOI: 10.4064/aa180103-22-8

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20D60; Secondary 11B75.

Key words and phrases: product-one sequence, maximal cyclic subgroup, cyclic-simple group.

Received 3 January 2018; revised 15 August 2018.

Published online 23 May 2019.

integer ℓ such that every sequence S over G with length $|S| \geq \ell$ has a subsequence of index 1.

For any positive integer n, let C_n denote the cyclic group of n elements. Lemke and Kleitman [19] made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. Let p be a prime. Then $t(C_p) = p$.

In fact, Lemke and Kleitman conjectured that $t(C_n) = n$ for all positive integers n, but it was shown recently that $t(C_n) > n$ for infinitely many composite integers n (see [11, 20, 21, 27]). By now we still do not know any good upper bound on t(G). Note also that Conjecture 1.1 is widely open. Thus, to determine t(G) for all finite groups seems to be very difficult. Here we will consider a related problem and determine the invariant $D^{(1)}(G)$, which is defined as the smallest integer t such that every sequence S over G with length $|S| \geq t$ has a product-one subsequence over a cyclic subgroup of G.

One reason that we consider here all finite groups (instead of restricting to finite abelian groups) is that, in recent years, product-one problems (or zero-sum problems) for nonabelian groups have attracted more and more attention (see, for example, [1, 2, 14, 15, 10, 18]). It has been shown that the Davenport constant D(G) for any finite (not necessarily commutative) group G has a close connection with the Noether number of G, an invariant from the algebraic representation theory. The investigation of product-one problems can be traced back to the 1960's. The celebrated Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv theorem [3] was originally proved for any finite solvable group, and then generalized to any finite group by Olson [23]. The Davenport constant of any finite group was first investigated by Olson and White [24].

In this paper, we will prove the following main results.

Theorem 1.2. For every finite group G,

$$\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) \ge |G|.$$

THEOREM 1.3. Let G be a finite nilpotent group. Then $\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) = |G|$ if and only if one of the following holds:

- (1) G is cyclic.
- (2) G is a p-group of exponent p, where p is a prime.
- (3) G is a dihedral 2-group of order at least 8, i.e., $G = D_{2n}$ with $n = 2^s$ for some integer $s \ge 2$.

THEOREM 1.4. Let G be a finite abelian group such that $G = C_{n_1} \times C_{n_2} \times \cdots \times C_{n_r}$ with $1 < n_1 \mid n_2 \mid \cdots \mid n_r$. Then

$$\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) = 1 + \sum_{n|n_r} \sum_{d|n_r} \sum_{d|n_r} \frac{(n-1)\mu(d)\mu(q)}{\phi(n)} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{n_i}{(n_i, q)}\right)$$

where $\phi(n)$ is Euler's totient function and $\mu(d)$ is the Möbius function.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides some notations and concepts to be used later. Section 3 deals with $\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G)$ and provides the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In Section 4 we give a proof for Theorem 1.4. Some related results will be given in the final section.

2. Preliminaries. We adopt the notations and conventions of [14].

Let G be a finite multiplicative group. The *exponent* of G, denoted by $\exp(G)$, is the least common multiple of the orders of all elements of G. Denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the subgroup of G generated by A, where A is a nonempty subset G. Recall that by a *sequence over a group* G, we mean a finite, unordered sequence where the repetition of elements is allowed. We view sequences over G as elements of the free abelian monoid $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and we denote multiplication in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ by the bold symbol \cdot rather than by juxtaposition, and use brackets for exponentiation in $\mathcal{F}(G)$.

A sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ can be written in the form $S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_\ell$, where $|S| = \ell$ is the *length* of S. For $g \in G$, let

$$\mathsf{v}_q(S) = |\{i \in [1, \ell] : g_i = g\}|$$

denote the multiplicity of g in S. A sequence $T \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is called a subsequence of S, and we write $T \mid S$, if $\mathsf{v}_g(T) \leq \mathsf{v}_g(S)$ for all $g \in G$. Denote by $T^{[-1]} \cdot S$ or $S \cdot T^{[-1]}$ the subsequence of S obtained by removing the terms of T from S.

If
$$S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$$
, then the sequence $S_1 \cdot S_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ satisfies $\mathsf{v}_q(S_1 \cdot S_2) = \mathsf{v}_q(S_1) + \mathsf{v}_q(S_2)$ for all $g \in G$.

For convenience we write

$$g^{[k]} = \underbrace{g \cdot \ldots \cdot g}_{k} \in \mathcal{F}(G)$$
 and $T^{[k]} = \underbrace{T \cdot \ldots \cdot T}_{k} \in \mathcal{F}(G)$,

for $g \in G$, $T \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $T^{[-k]} = (T^{[k]})^{[-1]}$. Suppose $S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_\ell \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. Let

$$\pi(S) = \{g_{\tau(1)} \dots g_{\tau(\ell)} : \tau \text{ a permutation of } [1, \ell]\} \subseteq G$$

denote the set of products of S. Let

$$\Pi(S) = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le \ell} \bigcup_{T|S, |T|=i} \pi(T)$$

denote the set of all subsequence products of S. The sequence S is called

- squarefree if $v_q(S) \leq 1$ for all $g \in G$;
- product-one if $1 \in \pi(S)$;
- product-one free if $1 \notin \Pi(S)$;
- minimal product-one if $1 \in \pi(S)$ and S cannot be factored into two nonempty product-one subsequences.

Let B(G) be the set of all nonempty product-one sequences over G. For any subset $\Omega \subset B(G)$, let $d_{\Omega}(G)$ be the smallest integer t such that every sequence S over G with length $|S| \geq t$ has a product-one subsequence in Ω . The invariant $d_{\Omega}(G)$ was first introduced in [12] for abelian groups.

Let r(G) be the smallest integer r such that G can be generated by r elements. For $\Omega = \bigcup_{H \leq G, \, r(H) \leq k} \mathcal{B}(H)$, let $\mathsf{D}^{(k)}(G) = d_{\Omega}(G)$. Clearly,

$$\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) \ge \mathsf{D}^{(2)}(G) \ge \dots \ge \mathsf{D}^{(r)}(G) = \mathsf{D}(G).$$

We need the following well known result [17, Theorem 5.1.10].

LEMMA 2.1. Let n > 1 be an integer, and let S be a product-one free sequence over C_n with |S| = n - 1. Then $S = g^{[n-1]}$ for some generator $g \in C_n$.

3. On $\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) = |G|$. We say that a cyclic subgroup H of G is a maximal cyclic subgroup if there is no cyclic subgroup K of G with $H \subsetneq K$. We need the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. Let G be a finite group, and let H_1, \ldots, H_m be all the distinct maximal cyclic subgroups of G. Then

$$\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|H_i| - 1).$$

Furthermore, if S is a sequence over G with $|S| = \mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) - 1$ such that S has no nonempty product-one subsequence T with $\langle T \rangle$ being cyclic, then

$$S = g_1^{[|H_1|-1]} \cdot \dots \cdot g_m^{[|H_m|-1]}$$

where $\langle g_i \rangle = H_i$ for each $i \in [1, m]$.

Proof. For every $g \in G$, the subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ generated by g is contained in some maximal cyclic subgroup of G. It follows that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} H_i = G.$$

Let S be an arbitrary sequence over G of length $|S| \ge 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|H_i| - 1)$. For every subgroup H of G, let S_H denote the subsequence of S consisting of all terms in H. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} H_i = G$, we infer that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |S_{H_i}| \ge |S| \ge 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|H_i| - 1).$$

It follows that $|S_{H_k}| \ge |H_k| = \mathsf{D}(H_k)$ for some $k \in [1, m]$. Hence, S_{H_k} has a nonempty product-one subsequence over H_k , and so does S. This proves that

$$\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) \le 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|H_i| - 1).$$

To prove the reverse inequality, for every $i \in [1, m]$ take a generator $g_i \in H_i$. Let

$$T = \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_i^{[|H_i|-1]} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_i^{[\operatorname{ord}(g_i)-1]}.$$

Clearly, T has no nonempty product-one subsequence with spanning subgroup cyclic. This proves the reverse inequality, completing the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Let S be a sequence over G with $|S| = \mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|H_i| - 1)$. Suppose that S has no nonempty product-one subsequence with spanning subgroup cyclic. It follows that S_{H_i} is product-one free for each $i \in [1, m]$. Therefore,

$$|S_{H_i}| \le |H_i| - 1$$
 for each $i \in [1, m]$.

It follows from $\sum_{i=1}^{m} |S_{H_i}| \ge |S| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|H_i| - 1)$ that

$$|S_{H_i}| = |H_i| - 1$$
 for each $i \in [1, m]$.

This together with S_{H_i} being product-one free implies that $S_{H_i} = g_i^{[|H_i|-1]}$ for some generator g_i of H_i by Lemma 2.1, completing the proof.

REMARK 3.2. We can simplify the formula for $\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G)$ in Theorem 1.4 for some special groups. For the groups listed in Theorem 1.3 we have $\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G)$ = |G|. Let p be a prime, and let $G = C_{p^a} \oplus C_{p^b}$ with $1 \le a \le b$. From Theorem 1.4, or Theorem 3.1, we can obtain $\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) = 1 + p^{a-1}(p^{b+1} + p^b + pa - pb - p - a + b - 1)$.

A finite (not necessarily abelian) group G is called *cyclic-simple* if any two maximal cyclic subgroups H and K of G have trivial intersection, i.e., $H \cap K = \{1\}$. Our first main result follows from the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. Let G be a finite group. Then $\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) \geq |G|$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if G is cyclic-simple.

Proof. Let H_1, \ldots, H_k be all the distinct maximal cyclic subgroups of G. Then

$$H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_k = G.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$D^{(1)}(G) = 1 + |H_1 \setminus \{1\}| + \dots + |H_k \setminus \{1\}|$$

$$\geq 1 + |(H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k) \setminus \{1\}| = |G|.$$

Moreover, $\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) = |G|$ if and only if $H_i \cap H_j = \{1\}$ for any distinct $i, j \in [1, k]$, i.e., if and only if G is cyclic-simple.

Theorem 3.4. If a finite group G is cyclic-simple, then every subgroup H of G is also cyclic-simple.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that H is not cyclic-simple. By the definition of a cyclic-simple group, there exist distinct maximal cyclic subgroups H_1 and H_2 of H such that $\{1\} \subsetneq H_1 \cap H_2$. Let K_1 and K_2 be the maximal cyclic subgroups of G which contain H_1 and H_2 respectively. Then $\{1\} \subsetneq H_1 \cap H_2 \subset K_1 \cap K_2$. Since G is cyclic-simple, we must have $K_1 = K_2 = K$. Therefore, $H_1 \subset K \cap H$ and $H_2 \subset K \cap H$. By the maximality of H_1 and H_2 , we infer that $H_1 = K \cap H = H_2$, a contradiction. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 3.5. Let G be a finite abelian group. If G is cyclic-simple, then either G is cyclic, or G is an elementary abelian p-group for some prime p.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that G is neither cyclic nor an elementary abelian p-group. Then $G = C_{n_1} \times C_{n_2} \times \cdots \times C_{n_r}$ with $1 < n_1 \mid n_2 \mid \cdots \mid n_r$, $r \ge 2$ and n_r composite. By Theorem 3.4, the subgroup $H = C_{n_1} \times C_{n_r}$ is cyclic-simple. Let $x \in C_{n_1}$ with $\operatorname{ord}(x)$ prime and let $y \in C_{n_r}$ with $\operatorname{ord}(y) = n_r$. Now the two different cyclic subgroups $\langle y \rangle$ and $\langle xy \rangle$ both have order n_r , the maximal value of the order of a cyclic subgroup of G. Therefore, both $\langle y \rangle$ and $\langle xy \rangle$ are maximal cyclic subgroups of G. But $1 \ne y^{\operatorname{ord}(x)} \in \langle y \rangle \cap \langle xy \rangle$, a contradiction. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 3.6. Let G be a finite group with nontrivial center Z(G), i.e., |Z(G)| > 1. If G is cyclic-simple and G has an element of composite order, then

- (1) G has exactly one maximal cyclic subgroup H of composite order;
- (2) $Z(G) \subset H$;
- (3) H is a normal subgroup of G.

Proof. Let H be a maximal cyclic subgroup of composite order. Take any $x \in Z(G)$. Consider the abelian subgroup $\langle x, H \rangle$ of G. Clearly, it is not an elementary abelian p-group for any prime p as H is a cyclic group of composite order. By Corollary 3.5, $\langle x, H \rangle$ is cyclic. Hence, $\langle x, H \rangle = H$ and thus $\langle x \rangle \subset H$. Therefore, $Z(G) \subset H$, proving (2), while (1) follows from the assumption that G is cyclic-simple.

It remains to prove H is normal. Let $g \in G$, and let y be a generator of H. Then $\operatorname{ord}(gyg^{-1}) = \operatorname{ord}(y)$ is composite. Since H is the unique maximal cyclic subgroup of G with composite order |H|, this forces $gyg^{-1} \in H$, so H is normal. \blacksquare

LEMMA 3.7. Let G be a finite noncyclic p-group for some prime p. Suppose that G has exponent larger than p. If G is cyclic-simple, then p=2 and G is the dihedral 2-group D_{2n} with $n=2^s$ and $s\geq 2$.

Proof. It is well known that |Z(G)| > 1 as G is a nontrivial p-group. Since G is cyclic-simple and has exponent larger than p, by Corollary 3.6 we

conclude that G has exactly one maximal cyclic subgroup H with |H| > p, $G \setminus H \neq \emptyset$ and every element in $G \setminus H$ of order p. Let a be a generator of H and let

$$p^m = \operatorname{ord}(a) = |H|.$$

Take any $b \in G \setminus H$. Since H is a normal subgroup of G by Corollary 3.6, we have $bab^{-1} \in H$, and thus $bab^{-1} = a^k$. Now we have

(3.1)
$$b^p = 1$$
, $(ba)^p = (ab)^p = 1$, and $ba = a^k b$.

From $ba = a^k b$, we infer that

$$(3.2) ba^{\ell} = a^{\ell k} b.$$

Since $Z(G) \subset H$ and |Z(G)| > 1, we obtain $a^{p^{m-1}} \in Z(G)$. Therefore, $ba^{p^{m-1}}b^{-1} = a^{p^{m-1}}$. On the other hand, from $bab^{-1} = a^k$ we deduce that $ba^{p^{m-1}}b^{-1} = a^{kp^{m-1}}$. Hence, $a^{p^{m-1}} = a^{kp^{m-1}}$. This implies that

$$p^{m-1} \equiv kp^{m-1} \pmod{p^m},$$

or equivalently

$$(3.3) k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

By induction on $t \geq 2$ and $ba^{\ell} = a^{\ell k}b$ we can deduce that

$$(3.4) (ab)^t = a^{1+k+k^2+\dots+k^{t-1}}b^t.$$

In particular,

$$1 = (ab)^p = a^{1+k+k^2+\dots+k^{p-1}}b^p = a^{1+k+k^2+\dots+k^{p-1}}.$$

This gives

(3.5)
$$\frac{k^p - 1}{k - 1} = 1 + k + k^2 + \dots + k^{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}.$$

By (3.3) we know that k = sp + 1 for some integer s. This together with (3.5) gives

(3.6)
$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} (sp)^{p-i}}{sp} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}.$$

If $p \geq 3$, then the left side of (3.6) is equal to $p^2\alpha + p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$ as m > 1, where $\alpha = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \binom{p}{i} (sp)^{p-i}}{sp^3}$ is an integer, giving a contradiction. Thus we must have p = 2 and $k = 2s + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2^m}$ by (3.6). Therefore,

$$bab^{-1} = a^{-1}.$$

We show next that

$$G = \langle a, b \rangle.$$

Assume to the contrary that $G \setminus \langle a, b \rangle \neq \emptyset$. Take any $c \in G \setminus \langle a, b \rangle$. As above, we can prove that

$$cac^{-1} = a^{-1}$$
.

Therefore,

$$(bc)a(bc)^{-1} = b(cac^{-1})b^{-1} = ba^{-1}b^{-1} = a.$$

So, the subgroup $\langle bc, a \rangle$ generated by bc and a is abelian. By Corollary 3.5 we find that $\langle bc, a \rangle$ is cyclic. Since H is a maximal cyclic subgroup of G, we obtain $\langle bc, a \rangle = H = \langle a \rangle$. So, $bc \in \langle bc, a \rangle = H \subset \langle b, a \rangle$, contrary to the choice of $c \in G \setminus \langle a, b \rangle$. This proves that $G = \langle a, b \rangle$, and $G = D_{2n}$ with $n = |G|/2 = 2^s$ and $s \ge 2$.

As a consequence, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.8. If G is a finite cyclic-simple group, then for every odd prime divisor p of |G|, each Sylow p-subgroup of G is either a p-group of exponent p or a cyclic group. Moreover, if 2||G|, then each Sylow 2-subgroup is either an elementary abelian 2-group, or a cyclic group, or a dihedral 2-group of order at least 8.

We are now ready to prove the second main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since G is nilpotent, it has a unique Sylow p-subgroup for each prime $p \mid |G|$.

If G is a finite p-group for some prime p, then the result follows from Lemma 3.7. Now assume that |G| has at least two distinct prime divisors.

We first assume that the Sylow p-subgroup of G is not cyclic for some prime $p \mid |G|$. Let H be the Sylow p-subgroup of G, and let K be the Sylow q-subgroup of G for a prime $q \mid |G|$ with $q \neq p$. Since G is nilpotent, the group $H \times K$ is a subgroup of G. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that $HK = H \times K$ is cyclic-simple.

Take $x \in K$ with $\operatorname{ord}(x)$ maximal. Since H is not cyclic, we can take two elements a, b in H with $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ different maximal cyclic subgroups of H. Note that for any $c \in H$ and $z \in K$ we have cz = zc and $\operatorname{ord}(cz) = \operatorname{ord}(c) \operatorname{ord}(z)$. By the maximality of the orders of x, a, b, both $\langle ax \rangle$ and $\langle bx \rangle$ are maximal cyclic subgroups of $HK = H \times K$. However, $1 \neq x^{|H|} = (ax)^{|H|} = (bx)^{|H|} \in \langle ax \rangle \cap \langle bx \rangle$, yielding a contradiction to HK being cyclic-simple.

Thus for every prime $p \mid |G|$, the Sylow p-subgroup of G is cyclic. Hence G is cyclic and we are done. \blacksquare

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4. We say an element $g \in G$ is *irreducible* if the subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of G. For any positive factor d of $n_r = \exp(G)$, let

$$w(d) = |\{g \in G : \operatorname{ord}(g) = d \text{ and } g \text{ is irreducible}\}|.$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have

(4.1)
$$\mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G) = 1 + \sum_{d \mid n_r} \frac{w(d)}{\phi(d)} (d-1).$$

For every positive factor n of n_r , let

$$f(n) = |\{g \in G : ng = 0 \text{ and } g \text{ is irreducible}\}|.$$

Then

$$\sum_{d|n} w(d) = f(n).$$

By the Möbius inversion theorem,

(4.2)
$$w(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(n/d).$$

So, it remains to compute f(n). For every factor $q \mid n_r$, let

$$h(n,q) = |\{g \in G : ng = 0, g \in qG\}|.$$

Let

$$n_r = p_1^{u_1} \cdots p_l^{u_l}$$

with p_1, \ldots, p_l distinct primes. By the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle we get

$$f(n) = h(n,1) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} h(n,p_i) + \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le l} h(n,p_ip_j) - \dots + (-1)^l h(n,p_1p_2 \cdots p_l).$$

Since $\mu(d) = 0$ if d is not square-free, we obtain

(4.3)
$$f(n) = \sum_{q|n_r} \mu(q)h(n,q).$$

Note that

$$qG = C_{\frac{n_1}{(n_1,q)}} \oplus C_{\frac{n_2}{(n_2,q)}} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{\frac{n_r}{(n_r,q)}}$$

with $1 \le \frac{n_1}{(n_1,q)} | \frac{n_2}{(n_2,q)} | \cdots | \frac{n_r}{(n_r,q)}$. Write

$$qG = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle e_r \rangle$$

with $\operatorname{ord}(e_i) = n_i/(n_i, q)$ for every $i \in [1, r]$. An element $g = m_1e_1 + m_2e_2 + \cdots + m_re_r \in qG$ satisfies ng = 0 if and only if

$$nm_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n_i/(n_i,q)}$$

for every $i \in [1, r]$.

Note that the number of solutions for the congruence $ax \equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ is (a,v). We infer that $h(n,q) = \prod_{i=1}^r (n,n_i/(n_i,q))$. Now the desired result follows from (4.1)–(4.3).

5. Some related results. Let \mathscr{F} be a set of some subgroups of a finite group G and let $\Omega_{\mathscr{F}} = \bigcup_{H \in \mathscr{F}} B(H)$. We first recall a result from [12].

LEMMA 5.1 ([12, Proposition 3.1]). Let G be a finite group, and let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}(G)$. Then $d_{\Omega}(G) < \infty$ if and only if for every $g \in G$, $g^{k \operatorname{ord}(g)} \in \Omega$ for some positive integer k = k(g).

We remark that in [12] the above lemma was stated for G abelian. However, the same proof works for the general case.

The following result regarding $d_{\Omega_{\mathscr{F}}}$ follows immediately from Lemma 5.1.

Theorem 5.2. $d_{\Omega_{\mathscr{F}}} < \infty$ if and only if $\bigcup_{H \in \mathscr{F}} H = G$.

By the definitions of t(G) and $D^{(1)}(G)$, we can easily deduce that

$$\mathsf{t}(G) \ge \mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G)$$

for any finite group G.

The following proposition exhibits some special groups for which equality holds in (5.1).

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let G be a finite group. If $\exp(G) \leq 7$ then $\mathsf{t}(G) = \mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G)$.

Proof. In view of (5.1), it suffices to prove that $\mathsf{t}(G) \leq \mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G)$. This follows from the fact that every minimal product-one sequence over C_n with $n \leq 7$ has index 1. \blacksquare

The proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to the following one.

Conjecture 5.4. Let G be a finite p-group with $\exp(G) = p$ for some prime p. Then $\mathsf{t}(G) = |G| = \mathsf{D}^{(1)}(G)$.

We next compute $\mathsf{D}^{(2)}(G)$ for a finite elementary abelian 2-group G:

Theorem 5.5. Let $G = C_2^r$ with $r \ge 1$ be an elementary abelian 2-group. Then

$$\mathsf{D}^{(2)}(G) = 2^{r-1} + 1.$$

Let G be a finite abelian group. For each integer $k \geq \exp(G)$, let $\mathsf{s}_{\leq k}(G)$ be the smallest positive integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ has a nonempty product-one subsequence T with $|T| \leq k$. The invariant $\mathsf{s}_{\leq k}(G)$ was studied recently in [22] and [26]. By the definitions of $\mathsf{D}^{(k)}(G)$ and $\mathsf{s}_{\leq t}(G)$, we can easily obtain the following result.

Lemma 5.6. For any finite abelian G and any positive integer $\ell \leq r(G)$,

$$\mathsf{D}^{(\ell)}(G) \le \mathsf{s}_{\le \ell+1}(G).$$

Proof. Let S be an arbitrary sequence over G with $|S| = \mathsf{s}_{\leq \ell+1}(G)$. By the definition of $\mathsf{s}_{\leq \ell+1}(G)$, there is a nonempty product-one subsequence T with $|T| \leq \ell+1$. Since T is product-one, it follows that $r(\langle T \rangle) \leq |T|-1 \leq \ell$, completing the proof. \blacksquare

We need the following well known result (see [17, Theorem 5.5.9] for a proof).

LEMMA 5.7. Let $G = C_{p^{e_1}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{e_r}}$ be a finite abelian p-group for some prime p. Then $D(G) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (p^{e_i} - 1)$.

We now prove the following main lemma.

LEMMA 5.8. For every positive integer r and $\ell \leq r$,

$$\mathsf{D}^{(\ell)}(C_2^r) = \mathsf{s}_{\leq \ell+1}(C_2^r).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, it suffices to prove that $\mathsf{s}_{\leq \ell+1}(C_2^r) \leq \mathsf{D}^{(\ell)}(C_2^r)$. Let S be a sequence over C_2^r with $|S| = \mathsf{D}^{(\ell)}(C_2^r)$. We need to prove that S has a nonempty product-one subsequence with length not exceeding $\ell+1$. By the definition of $\mathsf{D}^{(\ell)}(C_2^r)$, S has a nonempty product-one subsequence T with $r(\langle T \rangle) \leq \ell$. By Lemma 5.7 we obtain $\mathsf{D}(\langle T \rangle) = \mathsf{D}(C_2^{r(\langle T \rangle)}) = r(\langle T \rangle) + 1$, and thus T has a nonempty product-one subsequence W with $|W| \leq r(\langle T \rangle) + 1 \leq \ell+1$, completing the proof. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 5.5. By Lemma 5.8, we have $\mathsf{D}^{(2)}(C_2^r) = \mathsf{s}_{\leq 3}(C_2^r)$. Since $\mathsf{s}_{\leq 3}(C_2^r) = 2^{r-1} + 1$ [5, Theorem 7.2], we obtain the desired result.

REMARK 5.9. A subset A of G is said to be sum-free if $A \cap (A+A) = \emptyset$. When $G = C_2^r$, we have $\mathsf{D}^{(2)}(G) = \mathsf{s}_{\leq 3}(G)$, which is equal to one plus the maximal cardinality of a sum-free subset of G. Sum-free sets have been studied since the 1960s. It was proved in [25] that if $G = C_p^r$ for some prime $p = 3k \pm 1$ then the maximal cardinality of a sum-free subset of G is equal to kp^{r-1} . In particular, when p = 2, the above result implies that $\mathsf{D}^{(2)}(G) = 2^{r-1} + 1$, which also admits a very direct proof.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the referee for his/her very useful suggestion. The research was carried out during a visit by the first author to Brock University as an international visiting scholar. He would like to gratefully thank the host institution for its hospitality and for providing an excellent atmosphere for research.

This research was supported in part by the 973 Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834204), the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Science and Technology, the National Science Foundation of China under grants no. 11671218 and no. 11701256, and was also supported in part by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

^[1] K. Cziszter and M. Domokos, *Groups with large Noether bound*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 64 (2014), 909–944.

^[2] K. Cziszter and M. Domokos, The Noether number for the groups with a cyclic subgroup of index two, J. Algebra 399 (2014), 546–560.

- [3] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv, Theorem in the additive number theory, Bull. Res. Council Israel Sect. F 10 (1961), 41–43.
- [4] Y. Fan, W. Gao, L. Wang and Q. Zhong, Two zero-sum invariants on finite abelian groups, Eur. J. Combin. 34 (2013), 1331–1337.
- [5] M. Freeze and W. Schmid, Remarks on a generalization of the Davenport constant, Discrete Math. 310 (2012), 3373–3389.
- [6] W. Gao, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, J. Number Theory 58 (1996), 100–103.
- [7] W. Gao, Zero sums in finite cyclic groups, Integers 0 (2000), art. A12, 7 pp.
- [8] W. Gao, On zero-sum subsequences of restricted size. II, Discrete Math. 271 (2003), 51–59.
- [9] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: A survey, Exposition. Math. 24 (2006), 337–369.
- [10] W. Gao, Y. Li and J. Peng, An upper bound for the Davenport constant of finite groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218 (2014), 1838–1844.
- [11] W. Gao, Y. Li, J. Peng, C. Plyley and G. Wang, On the index of sequences over cyclic groups, Acta Arith. 148 (2011), 119–134.
- [12] W. Gao, Y. Li, J. Peng and G. Wang, A unifying look at zero-sum invariants, Int. J. Number Theory 14 (2018), 705–711.
- [13] A. Geroldinger, On non-unique factorizations into irreducible elements. II, in: Number Theory (Budapest, 1987), Vol. II, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 51, North-Holland, 1990, 723–757.
- [14] A. Geroldinger and D. J. Grynkiewicz, The large Davenport constant I: Groups with a cyclic, index 2 subgroup, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. 217 (2013), 863–885.
- [15] D. J. Grynkiewicz, The large Davenport constant II: General upper bounds, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 217 (2013), 2221–2246.
- [16] A. Geroldinger, D. Grynkiewicz and W. Schmid, Zero-sum problems with congruence conditions, Acta Math. Hungar. 131 (2011), 323–345.
- [17] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory, Pure Appl. Math. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [18] D. Han and H. Zhang, Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem and Noether number for $C_m \ltimes_{\varphi} C_{mn}$, J. Number Theory 198 (2019), 159–175.
- [19] P. Lemke and D. Kleitman, An addition theorem on the integers modulo n, J. Number Theory 31 (1989), 335–345.
- [20] Y. Li, C. Plyley, P. Yuan, and X. Zeng, Minimal zero-sum sequences of length four over finite cyclic groups, J. Number Theory 130 (2010), 2033–2048.
- [21] C. Liu, On the index-r-free sequences over finite cyclic groups, Int. J. Number Theory 14 (2018), 1627–1636.
- [22] A. Plagne and W. Schmid, An application of coding theory to estimating Davenport constants, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 61 (2011), 105–118.
- [23] J. Olson, On a combinatorial problem of Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv, J. Number Theory 8 (1976), 52–57.
- [24] J. E. Olson and E. T. White, Sums from a sequence of group elements, in: Number Theory and Algebra, H. Zassenhaus (ed.), Academic Press, 1977, 215–222.
- [25] A. Rhemtulla and A. Street, Maximal sum-free sets in finite abelian groups, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 289–297.
- [26] C. Wang and K. Zhao, On zero-sum subsequences of length not exceeding a given number, J. Number Theory 176 (2017), 365–374.
- [27] X. Zeng, P. Yuan, and Y. Li, On a conjecture of Lemke and Kleitman, Acta Arith. 168 (2015), 289–299.

Weidong Gao, Chao Liu Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC Nankai University Tianjin 300071, P.R. China E-mail: wdgao@nankai.edu.cn

math@chaoliu.science

Yongke Qu Department of Mathematics Luoyang Normal University Luoyang, P.R. China E-mail: yongke1239@163.com Yuanlin Li
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Brock University
St. Catharines, Ontario
Canada L2S 3A1
E-mail: yli@brocku.ca