

Nuclear Structure

Charles Robert Loelius

January 21, 2014

1 Wavefunction for N=3

We can easily calculate the explicit form of our Slater determinant as such:

$$\Phi_{\lambda}^{AS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_p (-1)^p P \Pi_{i=1}^3 \psi_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \quad (1)$$

This can be found via an actual determinant as such:

$$\Phi_{\lambda}^{AS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1(x_1) & \psi_1(x_2) & \psi_1(x_3) \\ \psi_2(x_1) & \psi_2(x_2) & \psi_2(x_3) \\ \psi_3(x_1) & \psi_3(x_2) & \psi_3(x_3) \end{vmatrix} \quad (2)$$

We then merely take this determinant to find that

$$\Phi_{\lambda}^{AS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}} (\psi_1(x_1)(\psi_2(x_2)\psi_3(x_3) - \psi_3(x_2)\psi_2(x_3)) + \psi_1(x_2)(\psi_3(x_1)\psi_1(x_3) - \psi_1(x_1)\psi_3(x_3)) + \psi_1(x_3)(\psi_2(x_1)\psi_3(x_2) - \psi_3(x_1)\psi_2(x_2))) \quad (3)$$

2 Normalization

In order to prove normalization we argue from induction. We note that the case where $N = 1$ is trivial, as it is merely then that $\Phi = \psi$, as $\frac{1}{N!} = 1$ in this case, and so is trivially normalized.

Let us now assume that the previous $N - 1$ Slater determinants were normalized properly. We prove that the N th Slater determinant is also normalized.

So we consider this determinant and show that:

$$\Phi_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_N(x_1) & \cdots & \psi_N(x_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_1(x_1) & \cdots & \psi_1(x_N) \end{vmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Now taking this determinant we can sum over the elements of the top row times the determinant of the matrix formed by removing the top row and the i th column, where i is the index of the item of the top row being multiplied. We can then note that this forms a Slater-esque determinant which I denote as χ_i , where we are taking a Slater determinant of the matrix of size $N - 1$ with the wavefunction $\psi_i(x_i)$ replaced by $\psi_i(x_N)$, and where there is no normalization constant.

We then have that

$$\Phi_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_i (-1)^i \psi_N(x_i) \chi_i \quad (5)$$

Now we thus have that in the expansion of $\Phi_N^* \Phi_N$, only those terms involving $\psi_N(x_i)^* \chi_i^* \psi_N(x_i) \chi_i$ will survive, because any other term will involve $\psi_N(x_i)^* \chi_j$ or $\psi_N(x_i) \chi_j^*$. But those terms must therefore contain $\psi_N(x_i)$ and $\psi_k(x_i)$ for $k \neq N$. This is then 0 because each ψ_i is orthonormalized.

We then just have the sum:

$$\Phi_N^* \Phi_N = \sum_i \frac{1}{N!} (\psi_N(x_i)^* \chi_i^* \psi_N(x_i) \chi_i) \quad (6)$$

We then note that the $\psi_N(x_i)^* \psi_N(x_i)$ will integrate out to 1 by orthonormality. We then have that

$$\int dx \vec{\Phi}_N^* \Phi_N = \sum \frac{1}{N!} \int dx \vec{\chi}_i^* \chi_i \quad (7)$$

We then have that from the assumption that the normalization condition holds, that as the indices i are arbitrary, it follows that

$$\int dx \vec{\chi}_i^* \chi_i = \int dx (N-1)! \Phi_{(N-1)}^* \Phi_{(N-1)} = (N-1)! \quad (8)$$

We then have that, noting that there are N indices being summed over:

$$\int dx \vec{\Phi}_N^* \Phi_N = \sum \frac{1}{N!} \int dx \vec{\chi}_i^* \chi_i = \frac{N}{N!} (N-1)! = 1 \quad (9)$$

We then have via induction that the normalization condition holds for all Slater determinants.

3 Matrix Elements

We define two operators

$$F \hat{=} \sum_i^N \hat{f}(x_i) \quad (10)$$

$$G \hat{=} \sum_{i>j}^N g \hat{g}(x_i, x_j) \quad (11)$$

We then note that for a two particle system the slater determinant must be:

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1(x_1)\psi_2(x_2) - \psi_1(x_2)\psi_2(x_1)) \quad (12)$$

We furthermore note that this means in the two particle case:

$$F \hat{=} f(x_1) + f(x_2) \quad (13)$$

$$G \hat{=} g(x_i, x_j) \quad (14)$$

We then define $\langle \psi_i(x_i) | \langle \psi_j(x_j) |$ as $\langle \psi_i \psi_j |$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | F | \Phi \rangle = & \frac{1}{2}(\langle \psi_1 \psi_2 | (f(x_1) + f(x_2)) | \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle - \langle \psi_1 \psi_2 | (f(x_1) + f(x_2)) | \psi_2 \psi_1 \rangle - \\ & \langle \psi_2 \psi_1 | (f(x_1) + f(x_2)) | \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle + \langle \psi_2 \psi_1 | (f(x_1) + f(x_2)) | \psi_2 \psi_1 \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now since the f terms don't interact between the two variables x_1, x_2 , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | F | \Phi \rangle = & \frac{1}{2}(\langle \psi_1(x_1) | f(x_1) | \psi_1(x_1) \rangle + \langle \psi_1(x_2) | f(x_2) | \psi_1(x_2) \rangle \\ & + \langle \psi_2(x_1) | f(x_1) | \psi_2(x_1) \rangle + \langle \psi_2(x_2) | f(x_2) | \psi_2(x_2) \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In the case that the f operators are the same for both particles(as would again be the same for identical particles) it follows that

$$\langle \Phi | F | \Phi \rangle = \langle \psi_1(x_1) | f(x_1) | \psi_1(x_1) \rangle + \langle \psi_2(x_1) | f(x_1) | \psi_2(x_1) \rangle \quad (17)$$

We can do the same now with the g term, as:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | G | \Phi \rangle = & \frac{1}{2}(\langle \psi_1 \psi_2 | (g(x_1, x_2)) | \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle - \langle \psi_1 \psi_2 | (g(x_1, x_2)) | \psi_2 \psi_1 \rangle - \\ & \langle \psi_2 \psi_1 | (g(x_1, x_2)) | \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle + \langle \psi_2 \psi_1 | (g(x_1, x_2)) | \psi_2 \psi_1 \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Noting that in the g case there is no distinction between x_1 and x_2 it follows that if they are indistinguishable particles(as they must be for the slater determinant to be a reasonable choice)

$$\langle \Phi | G | \Phi \rangle = (\langle \psi_1 \psi_2 | (g(x_1, x_2)) | \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle - \langle \psi_1 \psi_2 | (g(x_1, x_2)) | \psi_2 \psi_1 \rangle) \quad (19)$$

3.1 An Explication of the Shorthand

We represent the slater determinant of wavefunctions, for example in the two dimensional case, as:

$$\Phi = |\nu\mu\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu(x_1)\mu(x_2) - \mu(x_1)\nu(x_2)) \quad (20)$$

Hence when taking these expectation values we have:

$$\langle \nu\mu | \hat{O} | \nu\mu \rangle \quad (21)$$

From this I think we can anticipate that there will be a symmetry in operators being considered based on a change in index, as would be expected. Which is to say that the Slater Determinant at some level will interact in a standard way with the operators so that we might "ignore" the fact that the system is in fact composed of them.