

# Exercise 1 Foundations of Distributed Systems

Task 1:

Anastasiya Moshkova  
Yannick Martin

Algorithm: Initialization Barrier

Implements:

Initialization Barrier, instance ib.

Uses:

Perfect PointToPointLinks, instance pl.

upon event  $\langle ib, \text{Init} \rangle$  do

processes :=  $\Pi$ ;

upon event  $\langle p, \text{Init} \rangle$  do

forall  $q \in \text{processes}$  do

trigger  $\langle pl, \text{Send} | q, m \rangle$ ;

upon event  $\langle pl, \text{Deliver} | p, m \rangle$

processes := processes \ {p};

if  $\text{processes} = \emptyset$

trigger  $\langle ib, \text{Ready} \rangle$ ;

Task 2:

Module: FIFO PerfectPointToPointLinks

Module:

Name PerfectPointToPointLinks, instance pl.

Events:

Request:  $\langle pl, \text{Send} | q, m \rangle$ : Request to send message m to process q.

Indication:  $\langle pl, \text{Deliver} | p, m \rangle$ : Delivers message m sent by process p.

Properties:

FPL1: Reliable delivery: same as PL1

FPL2: No duplication: same as PL2

FPL3: No creation: same as PL3

FPL4: FIFO Delivery: If some process sends m, before the same or another process sends another message m<sub>2</sub>, then no correct process delivers m<sub>2</sub> unless it has already delivered m<sub>1</sub>.

## Algorithm: FIFO Perfect Point To Point Links

Implements:

FIFOPerfectPointToPointLinks, instance fpte.

Uses:

PerfectPointToPointLinks, instance pl.

# Initialize the local seq. number and next seq. number

upon event <fpte, init> do

forall  $p \in \Pi$  do

$lsn[p] := 0;$

$next[p] := 1;$

# Event for sending q, message.

upon event <fpte, Send | q, m> do

# Increment lsn for the sender (q);

$lsn[q] = lsn[q] + 1;$

# Sending message and lsn;

trigger <pl, Send | q, lsn[q]>;

# Event to deliver a message (p, sn) to a process p

upon event <pl, Deliver | p, m> do

$pending := pending \cup \{(p, m, sn)\};$

# Process pending messages while there are messages with the expected sequence number.

while exist  $(q, s, sn') \in pending$  such that  $sn' = next[q]$  do

$next[q] := next[q] + 1;$

$pending := pending \setminus \{(q, n, sn')\};$

trigger <fpte, Deliver | q, n>;

## Task 3:

Task 3	
<p>① <u>In case</u></p> <p>No Loss of Data.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In my implementation there is an assumption that there is no data loss or corruption in transit. (Reliable delivery; PL1). In real world it may happen.</li> <li>• TCP handles damaged data (Reliability)           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Error detection (using checksum)</li> <li>◦ Retransmission</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

### ② Bandwidth

• The pl is assumed to have infinite capacity, so there are no bandwidth limitations.

• But in real life there are some limits.

TCP handles this using Flow control:

This is achieved by returning a "window" with every ACK indicating a range of acceptable seq. numbers beyond the last segment successfully received. The window indicates an allowed # that sender may transmit.

## Task 4)

## In the model

- Event - driven
- Assumed that each process deals with events one at a time in a way that prevents concurrent handling of multiply events.

## Java Concurrent

Not always Java Concurrency will support stat machines model, but we can make architecture that threads will be event-driven and use `java.util.concurrent.atomic` to make sure that operations on these variables are thread-safe without the need for explicit synchronization and create event queue to make sure we will have FIFO ordering.

## JavaScript

- Event - driven
- JS has a runtime model based on an event loop, which is responsible for collecting and processing events, and executing sub-tasks.

Answer: yes