

// SECURE BY DEFAULT? cloudogu PRAGMATICALLY IMPROVE APP SECURITY USING K8S BUILT-INS

Johannes Schnatterer

Cloudogu GmbH



Version: 202010221018-20555fe

K8s built-in security mechanisms

- Network Policies
- Security Context
- Pod Security Policies

Plenty of Options


- Secure by default?
- How to improve pragmatically?



A "firewall" for communication between pods.

- Applied to pods
 - within namespace
 - via labels
- Ingress / egress
 - to/from pods (in namespaces) or CIDRs (egress only)
 - for specific ports (optional)
- Enforced by the CNI Plugin (e.g. Calico)
- No Network Policies: All traffic allowed

∓ Helpful to get started



- This://github.com/ahmetb/kubernetes-network-policy-recipes
- Interactively describes what a netpol does:

kubectl describe netpol <name>

Recommendation: Restrict ingress traffic

In all application namespaces (not kube-system, operators, etc.):

- Deny ingress between pods,
- then allow specific routes only.

Advanced: Restrict egress to the outside

- Verbose solution:
 - Deny egress between pods,
 - then allow specific routes,
 - repeating all ingress rules.
- More pragmatic solution:
 - Allow only egress within the cluster,
 - then allow specific pods that need access to internet.
- egress target IP addresses might be difficult to maintain

Advanced: Restrict kube-system / operator traffic

Might stop the apps in your cluster from working

Don't forget to:

- Allow external ingress to ingress controller
- Allow access to DNS from every namespace
- Allow DNS egress to the outside (if needed)
- Allow operators egress (Backup, LetsEncrypt, external-dns, Monitoring, Logging, GitOps-Repo, Helm Repos, etc.)


M Net pol pitfalls

- Allow monitoring tools (e.g. Prometheus)
- Restart might be necessary (e.g. Prometheus)
- No labels on namespaces by default
- Allowing egress to API server difficult
 - https://stackoverflow.com/a/56494510/
- Policies might not be supported by CNI Plugin.
 - Testing!
 - https://www.inovex.de/blog/test-kubernetes-network-policies/
 - https://github.com/inovex/illuminatio

More Features?

- Proprietary extensions of CNI Plugin (e.g. cilium or calico)
- Service Meshes: similar features, also work with multiple clusters
 - different strengths, support each other (ISO/OSI Layer 7 vs 3/4)
 - https://istio.io/blog/2017/0.1-using-network-policy/

Demo



- nosqlclient
- web-console

Wrap-Up: Network Policies

My recommendations:

- In all application namespaces: restrict ingress traffic
- Use with care
 - restricting egress for cluster-external traffic
 - restrict traffic in kube-system and for operators



- Security Context: Defines security parameters per pod/container
 - container runtime
- Cluster-wide security parameters: See Pod Security Policies

Recommendations per Container

```
apiVersion: v1
kind: Pod
metadata:
  annotations:
    seccomp.security.alpha.kubernetes.io/pod: runtime/default # k8s <= 1.18</pre>
spec:
  containers:
  - name: restricted
    securityContext:
      runAsNonRoot: true
      runAsUser: 100000
      runAsGroup: 100000
      allowPrivilegeEscalation: false
      readOnlyRootFilesystem: true
      seccompProfile: # k8s >= 1.19
        type: RuntimeDefault
      capabilities:
        drop:
          - All
  enableServiceLinks: false
  automountServiceAccountToken: false # When not communicating with API Server
```

Recommendation per Container in Detail

Enable seccomp

- Enables e.g. docker's seccomp default profile that block 44/~300
 Syscalls
- Has mitigated Kernel vulns in past and might in future
 https://docs.docker.com/engine/security/non-events/
- See also k8s security audit:
 - https://www.cncf.io/blog/2019/08/06/open-sourcing-the-kubernetes-security-audit/

Run as unprivileged user

- runAsNonRoot: true
 Container is not started when the user is root
- runAsUser and runAsGroup > 10000
 - Reduces risk to run as user existing on host
 - In case of container escape UID/GID does not have privileges on host
- B.g. mitigates vuln in runc (used by Docker among others)
 - https://kubernetes.io/blog/2019/02/11/runc-and-cve-2019-5736/

No Privilege escalation

- Container can't increase privileges
- B.g. sudo, setuid, Kernel vulnerabilities

https://xkcd.com/149/

Read-only root file system

- Starts container without read-write layer
- Writing only allowed in volumes
- Config or code within the container cannot be manipulated

Drop Capabilities

Drops even the default caps:



https://github.com/moby/moby/blob/3152f94/oci/caps/defaults.go

- E.g. Mitigates CapNetRaw attack DNS Spoofing on Kubernetes
 Clusters
 - https://blog.aquasec.com/dns-spoofing-kubernetes-clusters

Bonus: No Services in Environment

- By default: Each K8s service written to each container's env vars
 - Docker Link legacy, no longer needed
- But convenient info for attacker where to go next

Bonus: Disable access to K8s API

SA Token in every pod for api-server authn

```
curl --cacert /var/run/secrets/kubernetes.io/serviceaccount/ca.crt \
-H "Authorization: Bearer $(cat /var/run/secrets/kubernetes.io/serviceaccount/token)" \
https://${KUBERNETES_SERVICE_HOST}/api/v1/
```

- If not needed, disable!
- No authentication possible
- b Lesser risk of security misconfig or vulns in authz

Security context pitfalls

Read-only root file system

Application might need temp folder to write to

- Run image locally using docker, access app
 - Run automated e2e/integration tests
- Review container's read-write layer via

docker diff <containerName>

Mount folders as emptyDir volumes in pod

Drop Capabilities

Some images require capabilities

Find out needed Caps locally:

```
docker run --rm --cap-drop ALL <image>
# Check error
docker run --rm --cap-drop ALL --cap-add CAP_CHOWN <image>
# Keep adding caps until no more error
```


- Add necessary caps to k8s securityContext
- Alternative: Find image with same app that does not require caps,
 e.g. nginxinc/nginx-unprivileged

Run as unprivileged user

- Some official images run as root by default.
 - Find a **trusted** image that does not run as root e.g. for mongo or postgres:
 - https://hub.docker.com/r/bitnami/

- UID 100000 lacks file permissions. Solutions:
 - Init Container sets permissions for volume
 - Permissions in image chmod/chown in Dockerfile
 - Run in root Group GID 0
 - https://docs.openshift.com/containerplatform/4.3/openshift_images/create-images.html#imagescreate-guide-openshift_create-images

Demo



Wrap-Up: Security Context


My recommendations:

- Start with least privilege
- Only differ if there's absolutely no other way



- enforces security context settings cluster-wide
- additional options enforcing secure defaults
- more effort than security context and different syntax
- future from K8s 1.22 vague
 - https://github.com/kubernetes/enhancements/issues/5
- Still only built-in solution for cluster-wide security settings

Recommendation



https://github.com/cloudogu/k8s-security-demos/blob/master/4-pod-security-policies/demo/01-psp-restrictive.yaml

Too much ground to cover for 45 min!



- https://youtu.be/YlvdFE1Rsml?t=3092 = including Demo
- https://cloudogu.com/en/blog/k8s-app-ops-part-5-pod-security-policies-1

Summary

- Don't allow arbitrary connections between pods, e.g. via NetPols
- Start with least privilege for your containers
 - using either Security Context or
 - PSP

Johannes Schnatterer

Cloudogu GmbH





K8s AppOps security series on JavaSPEKTRUM 05/2019+

See also @ cloudogu.com/blog/tag/k8s-security

- @cloudogu
- @jschnatterer

Demo Source: 😯 github.com/cloudogu/k8s-security-demos