



# Comparative Analysis on Fuel Consumption Between Two Online Strategies for P2 Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Adaptive-RuleBased (A-RB) vs Adaptive-Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy (A-ECMS)

**Giovanni Giardiello** Università di Napoli Federico II

**Francesco de Nola** Teoresi Group

**Michele Pipicelli** Università di Napoli Federico II

**Antonio Troiano and Francesco Parascandolo** Teoresi Group

**Alfredo Gimelli** Università di Napoli Federico II

**Dario Santoro and Bernardo Sessa** Teoresi Group

**Citation:** Giardiello, G., de Nola, F., Pipicelli, M., Troiano, A. et al., "Comparative Analysis on Fuel Consumption Between Two Online Strategies for P2 Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Adaptive-RuleBased (A-RB) vs Adaptive-Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy (A-ECMS)," SAE Technical Paper 2022-01-0740, 2022, doi:10.4271/2022-01-0740.

Received: 25 Jan 2022

Revised: 25 Jan 2022

Accepted: 06 Jan 2022

## Abstract

Hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) represent one of the main technological options for reducing vehicle CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, helping car manufacturers (OEMs) to meet the stricter targets which are set by the European Green Deal for new passenger cars at 80 g CO<sub>2</sub>/km by 2025. The optimal power-split between the internal combustion engine (ICE) and the electric motor is a challenge since it depends on many unpredictable variables. In fact, HEV improvements in fuel economy and emissions strongly depend on the energy management strategy (EMS) on-board of the vehicle. Dynamic Programming approach (DP), direct methods and Pontryagin's minimum principle (PMP) are some of the most used methodologies to optimize the HEV power-split. In this paper two online strategies are evaluated: an Adaptive-RuleBased (A-RB) and an Adaptive-Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy (A-ECMS). At first, a description of the P2 HEV model

is made. Second, the two sub-optimal strategies are described in detail and then implemented on the HEV model to derive the fuel-optimal control strategy managing the power split between the thermal and electric engine to satisfy the driver's power request, including the engine on/off operating mode and the best gear selection. Finally, the two proposed strategies are tested on different driving cycles and then compared to other commercial strategies available in literature, such as the Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy (ECMS) and a RuleBased (RB) strategy. The results show that the A-ECMS is more conservative in terms of state of charge (SoC) compared to the A-RB. In fact, in the A-ECMS the SoC is always within the admissible range with considerable margin from the upper and lower limits for tested cycles, while in the A-RB a deep discharge of the battery is allowed. This behavior leads to a better fuel consumption of the A-RB compared to the A-ECMS, both in the WLTC and in the FTP-75 cycle.

## Introduction

The energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of transport sector, which mainly consist in road, ships, railway and aviation, is very significant due to economic and population growth [1, 2]. Road transportation consumes 75% of the total energy spent on transportation [3]. Since internal combustion engine (ICE) are used in the majority of vehicles,

the transportation industry is accountable for 25%-30% of the total greenhouse gases emission [4]. Thus, legislations around the world are tending towards low tailpipe emission limits. Important data to be shown are the average CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from new passenger cars: the new passenger car fleet met the 2015 emission target of 130 g CO<sub>2</sub>/km two years early, but additional efforts are still required to meet the actual target

developments, trying to obtain a control strategy capable of respecting the charge-sustaining constraint by minimizing specific fuel consumption and being valid over several driving cycles.

## References

- Huang, Y., Ng, E.C.Y., Zhou, J.L., Surawski, N.C. et al., "Eco-Driving Technology for Sustainable Road Transport: A Review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 93 (October 2018): 596-609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.05.030>.
- Zahedi, S., Batista-Foguet, Joan Manuel, van Wunnik, Lucas, "Exploring the Public's Willingness to Reduce Air Pollution and Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Private Road Transport in Catalonia", *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 646, 1 January 2019, Pages 850-861, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.361>.
- Singh, K.V., Bansal, H.O., and Singh, D., "A Comprehensive Review on Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Architectures and Components," *Journal of Modern Transportation* 27 (2019): 77-107.
- Badin, F., Scordia, J., Trigui, R. et al., "Hybrid Electric Vehicles Energy Consumption Decrease According to Drive Train Architecture, Energy Management and Vehicle Use," *IET Hybrid Veh Conf* 2006 (2006): 213-223. <https://doi.org/10.1049/cp:20060610>.
- <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/IT/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32019R0631&from=EN>
- <https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/average-co2-emissions-from-motor-vehicles-1/assessment>
- Serrao, L., Onori, S., and Rizzoni, G., "A Comparative Analysis of Energy Management Strategies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles," *J. Dyn. Sys., Meas., Control* 133, no. 3 (May 2011): 031012, 9 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4003267>.
- Gonder, J. and Markel, T., "Energy Management Strategies for Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles," SAE Technical Paper 2007-01-0290 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.4271/2007-01-0290>.
- Kumar, V. and Dadam, S., "Intelligent Auxiliary Battery Control - A Connected Approach," SAE Technical Paper 2021-01-1248 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.4271/2021-01-1248>.
- Jentz, R., Lenzen, T., and Meissner, H., "Diagnostic Evaluation of Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) System on Gasoline Electric Hybrid Vehicle," SAE Technical Paper 2020-01-0902 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.4271/2020-01-0902>.
- Zhu, D., Pritchard, E., Dadam, S.R., Kumar, V. et al., "Optimization of Rule-Based Energy Management Strategies for Hybrid Vehicles Using Dynamic Programming," *Combustion Engines* 184, no. 1 (2021): 3-10, doi:10.19206/CE-131967.
- Lin, C.C., Peng, H., Grizzle, J.W., and Kang, J.M., "Power Management Strategy for a Parallel Hybrid Electric Truck," *IEEE Trans Contr Syst Technol* 11, no. 6 (2013): 839-849, doi:10.1109/TCST.2003.815606.
- Serrao, L., Onori, S., and Rizzoni, G., "ECMS as a Realization of Pontryagin's Minimum Principle for HEV Control." in *American Control Conference*, IEEE, 2009, pp. 3964-3969, doi:10.1109/ACC.2009.5160628.
- Murphey, Y.L. et al., "Intelligent Hybrid Vehicle Power Control - Part II: Online Intelligent Energy Management," *IEEE Trans Veh Technol* 62, no. 1 (2013): 69-79, doi:10.1109/TVT.2012.2217362.
- Gu, B. and Rizzoni, G., "An Adaptive Algorithm for Hybrid Electric Vehicle Energy Management based on Driving Pattern Recognition," in *ASME 2006 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition*, 2006.
- Guzzella, L. and Sciarretta, A., *Vehicle Propulsion System - Introduction to Modeling and Optimization*, Third ed. (Heidelberg: Springer, 2013)
- Paganelli, G., *Conception et commande d'une chaîne de traction pour véhicule hybride parallèle thermique et électrique* (Valenciennes, 1999)
- Musardo, C., Rizzoni, G., Guezennec, Y., and Staccia, B., "A-ECMS: An Adaptive Algorithm for Hybrid Electric Vehicle Energy Management," *European Journal of Control* (2005): 509-524.
- Balazs, A., Morra, E., and Pischinger, S., "Optimization of Electrified Powertrains for City Cars," *SAE International* 1, no. 2 (2012): 381-394.
- Onori, S., Serrao, L., and Rizzoni, G., *Hybrid Electric Vehicles - Energy Management Strategies* (Heidelberg: Springer, 2016)
- Rizzoni, G. and Onori, S., "Energy Management of Hybrid Electric Vehicles: 15 Years of Development at the Ohio State University," *Oil & Gas Science and Technology Vol. 70* (2015): 41-54.
- <https://www.anl.gov/es/downloadable-dynamometer-database>.