

Evaluation of Hybrid, Electric and Fuel Cell Powertrain Solutions for Class 6-7 Medium Heavy-Duty Vehicles

Satyum Joshi, Mufaddel Dahodwala, Nitisha Ahuja, FNU Dhanraj, Erik Koehler, Michael Franke, and Dean Tomazic FEV North America Inc.

Citation: Joshi, S., Dahodwala, M., Ahuja, N., Dhanraj, F. et al., "Evaluation of Hybrid, Electric and Fuel Cell Powertrain Solutions for Class 6-7 Medium Heavy-Duty Vehicles," SAE Technical Paper 2021-01-0723, 2021, doi:10.4271/2021-01-0723.

Abstract

lectrification of heavy-duty trucks has received significant attention in the past year as a result of future regulations in some states. For example, California will require a certain percentage of tractor trailers, delivery trucks and vans sold to be zero emission by 2035. However, the relatively low energy density of batteries in comparison to diesel fuel, as well as the operating profiles of heavy-duty trucks, make the application of electrified powertrain in these applications more challenging. Heavy-duty vehicles can be broadly classified into two main categories; long-haul tractors and vocational vehicles. Long-haul tractors offer limited benefit from electrification due to the majority of operation occurring at constant cruise speeds, long range requirements and the high efficiency provided by the diesel engine. However, vocational applications can realize a significant benefit from electrified powertrains due to their lower vehicle speeds, frequent start-stop driving and shorter operating range requirements.

As the heavy-duty industry deals with solving challenges around the application of electrified powertrains, there are multiple pathways that can be explored to meet future regulatory requirements. This paper is the second part of a two-paper series that focuses on evaluating electrified solutions for Class 6-7 medium heavy-duty vehicles in the 2027 and beyond time

frame. Using a model-based approach, this paper presents a comprehensive analysis that compares the baseline diesel powertrain against multiple alternative powertrain configurations. These configurations include, an optimized parallel diesel hybrid, all-electric, diesel range extender, gasoline range extender, Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) range extender, and fuel cell range extender; which are evaluated on the basis of cost of ownership, fuel efficiency, emissions, payback period, lost payload opportunity, range, and battery life. The analysis shows that for a near-term less-disruptive scenario wherein the conventional diesel powertrain layout is preserved, a 48V P3 parallel hybrid vehicle configuration is the most cost-effective solution, while for a near-term more-disruptive scenario, the Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) based Range Extender Electric Vehicles (REEVs) have the most potential to reduce greenhouse gas emissions while achieving lower cost of ownership than the baseline vehicle. For a longer-term and more-disruptive scenario, the all-electric vehicle configuration can achieve parity with the baseline vehicle cost of ownership provided the vehicle driving range requirement is limited to 100-150 miles. The analysis further highlights that the overall benefit of an all-electric powertrain solution depends significantly on the future battery technology advancements and vehicle range requirements.

Introduction

hile medium and heavy-duty vehicles comprise of only 5% of all vehicles on the road, they are responsible for 23% of all transportation related greenhouse gas emissions and 6.7% of all greenhouse gas emissions in the United States (US) [1]. The trend is similar in Europe, where 5% of all greenhouse gas emissions are produced by heavy-duty vehicles [1, 2]. Furthermore, with the continued growth of shipping, delivery, and the e-commerce industry, the overall truck freight in the US is expected to increase by at least 1% annually for the next 25 years [1]. Therefore, vehicle technology improvements on medium and heavy-duty vehicles offer a significant opportunity to have a large impact on the effort to reduce greenhouse gas emissions emitted from on-road vehicles.

Considering this opportunity to significantly reduce GHG emissions, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) implemented a Phase 2 of the greenhouse gas emission standards for medium and heavy-duty vehicles in 2017, which requires 22-25% reduction in vehicle CO₂ emissions by 2027 [3]. Similarly, in 2019, the European Union also implemented regulations requiring selected categories of heavy-duty vehicles to reduce CO₂ emissions by 30% in 2030, with respect to the 2019 baseline [4]. However, the most aggressive regulations for reducing on-road emissions from heavy-duty vehicles were recently implemented by California's Air Resource Board (ARB) in June 2020. This regulation, termed Advanced Clean Trucks (ACT) [5], requires truck manufacturers to sell an increasing share of Zero/Near-Zero Emission Vehicles (ZEV/NZEV) beginning in 2024. By 2035, the ACT rule will require

Conclusions and Future Work

In this study, a validated baseline vehicle model for a Class 6-7 urban vocational application with conventional diesel powertrain was modified to develop representative models for five alterative powertrain configurations, including an all-electric, three downsized engine range extenders (Diesel/Gasoline/CNG) and a fuel cell range extender. The electrified powertrain specifications for the different vehicle configurations were then optimized through a DoE process in the FEV-XCAL tool. The optimized vehicle configurations were finally compared on the basis of fuel consumption reduction, CO₂ reduction, freight efficiency improvement, increased weight, payback period, and cost of ownership. The following conclusions can be drawn from the analysis results:

- 1. For the less-disruptive near-term scenario, a 48V P3 parallel hybrid would be the most suitable electrification technology for a Class 6-7 urban vocational application. The technology predicts 17% reduction in vehicle on-road CO₂ emissions from the baseline vehicle, which would significantly reduce the gap between vehicle CO₂ emissions and 2027 GHG standards. Compared to baseline vehicle, the technology also predicts a 6% reduction in cost of ownership with a payback period of less than one year.
- 2. For the more-disruptive intermediate-term scenario, ICE based REEVs are expected to dominate for vehicle range requirement of more than 100 miles. Among the ICE REEVs, a clear advantage was observed with the CNG REEV due to lower fuel price and lower fuel carbon mass fraction. In comparison to baseline, the CNG-REEV vehicle configuration was able to reduce on-road CO₂ emissions by 54% with a payback period of 3 years and a 0.5% reduction in cost of ownership. It should be stated however that while the on-road CO₂ emissions were reduced with the CNG REEV, the impact of natural gas leakage on net greenhouse gas emissions during storage, transfer and extraction were not considered in this analysis.
- 3. For the more-disruptive long-term scenario, ultimately both the BEVs and the FC REEV are expected to dominate depending on the range requirement. For a vehicle range of less than 150 miles the BEV offers a significant advantage with a predicted freight ton efficiency increase of 294% on the ARB transient cycle while achieving a similar cost of ownership as the conventional diesel powertrain (advanced battery assumption). For a longer range requirement of up to 350 miles, the FC REEV helps offset the trade-off between vehicle range and lost payload opportunity cost, while maintaining zero on-road CO₂ emissions. Even with the higher fuel cost for hydrogen, the FC REEV enables a 144% increase in freight ton efficiency and a similar cost of ownership when compared to the conventional diesel

powertrain (advanced battery assumption). While the net cost benefit of the BEV and FC REEV will strongly depend on the advancement of battery technology and hydrogen fuel cost in the future, the market penetration of these vehicle configurations are expected to be driven more by the stringent CO_2 emission regulations [5].

Overall, the analysis shows a significant opportunity for electrification in Class 6-7 urban vocational applications to increase energy efficiency and reduce on-road CO_2 emissions at a similar or even reduced cost of ownership. Two pathways for electrification of heavy-duty powertrains, less-disruptive and more-disruptive, have been presented to help navigate the 'Messy Middle'. The less-disruptive parallel hybrid configurations offer a cost-effective pathway for a more conventional powertrain layout while meeting CO_2 standards in 2027-2030 timeframe, while the more disruptive BEV and REEV configurations offer the advantage of significant reduction in on-road CO_2 emissions along with an easier transition to the ultimate future of zero on-road emission heavy-duty vehicles [$\underline{6}$].

One specific near-term alternative powertrain solution that was not discussed in this study is the hydrogen internal combustion engine (H2-ICE). While the fuel conversion efficiency of a fuel cell is far superior than that of a H2-ICE, H2-ICEs can be applied relatively quickly to existing heavyduty powertrains to achieve zero on-road $\rm CO_2$ emissions. The technology has the potential to significantly reduce on-road $\rm CO_2$ emissions until the fuel cell systems become cost-effective and durable for application in medium and heavy-duty vehicles. Future work will include more detailed investigations on hydrogen based alternative powertrain solutions.

References

- Rizzoni, G., Ahmed, Q., Arasu, M., and Oruganti, P.S., "Transformational Technologies Reshaping Transportation-An Academia Perspective," SAE Technical Paper <u>2019-01-2620</u>, 2019, https://doi.org/10.4271/2019-01-2620.
- Mock, P., "European Vehicle Market Statistics Pocketbook 2018/19 International Council on Clean Transportation Europe," https://theicct.org/sites/default/files/publications/ICCT_Pocketbook_2018_Final_20181205.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020.
- Federal Register/Vol. 81, No. 206/Tuesday, October 25, 2016/ Rules and Regulations Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Fuel Efficiency Standards for Medium- and Heavy-Duty Engines and Vehicles—Phase 2.
- EU, "Regulation (EU) 2019/1242 Setting CO2 Emission Performance Standards for New Heavy-Duty Vehicles," Official Journal of the European Union, 25.7.2019, L 198, 202, 2019.
- 5. Buysse, C. and Sharpe, B., "California's Advanced Clean Trucks Regulation: Sales Requirements for Zero-Emission Heavy-Duty Trucks, International Council on Clean Transportation," https://theicct.org/sites/default/files/

- <u>publications/CA-HDV-EV-policy-update-jul212020.pdf</u>, accessed Oct. 20, 2020.
- 6. Roeth, M., "Transformational Technologies Reshaping Transportation-An Industry Perspective," SAE Technical Paper 2020-01-1945, 2020, https://doi.org/10.4271/2020-01-1945.
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Fuel Efficiency Standards for Medium- and Heavy-Duty Engines and Vehicles-Phase 2, Regulatory Impact Analysis, EPA, NHTSA, EPA-420-R-16-900, Aug 2016.
- 8. Minarcin, M., Rask, E., and Smith, M., "Challenges and Opportunities in Adoption of Hybrid Technologies in Medium and Heavy Duty Applications," SAE Technical Paper 2011-01-2251, 2011, https://doi.org/10.4271/2011-01-2251.
- 9. Jeffers, M.A., Miller, E., Kelly, K., Kresse, J. et al., "Development and Demonstration of a Class 6 Range-Extended Electric Vehicle for Commercial Pickup and Delivery Operation," SAE Int. J. Advances & Curr. Prac. in Mobility 2(3):1602-1608, 2020, https://doi.org/10.4271/2020-01-0848.
- Gao, Z., Finney, C., Daw, C., LaClair, T. et al., "Comparative Study of Hybrid Powertrains on Fuel Saving, Emissions, and Component Energy Loss in HD Trucks," SAE Int. J. Commer. Veh 7(2):414-431, 2014, https://doi.org/10.4271/2014-01-2326.
- 11. Proust, A. and Surcel, M., "Evaluation of Class 7 Diesel-Electric Hybrid Trucks," SAE Technical Paper 2012-01-1987, 2012, https://doi.org/10.4271/2012-01-1987.
- 12. Yang, F., Xu, C., and Sun, J., "Design and Analysis of Parallel Hybrid Electric Vehicles for Heavy-Duty Truck Applications in a Total Cost of Ownership Framework," SAE Technical Paper 2018-01-5025, 2018, https://doi.org/10.4271/2018-01-5025.
- 13. Zhu, D., Pritchard, E.G.D., and Silverberg, L.M., "A New System Development Framework Driven by a Model-Based Testing Approach Bridged by Information Flow," *IEEE Systems Journal* 12(3):2917-2924, 2016.
- Dahodwala, M., Joshi, S., Dhanraj, F., Ahuja, N. et al.,
 "Evaluation of 48V and High Voltage Parallel Hybrid Diesel Powertrain Architectures for Class 6-7 Medium Heavy-Duty Vehicles," SAE Technical Paper <u>2021-01-0720</u>, 2021.
- 15. Joshi, S., Dahodwala, M., Koehler, E., Franke, M. et al., "Trade-Off Analysis and Systematic Optimization of a Heavy-Duty Diesel Hybrid Powertrain," SAE Technical Paper 2020-01-0847, 2020, https://doi.org/10.4271/2020-01-0847.
- Joshi, S., Dahodwala, M., Koehler, E., Franke, M., Naber, J. et al., "Controls Development and Vehicle Drive Cycle Analysis of Integrated Turbocompounding, Electrification and Supercharging System," in ASME, Proceedings of the Internal Combustion Engine Fall Technical Conference 2018, ICEF2018-9703.
- 17. Madeleine, E., Nerea, N., Stefan, K., Johannes, S., Holger, B., Alexander, W., and Dirk, U.S., "Calendar and Cycle Life Study of Li(NiMnCo)O2-Based 18650 Lithium-Ion Batteries," Journal of Power Sources

- 18. Johannes, S., Stefan, K., Madeleine, E., and Dirk, U.S., "A Holistic Aging Model for Li(NiMnCo)O2 Based 18650 Lithium-Ion Batteries," *Journal of Power Sources*, Volume 257, Pages 325-334,ISSN 0378-7753, 2014, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.02.012.
- Smith, D., Graves, R., Lustbader, J., Kelly, K. et al., "Mediumand Heavy-Duty Vehicle Electrification, An Assessment of Technology and Knowledge Gaps," ORNL, NREL, US Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, Dec. 2019, https://info.ornl.gov/sites/publications/Files/Pub136575.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020.
- Eaton, "Efficient Technology for Electric Commercial Vehicles," EV Transmissions-Key Specifications and Capacities, https://www.eaton.com/content/dam/eaton/products/emobility/power-systems/eaton-ev-transmissions-brochure-emob0003-en.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 21. Mehrdad, E., Yimin, G., and Ali, E., Modern Electric, Hybrid Electric, and Fuel Cell Vehicles: Fundamentals, Theory, and Design, Second Edition (Power Electronics and Applications Series), 2009.
- 22. US Department of Energy, "DOE Technical Targets for Hydrogen Production from Electrolysis," Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/doe-technical-targets-hydrogen-production-electrolysis, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 23. Miller, E., "Hydrogen Production and Delivery Program," in 2017 Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation Meeting, DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program, Fuel Cell Technologies Office, https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review17/pd000 miller 2017 o.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 24. US Department of Energy, "Clean Cities Alternative Fuel Price Report," Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, April 2020, https://afdc.energy.gov/files/u/publication/alternative-fuel-price-report-april-2020.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 25. Ballard, "Product Data Sheet, FC Move-HD," https://www.ballard.com/docs/default-source/spec-sheets/fcmovetm.pdf?sfvrsn=77ebc380_2, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- Eudy, L., Post, M., and Gikakis, C., "Fuel Cell Buses in US Transit Fleets: Current Status 2015," NREL, FTA, https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy16osti/64974.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 27. Pocard, N., "Fuel Cell Price to Drop 70-80% as Production Volume Scales," https://blog.ballard.com/fuel-cell-price-drop, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 28. Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, "DOE Technical Targets for On-board Hydrogen Storage for Light Duty Vehicles," https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/doe-technical-targets-onboard-hydrogen-storage-light-duty-vehicles, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- Gamma Technologies, "Fuel Cell System Modeling," https://www.gtisoft.com/fuel-cell-system-modeling/, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 30. FEV, "Advanced DoE Techniques with Innovative Modeling Algorithms," TOPEXPERT xCAL, https://www.fev.com/en/what-we-do/software-and-testing-solutions/products/testing/calibration/topexpert-xcal.html, accessed Oct. 20, 2020

- 31. Marcinkoski, J., Vijayagopal, R., Adams, J., James, B., Kopasz, J., and Ahluwalia, R., "Technical Targets for Hydrogen-Fueled Long-Haul Tractor-Trailer Trucks," DOE Advanced Truck Technologies, https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/19006 hydrogen class8 long haul truck targets.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 32. US Department of Energy, "Technical Targets for Fuel Cell Systems and Stacks for Transportation Applications," https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/doe-technical-targets-fuelcell-systems-and-stacks-transportation-applications, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- US Department of Energy, "Average Annual Miles Traveled by Major Vehicle Category," Alternative Fuels Data Center, https://afdc.energy.gov/data/10309, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 34. Hunter, C. and Penev, M., "Market Segmentation Analysis of Medium and Heavy Duty Trucks with a Fuel cell Emphasis," in NREL, DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program, 2019, Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation Meeting, https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review19/sa169 hunter 2019 o.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- Austin, D., "Pricing Freight Transport to Account for External Costs," in Working Paper Series Congressional Budget Office, Washington, DC, https://www.cbo.gov/sites/default/files/114th-congress-2015-2016/workingpaper/50049-freight_Transport_Working_Paper-2.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020, March 2015.
- 36. United States Department of Transportation, "Average Freight Revenue per Ton-Mile, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, https://www.bts.gov/content/average-freight-revenue-ton-mile, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- California Air Resource Board, "California Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2014 and Subsequent Model Heavy-Duty Vehicles," Dec. 19, 2018, https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/msprog/onroadhd/final-hdvtpd phase-2 ghg clean complete 4-19.pdf, accessed Oct. 20, 2020
- 38. De Clerck, Q., van Lier, T., Lebeau, P., Messagie, M. et al., "How Total Is a Total Cost of Ownership?" *World Electric Vehicle Journal* 8:736-747, 2016, doi:10.3390/wevj8040742.

Contact Information

Mufaddel Dahodwala

Technical Specialist, Performance and Emissions, Commercial Engines; FEV North America, Inc. dahodwala@fev.com

Koehler, Erik

Senior Department Manager, Performance and Emissions, Commercial Engines; FEV North America, Inc. Koehler@fev.com

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank FEV North America, Inc. management for encouraging this research effort and providing the resources necessary to accomplish its goals. The authors would also like to thank the reviewers for providing valuable feedback which helped improve the quality of the paper.

Definitions/Abbreviations

ARB - Air Resources Board

ACT - Advanced Clean Trucks

BEV - Battery Electric Vehicle

BSFC - Brake Specific Fuel Consumption

CAN - Controller Area Network

CNG - Compressed Natural Gas

CO2 - Carbon Dioxide

DC - Direct Current

DoE - Design of Experiments

EPA - Environmental Protection Agency

EV - Electric Vehicle

FC - Fuel Cell

GEM - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Model

GHG - Greenhouse Gas

GR - Gear Ratio

GT - Gamma Technologies

GVW - Gross Vehicle Weight

GVWR - Gross Vehicle Weight Rating

H2-ICE - Hydrogen Internal Combustion Engine

HDFTP - Heavy Duty Federal Test Procedure

HDUDDS - Heavy Duty Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule

HHD/HD - Heavy Heavy Duty

HHDDT - Heavy Heavy-Duty Diesel Truck

HVAC - Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning

ICE - Internal Combustion Engine

LTO - Lithium Titanate Oxide

MG - Motor Generator Unit

MHD/MD - Medium Heavy Duty

MPG - Miles per Gallon

MPGe - Miles per Gallon Equivalent

NHTSA - National Highway Traffic Safety Administration