

STACE

Stace puts forward the argument that causality can only be applied to the observable world because the existence of causality can only be observed in the physical world. If we are to apply causality to theories such as atoms or electrons there would be no way for us to know if it could be applied, as the only understanding of causality we have is the one that relies on the perceptible world, anything outside of the said world may differ in function.

The law of causation that the observer is accustomed to has never been observed to operate outside the realm of perception.

It is also unnecessary or contradictory to give multiple causes, one which relies on perception and one which relies on theories to the same thing.

Scientific laws never explain anything, they simply state ***what happens***. No scientist or philosopher knows why things happen or can “explain” anything.

Scientific laws do nothing other than to explain when A happens B happens.

The fictitious existence in science. (*The Conservation of Energy*) [Potential Energy]

Nothing exists except sensations (and the minds which perceive them). This is not to say that the conception of stars or the electron are worthless or untrue, their truth value consists in their capacity for helping us organize our experience and predict our sensations.