

Bridging What Works Clearinghouse Recommendations and Empirical Evidence: Reading
Interventions for Grades 4–9

Claudia Villalobos

Evidence-Based Practices EDUA 5325

Sul Ross

Dr. Elramly

August 12, 2025

Introduction

The What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) Practice Guide for grades 4–9 recommends four research-based strategies for teachers to help students improve their reading skills. These include teaching students how to decode complex multisyllabic words, using well-designed fluency exercises, applying effective comprehension strategies regularly, and giving learners "stretch" texts—challenging passages that help them think more deeply (WWC, 2022).

This paper explores how these WWC recommendations match up with findings from four important studies—Wanzek et al. (2010), Donegan & Wanzek (2021), Flynn, Zheng, & Swanson (2012), and Wanzek & Kent (2012). Overall, the research supports the use of comprehension and integrated teaching methods, shows moderate benefits from decoding instruction, and emphasizes that some teaching details—such as group size and how often sessions occur—matter.

Decoding Multisyllabic Words

Aligned with the WWC's recommendation on decoding instruction, Wanzek et al. (2010) found that teaching word recognition in grades 4 and 5 led to small to moderate improvements in reading outcomes (Wanzek et al., 2010). Similarly, Flynn, Zheng, and Swanson (2012) reported moderate improvements in word identification, decoding, and comprehension among students in grades 5–9 with reading disabilities, based on standardized tests (Flynn et al., 2012). These results underline the importance of decoding instruction not just in upper elementary grades, but also as students transition into middle school.

Comprehension-Building Practices

The What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) stresses comprehension strategies. *Wanzek et al. (2010)* documented large effects from such interventions—caveated by reliance on researcher-developed

that the use of

measures (Wanzek et al., 2010). *Wanzek & Kent (2012)*, examining students with learning disabilities, echoed these findings—reporting significant comprehension gains among grades 4–5 (Wanzek & Kent, 2012).

Multi-Component Interventions

The WWC's integrated approach is supported by *Wanzek et al. (2010)*, who found moderate to large effects from multi-component models (Wanzek et al., 2010). *Donegan & Wanzek (2021) expanded on this, showing that only multi-component interventions significantly improve both foundational and comprehension outcomes (Donegan & Wanzek, 2021)*. Donegan and Wanzek (2021) found that reading interventions delivered in very small groups led to better improvements in comprehension, even though longer or more individualized programs did not consistently result in larger effects (Donegan & Wanzek, 2021)

Supplementary Evidence: Moderators from Donegan & Wanzek (2021)

Donegan and Wanzek (2021) conducted a meta-analysis of 33 intervention studies for upper elementary struggling readers. Their results show:

- Small but significant effects for foundational skills and comprehension.
- Multi-component interventions showed significant effects on both domains.
- Comprehension outcomes improved more in very small group settings and with moderate instructional duration (Donegan & Wanzek, 2021)

These findings show the validity of multi-component instruction and show how group size and dosage can moderate outcomes.

Critical Analysis

Even though the research generally supports the WWC's recommendations, several issues still need attention. A good number of studies rely on researcher-developed assessments, which tend

that the use of

to exaggerate intervention success compared to standardized measures—raising concerns about the validity of reported outcomes (Wanzek et al., 2010) Flynn, Zheng, and Swanson (2012) highlighted this measurement bias and stressed the importance of using standardized outcome measures to ensure reliability and credibility in findings The literature also shows limited research on vocabulary instruction and a lack of multi-component studies (Wanzek et al., 2010; Wanzek & Kent, 2012). *Donegan & Wanzek (2021)* helped fill these gaps with moderation insights, but more rigorous, comprehensive research is still required.

Conclusion

What Works Clearinghouse's (WWC) recommendations—decoding, comprehension, and integrated instruction—are supported by evidence. Comprehension strategies demonstrate the strongest effects, multi-component interventions are favorable, and decoding remains necessary. *Donegan & Wanzek (2021)* highlight the role of key moderators, such as group size and duration. Still, the field needs standardized measures, rigorous multi-component designs, and attention to underrepresented areas, such as vocabulary instruction, for truly evidence-based practice.

References

Donegan, R. E., & Wanzek, J. (2021). *Effects of reading interventions implemented for upper elementary struggling readers: A look at recent research*. *Reading and Writing*, 34(8), 1943–1977. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-021-10123-y>

ERIC+11PMC+11ResearchGate+11ResearchGate

Flynn, J. M., Zheng, X., & Swanson, H. L. (2012). *Instructing struggling older readers: A selective meta-analysis of intervention research*. *Exceptional Children*, 73(1), 8–30.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/001440291207300101> ResearchGate+2ResearchGate+2

Wanzek, J., Wexler, J., Vaughn, S., & Ciullo, S. P. (2010). Reading interventions for struggling readers in the upper elementary grades: A synthesis of 20 years of research. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 23(8), 889–912.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-009-9179-5> PMC+8PMC+8ERIC+8

Wanzek, J., & Kent, S. C. (2012). Reading interventions for students with learning disabilities in the upper elementary grades. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal*, 10(1), 5–16.

ERIC+4ERIC+4The Meadows Center+4