

Yi Ma

Homework 5

ECON 586

1(a). Regression without heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors

t test of coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	-2.6824347	24.2207299	-0.1107	0.91184	
lcigpric	-0.8509044	5.7823214	-0.1472	0.88305	
lincome	0.8690144	0.7287636	1.1925	0.23344	
restaurn	-2.8656213	1.1174059	-2.5645	0.01051	*
white	-0.5592363	1.4594610	-0.3832	0.70169	
educ	-0.5017533	0.1671677	-3.0015	0.00277	**
age	0.7745021	0.1605158	4.8251	1.676e-06	***
agesq	-0.0090686	0.0017481	-5.1878	2.699e-07	***

Regression with heteroskedasticity-robust standard error

t test of coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	-2.6824347	25.7732316	-0.1041	0.917133	
lcigpric	-0.8509044	6.0243117	-0.1412	0.887712	
lincome	0.8690144	0.5950006	1.4605	0.144538	
restaurn	-2.8656213	1.0122202	-2.8310	0.004756	**
white	-0.5592363	1.3714344	-0.4078	0.683548	
educ	-0.5017533	0.1616027	-3.1049	0.001971	**
age	0.7745021	0.1373458	5.6391	2.372e-08	***
agesq	-0.0090686	0.0014517	-6.2469	6.799e-10	***

The Price and income variables are not significant either with or without heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors.

(b). Now estimate a Poisson regression model

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-6.329	-4.224	-3.275	2.245	13.976

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	3.964e-01	6.139e-01	0.646	0.518	
lcigpric	-1.060e-01	1.434e-01	-0.739	0.460	
lincome	1.037e-01	2.028e-02	5.115	3.14e-07	***
restaurn	-3.636e-01	3.122e-02	-11.646	< 2e-16	***
white	-5.520e-02	3.742e-02	-1.475	0.140	
educ	-5.942e-02	4.256e-03	-13.961	< 2e-16	***
age	1.143e-01	4.969e-03	22.994	< 2e-16	***
agesq	-1.371e-03	5.695e-05	-24.070	< 2e-16	***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 15821 on 806 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 14752 on 799 degrees of freedom
AIC: 16239

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6

The price variable is still insignificant but the income variable is very significant.
 Interpretation: a one percent increase in price level will decrease the number of cigarettes consumed per day by .001060; a one percent increase in income level will increase the number of cigarettes consumed per day by .001037.

(c). Find the dispersion parameter.

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-6.329	-4.224	-3.275	2.245	13.976

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.3964494	2.7673851	0.143	0.88612
lcigpric	-0.1059607	0.6463348	-0.164	0.86982
lincome	0.1037275	0.0914154	1.135	0.25685
restaurn	-0.3636059	0.1407348	-2.584	0.00995 **
white	-0.0552011	0.1686704	-0.327	0.74355
educ	-0.0594225	0.0191852	-3.097	0.00202 **
age	0.1142571	0.0223981	5.101	4.22e-07 ***
agesq	-0.0013708	0.0002567	-5.340	1.21e-07 ***

 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for quasipoisson family taken to be 20.31782)

Null deviance: 15821 on 806 degrees of freedom
 Residual deviance: 14752 on 799 degrees of freedom
 AIC: NA

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6

The dispersion parameter (phi) is 20.31782 which is greater than 1. It is an indication of over dispersion. The t statistics on lcigprice and lincome still remains very small suggesting that they are still insignificant.

(d). At what point does the effect of age on expected cigarette consumption become negative?

To solve for this part we take the expectation of cigarette and take the FOC with respect to age and age squared

$$E\{\text{cigs}\} = \alpha \text{age} + \beta \text{age}^2$$

Taking FOC

$$d E\{\text{cigs}\} / d \text{age} = \alpha + 2 \beta \text{age} = 0$$

$$\text{age} = -\alpha / 2\beta$$

from the test in part b $\alpha = 0.1143$ and $\beta = -.001371$

$$\text{age} = 0.1143 / 2(-0.001371) = 41.68$$

Therefore, the expected consumption of cigarette become negative at the age of 41.68.