

# Calculating the Trial Wave Function for AFDMC

Cody Petrie

June 3, 2015

## 1 Trial Wave Function

The trial wave function for AFDMC must be simple to evaluate. In the past the simple Slater determinant with pair-wise correlations has been used as shown in [1],

$$\langle RS|\Psi_T\rangle = \langle RS|\left[\prod_{i<j} f_c(r_{ij})\right]\left[1 + \sum_{i<j} \sum_p f_p(r_{ij})\mathcal{O}_{ij}^p\right]|\Phi\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where the  $\mathcal{O}_{ij}^p$ 's are  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j$ , and  $t_{ij}\boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$ , where  $t_{ij} = 3\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \hat{r}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot \hat{r}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j$ . *Why weren't  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j$  and  $t_{ij}$  used in this paper?*

My goal is to add the additional independent pair correlations.

$$\langle RS|\Psi_T\rangle = \langle RS|\left[\prod_{i<j} f_c(r_{ij})\right]\left[1 + \sum_{i<j} \sum_p f_p(r_{ij})\mathcal{O}_{ij}^p + \sum_{i<j} \sum_{k<l} \sum_p f_p(r_{ij})\mathcal{O}_{ij}^p f_p(r_{kl})\mathcal{O}_{kl}^p\right]|\Phi\rangle, \quad (2)$$

## 2 Evaluation the Trial Wave Function

To understand how to do this I'm going to just assume that  $\mathcal{O}_{ij}^p$  only contains the term  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j$  and I'll start by looking at the trial wave function, equation 1, with only the linear term. So now

$$\langle RS|\Psi_T\rangle = \langle RS|\left[\prod_{i<j} f_c(r_{ij})\right]\left[1 + \sum_{i<j} f_1(r_{ij})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\right]|\Phi\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Also since the central correlations don't change the states by any more than a multiplicative factor I am going to ignore that term as well. I will also just look at one term in the sum (a particular  $i$  and  $j$  value). So we are just looking at

$$\langle RS|[1 + f_1(r_{ij})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j]|\Phi\rangle. \quad (4)$$

Now we also know that the Slater determinant is defined as

$$\langle RS|\Phi\rangle = \det(S) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(R_1 S_1) & \phi_2(R_1 S_1) & \cdots & \psi_N(R_1 S_1) \\ \phi_1(R_2 S_2) & \phi_2(R_2 S_2) & \cdots & \psi_N(R_2 S_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_1(R_N S_N) & \phi_2(R_N S_N) & \cdots & \psi_N(R_N S_N) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\phi_i(R_j S_j) = \phi_i^r(R_j) \phi_i^s(S_j)$  and  $S$  is called the Slated Matrix.

Now lets look at equation 4 again for an example.

$$\langle RS | [1 + f_1(r_{ij}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j] | \Phi \rangle \quad (6)$$

$$= \det(S) + f_1(r_{ij}) \langle RS | \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j | \Phi \rangle \quad (7)$$

$$= \det(S) + f_1(r_{ij}) \det(S') \quad (8)$$

Here  $S'$  is the updated matrix. It only has two columns different than  $S$  and so we can get it's determinant of  $S'$  easily once we have the determinant of  $S$  by using the fact that

$$\det(S_{ij}^{-1} S'_{jk}) = \frac{\det(S'_{jk})}{\det(S_{ij})}. \quad (9)$$

When we solve for  $\det(S)$  we finish solving for the inverse,  $S^{-1}$  and the product  $S_{ij}^{-1} S'_{jk}$  is 1 on the diagonal and 0 everywhere else except the two columns  $i$  and  $j$ . This makes the  $\det(S_{ij}^{-1} S'_{jk})$  easy to solve for since it is simply the determinant of the submatrix. Thus once we have  $\det(S)$  it is easier to solve for  $\det(S')$ . All that is left is to do this over the pair loops and over each operator.

### 3 Implimentation in the code

Now how is this implimented into the code. The element of the Slater matrix that corresponds to the  $k^{th}$  orbital and the  $i^{th}$  particle is given by

$$S_{ki} = \langle k | r_i, s_i \rangle = \sum_{s=1}^4 \langle k | r_i, s \rangle \langle s | s_i \rangle. \quad (10)$$

From this you can see that a general Slater matrix can be written as a linear combination of matrix elements  $\langle k | r_i, s \rangle$  and coefficients  $\langle s | s_i \rangle$ .

Therefore it's convenient to precompute

$$\text{sxz}(s, i, j) = \text{sxmallz}(j, s, i) = \sum_k S_{jk}^{-1} \langle k | r_i, s \rangle. \quad (11)$$

For example if we were computing the determinant of  $S'_{ij} = \langle k | r_i, s'_i \rangle$  where the  $s'_i$  was changed is different from  $s_i$  on the changed columns, then the product matrix could be computed as

$$S_{jk}^{-1} S'_{ki} = \sum_{s=1}^4 \left( \sum_k S_{jk}^{-1} \langle k | r_i, s \rangle \right) (\langle s | s_i \rangle) = \sum_{s=1}^4 \text{sxz}(s, i, j) \langle s | s_i \rangle. \quad (12)$$

**Is this right?**

I have looked at how to calculate the trial wave function with a correlation operator in the middle now lets look at how to do it with 1 and 2-body spin-isospin operators in the middle. Here I am mostly filling in gaps in Kevin Schmidt's writeup.

### 3.1 1-body spin-isospin operators

Here the idea is we want to calculate expectation values like

$$\left\langle \sum_i \mathcal{O}_i \right\rangle = \frac{\langle \Phi | \sum_i \mathcal{O}_i | R, S \rangle}{\langle \Phi | R, S \rangle}. \quad (13)$$

Now let's expand this the numerator term

$$\langle \Phi | \sum_i \mathcal{O}_i | R, S \rangle = \langle \Phi | \sum_i \mathcal{O}_i | R, s_1, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (14)$$

$$= \langle \Phi | \sum_i \sum_{s=1}^4 |s\rangle \langle s| \mathcal{O}_i | R, s_1, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (15)$$

$$= \langle \Phi | \sum_i \sum_{s=1}^4 \langle s | \mathcal{O}_i | s_i \rangle | R, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (16)$$

$$= \langle \Phi | \sum_i \sum_{s=1}^4 \alpha_{is} | R, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (17)$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_{s=1}^4 \alpha_{is} \langle \Phi | R, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (18)$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_{s=1}^4 \alpha_{is} \text{d1b}(s, i) \langle \Phi | R, S \rangle, \quad (19)$$

where  $\alpha_{is} = \langle s | \mathcal{O}_i | s_i \rangle$  and  $\text{d1b}(s, i) = \langle \Phi | R, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_A \rangle / \langle \Phi | R, s_1, \dots, s_A \rangle$ . Rearranging this we can get the expectation value

$$\left\langle \sum_i \mathcal{O}_i \right\rangle = \sum_i \sum_{s=1}^4 \alpha_{is} \text{d1b}(s, i). \quad (20)$$

Notice that we have

$$\text{d1b}(s, i) = \text{sxz}(s, i, i). \quad (21)$$

I can't figure out why. Why is this? If it is easier to answer with regards to the d2b case then that works too.

### 3.2 2-body spin-isospin operators

Here the idea is we want to calculate expectation values like

$$\left\langle \sum_{i < j} \mathcal{O}_{ij} \right\rangle = \frac{\langle \Phi | \sum_{i < j} \mathcal{O}_{ij} | R, S \rangle}{\langle \Phi | R, S \rangle}. \quad (22)$$

Now let's expand this the numerator term

$$\langle \Phi | \sum_{i < j} \mathcal{O}_{ij} | R, S \rangle = \langle \Phi | \sum_{i < j} \mathcal{O}_{ij} | R, s_1, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (23)$$

$$= \langle \Phi | \sum_{i < j} \sum_{s=1}^4 \sum_{s'=1}^4 |s\rangle \langle s|s'\rangle \langle s'| \mathcal{O}_{ij} | R, s_1, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (24)$$

$$= \langle \Phi | \sum_{i < j} \sum_{s=1}^4 \sum_{s'=1}^4 \langle s, s' | \mathcal{O}_{ij} | s_i, s_j \rangle | R, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{j-1}, s', s_{j+1}, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (25)$$

$$= \langle \Phi | \sum_{i < j} \sum_{s=1}^4 \sum_{s'=1}^4 \alpha_{ijs} | R, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{j-1}, s', s_{j+1}, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (26)$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} \sum_{s=1}^4 \sum_{s'=1}^4 \alpha_{ijs} \langle \Phi | R, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{j-1}, s', s_{j+1}, \dots, s_A \rangle \quad (27)$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} \sum_{s=1}^4 \sum_{s'=1}^4 \alpha_{ijs} \text{d2b}(s, s', ij) \langle \Phi | RS \rangle, \quad (28)$$

where  $\alpha_{ijs} = \langle s, s' | \mathcal{O}_{ij} | s_i, s_j \rangle$  and

$$\text{d2b}(s, s', ij) = \langle \Phi | R, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{j-1}, s', s_{j+1}, \dots, s_A \rangle / \langle \Phi | R, s_1, \dots, s_A \rangle. \quad (29)$$

Rearranging this we can get the expectation value

$$\left\langle \sum_{ij} \mathcal{O}_{ij} \right\rangle = \sum_{ij=1}^{((A-1)A)/2} \sum_{s=1}^4 \sum_{s'=1}^4 \alpha_{ijs} \text{d2b}(s, s', ij), \quad (30)$$

and where the sum,  $\sum_{ij}^{((A-1)A)/2}$  is essentially doing the same thing as  $\sum_{i=1}^{A-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^A$  or  $\sum_{i < j}$ . Notice again that we have

$$\text{d2b}(s, s', ij) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \text{sxz}(s, i, i) & \text{sxz}(s, i, j) \\ \text{sxz}(s', j, i) & \text{sxz}(s', j, j) \end{pmatrix} = \text{sxz}(s, i, i) \text{sxz}(s', j, j) - \text{sxz}(s, i, j) \text{sxz}(s', j, i). \quad (31)$$

I can't figure out why. Why is this? This doesn't need answered, I'll probably understand when I see the reason for the d1b case.

## References

- [1] S. Gandolfi, A. Lovato, J. Carlson, and Kevin E. Schmidt. From the lightest nuclei to the equation of state of asymmetric nuclear matter with realistic nuclear interactions. 2014. arXiv:1406.3388v1 [nucl-th].