#### Dissertation Defense:

Improved Trial Wave Functions for Quantum Monte Carlo Calculations of Nuclear Systems and Their Applications

> Cody L. Petrie Advisor: Kevin Schmidt

> > Arizona State University

May 3, 2019

- Motivation
- 2 Research
  - QMC Methods
  - Trial Wave Function
  - Alpha Formation in NS
- Conclusion
  - Conclusion

- Background
  - What is the problem we are trying to solve?
  - Where are we applicable?
  - Other methods
    - HF basis for other methods like AFDMC
    - Basis set methods such as . . .
    - No-core shell model
    - Coupled cluster
    - self-consistent Green's function
- Methods to solve the nuclear problem and why we use QMC
  - VMC
  - DMC
  - GFMC
    - Excitations up to <sup>12</sup>C
  - AFDMC

- Trial wave function and why it's so important
  - Slater Dets (and Pfaffians)
  - Jastrow and linear correlations
    - · Results from previous papers showing the improvement
  - Quadratic correlations
    - ullet Results show with jas o lin comparison as well
    - ullet Show the preliminary results we have with  $\chi {\rm EFT}$  potentials as well.
    - Performance scaling, both for xsede computers as well as linear vs. quadratic correlations.
- Other (future) correlations
  - Exponential correlations
  - Eigenvector discontinuity problem and square root matrix fix
  - Preliminary results

- Application to  $\alpha$ -clustering
  - NS intro and why clustering is an interesting problem
    - Clustering is often put in by hand, but we can do it ab initio.
  - Stefano's original results
  - Results with quadratic correlations
- Conclusion
- Extra Slides
  - Add possible extra slides here when you think of them

## Nuclear Many Body Problem

$$\langle H \rangle = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle = \int \Psi^*(\mathbf{R}) H \Psi(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^A \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} + \dots$$

- There are a number of ways to solve this problem.
  - QCD
    - Lattice QCD
  - No-core shell model
  - Coupled-cluster
  - Self consistent Green's function method
  - Quantum Monte Carlo

Should I have a slide for each method or should I have some popup information about each and just describe them here? Can they be clumped into different styles and talked about

### Other Motivation Stuff

### Variational Monte Carlo

- VMC starts with a trial wave function which includes variable parameters.
- The variational principle guarantees

$$E_{V} = \frac{\int \psi_{T}^{*}(\mathbf{R}) H \psi_{T}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}}{\int \psi_{T}^{*}(\mathbf{R}) \psi_{T}(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}} \ge E_{0}$$

We want this to look like this

$$E_V = \int f(\mathbf{R})P(\mathbf{R})d\mathbf{R} \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}f(\mathbf{R}_n)$$

### Variational Monte Carlo

$$E_V = \int P(\mathbf{R}) E_L(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}$$

• We can do that if we multiply by  $\Psi_T(\mathbf{R})\Psi_T^{-1}(\mathbf{R})$ .

$$P(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{|\Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{R})|^2}{\int |\Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{R})|^2 d\mathbf{R}}, \quad E_L(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\Psi_{\mathcal{T}}^*(\mathbf{R})H\Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{R})}{\Psi_{\mathcal{T}}^*(\mathbf{R})\Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{R})}$$

Now using Monte Carlo integration we can write

$$E_V pprox rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N E_L(\mathbf{R_n}),$$

where the  $\mathbf{R}_n$  are samples from  $P(\mathbf{R})$ .

### Variational Monte Carlo

 The statistical error in the energy is then given in the typical way

$$\sigma_{E_V} = \sqrt{\frac{\langle E_L^2 \rangle - \langle E_L \rangle^2}{N}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E_L^2(\mathbf{R}_n)\right) - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E_L(\mathbf{R}_n)\right)^2}{N - 1}}$$

• We can then vary the parameters in the trial wave function and calculate this until we minimize the energy or statistical error, since  $E_V \ge E_0$ .

 Diffusion Monte Carlo uses a Green's function to diffuse in imaginary time to estimate the ground state energy and wave function based on a trial wave function.

$$H\Psi = i\hbar \frac{d\Psi}{dt} \xrightarrow{\tau = it/\hbar} H\Psi = -\frac{d\Psi}{d\tau}$$

Using separation of variables we can write

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R},\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n(\mathbf{R}) e^{-\tau(E_n - E_0)}$$

• The long imaginary time limit of this goes to the ground state.

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \Psi(\mathbf{R}, \tau) = c_0 \phi_0(\mathbf{R})$$

The propagated wave function can be written

$$\langle \mathbf{R}' | \Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(\tau) \rangle = \int d\mathbf{R} \langle \mathbf{R}' | e^{-(H-E_0)\tau} | \mathbf{R} \rangle \langle \mathbf{R} | \Psi_{\mathcal{T}}(0) \rangle$$

• Now we use  $e^{-H\tau}=e^{-V\tau/2}e^{-T\tau}e^{-V\tau/2}+\mathcal{O}(\tau^3)$  and break up the propagator into small time steps  $\Delta \tau = \tau/N$ .

$$\langle \mathbf{R}_{N} | \Psi_{T}(\tau) \rangle = \int d\mathbf{R}_{1} \dots d\mathbf{R}_{N} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} G(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{i-1}, \Delta \tau) \right] \langle \mathbf{R}_{0} | \Psi_{T}(0) \rangle$$

$$G(\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{R}, \Delta \tau) = \langle \mathbf{R}' | e^{-(H - E_{0})\Delta \tau} | \mathbf{R} \rangle$$

• In the small  $\Delta \tau$  limit this propagator can be split up with the kinetic term being used to diffuse the walkers along a random path.

$$\langle \mathbf{R}' | e^{-T\Delta \tau} | \mathbf{R} \rangle = \left( \frac{m}{2\pi \hbar^2 \Delta \tau} \right)^{3A/2} e^{-m(\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R})^2/2\hbar^2 \Delta \tau}$$

 The potential term can then be used as a weight in a branching algorithm.

$$w(\mathbf{R}') = e^{-(V(\mathbf{R}') + V(\mathbf{R}) - 2E_0)\Delta\tau/2}$$

 Importance sampling improves the variance of the sampling and can be included with

$$G(\mathbf{R}',\mathbf{R},\Delta au)
ightarrow G(\mathbf{R}',\mathbf{R},\Delta au)rac{\langle\mathbf{R}|\Psi_I
angle}{\langle\mathbf{R}'|\Psi_I
angle}$$

Branching: Each walker can be deleted or multiply. The number of walkers that continues is equal to  $\operatorname{int}(w(\mathbf{R}')+\xi)$ , where  $\xi$  is a uniform random number from [0,1].




Figure: Reprinted from W.M.C. Foulkes et al. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 73:33-83, 2001.

## **Estimating Expectation Values**

We want to solve something like this

$$\langle \mathcal{O} 
angle = rac{\langle \Psi( au) | \, \mathcal{O} \, | \Psi( au) 
angle}{\langle \Psi( au) | \Psi( au) 
angle}.$$

In practice a linear extrapolation is used because  $\mathcal{O}\Psi(\tau)$  is hard.

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \approx 2 \, \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{mixed}} - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{VMC}}$$


$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}\right\rangle _{\text{mixed}}=\frac{\left\langle \Psi (\tau )\right|\mathcal{O}\left|\Psi _{\mathcal{T}}\right\rangle }{\left\langle \Psi (\tau )\right|\Psi _{\mathcal{T}}\right\rangle },\quad \left\langle \mathcal{O}\right\rangle _{\text{VMC}}=\frac{\left\langle \Psi _{\mathcal{T}}\right|\mathcal{O}\left|\Psi _{\mathcal{T}}\right\rangle }{\left\langle \Psi _{\mathcal{T}}\right|\Psi _{\mathcal{T}}\right\rangle }$$

In the large  $\tau$  limit when  $[\mathcal{O}, H] = 0$ 

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathsf{mixed}} = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$$


## **Estimating Error**

Our energy estimates are correlated and so we estimate error using block averaging



### Green's Function Monte Carlo

• GFMC follows DMC exactly for the spatial integrals, but performs the sums of  $2^A$  spin and  $\frac{A!}{Z!(A-Z)!}$  isospin states, for A nucleons with Z protons explicitly.



- AFDMC samples auxiliary fields to rotate the spins/isospins of the walkers.
- The spin/isospin dependent part of the potential is what is used in the spin/isospin dependent part of the propagator.

$$G_{SD}(R'S',RS,\Delta\tau) = \langle R'S' | e^{-V_{SD}\Delta\tau} | RS \rangle$$

$$V_{SD} = \sum_{p=2}^{6} \sum_{i < j} v_p(r_{ij}) \mathcal{O}_{ij}^p$$

• For  $v_6$ , a truncation of the phenomenological Argonne  $v_{18}$  potential, the operators are  $\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j$ ,  $\tau_i \cdot \tau_j$ ,  $\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j \tau_i \cdot \tau_j$ ,  $S_{ij}$  and  $S_{ij}\tau_i \cdot \tau_j$ , where  $S_{ij} = 3\sigma_i \cdot \hat{r}_{ij}\sigma_j \cdot \hat{r}_{ij} - \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j$ 

• The potential can be written in terms of matrices that are made of the  $v_p(r_{ij})$ , are symmetric, and 0 if i = j.

$$V_{SD} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha j\beta} \sigma_{i\alpha} A^{\sigma}_{i\alpha j\beta} \sigma_{j\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha j\beta} \sigma_{i\alpha} A^{\sigma\tau}_{i\alpha j\beta} \sigma_{j\beta} \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} A^{\tau}_{ij} \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$$

 We can construct these matrices and then solve for their eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j\beta} A^{\sigma}_{i\alpha j\beta} \psi^{\sigma}_{nj\beta} = \lambda^{\sigma}_{n} \psi^{\sigma}_{ni\alpha} \\ &\sum_{j\beta} A^{\sigma\tau}_{i\alpha j\beta} \psi^{\sigma\tau}_{nj\beta} = \lambda^{\sigma\tau}_{n} \psi^{\sigma\tau}_{ni\alpha} \\ &\sum_{j} A^{\tau}_{ij} \psi^{\tau}_{n,j} = \lambda^{\tau}_{n} \psi^{\tau}_{ni} \end{split}$$

 The potential can then be written in terms of the square of new single particle operators.

$$\begin{split} V_{SD} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{3A} (O_n^{\sigma})^2 \, \lambda_n^{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{3A} (O_{n\alpha}^{\sigma\tau})^2 \, \lambda_n^{\sigma\tau} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{A} (O_{n\alpha}^{\tau})^2 \, \lambda_n^{\tau} \\ O_n^{\sigma} &= \sum_{j\beta} \sigma_{j\beta} \psi_{nj\beta}^{\sigma} \\ O_{n\alpha}^{\sigma\tau} &= \sum_{j\beta} \tau_{j\alpha} \sigma_{j\beta} \psi_{nj\beta}^{\sigma\tau} \\ O_{n\alpha}^{\tau} &= \sum_{j} \tau_{j\alpha} \psi_{nj}^{\tau} \end{split}$$


 Since we have squared single particle operators in the propagator we can now rewrite the propagator in terms of the Hubbard-Stratanovich transformation.

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda O^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int dx e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \sqrt{-\lambda}xO}$$

• Since we have 15A operators (3A for  $O_n^{\sigma}$ , 9A for  $O_{n\alpha}^{\sigma\tau}$ , and 3A for  $O_{n\alpha}^{\tau}$ ), the spin-isospin dependent part of the propagator becomes

$$G_{SD}(R'S',RS,\Delta\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{15A} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int dx_n e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{2}} e^{\sqrt{-\lambda_n \Delta \tau} x_n O_n}.$$

### Hamiltonian



Based on meson exchange and phenomenology

- Argonne  $v_{18}$  (NN)
- CD-Bonn (NN)
- Urbana UIX (NNN)
- Illinois ?? (NNN)

Based on  $\chi$ EFT expansion in momentum (up to N2LO)

Figure from R. Machleidt and D.R. Entem, *Chiral* effective field theory and nuclear forces, Phys. Rep. **503**, 1 (2011)

# Hamilatonian - Argonne v6' (AV6')

- For this work I have used the NN AV6' potential with no 3N interaction.
- Most important 6 operators of the AV18 potential.

$$v_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^{6} v_p(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) \mathcal{O}_{ij}^p$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{ij}^{\rho} = 1, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j, \ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, \ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j, \ S_{ij}, \ S_{ij} \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$$

where the tensor term is

$$S_{ij} = 3\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \hat{r}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot \hat{r}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j$$

### Placeholder

blah

### Placeholder

blah

### Placeholder

blah