Time Series Analysis of Homicides in the US


Alex Cluff

4/20/2021(last edit 2022-02-10 10:03:35)

Introduction

The original dataset is called "Monthly Counts of Deaths by Select Causes, 2014-2019" from catalog.data.gov [link]. The counts are exclusively from the US. The analysis will be done on a subset of this data. Specifically death counts by homicide.

Homicides Per Month (2014-2019)




The data ranges from 2014 through 2019. Homicides are increasing over time with a parabolic trend. Seasonality appears to be yearly with large dips around January.

Trends, Seasonality, and ARMA Analysis


Estimating the Trend

I fit the trend using regression modeling and a second order polynomial such that $x_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 t^2 + y_t$ where $\mu_Y = 0$ and x_t is the original time series. $\hat{\beta}_0 = -1.000632 \times 10^8$, $\hat{\beta}_1 = 9.9172141 \times 10^4$, and $\hat{\beta}_2 = -24.572$.

Homicides Per Month with Trend



Detrended




Estimating the Seasonal Component

The seasonality is first estimated using monthly averages. Here they are after being estimated using a main effects regression model for the months such that $y_t = s_t + z_t$ where y_t is the result of detrending the data and $s_t = \hat{s_j}$ is the mean for month j = 1, ... 12.

##	MJan	MFeb	MMar	${ t MApr}$	MMay	MJun	MJul	MAug	${ t MSep}$	MOct
##	12.33	-247.49	-105.64	-84.27	51.10	64.65	152.54	93.43	28.00	22.58
##	MNov	MDec								
##	-5.16	17.93								

detrended





Fitting an ARMA model

The detrended, deseasonalized time series: $\,$

$$z_t = x_t - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 t^2 + s_t)$$

detrended and desesonalized





From the ACF plot it is evident that the trend is not a great fit. I would have fit a higher order polynomial, however, R can not fit anything over a second order polynomial. The second order polynomial also makes for a very bad prediction.

3

4


From the ACF graphs, I can see some correlation out to lag 3. After some testing, an AR(3,0) does fit the best.

```
##
## Call:
##
   arima(x = z, order = c(3, 0, 0))
##
##
   Coefficients:
                                   ar3
##
               ar1
                         ar2
                                         intercept
##
           0.1691
                     0.2197
                               0.2866
                                             6.3986
           0.1173
                     0.1154
                               0.1192
                                            18.8916
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 2935: log likelihood = -389.89, aic = 789.78
The Model:
                                     x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + \phi_3 x_{t-3} + w_t
where,
                      \phi_1 = 0.169, \ \phi_2 = 0.220, \ \phi_3 = 0.287, \ {\rm and} \ w_t \sim^{iid} N(6.399, 2935)
```

1

0

Normal Q-Q Plot



From these diagnostic plots we can see the the model is an acceptable fit besides the correlation around lag 2 in the residuals.

95% confidence interval:

```
## ar1 ar2 ar3 intercept
## lower -0.06092554 -0.006529079 0.05292215 -30.62897
## upper 0.39905895 0.445937975 0.52029038 43.42608
```

The standard deviations are slightly too large and the first two coefficients are not significant because the CIs contain 0. When fitting a model like this it would be a bad idea to remove the coefficients entirely when there is a significant ϕ_3 .

Therefore, the final model is:

$$z_t = x_t - (-1.000632 \times 10^8 + 9.9172141 \times 10^4 t + -24.572 t^2 + \hat{s_t})$$

$$x_t = 0.169 x_{t-1} + 0.22 x_{t-2} + 0.287 x_{t-3} + w_t, \quad w_t \sim N(6.399, 2935.034)$$

Where t is month $j = 1, ..., 12, \hat{s_t}$ is:

```
##
               MFeb
                                                                                      MOct
      MJan
                        MMar
                                 MApr
                                          MMay
                                                   MJun
                                                            MJul
                                                                     MAug
                                                                              MSep
     12.33 -247.49 -105.64
                                                  64.65
##
                               -84.27
                                         51.10
                                                         152.54
                                                                    93.43
                                                                             28.00
                                                                                     22.58
##
      MNov
               MDec
##
     -5.16
              17.93
```

SARIMA Modeling

Fitting the Model

For the SARIMA model, the best fit was achieved with a SARIMA(2,1,0)(0,1,1)[12] model of the form SARIMA(p,d,q)(P,D,Q)[S]. Originally I fit a third order AR component similar to my previous ARMA model. The model did not fit well. This model has the lowest AIC. The data has seasonality as well as a trend so it needs to be differenced twice. S = 12 and d/D = 1. A seasonal MA of order 1 also decreased the AIC, so Q = 1.

ARIMA model:


[1] 11.71902

$$(1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2)(1 - B)(1 - B^{12})x_t = (1 + \theta_1 B^{12})w_t$$

such that:

```
(1 + 0.7173B + 0.3790B^2)(1 - B)(1 - B^{12})x_t = (1 + 0.7089B^{12})w_t, \quad w_t \sim^{iid} N(0, 4702)
```


```
## $fit
##
## Call:
   arima(x = xdata, order = c(p, d, q), seasonal = list(order = c(P, D, Q), period = S),
       include.mean = !no.constant, transform.pars = trans, fixed = fixed, optim.control = list(trace =
##
##
           REPORT = 1, reltol = tol))
##
##
   Coefficients:
##
             ar1
                       ar2
                               sma1
##
         -0.7173
                  -0.3790
                            -0.7089
                   0.1257
##
          0.1254
                             0.2202
  s.e.
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 4702: log likelihood = -337.56, aic = 683.11
##
## $degrees_of_freedom
## [1] 56
##
## $ttable
##
        {\tt Estimate}
                      SE t.value p.value
         -0.7173 0.1254 -5.7225
                                 0.0000
## ar1
         -0.3790 0.1257 -3.0161
                                  0.0038
##
   ar2
         -0.7089 0.2202 -3.2198 0.0021
##
  sma1
##
## $AIC
## [1] 11.57817
##
## $AICc
##
  [1] 11.58556
##
## $BIC
```



This model fits quite well. The spike around lag 2 and the lower end of the Q-Q plot stand out, but do not look like a huge problem.

Prediction

This is a prediction for the next 24 months.



```
##
   $pred
                                Mar
##
             Jan
                                          Apr
                                                   May
                                                                      Jul
                                                                               Aug
##
  2020 1675.143 1442.789 1579.335 1593.680
                                             1740.108 1767.190 1851.684 1774.708
   2021 1749.584 1501.415 1630.197
                                    1656.105
                                             1797.181 1823.720 1910.632 1832.127
##
                       Oct
             Sep
                                Nov
                                          Dec
   2020 1735.190 1719.117 1702.715 1739.025
##
   2021 1792.789 1777.166 1760.374 1796.794
##
##
##
   $se
                         Feb
                                                                             Jul
##
              Jan
                                   Mar
                                              Apr
                                                        May
                                                                   Jun
         69.02733
                   71.71663
                              77.28531
                                        87.39357
                                                   91.97341
                                                             97.65345 103.55022
   2021 137.68426 142.96222 148.82627 155.45543 160.84281 166.37141 171.84417
##
              Aug
                         Sep
                                   Oct
                                              Nov
                                                        Dec
## 2020 108.29493 113.22138 117.97420 122.38194 126.71824
  2021 176.94911 181.99801 186.93381 191.68848 196.33372
```

Comparing Models

The largest difference between the two methods is using the differencing when fitting the SARIMA model. This allowed for a much better fit. Residuals for the ARMA model had correlation when they should not have. This is due to the poor fit of the trend. On a similar note, the parabolic trend used for in the first did not capture the overall trend very well. The forecast continued the trend and went down. In reality, the trend would go up as in the figure above. This would have been slightly better if R allows fitting a higher order polynomial as I mentioned before.

Conclusion

In general, differencing is much easier and effective at capturing complicated trends. Seasonal AR and MA componets also add very important correlation to a model. A more complicated ARIMA is not always

needed, but there are many benefits.

Code

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = FALSE, fig.height=3.5, message=FALSE, warning=FALSE)
library(readr)
library(knitr)
library(astsa)
homicides <- ts(read csv("deaths.csv"), start = 2014, frequency = 12)
plot(homicides, main = "Homicides Per Month (2014-2019)")
t <- time(homicides)</pre>
trend.coef <- lm(homicides ~ poly(t, 2, raw = TRUE))$coefficients</pre>
trend <- trend.coef[1] + trend.coef[2]*t + trend.coef[3]*t^2</pre>
plot(homicides, main = "Homicides Per Month with Trend")
lines(trend, col = "red", lty = 2)
detrended <- homicides - trend
plot(detrended, main = "Detrended")
abline(h = 0, col = "red", lty = 2)
M = factor(rep(month.abb, length.out = 72), levels = month.abb)
seasonal.means <- lm(detrended ~ M + 0)$coefficients</pre>
seasonality.ts <- ts(rep(seasonal.means, length.out = 72), start = 2014, frequency = 12)
round(seasonal.means, 2)
plot(detrended, ylab = "deaths", main = "detrended")
lines(seasonality.ts, col = "red", lty = 2)
z <- detrended - seasonality.ts</pre>
plot(z, ylab = "deaths", main = "detrended and desesonalized")
cf \leftarrow acf2(z)
model \leftarrow arima(z, order = c(3,0,0))
model
par(mfrow = c(2,2))
residuals <- model$residuals
plot(residuals)
qqnorm(residuals)
qqline(residuals)
acf <- acf1(residuals)</pre>
lag <- 1:20
lags <- as.list(lag)</pre>
p.values <- sapply(lags, function(x) Box.test(residuals, x, "Ljung-Box")$p.value)
plot(lag, p.values, ylim = c(0,1), main = "Box Test P-values for the Residuals")
abline(h=0.05, col = "blue", lty = 2)
lower = model$coef - 1.96 * sqrt(diag(model$var.coef))
upper = model$coef + 1.96 * sqrt(diag(model$var.coef))
rbind(lower,upper)
coef <- round(c(model$coef, model$sigma2),3)</pre>
round(seasonal.means, 2)
model.sarima <- sarima(homicides, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 12, details = F)
model.sarima
residuals <- model.sarima$fit$residuals</pre>
par(mfrow = c(2,2))
plot(residuals)
qqnorm(residuals)
```

```
qqline(residuals)
acf <- acf1(residuals)
lag <- 1:35
lags <- as.list(lag)
p.values <- sapply(lags, function(x) Box.test(residuals, x, "Ljung-Box")$p.value)
plot(lag, p.values, ylim = c(0,1), main = "Box Test P-values for the Residuals")
abline(h=0.05, col = "blue", lty = 2)
sarima.for(homicides, 24, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 12)
savehistory("C:/Users/AlexC/OneDrive/rws/5550/project/code.Rhistory")</pre>
```