1 The Pseudoinverse

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. We do not assume that X is full rank.

- (a) Give the definition of the rowspace, columnspace, and nullspace of X.
- (b) Check the following facts:
 - (a) The rowspace of X is the columnspace of X^{\top} , and vice versa.
 - (b) The nullspace of X and the rowspace of X are orthogonal complements.
 - (c) The nullspace of $X^{\top}X$ is the same as the nullspace of X. Hint: if v is in the nullspace of $X^{\top}X$, then $v^{\top}X^{\top}Xv = 0$.
 - (d) The columspace and rowspace of $X^{\top}X$ are the same, and are equal to the rowspace of X. Hint: Use the relationship between nullspace and rowspace.
- (c) Recall from the SVD theorem that we can write any matrix X as

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{d,n\}} \sigma_i u_i v_i^{\top} = \sum_{i:\sigma_i > 0} \sigma_i u_i v_i^{\top}$$

where $\sigma_i \geq 0$, and $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ are an orthonormal. Show that

- (a) $\{v_i:\sigma_i>0\}$ are an orthonormal basis for the rowspace of of X
- (b) Similarly, $\{u_i:\sigma_i>0\}$ are an orthonormal basis for the columnspace of X (*Hint: consider* X^{\top})
- (d) Define the Moore-penrose pseudoinverse to be the matrix:

$$X^\dagger = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i^{-1} v_i u_i^\top,$$

What is the matrix $X^{\dagger}X$, what operator does it correspond to? What is $X^{\dagger}X$ if $\operatorname{rank}(X) = d$? What $X^{\dagger}X$ if $\operatorname{rank}(X) = d$ and n = d?

2 The Least Norm Solution

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, where $n \geq d$, but where $\operatorname{rank}(X)$ is possibly less than d. As in problem 1, we will right the SVD of X as a sum of rank-one terms

$$X = \sum_{i:\sigma_i > 0} u_i \sigma_i v_i^{\top},$$

In this problem, our goal will to provide an explicit expression for the *least-norm* least-squares estimator, defined as :

$$\widehat{\theta}_{LS,LN} := \arg\min_{\theta} \{ \|\theta\|_2^2 : \theta \text{ is a minimizer of } \|X\theta - y\|_2^2 \},$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (a) Show that $\widehat{\theta}_{LS,LN}$ is the unique minimizer of $||X\theta y||_2^2$ which lies in the rowspace of X. Try not to use the SVD.
- (b) Show that $\widehat{\theta}_{LS,LN}$ has the following form:

$$\widehat{\theta}_{LS,LN} = \sum_{i:\sigma_i > 0} \frac{1}{\sigma_i} v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle, \tag{1}$$

Solve this problem by directly checking that the above expression for $\widehat{\theta}_{LS,LN}$ is in the rowspace of X, and satisfies the necessary optimality condition to be a minimzer of the least-squares objective.

(c) We give another solution to finding a form for $\widehat{\theta}_{LS,LN}$. Again, write out the SVD decomposition of X as

$$X = \sum_{i:\sigma_i > 0} u_i \sigma_i v_i^{\top},$$

Now follow these steps:

- What is the operator $(X^{\top}X)^{\dagger}(X^{\top}X)$? Hint: pattern match with the last part of Problem 1. where $X \leftarrow X^{\top}X$
- Show that $(X^{\top}X)^{\dagger}X^{\top} = X^{\dagger}$ Hint: write everything out as a sum of rank-one terms
- Show that any minimizer of the least squares objective satisfies

$$P_X\theta = X^{\dagger}y,$$

where P_X is the orthogonal projection onto the rowspace of X.

· Conclude that

$$\widehat{\theta}_{LS,LN} = X^{\dagger} y.$$

Verify that this is consistent with your answer to the previous part of the problem.

3 The Ridge Regression Estimator

Recall the ridge estimator for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\lambda} := \arg\min_{\theta} \|X\theta - y\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\theta\|_{2}^{2},$$

Let

$$X = \sum_{i} \sigma_{i} u_{i} v_{i}^{\top}$$

be the SVD decomposition as given in the previous two problems. On the homework, you will show that

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle$$

You should use this decomposition in this problem.

(a) Show that

$$\|\widehat{\theta}_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i:\sigma_{i}>0} \left(\frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sigma_{i}^{2} + \lambda}\right)^{2} \langle u_{i}, y \rangle^{2}.$$

- (b) Recall the least-norm least squares solution is $\widehat{\theta}_{LN,LS}$ from Problem 2. Show that if $\widehat{\theta}_{LN,LS}=0$, then $\widehat{\theta}_{\lambda}=0$ for all $\lambda>0$. Hint: use the formula for the least norm least squares solution from Problem 2.
- (c) Show that if $\widehat{\theta}_{LN,LS} \neq 0$, then the map $\lambda \mapsto \|\widehat{\theta}_{\lambda}\|_2^2$ is strictly decreasing and strictly positive on $(0,\infty)$.
- (d) Show that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \widehat{\theta}_{\lambda} \to \widehat{\theta}_{LS,LN}.$$

(e) In light of the above, why do you think that people describe the ridge regression as "controlling the complexity" of the solution $\widehat{\theta}_{\lambda}$