

1 The Pseudoinverse

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. We do not assume that X is full rank.

(a) Give the definition of the rowspace, column space, and nullspace of X . **Solution:** The rowspace is the span of the rows of X , the column space is the span of the columns of X , and nullspace is the set of vectors v such that $Xv = 0$.

(b) Check the following facts:

(a) The rowspace of X is the column space of X^\top , and vice versa. **Solution:** The rows of X are the columns of X^\top , and vice versa.

(b) The nullspace of X and the rowspace of X are orthogonal complements. **Solution:** v is in the nullspace of X if and only if $Xv = 0$, which is true if and only if for every row X_i of X , $\langle X_i, v \rangle = 0$. This is precisely the condition that v is perpendicular to each row of X . This means that v is in the nullspace of X if and only if v is in the orthogonal complement of the span of the rows of X , i.e. the orthogonal complement of the rowspace of X .

(c) The nullspace of $X^\top X$ is the same as the nullspace of X . *Hint: if v is in the nullspace of $X^\top X$, then $v^\top X^\top X v = 0$.* **Solution:** If v is in the nullspace of X , then $X^\top X v = X^\top 0 = 0$. On the other hand, if v is in the nullspace of $X^\top X$, then $v^\top X^\top X v = v^\top 0 = 0$. Then, $v^\top X^\top X v = \|Xv\|_2^2 = 0$, which implies that $Xv = 0$.

(d) The column space and rowspace of $X^\top X$ are the same, and are equal to the rowspace of X . *Hint: Use the relationship between nullspace and rowspace.* **Solution:** $X^\top X$ is symmetric, and therefore its rows and columns are the same; hence, its column spaces and rowspaces are the same. By the previous problem, the nullspace of $X^\top X$ is equal to the nullspace of X , therefore. Thus,

$$\text{rowspan}(X) = \text{nullspace}(X)^\perp = \text{nullspace}(X^\top X)^\perp = \text{rowspan}(X^\top X),$$

where $()^\perp$ denotes orthogonal complement.

(c) Recall from the SVD theorem that we can write any matrix X as

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{d,n\}} \sigma_i u_i v_i^\top = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i u_i v_i^\top$$

where $\sigma_i \geq 0$, and $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ are an orthonormal. Show that

- (a) $\{v_i : \sigma_i > 0\}$ are an orthonormal basis for the rowspace of X
 (b) Similarly, $\{u_i : \sigma_i > 0\}$ are an orthonormal basis for the column space of X (*Hint: consider X^\top*)

Solution: Since $\{v_i : \sigma_i > 0\}$ are an orthonormal, it suffices to show that their span is the row space of X . Since the rowspace is the orthogonal complement of the nullspace of X , it suffices to show that $v \in \text{span}(\{v_i : \sigma_i > 0\})^\perp$ if and only if then $Xv = 0$. We have that

$$Xv = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i u_i (v_i^\top v).$$

Since $\sigma_i u_i$ are all linearly independent, $Xv = 0$ if and only if $(v_i^\top v) = 0$ for all i , as needed.

The the second part,

$$X^\top = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i v_i u_i^\top,$$

which means that u_i are a basis for the rowspace of X^\top by the above. Hence, u_i are a basis for the column space of X .

- (d) Define the Moore-penrose pseudoinverse to be the matrix:

$$X^\dagger = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i^{-1} v_i u_i^\top,$$

What is the matrix $X^\dagger X$, what operator does it correspond to? What is $X^\dagger X$ if $\text{rank}(X) = d$?
 What $X^\dagger X$ if $\text{rank}(X) = d$ and $n = d$? **Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} X^\dagger X &= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i^{-1} v_i u_i^\top \sum_{j:\sigma_j>0} \sigma_j u_j v_j^\top \\ &= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sum_{j:\sigma_j>0} \sigma_j \sigma_i^{-1} u_i^\top u_j \cdot v_i v_j^\top \\ &= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sum_{j:\sigma_j>0} \sigma_j \sigma_i^{-1} \mathbf{I}(i=j) \cdot v_i v_j^\top \\ &= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} v_i v_i^\top. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by our last homework we that $X^\dagger X$ is an orthogonal projection onto the span of v_i , which is precisely the rowspace of X . If $\text{rank}(X) = d$, then $X^\dagger X = I$, and thus if $d = n$, $X^\dagger = X^{-1}$.

2 The Least Norm Solution

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, where $n \geq d$, but where $\text{rank}(X)$ is possibly less than d . As in problem 1, we will write the SVD of X as a sum of rank-one terms

$$X = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} u_i \sigma_i v_i^\top,$$

In this problem, our goal will be to provide an explicit expression for the *least-norm* least-squares estimator, defined as :

$$\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN} := \arg \min_{\theta} \{ \|\theta\|_2^2 : \theta \text{ is a minimizer of } \|X\theta - y\|_2^2 \},$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (a) Show that $\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN}$ is the unique minimizer of $\|X\theta - y\|_2^2$ which lies in the rowspace of X . Try not to use the SVD. **Solution:** The minimizers of the least squares objective are precisely the solutions θ to the equation we have that

$$X^\top X\theta = X^\top y$$

In particular, for any single solution $\bar{\theta}$, we can write $\bar{\theta} = \theta_0 + \Delta$, where θ_0 is in the rowspace of X and Δ is in the nullspace of $\text{nullspace}(X^\top X) = \text{nullspace}(X)$; this follows since the rowspace of X and the nullspace of X are orthogonal complements. Moreover, we find that

$$X^\top X\theta_0 = X^\top X(\bar{\theta} - \Delta) = X^\top X(\bar{\theta}) = X^\top y,$$

so θ_0 is also a minimizer of the least squares objective.

Note that since the minimizers are the solution to a linear system, and θ_0 is one such solution, then any other minimizer is of the form $\theta_0 + \Delta$, where $\Delta \in \text{nullspace}(X^\top X) = \text{nullspace}(X)$. Thus, for any other minimizer $\theta = \theta_0 + \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta\|_2^2 &= \|\theta_0 + \Delta\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\theta_0\|_2^2 + \|\Delta\|_2^2 + 2\langle \theta_0, \Delta \rangle \\ &= \|\theta_0\|_2^2 + \|\Delta\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\theta_0 \perp \Delta$, because the nullspace and rowspace of X are orthogonal. Hence, we conclude that $\|\theta\|_2^2$ is strictly greater than $\|\theta_0\|_2^2$ unless $\Delta = 0$, i.e. $\theta = \theta_0$. It follows that θ_0 is precisely the least norm least squares solution.

- (b) Show that $\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN}$ has the following form:

$$\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN} = \sum_{i: \sigma_i > 0} \frac{1}{\sigma_i} v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle, \quad (1)$$

Solve this problem by directly checking that the above expression for $\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN}$ is in the rowspace of X , and satisfies the necessary optimality condition to be a minimizer of the least-squares objective.

Solution: The easiest way to go about this is to show that $\theta = \sum_{i: \sigma_i > 0} \frac{1}{\sigma_i} v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle$ is in the row space of X , and that θ satisfies the normal equations $X^\top X\theta = X^\top y$. By the previous problem, this implies that $\theta = \hat{\theta}_{LS, LN}$. Recall from the SVD-theorem that

$$X = \sum_{i: \sigma_i > 0} u_i \sigma_i v_i^\top$$

To see that θ is in the row space of X , observe that θ is a linear combination of v_i for $i : \sigma_i > 0$. Each v_i is in the rowspace of X , by problem 1.

Next, we show that θ satisfies the normal equation

$$(X^\top X)\theta = X^\top y$$

Using the SVD theorem, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}(X^\top X) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^2 v_i v_i^\top \\ X^\top y &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(X^\top X)\theta &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^2 v_i v_i^\top \right) \left(\sum_{j:\sigma_j>0} \sigma_j^{-1} v_j \langle u_j, y \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j:\sigma_j>0} v_i \cdot (\sigma_i^2 \sigma_j^{-1}) \cdot \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \cdot \langle u_i, y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j:\sigma_j>0} v_i \cdot (\sigma_i^2 \sigma_j^{-1}) \mathbf{I}(i=j) \langle u_i, y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} v_i (\sigma_i^2 \sigma_i^{-1}) \langle u_i, y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} v_i \sigma_i \langle u_i, y \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

which is precisely $X^\top y$.

(c) We give another solution to finding a form for $\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN}$ using the pseudoinverse. Follow these steps:

- What is the operator $(X^\top X)^\dagger (X^\top X)$? *Hint: pattern match with the last part of Problem 1, where $X \leftarrow X^\top X$* **Solution:** By problem 1, $(X^\top X)^\dagger (X^\top X)$ is the orthogonal projection onto the rowspace of $X^\top X$, which is precisely the rowspace of X .
- Show that $(X^\top X)^\dagger X^\top = X^\dagger$ *Hint: write everything out as a sum of rank-one terms* **Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}(X^\top X)^\dagger X^\top &= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i^{-2} v_i v_i^\top \sum_j \sigma_j v_j u_j^\top \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_i^2} \langle v_j, v_i \rangle \cdot v_i u_j^\top\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_j \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_i^2} \mathbf{I}(i=j) \cdot v_i u_j^\top \\
&= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i^{-1} v_i u_i^\top = X^\dagger
\end{aligned}$$

- Show that any minimizer of the least squares objective satisfies

$$P_X \theta = X^\dagger y,$$

where P_X is the orthogonal projection onto the rowspace of X . **Solution:** Any least squares solution satisfies

$$X^\top X \theta = X^\top y$$

Multiply by $(X^\top X)^\dagger$, which gives

$$(X^\top X)^\dagger (X^\top X) \theta = (X^\top X)^\dagger X^\top y.$$

Using the previous part, this simplifies to $P_X \theta = X^\dagger y$.

- Conclude that

$$\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN} = X^\dagger y.$$

Verify that this is consistent with your answer to the previous part of the problem. **Solution:** Since $\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN}$ lies in the rowspace of X , we have $\hat{\theta}_{LS, LN} = P_X \hat{\theta}_{LS, LN} = X^\dagger y$. Moreover,

$$X^\dagger y = \left(\sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i^{-1} v_i u_i^\top \right) y = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i^{-1} \langle u_i, y \rangle v_i.$$

3 The Ridge Regression Estimator

Recall the ridge estimator for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\hat{\theta}_\lambda := \arg \min_{\theta} \|X\theta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2,$$

Let

$$X = \sum_i \sigma_i u_i v_i^\top$$

be the SVD decomposition as given in the previous two problems. On the homework, you will show that

$$\hat{\theta}_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle$$

You should use this decomposition in this problem.

(a) Show that

$$\|\hat{\theta}_\lambda\|_2^2 = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}\right)^2 \langle u_i, y \rangle^2.$$

Solution: First, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\theta}_\lambda\|_2^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle, \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_j^2 + \lambda} v_j \langle u_j, y \rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_j^2 + \lambda}\right) \langle u_j, y \rangle \langle u_i, y \rangle \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}\right)^2 \langle u_i, y \rangle^2. \\ &= \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}\right)^2 \langle u_i, y \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Recall the least-norm least squares solution is $\hat{\theta}_{LN,LS}$ from Problem 2. Show that if $\hat{\theta}_{LN,LS} = 0$, then $\hat{\theta}_\lambda = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$. *Hint: use the formula for the least norm least squares solution from Problem 2.* **Solution:** If the least norm least squares solution is 0, then

$$\sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \sigma_i^{-1} \langle u_i, y_i \rangle v_i = 0,$$

, which means that $\langle u_i, y_i \rangle = 0$ for each $i : \sigma_i = 0$, because v_i are linearly independent. Hence, $\hat{\theta}_\lambda = 0$ by plugging into the formula for $\hat{\theta}_\lambda = 0$.

(c) Show that if $\hat{\theta}_{LN,LS} \neq 0$, then the map $\lambda \mapsto \|\hat{\theta}_\lambda\|_2^2$ is strictly decreasing and strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$. **Solution:** If $\hat{\theta}_\lambda \neq 0$, then at least one of the terms $\langle u_i, y \rangle^2$ is strictly greater than zero. Thus,

$$\|\hat{\theta}_\lambda\|_2^2 = \sum_{i:\sigma_i>0} \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}\right)^2 \langle u_i, y \rangle^2,$$

is a non-trivial nonnegative weighted combination of terms $\left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}\right)^2$, which are positive and strictly decreasing in λ .

(d) Show that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \hat{\theta}_\lambda \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{LS, LN}.$$

Solution: Even though the limit of the ridge-regression objective as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ is the least squares objective, this does not immediately guarantee that limit of the ridge solution is the least squares solution. Instead, let's use the form

$$\hat{\theta}_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle,$$

Since limits commute with sums, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \hat{\theta}_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle \cdot \left(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \right)$$

Now,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} = \begin{cases} 0 & \sigma_i = 0 \\ \sigma_i^{-1} & \sigma_i > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \hat{\theta}_\lambda = \sum_{i: \sigma_i > 0} \sigma_i^{-1} v_i \langle u_i, y \rangle,$$

which we have shown above is the least norm solution.

- (e) In light of the above, why do you think that people describe the ridge regression as “controlling the complexity” of the solution $\hat{\theta}_\lambda$ **Solution:** We see that increasing the ridge parameter λ shrinks the norm of $\hat{\theta}_\lambda$, and that even as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{\theta}_\lambda$ picks out the least norm least squares solution.