# Capstone Submission

Cltation analysis and extraction



Review and summarization

Sehrish Iqbal, Saeed-UI Hassan, Naif Radi Aljohani, SaleemALelyan, Raheel Nawaz, Lutz Bornmann (June 23 2021), A decade of in-text citation analysis based on natural language processing and machine learning techniques: an overview of empirical studies,

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11192-021-04055-1

A literature overview of several research documents on in-text citation extraction, analysis, classification and quantification

# Research summary

ML techniques used in the corpus of research documents included in the evaluation

- Support Vector Machine
- · Naïve Bayes
- Multinomial Naïve Bayes
- · Hidden Naïve Bayes
- Maximum Entropy
- Decision Tree
- · Random Forest
- · K Nearest Neighbour
- Logistic Regression

### Table of Literature reviewed

Table 4 Summary of the reviewed literature on citation in-text analysis

| Article                                | Data repository   | Samples size   | Main results  |
|--|---|--|---|
| Ritchie, Robertson, and Teufel (2008)  | Association of Computational Linguistics (ACL) Authology                              | 9800 papers  | Longer citation contents resulted in greater<br>retrieved effectiveness, Jacob was more<br>effective than Isent   |
| Angrosh Cranefield, and Stanger (2010) | Lecture Notes in Computer Science<br>(LNCS)   | 50 papers  | Citation features along with the sentence<br>features play an important role in the<br>identification of citation context and yield<br>on accuracy of 96.51%                          |
| Aljaher et al. (2011)                  | TRBC Genomic  | 162.259 papers   | Citation context is a rich source of topi-<br>cally selated terms and many of them are<br>semantically selated to terms that are<br>present in the original document                  |
| Angrosh et al. (2013)                  | Lecture Notes in Computer Science<br>(LNCS)   | 20 papers  | CRF with additional zero-order features<br>identified context better than linear CRF<br>and secred an accuracy of 91%   |
| Zhang et al. (2013)                    | Social sciences   |  |   |
|  | The second of   | Citations should not be treated equally as<br>they have different reasons and func-<br>tions |   |
| Hu et al. (2013)                       | Journal of Informatrics   | 350 papera (11,327 citations)  | In full-text articles, citations are distributed<br>unevenly, more than 50% of the citations<br>belong to the "introduction" section  |
| Ding et al. (2013)                     | Journal of the American Society for<br>Information Science and Technology<br>(IASIST) | 866 papers   | Highly re-cited references (the same publi-<br>cation is cited multiple times in the citing<br>paper) appear mostly in the introduction<br>and literature review sections             |
| Abu-Jbara et al. (2013)                | ACL Anthology   | 30 citing papers (3500 citation contests)  | In the interpretation of citation contexts,<br>locical features (determiners and conjunc-<br>tion salverbs) are more significant than<br>structural features (position and reference) |

#### Table of reviewed literature continued

| Article                       | Data repository                                | Samples size   | Main results   |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Bertin and Atarassova (2014)  | Public Library of Science (PioS) Journals      | 9446 papers (459,834 citation sentences)             | The frequency of verbs in citation contents<br>depends on the paper sections in which<br>they appear and 50% of the verbs were<br>present in the "introduction" section. |
| Pujiwora and Yamareoto (2015) | PMCOAS   | 545,147 papers                                       | Papers cited in less than five citation<br>contexts account for around 76% of all the<br>cited papers in the database  |
| Hu et al. (2015)              | Journal of Informetrics                        | 350 papers (11,327 citations)                        | In research articles, succeeding citations<br>are more intentional and purposeful than<br>first-time citations   |
| Bertin et al. (2016b)         | PLoS Journals                                  | 75,000 citing papers (3 million citation scatterion) | The word 'show' is the most frequently<br>occurring verb in citation contexts among<br>all paper sections  |
| Bertin and Atarassova (2017)  | PLoS Journals                                  | 90,000 papers (3,528,514 citing sen-<br>tences)      | 41% of the citation sentences contain MIR,<br>most of them appear in the "introduction"<br>section   |
| Small et al. (2017)           | PehMed Central Open Access Subset<br>(PMC-QAS) | L.1 million papers                                   | Only 46% of the articles that had 'discov-<br>ery' words in their citing sentences (cit-<br>ances) were actually scientific discoveries                                  |
| Hu et al. (2017)              | Journal of Informetrics                        | 350 papers (16,917 citations)                        | 25% of the references were mentioned mul-<br>tiple times and located in close presumity  |
| Boyack et al. (2018)          | Elsevier and PabMed Central                    | 5 million papers                                     | The references that are mensioned just once<br>are typically more highly cited than refer-<br>ences that are mensioned multiple times                                    |

#### Summary of features reviewed

- Optimal length of citation context analysis optimal length appears to be 4 sentences. Extended context appears to be more effective that shorter contexts up to the 4 sentence optimum.
- The use of NLP and ML techniques to analyze citation sentiment using lexicon based methods

  - Classify citation context into different polarity levels(positive, negative, neutral)
    Feature-sets such as uni-gram. Higher levels values of n-grams(consecutive words) lead to better classification results.
  - o SVM and NB classifiers are the most frequently used models for sentiment classification.
- Lexical and context features in context extraction for accuracy lexical features (determiners and conjunction adverbs) are more significant than structural features(position and reference).
- ML Techniques for citation classification with preferred features effective at achieving high evaluation scores.
  - Linguistic features
  - o Cue words
  - Contextual features(closest noun phrase and conjunctive adverb)
  - Location feature(position and section)
- Citation based summarization

# Kaggle Competition Jupyter Notebook review

Coleridge Initiative Jupyter Notebook Authored by Prashan Dixit

The objective of the competition is to identify the mention of datasets within scientific publications. Your predictions will be short excerpts from the publications that appear to note a dataset.

Submissions are evaluated on a Jaccard-based FBeta score between predicted texts and ground truth texts, with Beta = 0.5 (a micro F0.5 score). Multiple predictions are delineated with a pipe (|) character in the submission file.

# Kaggle Competition Jupyter Notebook review

The model used:

### spaCy NLP

- This extracts language that indicates a dataset is cited in the text.
- The test data set is really small.
- I am not sure I understand the Jaccard FBeta scoring system but it looks like it measures the F score adjusted by a predetermined beta value.